

ELECTRONIC CLEARING HOUSE INC
Form 10-K
December 14, 2007

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549**

FORM 10-K

x Annual Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended
September 30, 2007

Commission File Number 0-15245

ELECTRONIC CLEARING HOUSE, INC.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Nevada
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

93-0946274
(IRS Employer Identification No.)

**730 Paseo Camarillo, Camarillo,
California**
(Address of principal executive
offices)

93010
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: **(805) 419-8700**, fax number: **(805) 419-8682**

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

<u>Title of each class</u>	<u>Name of each exchange on which registered</u>
None	None

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

Common Stock, \$0.01 par value
(Title of class)

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No R

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No R

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days: Yes R No F

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):
Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Based on a closing price of \$11.61 as reported by the NASDAQ Stock Market on March 31, 2007, the aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates was \$73,491,799 .

As of November 30 , 2007, the Registrant had outstanding 7,025,329 shares of Common Stock.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE – Portions of the issuer's definitive Proxy Statement to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A within 120 days after the end of its last fiscal year are incorporated by reference into Part III of this report.

**ELECTRONIC CLEARING HOUSE, INC.
2007 FORM 10-K ANNUAL REPORT**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART 1	3
ITEM 1. <u>Business</u>	3
ITEM <u>Risk Factors</u>	13
1A.	
ITEM <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	21
1B.	
ITEM 2. <u>Properties</u>	21
ITEM 3. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	21
ITEM 4. <u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u>	21
PART II	22
ITEM 5. <u>Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	22
ITEM 6. <u>Selected Financial Data</u>	24
ITEM 7. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	26
ITEM <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	35
7A.	
ITEM 8. <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	35
ITEM 9. <u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosures</u>	35
ITEM <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	35
9A.	
ITEM <u>Other Information</u>	36
9B.	
PART III	37
ITEM <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	37
10.	
ITEM <u>Executive Compensation</u>	41
11.	
ITEM <u>Security of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	41
12.	
ITEM <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence</u>	41
13.	
ITEM <u>Principal Accounting Fees and Services.</u>	41
14.	
PART IV	42
<u>Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules</u>	42

ITEM
15.

2

Table of Contents

PART I

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This 2007 Annual Report on Form 10-K contains statements which constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Those statements include statements regarding our intent, belief or current expectations. Examples of forward-looking statements include statements regarding our strategy, financial performance, revenue sources and anticipated expenditures. Prospective investors are cautioned that any such forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve risks and uncertainties, and that actual results may differ materially from those projected in the forward-looking statements as a result of various factors, including, but not limited to, such factors as fluctuations in demand for our products and services, the introduction of new products and services, our ability to maintain customer and strategic business relationships, the underlying businesses of our customers, strategic transactions or events (such as mergers, acquisitions or divestitures), transactions or events that require us to revise our strategic objectives or to implement new strategies, transactions or events outside the ordinary course of business that divert management's attention from our strategic objectives, technological advancements and our ability to implement new technologies, the impact of competitive products, services and pricing, growth in targeted markets, the adequacy of our liquidity and financial strength to support our growth and initiatives, and those other risk factors set forth elsewhere in this Annual Report. See "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Risk Factors."

ITEM 1.

Business

OVERVIEW

Electronic Clearing House, Inc. (*ECHO*) is an electronic payment processor that provides for the payment processing needs of retail, online and recurring payment merchants through its direct sales team as well as channel partners that include technology companies, banks, collection agencies and other trusted resellers. The company derives the majority of its revenue from two main business segments: 1) bankcard and transaction processing services ("bankcard services"), whereby we provide solutions to merchants and banks to allow them to accept and process credit and debit card payments from consumers; and 2) check-related products ("check services"), whereby we provide various services to merchants and banks to allow them to accept and process check payments from consumers. The principal services we offer within these two segments include, with respect to our bankcard services, debit and credit card processing (where we provide authorization, clearing and settlement for all major credit and debit card brands), and with respect to our check services, check guarantee (where, if we approve a check transaction and a check is subsequently dishonored by the check writer's bank, the merchant is reimbursed by us), check verification (where, prior to approving a check, we search our negative and positive check writer database to determine whether the check writer has a positive record or delinquent check-related debts), electronic check conversion (the conversion of a paper check at the point of sale to a direct bank debit which is processed for settlement through the Federal Reserve System's Automated Clearing House ("ACH") network), eCheck (the processing of a payment generally originating from an internet or recurring payment merchant wherein the direct debit from a consumer's checking account occurs via the ACH network), check re-presentment (where we attempt to clear a check on multiple occasions via the ACH network prior to returning the check to the merchant so as to increase the number of cleared check transactions), and check collection (where we provide national scale collection services for returned checks). We operate our services under the following brands:

- *ECHO*, our retail and wholesale brand for credit card and check processing services to merchants, banks, technology partners and other trusted reseller channels;

- MerchantAmerica, Inc. our online presence for merchant reporting and web services;
- National Check Network (“NCN”), our proprietary database of negative and positive check writer accounts (i.e., accounts that show delinquent history in the form of non-sufficient funds and other negative transactions), for check verification, check conversion capture services, and for membership to collection agencies; and
 - *XPRESSCHEX*, Inc. for check collection services.

Table of Contents

We discuss our services in greater detail below. Overall, our ability to program and oversee the management of a merchant's point-of-sale (POS) system, provide credit card and debit card processing, provide multiple services for the processing of checks, provide both electronic and traditional collection services, and integrate all of these services into an Internet-based reporting capability allows us to provide for the majority of the payment processing needs of our customers.

Bankcard and transaction processing services provide for the majority of our revenues. We typically receive a percentage-based fee ("Discount Rate") on the dollar amount processed and a transaction fee on the number of transactions processed. For the fiscal year 2007, the bankcard and transaction processing business segment accounted for approximately 81.4% of the Company's total revenue.

We were incorporated in Nevada in December 1981. Our executive offices are located at 730 Paseo Camarillo, Camarillo, California 93010, and our telephone number is (805) 419-8700. Our common stock is traded on the NASDAQ Capital Market under the ticker symbol "ECHO." Information on our website, www.echo-inc.com, does not constitute part of this annual report. We make available, free of charge on our website, our annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Exchange Act as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such material with, or furnish it to the SEC through a link to the appropriate section of the SEC's website.

In October 2006, the Unlawful Internet Gaming Enforcement Act was passed and signed into law. As a result of the passed legislation, several of our Internet Wallet merchants, all of which used our check services, had a significant drop in processing activities during the quarter ended December 31, 2006 as we wound down the services we provided to them. During February 2007, the Company decided to cease processing and collection services for all Internet wallet customers. On March 27, 2007, the Company entered into a Non-Prosecution Agreement pursuant to which the Office of the United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York agreed not to pursue actions against the Company and its subsidiaries for activities related to its provision of payment processing services to Internet wallets that provided services to online gaming websites during the period from January 2001 through and including the date of the signing of the Non-Prosecution Agreement.

On December 14, 2006, we entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger (the Merger Agreement) to be acquired by Intuit, Inc. (Intuit) in a merger transaction in which we would have become a wholly owned subsidiary of Intuit (the Intuit merger). Pursuant to the terms of the Merger Agreement and subject to the conditions thereof, Intuit would have acquired all of the outstanding shares of our common stock and all outstanding equity awards (which would have vested in connection with the transactions) for a cash amount of \$18.75 per share, for a total purchase price of approximately \$142 million on a fully diluted basis. The transaction was subject to regulatory review, our shareholder approval and other customary closing conditions. On March 26, 2007, the Company mutually agreed with Intuit and Elan Acquisition Corporation, a Nevada corporation and wholly owned subsidiary of Intuit ("Elan"), to terminate the Merger Agreement. The parties determined that it was in the mutual best interest of each party to terminate the proposed agreement. In connection with the termination, the Company, Intuit and Elan agreed to release each other from all claims arising under or related to the terminated merger agreement. The Company also cancelled its previously adjourned special stockholders' meeting relating to the proposed acquisition, which was scheduled to reconvene on March 27, 2007. As of result of the termination of the merger agreement, the Company recorded approximately \$934,000 for the year ended September 30, 2007 of costs related to the merger.

OUR HISTORY

ECHO has been offering bankcard and check services for over 20 years. We were incorporated in Nevada in 1981 under the name Bio Recovery Technology, Inc. and changed our name to Electronic Clearing House, Inc. with the

acquisition of a credit card processing company, Electronic Financial Systems, Inc. in January 1986. In 1986, *ECHO* developed the capability, utilizing the Federal Reserve System's Automated Clearing House ("ACH"), a network that serves as a nationwide, wholesale electronic payment and collection system by way of transferring funds between banks via the Federal Reserve System, to deposit funds into any U.S. bank of the merchant's choice. This development made it possible for remote banks and processors to provide the same processing services previously available only through the merchant's local bank.

Table of Contents

In 1999, *ECHO* acquired Magic Software Development, Inc., a check processing company located in Albuquerque, New Mexico, that serviced National Check Network (“NCN”), an association of approximately 60 affiliated collection agencies across the nation. At the time, we provided a check guarantee service that only served California merchants, but with the addition of Magic's check processing capabilities, our check guarantee services have been offered on a national basis since 1999. In fiscal 2000, Magic's corporate name was changed to *XPRESSCHEX*.

In November 1999, we acquired Peak Collection Services, a collection agency in Albuquerque, New Mexico, and incorporated Peak into our *XPRESSCHEX* operations as our Collection Division in December of 1999. The *XPRESSCHEX* Collection Division conducts collections on a national basis. Having a fully integrated, nationally approved collection service allows *XPRESSCHEX* to operate as a central check clearing facility for NCN's collection agencies without each agency having to authorize such activity.

In January 2000, we acquired Rocky Mountain Retail Systems (“RMRS”) located in Boulder, Colorado, which provided a national check verification service to over 200 collection agencies across the nation. RMRS maintained a national check database of negative and positive check writer records.

In May 2001, we acquired the assets of National Check Network (“NCN”) and combined the NCN positive and negative check writer records with the RMRS database, resulting in a combined database of over 120 million check writer records which identify the positive and negative check writing activities occurring with individual check writers (including information related to accepted checks, bounced checks, and the frequency of delinquent transactions).

In May 2001, *ECHO* launched MerchantAmerica.com, a web-based source of financial information whereby an *ECHO* merchant can access their transactional history, bank information, significant business and office-related services and build an online store and accept payment in the form of credit cards or checks. The site also contains a National Merchant Directory that is free to any merchant in the United States. Additionally, it provides any merchant in the United States with the ability to edit and enhance their directory listing. While we believe that MerchantAmerica.com is a valuable and cost-effective resource for merchants, it provides us with a low-cost method of keeping our merchants informed and involved with us.

OUR SERVICES

Bankcard and Transaction Processing Services

Services

With our bankcard and transaction processing services, we provide payment solutions to merchants that allow them to accept credit and debit card payments from consumers. Our bankcard and transaction processing services include the following:

Debit and Credit Card Payment Processing

ECHO currently provides 24-hour daily payment processing, "800" number access to customer service personnel and, as needed, various field support services. Utilizing one of several methods of access to us, the merchants' systems connect to our host computers that are interfaced to all the major card authorizing centers, and receive credit card and debit card authorizations. At the end of each day, electronic files of authorized transactions are transmitted to the major credit card organizations. They withdraw the funds from the card issuing banks and deposit a lump sum for the day's processing activity into our processing bank on the following morning. Using a distribution file that we provide to them daily, our processing bank then distributes and deposits the individual merchant's funds into the bank account of the merchant's choice.

Other Payment Processing Services

We also provide various services related to our debit and credit card payment processing, including:

- Internet Processing – Utilizing our proprietary internet gateway or virtual terminal, *ECHO* allows merchants to accept both card and eCheck payment transactions in an online environment, providing immediate processing and near real-time web based reporting.

Table of Contents

- Batch File Processing – *ECHO* allows mail order, telephone order or direct marketing merchants to process and transmit payments by using Microsoft Excel®, Access® or any other program that can create a "flat file" of data. In this process, the merchant can visit the *ECHO* Merchant Center, log on through a secure gateway, and upload the file to *ECHO*'s processing center. The transactions are processed immediately, with reporting available almost immediately on each transaction.

Deriving Revenue

Bankcard and transaction processing services provide for the majority of our revenue. For the year ended September 30, 2007, bankcard and transaction processing accounted for approximately 81.4% of our revenue. Bankcard and transaction processing volume rose 11.2% in fiscal 2007, from \$1,775,063,000 in fiscal 2006 to \$1,973,189,000 in fiscal 2007, and revenue increased approximately 9.8%, from \$56,983,000 in fiscal 2006 to \$62,580,000 in fiscal 2007.

During the year ended September 30, 2007, one customer, Allegiant Travel Company ("Allegiant"), accounted for 10.1% of total revenue. No customer accounted for 10% or more of total revenue for the fiscal years 2006 and 2005. At September 30, 2007 and 2006, Allegiant also accounted for 19.0% and 12.2%, respectively, of the net accounts receivable balance.

ECHO's revenue for debit/credit card processing is derived primarily from three sources: the merchant's discount rate, the merchant's transaction fee and set monthly fees.

The discount rate is expressed as a percentage of the amount being processed and is deducted from the amount of each transaction submitted by the merchant, while the net amount is deposited into the merchant's bank account.

A transaction fee is charged for each transaction processed by *ECHO*, including MasterCard, Visa, American Express and Discover Card transactions.

Interchange, the discount rate and transaction fee charged by the card-issuing bank and paid each day when transactions are processed, normally constitutes 60% to 70% of the bankcard revenue. Other external costs, such as Visa and MasterCard dues and bank fees, increase external fees we pay to 67% to 75% of the total bankcard revenue.

Historically, *ECHO* has been effective in selling to merchants who operate in non-face-to-face, card not present environments, such as the Internet, mail order and telephone order types of businesses. Approximately 70% of our monthly credit card volume comes from these types of merchants. Our Agent Bank program brings retail, face-to-face, card present types of merchants to us so we intend to continue to promote this channel going forward. In the Technology Partner channel we see a good balance between both card present and card not present merchants. Our ability to effectively support this processing diversity should allow us to be more effective in attracting key technology companies as partners. Now that the Clearing module is installed and operational, we intend to broaden our marketing reach to actively pursue more retail types of business. Since there is more competition for this type of business, the price we charge to the merchant for our services will reflect lower margins than we have historically been accustomed to getting. While we intend to continue to pursue our historic higher margin merchant markets, the added scope of merchants we intend to pursue and the lower margins inherent in this market may compress our margins overall, depending upon how successful each channel is in bringing new merchant relationships.

Sponsorship

In order to engage in Visa and MasterCard processing, a cooperative relationship is required with a Visa/MasterCard Primary Member Bank that sponsors the merchants to accept Visa and MasterCard transactions. *ECHO*'s primary processing bank relationship is with First Regional Bank of Los Angeles, California. *ECHO* also maintains a secondary sponsoring relationship with National Bank of California, located in Los Angeles California. As a result of

these relationships, *ECHO* is a registered Independent Sales Organization and Merchant Service Provider with Visa and MasterCard, respectively, which allows us to solicit and support merchants utilizing our services. We have an agreement with First Regional Bank which continues our relationship through July 2010. Our relationship with National Bank of California extends to April 2012. Pursuant to the terms of these agreements, among other matters, we market and sell merchant services and the bank provides various support services in connection with individual transactions, in exchange for our payment to the bank, on a monthly basis, of a payment of \$0.01 to \$0.02 per transaction. The agreements do not allow either party to terminate other than for cause (as defined in the agreement) without incurring liability for breach of the agreement.

Table of Contents

Check-Related Products (or “Check Services”)

Services

With our check services, we provide various services to merchants and banks to allow them to accept and process check payments from consumers. Our check services include the following:

Check Verification

To facilitate the acceptance of a check, we will search NCN, our proprietary database of negative and positive check writer accounts, attempting to match a specific piece of information, such as a driver's license number or Magnetic Ink Character Recognition (“MICR”) number (the numeric data along the bottom of a check), provided by a merchant. A match may identify whether the check writer has a positive record or a negative record of check cashing activity in the past. Upon notification of this match (via a coded response from the provider), the merchant decides whether to accept or decline the check. Verification reduces the risk of accepting a bad check for the merchant; however, in providing this program alone, we typically offer no guarantee that the check will be honored by the check writer's bank and make no promise of reimbursement if the check is dishonored by the bank. Revenue from check verification is derived from fees collected from the merchants when a check is verified against our positive and negative check database. This revenue is recognized when the transaction is processed, since we have no further performance obligations.

The NCN database includes approximately 14 million negative check account records and 28 million positive records. Approximately 250 affiliated collection agencies continually contribute to the database to enrich its depth and value. Through its network of NCN members, *ECHO* can offer regional collection services and distribute collection items to one or more of a select group of NCN's member agencies to maximize a merchant's or bank's ability to collect amounts on a local level. NCN provides an ongoing revenue stream for *ECHO* as collection agencies, major national merchants, banks, other transaction processors, and thousands of small merchants access the NCN database daily to verify the status of a check writer in real time. Check verification has been recognized as one of the lowest cost and most effective ways for retailers to lower the risks and losses experienced in accepting checks as a form of payment. Our NCN database is one of only four known national databases that can serve this market need on a national scale. In addition to operating NCN, we provide a common platform where a business can also access other major negative check writer databases that are currently available in the nation.

Check Guarantee

With this service, if we approve a check transaction and the check is subsequently dishonored by the check writer's bank, the merchant is reimbursed by us and we must undertake any effort to collect the delinquent amount from the check writer.

The principal risk of providing this service is the risk of collecting the amount we guarantee from a delinquent or a fraudulent check writer whose check transaction was dishonored by his or her own bank. If we are unable to collect the dishonored check, we incur a bad debt expense. On average, we collect 60-70% of the amounts on those checks that become delinquent. Given the risks associated with check guarantee, especially for large volume merchants, we exercise strict risk parameters with the merchants to which this service is offered. We typically apply several risk management approaches with this service, which include searching NCN's database, and “scoring” each transaction. This includes several factors such as velocity (the number of times a check writer has been searched in a certain period of time), prior activity (historic negative or positive transactions with the check writer), check writer's presence in other databases (these national databases are selectively searched based upon the size of the check and the prior activity with the check writer), size of the check, and historic bad check activity by geographic and/or merchant specific locations, to name a few. If our scoring system concludes that the risk is too high, we issue a coded response instructing the merchant that we will not guarantee the check. If our scoring system results in a positive result, a coded response advises the merchant that we have guaranteed payment on that item.

Table of Contents

Electronic Check Conversion ("ECC")

Check conversion is the ability to convert a paper check to an electronic item at the point of sale. Under the program, the merchant slips a customer's completely filled-in check either through a check reader that reads the MICR line on the check or a check imager that records the total image of the face of the check and the merchant enters the amount of the check into the system. The merchant has the customer sign a receipt, much the same as in a credit card transaction, and gives a copy of the signed receipt along with the check to the customer. The electronic image, captured by the reader, is sent to our processing center and we settle the check transaction electronically. Merchants' customers like this new system because they get their check back immediately and still have a hard copy receipt of the transaction. Banks like ECC because no paper has to be handled by the bank to settle the transaction. While most national merchants already have MICR check-reading equipment, small merchants will adopt the system only if their check volume justifies the capital investment in equipment, ranging from \$125 to \$150 per MICR reader and \$300 to \$600 for an imager.

Check Re-Presentation

The Federal Reserve System's Automated Clearing House ("ACH") provides the tools to electronically present, re-present and settle funds between banks. Our check re-presentation program allows a merchant to advise its bank that a returned check should be sent to the *XPRESSCHEX* data processing center in Albuquerque, New Mexico, rather than returned to the merchant. Upon receipt, *XPRESSCHEX* converts the check to an electronic ACH transaction for resubmission through the ACH network and marks the check for possible collection activity, should it become necessary. One feature a merchant may choose is to time the re-presentation so as to coincide with a check writer's typical payday to better the odds of collection. Generally, the full face value of the check is returned to the merchant upon collection and a collection fee charged to the check writer, usually in the range of \$15 to \$25, is retained by *XPRESSCHEX* as payment for its services.

Internet Check (eCheck), Batch Check and Virtual Terminal

A check can be presented as a form of payment over the Internet and we support the multiple types of ACH entry classes. *XPRESSCHEX* allows an e-commerce site to accept a check as payment, allows a batch of check data to be sent electronically for processing (this is commonly used by mail order or phone order businesses) and allows both verification-only and ACH transactions to be submitted by merchants via a secure logon and pass-code connection over the Internet.

Visa POS Check Service Program ("Visa Program")

The Visa POS Check Program enables merchants to receive direct online authorization for checks written against consumer checking accounts, similar to the authorizations provided for debit card transactions. The Visa Program was offered as a pilot program by Visa to its member banks from December of 2000 to December of 2002 over which time several banks electronically connected their check writer data to the Visa network, making verification of the check writer's bank account balance possible when checks drawn on these select banks were processed. In December of 2002, the program was officially released out of pilot and more banks have been added each year to the Visa network. As of September 2007, a national merchant could expect connection to around 20%-30% of the nation's checking accounts from all locations and higher in some metropolitan locations

As described above, "check conversion" is the ability to convert a paper check to an electronic item at the point of sale. The Visa Program provides Visa member banks with a check conversion service that they can sell to their bank merchants. The Visa Program allows the merchant to get an immediate authorization or decline on a check while the check writer is at the checkout counter. If the check is approved, the service allows the merchant to immediately return the paper check to the check writer since the funds will be electronically withdrawn from the check writer's account and deposited into the merchant's account.

Being able to approve or decline a check in real time at the point of sale requires some method to verify the check writer has either an adequate balance in the bank to cover the check or, if that is not possible, to verify if the check written is in a negative check account database. In order to provide this check service on 100% of the checks received by a merchant, Visa needed a solution to approve or decline (and for those approved, electronically deposit) the checks that processed through the program on a bank that had not yet connected its check writer data to the Visa network. We are currently one of the few companies that provide this service to Visa as a Third-Party Processor. When a Visa member bank signs up to offer the Visa Program to its merchants, it chooses a Third-Party Processor from the certified providers.

Table of Contents

When Visa receives electronic check data from a merchant and the bank upon which the check is drawn has not connected its check writer data to the Visa network, Visa routes that check to the Third-Party Processor that was chosen by the merchant's bank when they set up the program. The Third-Party Processor authorizes or declines a check based upon the negative and positive data contained in several national check account databases that are commercially available and, for those transactions that are approved, the Third-Party Processor will electronically move the funds from the check writer's account to either the merchant bank's master clearing account or directly to the merchant's banking account (depending on the bank's desired settlement method) utilizing the ACH.

An Acquirer Processor in the Visa Program is the party who accepts transactions from the merchant's point-of-sale system and reformats them for submission to the Visa network. We act as an Acquirer Processor for several banks in the Visa Program.

We entered into a sponsorship agreement with our primary credit card processing bank, First Regional Bank, to enable us to sell the Visa Program directly to merchants with an obligation to pay a transaction fee per check to the bank. This allows the bank to realize added revenue, allows us to realize higher revenue in a marked-up pricing model, and a portion of the mark-up to be used to compensate and motivate resellers of our products and services to offer the Visa Program to merchants in the marketplace. The balance of the mark-up after paying the bank and the sales organization would be additional revenue to us. This will also enable us to use our direct sales channels to provide the Visa Program to *ECHO's* current and potential merchant base.

The Visa infrastructure requires *ECHO* to coordinate and integrate its services with several parties and systems. As part of the Visa Program, we have written, tested and installed special merchant terminal software that meets specified Visa Program requirements and certified our terminal and host response code with Vital Processing Services, a major provider of terminal services to many major banks. *ECHO* has also developed special add-on services and reporting for specific banks or select merchants that desired to participate in the Visa Program. Additionally, *ECHO* has designed and implemented several risk management tools that contribute to the significant reduction in net bad debt seen by retailers, making the Visa Program a true competitive alternative to guarantee services.

As a more mature product offering, we continue to see value in the Visa POS Program as a competitive differentiator. We will continue to leverage this unique capability throughout our direct and indirect sales channels, however, the market potential of this service is still unproven and its success is largely dependent on the continuing marketing support of Visa and Visa's member banks.

Deriving Revenue

For the year ended September 30, 2007, check services accounted for approximately 18.6% of our revenue. Revenue decreased approximately 22.0%, from \$18,328,000 in fiscal 2006 to \$14,304,000 in fiscal 2007. The decrease in check revenue primarily reflects the wind-down of the Company's Internet wallet business and the discontinuation of services to several merchant categories that management determined were carrying unacceptable levels of business or financial risk.

ECHO's revenue in check services can come from several sources. Typically, the merchant pays either a fixed fee for each transaction (verification, conversion, eCheck, etc.) or a fee based on the face amount of the check or both (check guarantee). In the Visa model, *ECHO* can receive transaction fees for providing Third-Party services to Visa banks, whereby *ECHO* assists them in processing checks from banks not participating in the Visa Program. In addition, *ECHO* may serve a Visa bank as a collector of the transaction data for the merchant and submitting such data to VisaNet, a process referred to as an Acquirer Processor. *ECHO* can also participate in the mark-up over cost that is charged to those merchants *ECHO* sells directly through its own primary sales channels. Additional revenue is earned if the merchant utilizes *ECHO's* collection services and it is primarily derived from the collection fee associated with successful collection of an item. If *ECHO* refers a collection item to an NCN member, a small participation in the

collection fee is returned to *ECHO* through agreement with the NCN member. Finally, when *ECHO* provides a guarantee service to a merchant directly or to a bank to offer to their merchants, it earns a percentage of every check processed from the merchant. *ECHO's* earnings in this case are directly tied to its success in collection and its risk management capability.

XPRESSCHEX collection revenue comes from two sources: service fees and percentage of the check collection agreements. When *XPRESSCHEX* is engaged by a merchant or a bank to immediately collect on returned checks that were converted from paper to an electronic item, *XPRESSCHEX* normally receives the service fee of \$15 to \$25 that is charged to the check writer, not the merchant. Some merchants also engage *XPRESSCHEX* in the active collection of paper checks that have bounced or in the active collection of secondary efforts after all initial attempts at collection have been exhausted by the merchant. In these cases, *XPRESSCHEX* negotiates a percentage of the check amount, ranging from 10% to 30%, depending on several factors.

Table of Contents

Sponsorship

In order to process electronic check transactions into the ACH network, a sponsoring relationship with a Federal Reserve member bank is required. *ECHO* currently maintains four such sponsoring relationships, First Regional Bank, Los Angeles, California, National Bank of California, Los Angeles, California, First Community Bank, Albuquerque, New Mexico and Regal Financial Bank, Seattle, Washington.

OUR CUSTOMERS

ECHO's primary customer focus is in the area of niche markets, in particular, markets that are not well understood by our larger competitors. Approximately 70% of *ECHO*'s revenues come from merchants who operate their business in non-face-to-face environments such as mail order, phone order and the internet. These relationships historically have higher margins than those seen with normal retail merchants because of the additional financial risk. *ECHO* has historically been very successful in managing the risk associated with the non-face-to-face merchant. We have specific merchant concentrations in the following areas;

Specialty Retail

Primarily driven by our unique product set in check services, we've been successful in gaining access to a number of markets within the retail merchant vertical, including grocery, convenience store and apparel.

Online Travel

As a result of one key relationship, *ECHO* has established a presence in the dynamic online travel segment. Our current business includes an online travel company selling travel packages to leisure destinations, both on a stand-alone basis (airfare only) and bundled with hotel rooms, rental cars and other travel related services.

Direct Marketing

ECHO has invested over 10 years in developing our reputation in the Direct Marketing vertical. Our business consists of a wide range of merchant groups, including seminars, direct sales and multi-level marketing organizations as well as number of web based product and service categories.

Cashing Services

ECHO has established an integrated processing relationship with the largest check cashing provider to the land-based gaming and casino market. Our services are primarily centered on providing check verification (using our NCN database), funds movement, collections and several sophisticated risk management services that are used to assist the provider in confidently accepting checks.

Specialty Finance

Specialty finance represents a diverse market. Our primary focus is in the area of warranty, insurance and subscription services where there are significant concentrations of recurring payments. Our warranty portfolio consists mainly of auto warranties and the companies that serve this market, including administrators, finance companies and sales agents. Our insurance business includes merchants selling auto, watercraft, homeowners, condominium, renters and umbrella products. Subscription Services include merchants selling health club memberships, daycare and internet services.

Small Merchant Enterprise (SME)

Through both internet based marketing initiatives as well as a number of key reseller relationships, *ECHO* has built a solid business supporting small merchants both in the internet and brick and mortar category.

OUR STRATEGY

Overview

In 2007, *ECHO* has refocused on its sales and service strategy which is to provide merchants, banks, technology partners and collection agencies with electronic payment services that combine credit card, debit card and electronic check and collection services with quality customer support. We believe this “All-In-One” offering represents a significant competitive differentiator for *ECHO*. *ECHO*'s services enable merchants to maximize revenue by offering a wide variety of payment options, minimize costs by dealing with one source and improve their bad debt collection rates through use of *ECHO*'s integrated collection and risk management services.

Table of Contents

As a niche processor, our strategy is to continue building upon the success of our core markets and focus our sales effort towards adjacent markets that offer high growth potential; that can drive processing volume and that have recurring or scheduled payments. We view the non-face-to-face environment as one of our largest growth opportunities. This segment includes both internet sites and recurring billing merchants. All of these merchants benefit from our ability to handle both a retail or online credit card or eCheck transaction quickly and securely.

Sales and Marketing

Our sales and marketing strategy is to pursue direct sales opportunities where there is a significant amount of card and check acceptance; to build processing relationships with certain providers of POS software/hardware that serve select merchant markets; to maximize cross-selling opportunities within our existing base of retail merchants and financial institutions; to sell integrated suites of check, credit and debit card processing services through small banks; and to pursue associations aggressively.

ECHO offers its payment services through three sales channels.

- *Direct Sales*— Direct sales personnel are dedicated to focused industries and/or services. We employ approximately 20 people who serve in either field or office positions that are dedicated to sales.
- *Channel Partnerships*— We have a well established base of channel partnerships. These include Banks, our network of nearly 250 NCN Collection Agencies, Independent Sales Agents and Associations. These relationships offer lower margins to us due to their participation in the overall revenue generated from the payment processing fees.
- *Technology Partnerships*— *ECHO* has launched a new channel focused on securing relationships with companies who provide technology solutions to our target merchant segments. This will be a new focus for the company in 2008.

Direct Sales

We currently employ approximately 20 direct sales executives. The field sales force is dispersed geographically throughout the United States and is tasked with securing processing relationships with merchants in our designated niche markets. We also maintain a telesales force within the corporate headquarters. This staff provides first line sales for all business generated through our various marketing initiatives, including web, mail and print. Additionally, the telesales team acts as a closing channel for all new business referred by our Channel and Technology partnerships.

Promote Merchant Payment Processing for Community Banks

ECHO pursues small regional and community banks for credit card and check payment programs that are characterized by having an asset base in the \$500 million range or less, and/or equity capital in the \$10 to \$50 million range. *ECHO* has developed a service that allows smaller banks to offer credit card and check processing services on a private-label basis using our back-end infrastructure with little or no technical involvement by the bank. Much of the reporting to the merchant utilizes the Internet as a delivery channel, an environment in which we have significant experience and knowledge. Due to the high fixed costs, most small banks are either unable or unwilling to compete with national banks in providing credit card and real time check processing services and Internet-based reporting tools to their merchants. We have designed the program to be adopted by a bank at little or no cost while it allows the bank to generate revenue and earnings in competition to those earned by much larger banks that have had to make major investments in the technology.

ECHO estimates that there are approximately 8,000 community banks in the United States. Based on third-party research, we estimate that approximately 57% of these banks offer payment solutions for their merchants. We believe these banks will be very responsive to the *ECHO* value proposition when a comparison of features and costs is reviewed.

Table of Contents

Along similar lines, we believe there are quality independent sales organizations, many of which are focused on select markets, where we can establish a viable and mutually profitable relationship wherein they sell our processing services.

Associations

There are over 8,000 associations and guilds in the United States and many of the 4.1 million merchants belong to one of these organizations. We believe our combination of services and our controlled cost structure will allow us to attract many of these organizations to actively refer their members to us for meeting their payment processing needs.

Technology Partners Providing POS Systems

We believe there are significant opportunities in working closely with those firms that specialize in certain industries and provide a point-of-sale (POS) capability to merchants of some nature. By integrating our processing with these parties, we believe we can leverage our sales activity and have longer term relationships with merchants than are historically the case for most processors. We also believe our full processing capability allows us to provide the POS system partner with some economic benefit from the processing volume of the users of its system.

OUR COMPETITION

Bankcard processing and check processing services are highly competitive industries and are characterized by consolidation, rapid technological change, rapid rates of product obsolescence and introductions of competitive products often at lower prices and/or with greater functionality than those currently on the market. Credit card and debit card processors have similar direct costs and therefore their products are becoming somewhat of a commodity product where a natural advantage accrues to the highest volume processors. To offset this fact, we have focused on marketing to niche markets where we can maintain the margins we deem necessary to operate profitably but no assurance can be given that this strategy will be successful in the future.

Of the top 50 credit card acquirers in the nation, the majority are independent sales organizations or banks that may manage the front-end authorization service but they outsource the back-end clearing and settlement services from a full service processor. There are probably 10 or fewer firms capable of full credit card processing and these would include First Data Corporation, Total Systems, NPC (Bank of America), Global Payments, Heartland Payments, Alliance Data Systems and RBS Lynk. We believe we hold the distinction of being the smallest public company who serves as a full service processor in credit cards and check services. All of our competitors have greater financial and marketing resources than us. As a result, they may be better able to respond more quickly to new or emerging technologies and changes in customer requirements. Competitors also may enjoy per transaction cost advantages due to their high processing volumes that may make it difficult for *ECHO* to compete.

There are a number of competitors in the check services industry, the largest of which are TeleCheck (the leading provider of conversion and guarantee services and a subsidiary of First Data Corporation), SCAN/eFunds (the largest verification provider in the nation), Certegy (purchased by Fidelity) and Global Payments. While all four have major national accounts, we have been successful in winning the processing relationships for national accounts when competing for such business against these parties. *ECHO* believes that it can effectively compete due to its ownership of the NCN database, its integrated set of check and collection services and the technological advantage of having been certified as both a Third-Party Processor and Acquirer Processor with the Visa POS Check Program.

We believe that being the smallest processor also has some advantages. There are many merchants who are sizable to us that the larger processors do not consider to be major merchants. We are finding that these merchants appreciate receiving preferential treatment from their processor. Also, our willingness to send top management into the field to meet regularly with our major merchants at their locations is a perceived distinction and we are using it as a merchant retention tool. While we understand that slightly lower costs can be generated by processing high volumes, we do not

think the economic advantages that high volume affords are enough to eliminate *ECHO* as an acceptable and competitive processor in most cases. Despite these potential advantages, we believe that our success will depend largely on our ability to continuously exceed expectations in terms of performance, service, and price, on our ability to develop new products and services, and on how well and how quickly we enhance our current products and introduce them into the market.

12

Table of Contents

OUR EMPLOYEES

As of September 30, 2007, we employed 239 individuals, 222 of whom were full time employees.

On December 11, 2007, we entered into amended and restated separation agreements with each of our principal executive officers (CEO and CFO) and each of our senior vice presidents whereby, in the event of a change in control of *ECHO* (as defined in each agreement) each such executive officer would be entitled, to the extent they remain employed by us at the time of such change in control, to the following: (i) an acceleration of vesting with respect to all stock option and restricted stock grants then outstanding and not yet vested, (ii) a portion of such executive's anticipated cash or sales commission-based bonus, as applicable, for the fiscal year in which the change in control occurred, and (iii) in the event that the executive is terminated without cause (as defined in each agreement), or ceases to provide services to us (or our successor) as a result of an involuntary termination (as defined in each agreement) within the two year period following the change in control, then the executive would be entitled to a one-time lump sum cash payment equal to a percentage of the executive's anticipated total compensation for the fiscal year in which the change in control occurred, plus continued medical benefits for a period of time following such termination. The amount of lump sum payout ranges from 1 ½ to 2 years of anticipated total compensation, and duration of continued medical benefits ranges between 1 ½ and 2 years depending on position held by the principal executive or senior vice president. These agreements amend and restate separation agreements previously entered into with each of these executives.

Further, on December 11, 2007, we entered into indemnification agreements with each of our principal executive officers, senior vice presidents and directors. Pursuant to the terms of the indemnification agreements, we have agreed to provide indemnification for, and the advancement of expenses to, our executive officers, senior vice presidents and directors, under certain circumstances and in accordance with Nevada law, all as more completely described within the agreements.

REPORTABLE SEGMENTS

Refer to Note 18 "Segment Information" in the accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for reportable segment information.

ITEM 1A. Risk Factors

Our business, and accordingly, your investment in our common stock, is subject to a number of risks. These risks could affect our operating results and liquidity. You should consider the following risk factors, among others, before investing in our common stock:

Risks Related To Our Business

We rely on cooperative relationships with, and sponsorship by, banks, the absence of which may affect our operations.

We currently rely on cooperative relationships with, and sponsorship by, banks in order to process our Visa, MasterCard and other bankcard transactions. We also rely on several banks for access to the Automated Clearing House ("ACH") for submission of both credit card and check settlements. Our banking relationships are currently with smaller banks (with assets of less than \$500,000,000). Even though smaller banks tend to be more susceptible to mergers or acquisitions and are therefore less stable, these banks find the programs we offer more attractive and we believe we cannot obtain similar relationships with larger banks at this time. A bank could at any time curtail or place restrictions on our processing volume because of its internal business policies or due to other adverse

circumstances. If a volume restriction is placed on us, it could materially adversely affect our business operations by restricting our ability to process credit card transactions and receive the related revenue. Our relationships with our customers and merchants would also be adversely affected by our inability to process these transactions.

We currently have two primary bankcard processing and sponsorship relationships, one with First Regional Bank in Los Angeles, California, and another one with National Bank of California in Los Angeles, California, entered into on April 12, 2007. Our agreement with First Regional Bank continues through July 2010 and our agreement with National Bank of California continues through April 2012. We also maintain several banking relationships for ACH processing. While we believe our current bank relationships are sound, we cannot assure that these banks will not restrict our increasing processing volume or that we will always be able to maintain these relationships or establish new banking relationships. Even if new banking relationships are available, they may not be on terms acceptable to us. With respect to First Regional Bank, while we believe their ability to terminate our relationship is cost-prohibitive, they may determine that the cost of terminating their agreement is less than the cost of continuing to perform in accordance with its terms, and may therefore determine to terminate their agreement prior to its expiration. Ultimately, our failure to maintain these banking relationships and sponsorships may have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

Table of Contents

Merchant fraud with respect to bankcard and ACH transactions could cause us to incur significant losses.

We significantly rely on the processing revenue derived from bankcard and ACH transactions. If any merchants were to submit or process unauthorized or fraudulent bankcard or ACH transactions, depending on the dollar amount, *ECHO* could incur significant losses which could have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations. *ECHO* assumes and compensates the sponsoring banks for bearing the risk of these types of transactions.

We have implemented systems and software for the electronic surveillance and monitoring of fraudulent bankcard and ACH use. As of September 30, 2007, we maintained a dedicated chargeback reserve of \$853,000 at our primary bank specifically earmarked for such activity. Additionally, through our sponsoring bank, as of September 30, 2007, we had access to approximately \$20.3 million in merchant deposits to cover any potential chargeback losses. Despite a long history of managing such risk, we cannot guarantee that these systems will prevent fraudulent transactions from being submitted and processed or that the funds set aside to address such activity will be adequate to cover all potential situations that might occur. We do not have insurance to protect us from these losses. There is no assurance that our chargeback reserve will be adequate to offset against any unauthorized or fraudulent processing losses that we may incur. Depending on the size of such losses, our results of operations could be immediately and materially adversely affected.

Excessive chargeback losses could significantly affect our results of operations and liquidity.

Our agreements with our sponsoring banks require us to assume and compensate the banks for bearing the risk of “chargeback” losses. Under the rules of Visa and MasterCard, when a merchant processor acquires card transactions, it has certain contingent liabilities for the transactions processed. This contingent liability arises in the event of a billing dispute between the merchant and a cardholder that is ultimately resolved in the cardholder’s favor. In such a case, the disputed transaction is charged back to the merchant and the disputed amount is credited or otherwise refunded to the cardholder. If we are unable to collect this amount from the merchant’s account, or if the merchant refuses or is unable to reimburse us for the chargeback due to merchant fraud, insolvency or other reasons, we will bear the loss for the amount of the refund paid to the cardholders.

A cardholder, through its issuing bank, generally has until the later of up to four months after the date a transaction is processed or the delivery of the product or service to present a chargeback to our sponsoring banks as the merchant processor. Therefore, management believes that the maximum potential exposure for the chargebacks would not exceed the total amount of transactions processed through Visa and MasterCard for the last four months and other unresolved chargebacks in the process of resolution. For the last four months through September 30, 2007, this potential exposure totaled approximately \$650 million. At September 30, 2007, we, through our sponsoring bank, had approximately \$75,000 of unresolved chargebacks that were in the process of resolution. At September 30, 2007, we, through our sponsoring bank, had access to \$20.3 million belonging to our merchants. This money has been deposited at the sponsoring bank by the merchants to cover any potential chargeback losses.

For the fiscal years ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, we processed approximately \$2.0 billion and \$1.8 billion, respectively, of Visa and MasterCard transactions, which resulted in \$11.1 million in gross chargeback activities for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2007 and \$9.8 million for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006. Substantially all of these chargebacks were recovered from the merchants.

Nevertheless, if we are unable to recover these chargeback amounts from merchants, having to pay the aggregate of any such amounts would significantly affect our results of operations and liquidity.

Table of Contents

Excessive check return activity could significantly affect our results of operations and liquidity

All check settlement funds are moved utilizing the Automated Clearing House (ACH) system of the Federal Reserve. Submission of an ACH request to withdraw funds may be refused by the receiving bank for a number of reasons, the two most common being the account did not have an adequate balance to honor the request or the account was closed. In each of these situations, we first look to the merchant account for the return of any funds deposited. In some situations, specific merchant reserves are set up in advance in order to honor all returns. In the event neither the merchant bank account nor a specific reserve is adequate to cover a return, we allow either of the processing banks to look to our reserve accounts to cover the return activity. In such cases, we then actively look to recover our advanced funds from the merchant, either from a subsequent day's processing activity or from one-time deposits requested of the merchant. In the event such recovery is not possible, we could suffer a loss on return ACH activity which could affect our results of operations and liquidity. The amount we considered uncollectible for fiscal 2007 was \$38,000.

Failure to participate in the Visa POS Check Service Program would cause us to significantly shift our operating and marketing strategy.

We have significantly increased our infrastructure, personnel and marketing strategy to focus on the potential growth of our check services through the Visa POS Check Service Program. We currently provide critical back-end infrastructure for the service, including our NCN database for verification and our access to the Federal Reserve System's Automated Clearing House for funds settlement and for checks written on bank accounts with banks not participating in the program.

Because we believe the market will continue to gain acceptance of the Visa POS Check Service Program, we have expended significant resources to market our check conversion services and verification services to our merchant base, to solidify our strategic relationships with the various financial institutions that have chosen us as their Acquirer Processor and Third-Party processor under the program, and to sell our other check products such as electronic check re-presentments and check guarantee to the Visa member banks. We have also increased our personnel to handle the increased volume of transactions arising directly from our participation in the program.

Our failure to adequately market our services through this relationship could materially affect our marketing strategy going forward. Additionally, if we fail to adequately grow our infrastructure to address increases in the volume of transactions, cease providing services as a Third-Party processor or Acquirer Processor or are otherwise removed or terminated from the Visa Program, this would require us to dramatically shift our current operating strategy.

Our inability to implement, and/or the inability of third-party software vendors to continue to support and provide maintenance services with respect to, the third-party vendors' products, could significantly affect our results of operations and financial condition.

We utilize various third-party software applications and depend on the providers of such software applications to provide support and maintenance services to us. In the event that a third-party software vendor fails to continue to support and maintain its software application, or fails to do so in a timely manner, this could significantly affect our results of operations and financial condition.

Our inability to ultimately implement, or a determination to cease the implementation of various of our software technology initiatives will significantly adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.

We have spent significant time and monetary resources implementing several software technologies, which resulted in significant cost being capitalized by us as non-current software assets. The implementation of these technologies will provide us with substantial operational advantages that would allow us to attract and retain larger merchants, as well

as the small and mid-market merchants that have been our target market. Management believes that the implementation of these software technologies, and the technologies themselves, continues to be in the best interests of, and the most viable alternative for, the Company. However, the inability to ultimately implement, or a determination to cease the implementation of these software technologies would cause these assets to become impaired, and the corresponding impairment would significantly adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.

15

Table of Contents

A significant amount of our bankcard processing revenue is dependent on approximately 100 merchant accounts, several of which are very large merchants. The loss of a substantial portion of these accounts would adversely affect our results of operations.

We depend on approximately 100 key merchant accounts for our organic growth and profitability. One merchant accounted for approximately 12.4% of our bankcard processing revenue during the year ended September 30, 2007. The loss of this account or the loss of merchants from this select group could adversely affect our results of operations.

The business activities of our merchants, third party independent sales organizations and/or third party processors could affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We provide direct and back-end bankcard and check processing and collection services (including check verification, conversion and guarantee services) to merchants across many industries. We provide these services directly and through third party independent sales organizations and third party processors. To the extent any of these merchants, independent sales organizations or third party processors conduct activities which are deemed illegal, whether as a result of the interpretation or re-interpretation of existing law by federal, state or other authorities, or as a result of newly introduced legislation, and/or to the extent these merchants, independent sales organizations or third party processors otherwise become involved in activities that incur civil liability from third parties, (including from federal, state or other authorities), those legal authorities and/or those third parties could attempt to pursue claims against us, including, without limitation, for aiding the activities of those merchants. Those legal authorities and/or those third parties could also pursue claims against us based on their interpretation or re-interpretation of existing law, based on their interpretation or re-interpretation of newly introduced legislation, and/or based on alternative causes of action that we can not predict. While we believe that the services we provide are not illegal, and while we believe that our services do not directly or indirectly aid in the activities of our merchants, independent sales organizations or third party processors, and while we have no intent to assist any such activities, of our merchants, independent sales organizations or third party processors (other than to provide general processing and collection services, or check verification, conversion and guarantee services in the case of check services, consistent with past practice), any claims by legal authorities or third parties would require us to expend financial and management resources to address and defend such claims. Additionally, the aggregate resolution of any such claims could require us to disgorge revenues or profits, pay damages or make other payments. The occurrence of any of the foregoing would have an adverse impact on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

As we have previously disclosed, several of our former merchants provided consumers access to “Internet wallets,” which subsequently permitted consumers to use funds in those “Internet wallets” to, among other transactions, participate in gaming activities over the Internet. In October 2006, the Unlawful Internet Gaming Enforcement Act was passed and signed into law. As a result of the passed legislation, several of our Internet wallet merchants, all of which used our check services, had a significant drop in processing activities during the quarter ended December 31, 2006 as we wound down the services we provided to them. During February 2007, we decided to cease processing and collection services for all Internet wallet customers. Our “Internet wallet” merchants comprised approximately 11.0% of our check revenue for the year ended September 30, 2007, of which no amounts were recorded after March 2007. On March 27, 2007, we entered into a Non-Prosecution Agreement pursuant to which the Office of the United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York agreed not to pursue actions against us and our subsidiaries for activities related to our provision of payment processing services to Internet wallets that provided services to online gaming websites during the period from January 2001 through and including the date of the signing of the Non-Prosecution Agreement. Pursuant to the terms of the Non-Prosecution Agreement, we agreed to pay estimated profits to the United States in the amount of a \$2,300,000 civil disgorgement settlement upon the execution of the Non-Prosecution Agreement, which represented our management’s estimate of our profits from processing and collection services provided to Internet wallets since 2001. We agreed to maintain a permanent restriction upon

providing automated clearing house services to any business entity providing Internet gambling services to customers in the United States, so long as the processing services and gambling services are illegal under the laws of the United States. Additionally, we agreed to, among other matters, cooperate fully and actively with the U.S. Attorney's Office, the Federal Bureau of Investigation, and with any other agency of the government designated by the U.S. Attorney's Office, and to not commit any violations of law. Our cooperation obligations will continue until the later of one year from the date of the signing of the Non-Prosecution Agreement or the date upon which all prosecutions arising out of the conduct described in the Non-Prosecution Agreement are final.

Table of Contents

Actions by federal, state or other authorities, or private third parties, could attempt to seize or otherwise attempt to take action against the reserve and settlement accounts we hold pursuant to our agreements with our merchants (to protect against returns and other losses we may incur), the loss of which could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operation.

We hold reserve and settlement accounts on behalf of our bankcard and check merchants to protect us against returns and other losses we may incur as a result of the merchant's activities. To the extent any of these merchants conduct activities which are deemed illegal, whether as a result of the interpretation or re-interpretation of existing law by federal, state or other authorities, or as a result of newly introduced legislation, and/or to the extent these merchants otherwise become involved in activities that incur civil liability from third parties (including from federal, state or other authorities), those federal, state or other authorities, and/or those private third parties, could attempt to seize or otherwise attempt to take action against the reserve and settlement accounts we hold pursuant to our agreements with those merchants. The loss or decrease of any of these reserve or settlement accounts could cause us to become directly responsible for returns and other losses, which could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operation.

New or amended legislation and/or regulations could significantly affect our business operations and the business operations of our merchants.

We provide direct and back-end bankcard and check processing and collection services (including check verification, conversion and guarantee services) to merchants across many industries. We provide these services directly and through third party independent sales organizations and third party processors. To the extent any of these industries, or our services within these industries, become subject to new legislation or regulations, or existing law or regulations are amended in a manner that affects our provision of services within these industries, this could significantly affect our business operations and the business operations of those merchants.

The business in which we compete is highly competitive and there is no assurance that our current products and services will stay competitive or that we will be able to introduce new products and services to compete successfully.

We are in the business of processing payment transactions and designing and implementing integrated systems for our customers so that they can better use our services. This business is highly competitive and is characterized by rapid technological change, rapid rates of product obsolescence, and rapid rates of new product introduction. Our market share is relatively small as compared to most of our competitors and most of these competitors have substantially more financial and marketing resources to run their businesses. While we believe our small size provides us the ability to move quickly in some areas, our competitors' greater resources enable them to investigate and embrace new and emerging technologies, to quickly respond to changes in customers' needs, and to devote more resources to product and services development and marketing. We may face increased competition in the future and there is no assurance that current or new competition will allow us to keep our customers. If we lose customers, our business operations may be materially adversely affected, which could cause us to cease our business or curtail our business to a point where we are no longer able to generate sufficient revenue to fund operations. There is no assurance that our current products and services will stay competitive with those of our competitors or that we will be able to introduce new products and services to compete successfully in the future.

If we are unable to process significantly increased volume activity, this could affect our operations and we could lose our competitive position.

We have built transaction processing systems for check verification, check conversion, ACH processing, and bankcard processing activities. While current estimates regarding increased volume are within the capabilities of each system,

it is possible that a significant increase in volume in one of the markets would exceed a specific system's capabilities. To minimize this risk, we have redesigned and upgraded our check related processing systems and previously purchased a high end system to process bankcard activity. No assurance can be given that it would be able to handle a significant increase in volume or that the operational enhancements and improvements will be completed in time to avoid such a situation. In the event we are unable to process increases in volume, this could significantly adversely affect our banking relationships, our merchant customers and our overall competitive position, and could potentially result in violations of service level agreements which would require us to pay penalty fees to the other parties to those agreements. Losses of such relationships, or the requirement to pay penalties, may severely impact our results of operations and financial condition.

Table of Contents

We incur financial risk from our check guarantee service.

The check guarantee business is essentially a risk management business. Any limitation of a risk management system could result in financial obligations being incurred by *ECHO* relative to our check guarantee activity. While *ECHO* has provided check guarantee services for several years, there can be no assurance that our current risk management systems are adequate to assure against any financial loss relating to check guarantee. *ECHO* is enhancing its current risk management systems and it is being conservative with reference to the type of merchants to which it offers guarantee services in order to minimize this risk but no assurance can be given that such measures will be adequate. During the year ended September 30, 2007, we incurred \$348,000 in losses from uncollected guaranteed checks.

Security breaches could impact our continued operations, our results of operations and liquidity.

We process confidential financial information and maintain several levels of security to protect this data. Security includes hand and card-based identification systems at our data center locations that restrict access to the specific facilities, various employee monitoring and access restriction policies, and various firewall and network management methodologies that restrict unauthorized access through the Internet. With the exception of one incident in December 2006, these systems have worked effectively in the past. The Company continues to strengthen its security processes and systems but there can be no assurance that they will continue to operate without a security breach in the future. Depending upon the nature of the breach, the consequences of security breaches could be significant and dramatic to *ECHO*'s continued operations, results of operations and liquidity.

The industry in which we operate involves rapidly changing technology and our failure to improve our products and services or to offer new products and services could cause us to lose customers.

Our business industry involves rapidly changing technology. Recently, we have observed rapid changes in technology as evidenced by the Internet and Internet-related services and applications, new and better software, and faster computers and modems. As technology changes, *ECHO*'s customers desire and expect better products and services. Our success depends on our ability to improve our existing products and services and to develop and market new products and services. The costs and expenses associated with such an effort could be significant to us. There is no assurance that we will be able to find the funds necessary to keep up with new technology or that if such funds are available that we can successfully improve our existing products and services or successfully develop new products and services. Our failure to provide improved products and services to our customers or any delay in providing such products and services could cause us to lose customers to our competitors. Loss of customers could have a material adverse effect on *ECHO*.

Our inability to protect or defend our trade secrets and other intellectual property could hurt our business.

We have expended a considerable amount of time and money to develop information systems for our merchants. We regard these information systems as trade secrets that are extremely important to our payment processing operations. We rely on trade secret protection and confidentiality and/or license agreements with employees, customers, partners and others to protect this intellectual property and have not otherwise taken steps to obtain additional intellectual property protection or other protection on these information systems. We cannot be certain that we have taken adequate steps to protect our intellectual property. In addition, our third-party confidentiality agreements can be breached and, if they are, there may not be an adequate remedy available to us. If our trade secrets become known, we may lose our competitive position, including the loss of our merchant and bank customers. Such a loss could severely impact our results of operations and financial condition.

Additionally, while we believe that the technology underlying our information systems does not infringe upon the rights of any third parties, there is no assurance that third parties will not bring infringement claims against us. We also have the right to use the technology of others through various license agreements. If a third party claimed our activities and/or these licenses were infringing their technology, while we may have some protection from our third-party licensors, we could face additional infringement claims or otherwise be obligated to stop utilizing intellectual property critical to our technology infrastructure. If we are not able to implement other technology to substitute the intellectual property underlying a claim, our business operations could be severely effected. Additionally, infringement claims would require us to incur significant defense costs and expenses and, to the extent we are unsuccessful in defending these claims, could cause us to pay monetary damages to the person or entity making the claim. Continuously having to defend such claims or otherwise making monetary damages payments could materially adversely affect our results of operations.

Table of Contents

If we do not continue to invest in research and development, and/or otherwise improve our technology platforms, we could lose our competitive position.

Because technology in the payment processing industry evolves rapidly, we need to continue to invest in research and development in both the bankcard processing business segment and the check-related products segment in order to remain competitive. This includes investments in our technology platforms to permit them to process higher transaction volumes, to transition some of these technologies to more commonly used platforms, to permit us to process foreign currency transactions, and to expand our point-of-sale connection capability for our bankcard processing services. Research and development expenses increased from \$1,539,000 in fiscal 2006 to \$2,134,000 in fiscal 2007. Most of our development project costs were capitalized once we entered into coding and testing phases. We continue to evaluate projects, which we believe will assist us in our efforts to stay competitive. Although we believe that our investment in these projects will ultimately increase earnings, there is no assurance as to when or if these new products will show profitability or if we will ever be able to recover the costs invested in these projects. Additionally, if we fail to commit adequate resources to grow our technology on pace with market growth, we could quickly lose our competitive position, including the loss of our merchant and bank customers.

Failure to obtain additional funds can impact our operations and future growth.

We use funds generated from operations, as well as funds obtained through credit facilities and equity financing, to finance our operations. As a result of the cash flow generated from operations, we believe we have sufficient cash to support our business activities, including research, development and marketing costs. However, future growth may depend on our ability to continue to raise additional funds, either through operations, bank borrowings, or equity or debt financings. There is no assurance that we will be able to continue to raise the funds necessary to finance growth or continue to generate the funds necessary to finance operations, and even if such funds are available, that the terms will be acceptable to us. The inability to generate the necessary funds from operations or from third parties in the future may require us to scale back our research, development and growth opportunities, which could harm our overall operations.

While we maintain insurance protection against claims related to our services, there is no assurance that such protection will be adequate to cover potential claims and our inability to otherwise pay such claims could harm our business.

We maintain errors and omissions insurance for the services we provide. While we believe the limit on our errors and omissions insurance policy is adequate and consistent with industry practice, if claims are brought by our customers or other third parties, we could be required to pay the required claim or make significant expenditures to defend against such claims in amounts that exceed our current insurance coverage. There is no assurance that we will have the money to pay potential plaintiffs for such claims if they arise beyond the amounts insured by us. Making these payments could have a material adverse effect on our business.

Involvement in litigation could harm our business.

We are involved in various lawsuits arising in the ordinary course of business. Although we believe that the claims asserted in such lawsuits are without merit, the cost to us for the fees and expenses to defend such lawsuits could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flow. In addition, there can be no assurance that we will not at some time in the future experience significant liability in connection with such claims. During 2007, we spent approximately \$1,701,000 in legal fees, of which \$874,000 related to our Internet wallet settlement and \$475,000 related to our failed merger with Intuit.

Table of Contents

Our inability to recover from natural disasters could harm our business.

We currently maintain two data centers: one in Camarillo, California, and one in Albuquerque, New Mexico (co-location facility). Should a natural disaster occur in any of the locations, it is possible that we would not be able to fully recover full functionality at one of our data centers. To minimize this risk, we centralized our data processing functionality in Camarillo during fiscal 2005 and completed a full back-up site in Albuquerque in October of 2006. Despite such contingent capabilities, it is possible a natural disaster could limit or completely disable a specific service offered by *ECHO* until such time that the specific location could resume its functionality. Our inability to provide such service could have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

Increases in the costs and requirements of technical compliance could harm our business.

The services which we offer require significant technical compliance. This includes compliance to both Visa and MasterCard regulations and association rules, NACHA guidelines and regulations with regard to the Federal Reserve System's Automated Clearing House and check related issues, and various banking requirements and regulations. We have personnel dedicated to monitoring our compliance to the specific industries we serve and when possible, we are moving the technical compliance responsibility to other parties. As the compliance issues become more defined in each industry, the costs and requirements associated with that compliance may present a risk to *ECHO*. These costs could be in the form of additional hardware, software or technical expertise that we must acquire and/or maintain. Additionally, burdensome or unclear requirements could increase the cost of compliance. While *ECHO* currently has these costs under control, we have no control over those entities that set the compliance requirements so no assurance can be given that we will always be able to underwrite the costs of compliance in each industry wherein we compete.

Risks Associated With Our Common Stock

If we need to sell or issue additional shares of common stock or assume additional debt to finance future growth, our stockholders' ownership could be diluted or our earnings could be adversely impacted.

Our business strategy may include expansion through internal growth, by acquiring complementary businesses or by establishing strategic relationships with targeted customers and suppliers. In order to do so, or to fund our other activities, we may issue additional equity securities that could dilute our stockholders' stock ownership. We may also assume additional debt and incur impairment losses related to goodwill and other tangible assets if we acquire another company and this could negatively impact our results of operations. As of the date of this report, management has no plan to raise additional capital through the sale of securities and believes that our cash flow from operations together with cash on hand will be sufficient to meet our working capital and other commitments.

We have adopted a number of anti-takeover measures that may depress the price of our common stock.

Our rights agreement, our ability to issue additional shares of preferred stock and some provisions of our articles of incorporation and bylaws could make it more difficult for a third party to make an unsolicited takeover attempt of us. These anti-takeover measures may depress the price of our common stock by making it more difficult for third parties to acquire us by offering to purchase shares of our stock at a premium to its market price.

Our stock price has been volatile.

Our common stock is quoted on the NASDAQ Capital Market, and there can be substantial volatility in the market price of our common stock. Over the course of the quarter ended September 30, 2007, the market price of our common stock has been as high as \$14.90, and as low as \$8.40. Additionally, over the course of the year ended September 30, 2007, the market price of our common stock was as high as \$18.73 and as low as \$8.40. The market

price of our common stock has been, and is likely to continue to be, subject to significant fluctuations due to a variety of factors, including quarterly variations in operating results, operating results which vary from the expectations of securities analysts and investors, changes in financial estimates, changes in market valuations of competitors, announcements by us or our competitors of a material nature, loss of one or more customers, additions or departures of key personnel, future sales of common stock and stock market price and volume fluctuations. In addition, general political and economic conditions such as a recession, or interest rate or currency rate fluctuations may adversely affect the market price of our common stock.

Table of Contents

We have not paid and do not currently plan to pay dividends, and you must look to price appreciation alone for any return on your investment.

Some investors favor companies that pay dividends, particularly in general downturns in the stock market. We have not declared or paid any cash dividends on our common stock. We currently intend to retain any future earnings for funding growth, and we do not currently anticipate paying cash dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future. Because we may not pay dividends, your return on this investment likely depends on your selling our stock at a profit.

ITEM 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

ITEM 2. Properties

In October 2003, we signed a five-year lease for 21,566 square feet of a 40,000 square foot building in Camarillo, California. Our principal executive offices, including customer support, data center and other operational departments were moved to this Camarillo location. We signed an addendum to the Camarillo lease in July 2004 and added 11,103 square feet to the lease. We currently occupy 32,669 square feet of the Camarillo building. The lease payment for this facility as of September 2007 was \$38,000 per month.

We have additional real property leases in Albuquerque, New Mexico; Lakewood, Colorado; Provo, Utah; and South Jordan, Utah for sales, data center and other operations, under various agreements, which expired at various times during 2007. The total of these lease payments are approximately \$18,000 per month. Subsequent to September 30, 2007, we entered into new leases at new locations for our Albuquerque, New Mexico and Lakewood, Colorado facilities.

ITEM 3. Legal Proceedings

We are involved in various lawsuits arising in the ordinary course of business. Based upon current information, management, after consultation with legal counsel, believes the ultimate disposition of these lawsuits will have no material effect upon either our results of operations, financial position, or cash flows.

ITEM 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

Reference is made to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2007. There were no such matters during the quarter ended September 30, 2007.

Table of Contents**PART II****ITEM 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities**

Since January 17, 1986, we have been trading on the over-the-counter market under the name Electronic Clearing House, Inc. On October 2, 1989, we were accepted for listing on the National Association of Securities Dealers Automated Quotation System ("NASDAQ") and trade under the symbol of "ECHO" on the NASDAQ Capital Market. The following table sets forth the range of high and low closing prices for each quarter for our common stock during the fiscal periods indicated, as reported on the NASDAQ Capital Market.

FISCAL YEAR ENDED			
<u>SEPTEMBER 30</u>	<u>High</u>		<u>Low</u>
<u>2007</u>			
First Quarter	\$ 18.49	\$	10.97
Second Quarter	\$ 18.73	\$	11.14
Third Quarter	\$ 14.50	\$	11.28
Fourth Quarter	\$ 14.90	\$	8.40
<u>2006</u>			
First Quarter	\$ 11.00	\$	9.00
Second Quarter	\$ 13.66	\$	10.01
Third Quarter	\$ 18.19	\$	12.51
Fourth Quarter	\$ 18.08	\$	13.16

The prices set forth above are not necessarily indicative of liquidity of the trading market. Trading in our common stock is limited and sporadic, as indicated by the average monthly trading volume of approximately 1,149,000 shares for the period from October 2006 to September 2007. On November 30, 2007, the closing representative price per share of our common stock, as reported on the NASDAQ Capital Market, was \$10.01.

Holders of Common Stock

As of November 30, 2007, there were 786 record holders and 1,966 beneficial holders of our common stock, with shares outstanding. The number of holders of record is based on the actual number of holders registered on the books of our transfer agent and does not reflect holders of shares in "street name" or persons, partnerships, associations, corporations or other entities identified in security position listings maintained by depository trust companies.

Dividend Policy

We have not paid any dividends in the past and have no current plan to pay any dividends. We intend to devote all funds to the operation of our businesses.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following table sets forth information concerning our equity compensation plans as of September 30, 2007.

Plan Category	(a) Number of
---------------	---------------

	securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options	(b) Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options	(c) Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation (excluding securities reflected in column (a))
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders ^[1]	767,925	\$ 5.94	74,324

^[1]Plan represents the Officers and Key Employees Incentive Stock Option Plan, which expired in May 2002, and our 2003 Incentive Stock Option Plan.

Table of Contents**Purchases of Equity Securities**

We have not repurchased, nor has any affiliated purchaser repurchased, shares of our common stock during fiscal 2007.

PERFORMANCE GRAPH

The following graph shows a five-year comparison of the total cumulative returns of investing \$100 on September 30, 2002, in Electronic Clearing House, Inc. (“ECHO”) Common Stock, the NASDAQ-Composite Index, and the NASDAQ-Finance Index. The NASDAQ-Composite Index represents a broad market group in which we participate. The NASDAQ-Finance Index was chosen as having a representative peer group of companies for the 2007 Form 10K and includes Electronic Clearing House, Inc. All comparisons of stock price performance shown assume the reinvestment of dividends, although we have not historically paid any dividends on shares of our Common Stock.

	Measurement Point					
	Sep-02	Sep-03	Sep-04	Sep-05	Sep-06	Sep-07
ECHO	\$ 100.00	\$ 586.67	\$ 733.33	\$ 770.83	\$ 1,504.17	\$ 900.00
NASDAQ - Composite	\$ 100.00	\$ 152.35	\$ 161.85	\$ 184.73	\$ 194.81	\$ 230.57
NASDAQ - Finance	\$ 100.00	\$ 124.59	\$ 147.07	\$ 161.79	\$ 182.31	\$ 183.99

Table of Contents**ITEM 6.****Selected Financial Data**

The following table sets forth certain selected consolidated financial data, which should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations included in Items 7 and 8 below. The following data, insofar as it relates to each of the five years ended September 30, has been derived from our annual financial statements, including the consolidated balance sheets at September 30, 2007 and 2006, and the related consolidated statements of operations and of cash flows for the three years ended September 30, 2007, 2006 and 2005, and notes thereto appearing elsewhere herein.

Effective October 1, 2005, we began recording compensation expense associated with stock options in accordance with SFAS No. 123(R), Share-Based Payment. We have adopted the modified prospective transition method provided under SFAS No. 123(R), and as a result, have not retroactively adjusted results from prior periods. Under this transition method, compensation expense associated with stock options recognized in fiscal year 2006 and 2007 includes expense related to the remaining unvested portion of all stock option awards granted prior to October 1, 2005, based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the original provisions of SFAS No. 123. See Note 1 and Note 12 to the financial statements for more information.

Year Ended September 30
2007 2006 2005 2004 2003
(---- Amounts in thousands, except per share ----)

Statement of Operations Data:

Revenue	\$ 76,884	\$ 75,311	\$ 55,551	\$ 48,320	\$ 41,149
Costs and expenses	81,996	71,157	53,872	44,863	38,724
(Loss) income from operations	(5,112)	4,154	1,679	3,457	2,425
Interest income (expense), net	422	197	23	(104)	(172)
Gain on sale of assets	-0-	-0-	-0-	1,319	-0-
(Loss) income before income tax (provision) benefit and cumulative effect of an accounting change	(4,690)	4,351	1,702	4,672	2,253
Benefit (provision) for income taxes	2,309	(2,034)	(669)	(1,823)	(925)
(Loss) income before cumulative effect of an accounting change	(2,381)	2,317	1,033	2,849	1,328
Cumulative effect of an accounting change to adopt SFAS 142 ^[1]	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	(4,707)
Net (loss) income	(2,381)	\$ 2,317	\$ 1,033	\$ 2,849	\$ (3,379)
(Loss) earnings per share-basic	\$ (0.35)	\$ 0.35	\$ 0.16	\$ 0.45	\$ (0.58)
(Loss) earnings per share-diluted	\$ (0.35)	\$ 0.33	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.41	\$ (0.56)
Weighted average number of common shares and equivalents outstanding-basic	6,747	6,614	6,485	6,312	5,812
Weighted average number of common shares and equivalents outstanding-diluted	6,747	7,005	6,939	6,900	6,024

Table of Contents**Balance Sheet Data:**

Working capital	\$	10,120	\$	12,542	\$	8,037	\$	8,004	\$	3,201
Current assets		21,940		41,893		29,207		29,869		9,619
Total assets		35,134		55,007		40,714		39,374		18,748
Current liabilities		11,820		29,351		21,170		21,865		6,418
Long-term debt, and payables to stockholders and related parties, less current portion		834		448		705		704		1,961
Total stockholders' equity	\$	22,480	\$	22,286	\$	17,772	\$	16,240	\$	10,369

^[1]The Company completed the transitional impairment testing required by SFAS No. 142 in the first quarter of fiscal 2003 and determined that its goodwill was fully impaired and a \$4.7 million goodwill write-off was recognized.

Table of Contents

ITEM 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

OVERVIEW

Electronic Clearing House, Inc. is an electronic payment processor that provides for the payment processing needs of merchants, banks and collection agencies. We derive the majority of our revenue from two main business segments, bankcard and transaction processing services (“bankcard services”), whereby we provide solutions to merchants and banks to allow them to accept credit and debit card payments from consumers, and check-related products (“check services”), whereby we provide various services to merchants and banks to allow them to accept and process check payments from consumers. The principal services we offer within these two segments include, with respect to our bankcard services, debit and credit card processing, and with respect to our check services, check guarantee, check verification, electronic check conversion, check re-presentment, and check collection.

We organize our service offerings under the following brand names:

- MerchantAmerica: *ECHO*’s retail provider of payment processing services to both merchant and bank markets;
- National Check Network (“NCN”): for check verification, check conversion capture services and for membership to collection agencies;
- *XPRESSCHEX*: for check collection services; and
- *ECHO*: for wholesale credit card and check processing services.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

Management’s discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations is based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of these financial statements requires management to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On an on-going basis, management evaluates its estimates, including those related to revenue recognition, deferred taxes, reserve for doubtful accounts, capitalization of software costs, contingencies and litigation. Management bases its estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates.

Management applies the following critical accounting policies in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements:

- Revenue Recognition Policy. We earn revenue from services which include the following: debit and credit card processing, check verification, ACH services, check conversion, check re-presentment, check collection, and check guarantee. All of these services are performed pursuant to a contract with customers which states the terms and fixed price for all contracted services. The price of a service may be a fixed fee for each transaction and/or a percentage of the transaction processed, depending on the service. We generally collect our fee and recognize revenue at the time we process the transaction and, accordingly, collectibility of the service fee is reasonably assured.

Revenue from debit and credit card (collectively called bankcards) and transaction processing revenue is based on a percentage of the transaction value, commonly referred to as a discount fee on a credit and debit card transaction processed by us. In addition, there is a per transaction fee associated with each bankcard transaction which is charged to the merchant. We recognize the processing and transaction revenue when the services are performed.

Revenue from check verification is derived from fees collected from the merchants when a check is verified against our own positive and negative check database and other check databases that we use. This revenue is recognized when

the transaction is processed, since we have no further performance obligations.

Revenue from check conversion is derived from fees collected from merchants to convert the paper check received by merchants into an ACH transaction, which allows us to settle the transaction electronically for the merchant. We recognize the revenue related to check conversion fees when the services are performed.

26

Table of Contents

Revenue from check re-presentation is derived from fees charged to check writers. Check re-presentation is a service that allows merchants to collect a paper check through the ACH network after a check has previously been presented to the bank for collection unsuccessfully at least once. The fees earned from the check writer are recognized when collected, as collectibility is not reasonably assured until that point.

Revenue from check guarantee is derived from a percentage of the gross amount of the check and guarantees payment of the check to the merchant in the event the check is not honored by the check writer's bank. Merchants typically present customer checks for processing on a regular basis and, therefore, dishonored checks are generally identified within a few days of the date the checks are guaranteed by us. We recognize revenue when the checks are processed at the point of sale. At the time the guarantee revenue is recognized, we provide a reserve for estimated guarantee losses based upon our historical loss experience. In the event the check is dishonored, we have the right to collect the full amount of the check from the check writer. We establish a receivable from the delinquent check writer for the full amount of the guaranteed check. The check guarantee service also earns revenue based on fees collected from delinquent check writers, which collection fee is recognized when collected, as collectibility is not reasonably assured until that point.

Revenue from check collection is derived from collection activities performed on behalf of a merchant on uncollected checks. The merchants usually keep the face amount of the uncollected checks if the collection effort is successful. Our revenue is derived from the collection fee collected from the check writer. If we refer the collection item to another collection agency, we will receive a fee from the collection agency upon its successful efforts. Collection fee revenue is recognized when collected, as collectibility is not reasonably assured until that point.

- **Deferred Taxes.** Deferred taxes are determined based on the differences between the financial statement and tax bases of assets and liabilities, using enacted tax rates in effect for the year in which the differences are expected to reverse. Valuation allowances are established when necessary to reduce deferred tax assets to the amounts expected to be realized. In assessing the need for a valuation allowance, management considers estimates of future taxable income and ongoing prudent and feasible tax planning strategies.

- **Chargeback Losses.** Chargeback losses occur when a credit card holder presents a valid claim against one of our merchants and the merchant has insufficient funds or is no longer in business resulting in the charge being absorbed by us. We record a receivable for those chargebacks for which the merchant is liable but has not made payment. We record a provision for estimated chargeback losses at the time bankcard transactions are processed. A reserve is estimated based upon a historically-determined percentage of gross credit card processing volume and actual losses experienced.

- **Research and Development Expense.** Expenditures for activities relating to product development and improvement are charged to expense as incurred. Such expenditures amounted to \$2,134,000 in fiscal year 2007 and \$1,539,000 in fiscal year 2006.

- **Capitalization of Software Costs.** The costs of purchased and internally developed software used to provide services to customers or for the process of internal administration are capitalized and amortized on a straight-line basis over the lesser of three years or estimated useful life. Under the provisions of Statement of Position 98-1, "Accounting for the Costs of Computer Software Developed or Obtained for Internal Use," we capitalize software costs when both the preliminary project stage is completed and management has authorized further funding for the completion of the project. Capitalization of costs ceases when the project is substantially complete and the software is ready for its intended use. Software developed or obtained for internal use is tested for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that its carrying amount may not be recoverable.

- Stock-Based Compensation. Effective October 1, 2005, we began recording compensation expense associated with stock options in accordance with SFAS No. 123(R), Share-Based Payment. Estimates include risk-free interest rate, expected volatility, expected dividend yield, expected forfeiture rate, and expected stock option life. Prior to October 1, 2005, we accounted for stock-based compensation related to stock options under the recognition and measurement principles of Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25; therefore, we measured compensation expense for our stock option plan using the intrinsic value method, that is, as the excess, if any, of the fair market value of our stock at the grant date over the amount required to be paid to acquire the stock, and provided the disclosures required by SFAS Nos. 123 and 148. We have adopted the modified prospective transition method provided under SFAS No. 123(R), and as a result, have not retroactively adjusted results from prior periods. Under this transition method, compensation expense associated with stock options recognized in fiscal year 2006 and 2007 includes expense related to the remaining unvested portion of all stock option awards granted prior to October 1, 2005, based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the original provisions of SFAS No. 123. We have not issued any stock options since the adoption of SFAS No. 123(R).

Table of Contents

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Fiscal years 2007 and 2006

Financial highlights for fiscal 2007 as compared to fiscal 2006 were as follows:

- Total revenue increased 2.1% to \$76.9 million
- Gross margin from processing and transaction revenue decreased to 29.6% from 33.5%
- Operating income decreased from \$4.2 million to an operating loss of \$5.1 million
- Diluted loss per share was \$0.35 as compared to diluted earnings of \$0.33 per share
- Bankcard and transaction processing revenue increased by 9.8% to \$62.6 million
- Bankcard processing volume increased 11.2% to \$2.0 billion
- Check-related revenue decreased by 22.0% to \$14.3 million
- ACH processing volume decreased 27.7% to 27.5 million transactions

REVENUE. Total revenue increased 2.1% to \$76,884,000 for fiscal 2007, from \$75,311,000 for fiscal 2006. The increase was primarily attributable to 9.8% growth in bankcard and transaction processing revenue offset by the 22.0% decline in check services revenue year-over-year. The bankcard and transaction processing revenue growth has occurred organically from our existing merchants and from our marketing initiatives during the year. The decrease in check revenue primarily reflects the wind-down of the Company's Internet wallet business and the discontinuation of services to several merchant categories that management determined were carrying unacceptable levels of business or financial risk.

The bankcard and transaction processing revenue increase was mainly attributable to an 11.2% increase in bankcard processing volume as compared to fiscal 2006. This increase was the result of our organic growth and the growth from our various marketing channels such as the Agent Bank program.

The check-related processing revenue decrease was attributable to a 27.7% decrease in ACH processing volume. The decrease in ACH revenue was mainly due to the Internet wallet wind-down described above and as a result of declining check usage overall.

COST OF SALES. Bankcard processing expenses are directly related to the changes in processing revenue. A majority of our bankcard processing expenses are fixed costs and are reflected as a percentage of the total face amount of each bankcard transaction, and the remaining costs are based on a fixed rate applied to the number of transactions processed. Processing-related expenses, consisting of bankcard processing expense and transaction and check processing expense, increased 8.2% for the year, from \$50,072,000 in fiscal 2006 to \$54,158,000 in fiscal 2007. The increase was primarily due to the 9.8% increase in bankcard and transaction processing revenue for the year, and a \$911,000 increase in amortization expense, offset by the 22.0% decrease in check-related revenue.

Table of Contents

Total gross margin from processing and transaction services decreased from 33.5% in fiscal 2006 to 29.6% in fiscal 2007. Gross margin from bankcard and transaction processing increased from 25.0% in fiscal 2006 to 25.2% in fiscal 2007. The increase in gross margin was primarily related to the Company clearing its own processed transactions as opposed to outsourcing this function until January 2007. This was offset by several high volume merchants who negotiated lower than average discount rates due to the size of their accounts. Additionally, gross margin from check processing decreased from 60.1% in fiscal 2006 to 48.5% in fiscal 2007. The check services gross margin decrease was mainly attributable to the decrease in Internet wallet transactions described above, which typically yields higher margins.

EXPENSE. Other operating costs such as personnel costs, telephone, depreciation expenses and outside services increased 13.0%, from \$5,775,000 in fiscal 2006 to \$6,523,000 in fiscal 2007. This was primarily due to an increase in salaries of 12.4% from \$3,539,000 in fiscal 2006 to \$3,979,000 for fiscal 2007.

Research and development expenses increased 38.7%, from \$1,539,000 in fiscal 2006 to \$2,134,000 in fiscal 2007. Research and development initiatives are critical for us to remain competitive with our peers. Several major development projects were completed during fiscal year 2006 and early 2007. However, given the rapid change in technology in our industry, we plan to continue to invest in product development in both the bankcard processing business segment and the check-related products segment in order to stay ahead of our competitors.

Selling, general and administrative (SG&A) expenses increased 15.4% from \$12,162,000 in fiscal 2006 to \$14,036,000 in fiscal 2007. This increase was primarily attributable to: 1) an \$814,000 increase in salaries and bonus due to the increase in employees to support the Company's growth and the executive compensation expense approved by the Board of Directors for 2007; and 2) a \$753,000 increase in professional fees, of which \$426,000 related to the investigation, customer notification and administration of a security incident. As a percentage of total revenue, SG&A expenses increased from 16.1% in fiscal 2006 to 18.3% in fiscal 2007.

Legal Settlements and Fees - On March 27, 2007, we entered into a Non-Prosecution Agreement pursuant to which the Office of the United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York agreed not to pursue actions against us or our subsidiaries for activities related to its provision of payment processing services to Internet wallets that provided services to online gaming websites during the period from January 2001 through and including the date of the signing of the Non-Prosecution Agreement. Pursuant to the terms of the Non-Prosecution Agreement, we agreed to pay estimated profits to the United States in the amount of a \$2,300,000 civil disgorgement settlement upon the execution of the Non-Prosecution Agreement, which represented management's estimate of our profits from processing and collection services provided to Internet wallets since 2001. Also included is approximately \$880,000 for legal fees and expenses related to the negotiation and resolution of the Non-Prosecution Agreement. For the year ended September 30, 2006, we settled a patent related lawsuit for \$600,000 and also incurred \$661,000 of related legal expenses.

Merger Related Costs - On March 26, 2007, we mutually agreed with Intuit and Elan Acquisition Corporation, a Nevada corporation and wholly owned subsidiary of Intuit ("Elan"), to terminate the Agreement and Plan of Merger previously entered into on December 14, 2006. The Company incurred approximately \$934,000 of legal, professional and other fees and expenses related to the merger for the year ended September 30, 2007.

Severance Costs - The Company recorded \$1,031,000 as a result of its retirement and separation agreement with the former Chairman and Chief Executive Officer Joel M. Barry. Such costs included cash compensation, accelerated vesting of certain equity-based awards and other non-monetary benefits.

OPERATING INCOME. Operating income decreased from \$4,154,000 in fiscal 2006 to an operating loss of \$5,112,000 in fiscal 2007, a decrease of 223.1%. The decrease in operating income was primarily attributable to the

2.1% increase in revenue offset by the 3.9% decrease in the gross margin percentage, the increased selling, general and administrative expenses and non-recurring expenses described above.

INTEREST INCOME AND EXPENSE. Interest expense decreased to \$66,000 for fiscal 2007 from \$92,000 for fiscal 2006. The decrease was due to the full repayment of one note and some capital leases during fiscal 2006, offset by a new equipment financing entered into in July 2007. Interest income increased from \$289,000 in fiscal 2006 to \$488,000 in fiscal 2007 due to higher cash balances on hand throughout fiscal year 2007 and those balances earning higher rates as compared to 2006.

Table of Contents

EFFECTIVE TAX RATE. The income tax benefit was \$2,309,000 for fiscal 2007 as compared to an income tax provision of \$2,034,000 for fiscal 2006. Our effective tax rate was a benefit of 49.2% for fiscal 2007, as compared to a provision of 46.7% for fiscal 2006. The difference was primarily due to the Company having a loss before income taxes for the year ended September 30, 2007 as compared to income before taxes for the corresponding prior year period. The Company also, based upon a study conducted during the year ended September 30, 2007, recorded certain research and development tax credits for fiscal years ended September 30, 2003 through 2007. These tax credits resulted in an income tax benefit of \$787,000. See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere herein for a further explanation of the income tax expense and a reconciliation of reported income taxes to the amount utilizing the statutory rate.

NET INCOME. Net loss for fiscal 2007 was \$2,381,000, as compared to net income of \$2,317,000 for fiscal 2006. This decrease was primarily attributable to the factors described above.

SEGMENT RESULTS

Bankcard and Transaction Processing. For the year ended September 30, 2007, bankcard processing and transaction revenue accounted for approximately 81.4% of our revenue, compared to 75.7% in fiscal 2006. Bankcard processing and transaction revenue increased 9.8%, from \$56,983,000 in fiscal 2006 to \$62,580,000 in fiscal 2007. This increase was mainly attributable to an 11.2% increase in bankcard processing volume as compared to fiscal 2006. We continue to increase our bankcard processing business primarily through organic growth and various sales and marketing programs.

In fiscal 2007, the bankcard and transaction processing segment generated operating income of \$9,236,000, or 14.8% of the related revenue, as compared to \$8,495,000, or 14.9% of the related revenue in fiscal 2006. This increase in operating income was primarily due to the increase in revenue offset by SG&A expense.

In fiscal 2003, we purchased a fully integrated, multi-modular bankcard processing system from Oasis Technologies for approximately \$1.6 million which included three payment processing modules: Clearing, Merchant Accounting System (MAS) and Switch. We incurred an additional \$2.6 million of internal development costs thus far in implementing this system. The implementation of this system will give us greater flexibility to price our credit card processing services, allowing us to attract and retain larger merchants as well as the small and mid-market merchants that have been our target market. Integration of the Clearing module was finalized in September 2006 and all merchants were moved to the platform in January 2007.

Check Related Products. Check-related revenue decreased from \$18,328,000 for fiscal 2006 to \$14,304,000 for fiscal 2007, a decrease of 22.0%. Check verification revenue decreased 10.6% to \$3,805,000 for fiscal 2007 from \$4,258,000 for fiscal 2006. Check conversion, ACH services and check re-presentment revenue decreased 36.0% to \$6,303,000 for fiscal 2007, from \$9,842,000 for fiscal 2006. Additionally, check collection revenue decreased 0.7%, from \$3,879,000 in fiscal 2006 to \$3,850,000 in fiscal 2007. The decrease in check revenue primarily reflects the wind-down of the Company's Internet wallet business and the discontinuation of services to several merchant categories that management determined were carrying unacceptable levels of business or financial risk. Total ACH transactions processed during fiscal 2007 were 27.5 million transactions, as compared to 38.0 million transactions processed in fiscal 2006, a decrease of 27.7%.

Check services revenue made up 18.6% of the total processing and transaction revenue for fiscal 2007 as compared to 24.3% of the total processing and transaction revenue for fiscal 2006. Check-related operating loss was \$1,116,000 in fiscal 2007, as compared to operating income of \$4,384,000 in fiscal 2006, a decrease of 125.5%. The decrease in operating income was mainly due to the 22.0% decrease in check-related revenue due to the factors previously described.

Table of Contents

Fiscal years 2006 and 2005

Financial highlights for fiscal 2006 as compared to fiscal 2005 were as follows:

- Total revenue increased 35.6% to \$75.3 million
- Gross margin from processing and transaction revenue decreased to 33.5% from 35.4%
- Operating income increased from \$1.7 million to \$4.2 million
- Diluted earnings per share were \$0.33 as compared to \$0.15 per share
- Bankcard and transaction processing revenue increased by 38.7% to \$57.0 million
- Bankcard processing volume increased 49.6% to \$1.8 billion
- Check-related revenue increased by 26.8% to \$18.3 million
- ACH processing volume increased 18.3% to 38.0 million transactions

REVENUE. Total revenue increased 35.6% to \$75,311,000 for fiscal 2006, from \$55,551,000 for fiscal 2005. The increase was primarily attributable to 38.7% growth in bankcard and transaction processing revenue and 26.8% growth in check services revenue year-over-year. This growth has occurred organically from our existing merchants and from our marketing initiatives during the year.

The bankcard and transaction processing revenue increase was mainly attributable to a 49.6% increase in bankcard processing volume as compared to fiscal 2005. This increase was the result of our organic growth and the growth from our various marketing channels such as the Agent Bank program.

The check-related processing revenue increase was attributable to a 19.3% increase in ACH services revenue and an 85.0% increase in check collection revenue. The high growth in ACH revenue was mainly due to Internet wallet funding activities and increases in overall ACH activities.

COST OF SALES. Bankcard processing expenses are directly related to the changes in processing revenue. A majority of our bankcard processing expenses are fixed costs and are reflected as a percentage of the total face amount of each bankcard transaction, and the remaining costs are based on a fixed rate applied to the number of transactions processed. Processing-related expenses, consisting of bankcard processing expense and transaction and check processing expense, increased 39.6% for the year, from \$35,867,000 in fiscal 2005 to \$50,072,000 in fiscal 2006. The increase was primarily due to the 35.6% increase in total revenue for the year, and a \$750,000 increase in amortization expense.

Total gross margin from processing and transaction services decreased from 35.4% in fiscal 2005 to 33.5% in fiscal 2006. Gross margin from bankcard and transaction processing decreased from 27.5% in fiscal 2005 to 25.0% in fiscal 2006. The decrease in gross margin was primarily attributable to several high volume merchants who negotiated lower than average discount rates due to the size of their accounts. Additionally, gross margin from check processing increased from 58.0% in fiscal 2005 to 60.1% in fiscal 2006. The check services gross margin increase was mainly attributable to an increase in Internet wallet transactions, which yields higher margins.

EXPENSE. Other operating costs such as personnel costs, telephone, depreciation expenses and outside services increased 2.2%, from \$5,653,000 in fiscal 2005 to \$5,775,000 in fiscal 2006. This was primarily due to the increases to support the 35.6% revenue growth in fiscal 2006.

Research and development expenses decreased 4.4%, from \$1,609,000 in fiscal 2005 to \$1,539,000 in fiscal 2006. Research and development initiatives are critical for us to remain competitive with our peers. Several major development projects were completed during fiscal year 2006. However, given the rapid change in technology in our industry, we plan to continue to invest in product development in both the bankcard processing business segment and the check-related products segment in order to stay ahead of our competitors.

Table of Contents

Selling, general and administrative (SG&A) expenses increased 36.0% from \$8,944,000 in fiscal 2005 to \$12,162,000 in fiscal 2006. This increase was primarily attributable to: 1) a \$1,234,000 increase in salaries, bonus and payroll taxes due to the increase in employees to support the Company's growth and the executive compensation expense approved by the Board of Directors for 2006, as well as an increase in bonus compensation; 2) a \$1,357,000 increase in stock compensation expense as a result of the implementation of SFAS No. 123(R) starting this fiscal year, including \$204,000 of additional stock compensation expense to correct certain prior year stock option errors of which \$177,000 related to prior periods (see Note 15); and 3) a \$648,000 increase in professional fees mainly related to Sarbanes-Oxley ("SOX") expenses. As a percentage of total revenue, SG&A expenses remained consistent at 16.1% in fiscal 2005 and fiscal 2006.

Legal Settlements and Fees – During 2006, we settled a patent related lawsuit for \$600,000 and also incurred \$661,000 of related legal expenses. During 2005, we settled a lawsuit for \$450,000 and also incurred \$1,349,000 of related legal expenses.

Merger Related Costs - On March 26, 2007, we mutually agreed with Intuit and Elan Acquisition Corporation, a Nevada corporation and wholly owned subsidiary of Intuit ("Elan"), to terminate the Agreement and Plan of Merger previously entered into on December 14, 2006. The Company incurred approximately \$348,000 of legal, professional and other fees and expenses related to the merger for the year ended September 30, 2006.

OPERATING INCOME. Operating income increased from \$1,679,000 in fiscal 2005 to \$4,154,000 in fiscal 2006, an increase of 147.4%. The increase in operating income was primarily attributable to the 35.6% increase in revenue offset by the 1.9% decrease in gross margin and the increased selling, general and administrative expenses described above.

INTEREST INCOME AND EXPENSE. Interest expense decreased to \$92,000 for fiscal 2006 from \$113,000 for fiscal 2005. The decrease was due to the full repayment of one note and some capital leases during fiscal 2006. Interest income increased from \$136,000 in fiscal 2005 to \$289,000 in fiscal 2006 due to higher cash balances on hand throughout fiscal year 2006 and those balances earning higher rates as compared to 2005.

EFFECTIVE TAX RATE. The income tax provision was \$2,034,000 for fiscal 2006 as compared to \$669,000 for fiscal 2005. Our effective tax rate was 46.7% for fiscal 2006, as compared to 39.3% for fiscal 2005. The increase was primarily due to non-deductible stock compensation expense related to the adoption of SFAS No. 123(R) in 2006. See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere herein for a further explanation of the income tax expense and a reconciliation of reported income taxes to the amount utilizing the statutory rate.

NET INCOME. Net income for fiscal 2006 was \$2,317,000, as compared to \$1,033,000 for fiscal 2005. This increase was primarily attributable to the increase in revenues.

SEGMENT RESULTS

Bankcard and Transaction Processing. For the year ended September 30, 2006, bankcard processing and transaction revenue accounted for approximately 75.7% of our revenue, compared to 74.0% in fiscal 2005. Bankcard processing and transaction revenue increased 38.7%, from \$41,093,000 in fiscal 2005 to \$56,983,000 in fiscal 2006. This increase was mainly attributable to a 49.6% increase in bankcard processing volume as compared to fiscal 2005. We continue to increase our bankcard processing business primarily through organic growth and various sales and marketing programs.

In fiscal 2006, the bankcard and transaction processing segment generated operating income of \$8,495,000, or 14.9% of the related revenue, as compared to \$5,829,000, or 14.2% of the related revenue in fiscal 2005. This increase in operating income was primarily due to the increase in revenue offset by lower gross margin and SG&A expense.

We purchased a fully integrated, multi-modular bankcard processing system from Oasis Technologies for approximately \$1.6 million which included three payment processing modules: Clearing, Merchant Accounting System (MAS) and Switch. We have incurred an additional \$2.4 million of internal development costs thus far in implementing this system. The implementation of this system will give us greater flexibility to price our credit card processing services, allowing us to attract and retain larger merchants as well as the small and mid-market merchants that have been our target market. Integration of the Clearing module was in its final testing phase in September 2006 and select merchants were moved to the platform in October 2006. Full deployment of the total base is expected to occur by December of 2006.

32

Table of Contents

Check Related Products. Check-related revenue increased from \$14,458,000 for fiscal 2005 to \$18,328,000 for fiscal 2006, an increase of 26.8%. Check verification revenue increased 16.8% to \$4,258,000 for fiscal 2006 from \$3,645,000 for fiscal 2005. Check conversion, ACH services and check re-presentment revenue increased 19.3% to \$9,842,000 for fiscal 2006, from \$8,251,000 for fiscal 2005. Additionally, check collection revenue increased 85.0%, from \$2,096,000 in fiscal 2005 to \$3,879,000 in fiscal 2006. The high growth in ACH revenue was due to Internet wallet activities and the overall increase in the ACH and conversion product. Total ACH transactions processed during fiscal 2006 were 38.0 million transactions, as compared to 32.1 million transactions processed in fiscal 2005, an increase of 18.3%.

Check services revenue made up 24.3% of the total processing and transaction revenue for fiscal 2006 as compared to 26.0% of the total processing and transaction revenue for fiscal 2005. Check-related operating income was \$4,384,000 in fiscal 2006, as compared to operating income of \$2,204,000 in fiscal 2005, an increase of 98.9%. The increase in operating income was mainly due to the 26.8% increase in check-related revenue.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

As of September 30, 2007, we had available cash and cash equivalents of \$10,752,000, restricted cash of \$1,168,000 in reserve with our primary processing banks and working capital of \$10,120,000.

Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts, increased to \$3,322,000 at September 30, 2007 from \$2,914,000 at the end of fiscal 2006. Allowance for doubtful accounts, which reflects chargeback losses decreased to \$321,000 at the end of fiscal 2007 from \$392,000 at September 30, 2006. The increase in accounts receivable was due to the higher processing revenue generated in fiscal 2007 and therefore higher month-end balances. In addition, the decrease in allowance for doubtful accounts was mainly due to the write-off of receivables from certain merchants.

Net cash provided by operating activities for the year ended September 30, 2007 was \$2,489,000, as compared to net cash provided by operating activities of \$9,649,000 for fiscal 2006. The \$7,160,000 decrease in cash from operations was primarily attributable to the \$4,698,000 decrease in net income between fiscal 2007 and 2006, and a decrease of \$2,841,000 in deferred income taxes.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$4,906,000 for fiscal 2007 as compared to \$5,197,000 for the fiscal 2006. During fiscal 2007, we purchased less equipment and incurred less capitalized software costs as compared to fiscal 2006.

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$1,565,000 for the current fiscal year as compared to \$420,000 for fiscal year 2006. During fiscal 2007, we paid off \$344,000 in notes payable and capitalized leases. During fiscal 2007, we received \$838,000 from the exercise of employee stock options and \$932,000 from a new equipment loan.

During 2006, we utilized the remainder of our previous net operating loss carryforwards for federal and state tax purposes. The Company, based upon a study conducted during the year ended September 30, 2007, recorded certain research and development credits for fiscal years ended September 30, 2003 through 2007. These research and development credits resulted in an income tax benefit of \$0.8 million. We expect our effective income tax rate to be approximately 40% in 2008, and do not expect to make tax cash payments until the research and development credits and net operating loss carryforwards are fully utilized.

As of September 2007, we had a \$3,000,000 working capital credit line with Bank of the West which has yet to be utilized. We were in compliance with our amended debt covenants at September 30, 2007.

Table of Contents

At September, 2007, we had the following contractual obligations and cash commitments:

Payments Due By Period

<u>Contractual Obligations</u>	Total	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	3-5 years	More Than 5 years
Long-term debt including interest	\$ 1,463,000	\$ 542,000	\$ 695,000	\$ 226,000	\$ -0-
Capital lease obligations	34,000	34,000	-0-	-0-	-0-
Operating leases	499,000	499,000	-0-	-0-	-0-
Minimum vendor commitments	250,000	250,000	-0-	-0-	-0-
Total contractual cash obligations	\$ 2,246,000	\$ 1,325,000	\$ 695,000	\$ 226,000	\$ -0-

Our primary source of liquidity is expected to be cash flow generated from operations and cash and cash equivalents currently on hand. Management believes that our cash flow from operations together with cash on hand and our established line of credit with Bank of the West will be sufficient to meet our working capital and other commitments for a period of at least twelve months.

OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

At September 30, 2007, we did not have any off-balance sheet arrangements.

NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, “*Fair Value Measurement*” (SFAS No. 157). SFAS No. 157 provides guidance for using fair value to measure assets and liabilities. The standard expands required disclosures about the extent to which companies measure assets and liabilities at fair value, the information used to measure fair value, and the effect of fair value measurements on earnings. SFAS No. 157 applies whenever other standards require (or permit) assets or liabilities to be measured at fair value. SFAS No. 157 does not expand the use of fair value in any new circumstances. SFAS No. 157 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and interim periods within those fiscal years. We are currently evaluating the impact of adopting SFAS No. 157 on our consolidated financial statements.

In 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, “*The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities-including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115*”(“SFAS No. 159”). SFAS No. 159 permits entities to measure at fair value many financial instruments and certain other items that are not currently required to be measured at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses related to these financial instruments reported in earnings at each subsequent reporting date. SFAS 159 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. We are currently evaluating the impact of adopting SFAS 159 on our consolidated financial statements.

In 2006, the SEC issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 108 (SAB No. 108) “*Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements when Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements*” which addresses quantifying the financial statement effects of misstatements, specifically, how the effects of prior year uncorrected errors must be considered in quantifying misstatements in the current year financial statements. SAB No. 108 is effective for fiscal years ending after November 15, 2006. We adopted the provisions of SAB No. 108 as of October 1, 2006, and it did not have a material impact to our financial condition or results of operations.

In 2006, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 48, “*Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes— an interpretation of FASB Statement 109*” (FIN 48). This interpretation clarifies the accounting for uncertain taxes recognized in a company’s financial statements in accordance with SFAS No. 109, “Accounting for Income Taxes.” The interpretation requires us to analyze the amount at which each tax position meets a “more likely than not” standard for sustainability upon examination by taxing authorities. Only tax benefit amounts meeting or exceeding this standard will be reflected in tax provision expense and deferred tax asset balances. The interpretation also requires that any differences between the amounts of tax benefits reported on tax returns and tax benefits reported in the financial statements be recorded in a liability for unrecognized tax benefits. The liability for unrecognized tax benefits is reported separately from deferred tax assets and liabilities and classified as current or noncurrent based upon the expected period of payment. Additional disclosure in the footnotes to the audited financial statements will be required concerning the income tax liability for unrecognized tax benefits, any interest and penalties related to taxes that are included in the financial statements, and open statutes of limitations for examination by major tax jurisdictions. FIN 48 is effective for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2006 and any cumulative effect of adopting FIN 48 will be recorded as a change in accounting principle in the financial statements for the three months ending December 31, 2007. We do not expect the impact of FIN 48 to be material to our consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

In 2006, the Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) reached a consensus on EITF 06-3, “*How Taxes Collected From Customers and Remitted to Government Authorities Should Be Presented in the Income Statement (That is, Gross Versus Net Presentation)*” EITF 06-3 discussed the correct accounting treatment of taxes charged to a customer but collected and remitted by a reporting entity. We currently report our revenue net of any sales tax collected on our Consolidated Statement of Operations.

ITEM 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

We are currently not exposed to any significant financial market risks from changes in foreign currency exchange rates or changes in interest rates and do not use derivative financial instruments. All of our revenue and capital spending is transacted in U.S. dollars. However, in the future, we may enter into transactions in other currencies. An adverse change in exchange rates would result in a decline in income before taxes, assuming that each exchange rate would change in the same direction relative to the U.S. dollar. In addition to the direct effects of changes in exchange rates, such changes typically affect the volume of sales or foreign currency sales price as competitors’ products become more or less attractive.

Our exposure to interest rate risk is very limited. A hypothetical 1% interest rate change would have no impact on our results of operations.

ITEM 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

The Financial Statements and Supplementary Data are listed under "Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statements, and Financial Statement Schedules".

ITEM 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

None.

ITEM 9A. Controls and Procedures

Conclusion Regarding the Effectiveness of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As of September 30, 2007, the end of the period covered by this report, under the supervision and with the participation of management, including our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, we have evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934). Based upon that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer have concluded that as of September 30, 2007, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

Management’s Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

The management of *ECHO* is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Internal control over financial reporting is defined in Rule 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the Company's principal executive and principal financial officers and effected by the Company's board of directors, management and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and includes those policies and procedures that:

Table of Contents

- (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the Company;
- (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the Company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the Company; and
- (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the Company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risks that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Our management assessed the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2007. In making this assessment, our management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in Internal Control-Integrated Framework.

Based on our assessment, management believes that, as of September 30, 2007, the Company's internal control over financial reporting is effective.

Our effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2007 and 2006 has been audited by BDO Seidman, LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report which appears herein.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

During the quarter ended September 30, 2007, there was no change in our internal control over financial reporting that materially affects, or that is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 9B.

Other Information

None.

36

Table of Contents**PART III****ITEM 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance**

The executive officers and directors of *ECHO* are:

Name	Position	Date first became Officer or Director
Charles J. Harris	Director, Chief Executive Officer	2005
William Wied	Chief Information Officer	2006
Alice L. Cheung	Chief Financial Officer, Treasurer	1996
Karl Asplund	Senior Vice President	2006
Sharat Shankar	Senior Vice President	2003
Patricia M. Williams	Senior Vice President	1997
Jack Wilson	Senior Vice President	1994
Kris Winckler	Senior Vice President	1999
Steve Hoofring	Senior Vice President	2003
Rick Slater	Chief Technology Officer, Vice President	1998
Herbert L. Lucas, Jr.	Director	1991
A r i s t i d e s W . Georgantas	Director	1999
Richard D. Field	Director	2004
Jerry McElhatton	Director	2007
Keith Hall	Director	2007

CHARLES J. HARRIS, age 45, joined *ECHO* in September 2005 as President, Chief Operating Officer and a Director to serve on the Board of Directors of *ECHO*. In July 2007, he was appointed Chief Executive Officer when the then-current CEO retired. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Harris served in executive, operational and sales leadership positions at prominent organizations including Paymentech and Electronic Data Systems. His last position

was as President of Merchant Link, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Paymentech, which supplies electronic payment technologies and outsourced services to the point-of-sale market.

WILLIAM WIED, age 39, joined *ECHO* in January 2006 as the Company's Chief Information Officer. Prior to joining *ECHO*, Mr. Wied was the Director of Software Technology for TransCore LP/Roper Industries, Scottsdale, Arizona. Prior to that, he served as Vice President of Engineering for CarrierPoint, Inc., a division of the TransCore LP group of companies. Mr. Wied's background includes responsibility in the areas of design, development and deployment of enterprise software solutions in a number of different industries including semiconductor, telecommunications, retail and transportation logistics. Mr. Wied resigned from the company on October 31, 2007.

Table of Contents

ALICE L. CHEUNG, age 50, has served as Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer since July 1996. Ms. Cheung became a Certified Public Accountant in May 1982. From February 1988 to January 1996, Ms. Cheung was the Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer of American Mobile Systems (AMS). AMS merged with Nextel Communications, Inc. in 1995. Ms. Cheung is an active member of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and Financial Executive Institute.

KARL ASPLUND, age 46, joined *ECHO* in May 2006 in the newly established position of Senior Vice President of Sales. Before joining *ECHO*, Mr. Asplund was the Senior Vice President of Genpass Technologies in Irving, Texas. Prior to that, he served as Group Manager of Business Development for First USA/Paymentech and was the Founder and President of Merchant Card Management Systems.

SHARAT SHANKAR, age 37, joined *ECHO* in June 2003 as Vice President Risk Management and Business Intelligence and in December 2003, he was promoted to Senior Vice President. In April 2005, Mr. Shankar was appointed to hold the position of General Manager Check Services. In January 2006, he was given the additional responsibility of building and leading the strategic partnership group focused on growth through mutually beneficial alliances. Prior to joining *ECHO*, Mr. Shankar worked at TeleCheck for approximately eight years where he held a variety of positions leading up to Vice President of Risk Management. Prior to TeleCheck, Mr. Shankar held positions at MetLife as well as Hong Kong and Shanghai Bank, Madras, India.

PATRICIA M. WILLIAMS, age 42, joined *ECHO* in September 1996, serving as Director of Program Management. Ms. Williams was appointed Vice President Corporate Program Management in October 1997 and Vice President Check Services in October 2001. In June of 2003, Ms. Williams was appointed to the position of Vice President Sales and Marketing and in December 2003, was promoted to Senior Vice President. In April 2005 Ms. Williams was appointed to hold the position of General Manager of Credit Card Services. Prior to joining *ECHO*, Ms. Williams was an Operations Manager for Bank of America Systems Engineering in San Francisco. Ms. Williams has also served as a Senior Program manager for the Los Angeles office of LANSystems, Inc., a nationwide systems integrator as well as a Senior Project Manager and Systems Engineer for Bank of America Systems Engineering in Los Angeles.

JACK WILSON, age 63, has served as Vice President of Merchant Services since June 1994 and was Director of Bankcard Relations for *ECHO* from October 1992 until May 1994. In December 2003, he was promoted to Senior Vice President Merchant Services and in April 2005, he was appointed to the position of Senior Vice President Credit Card Services. Mr. Wilson served as Vice President for Truckee River Bank from August 1989 until September 1992. Previously, he was Senior Vice President/Cashier of Sunrise Bancorp and a Vice President of First Interstate Bank.

KRIS WINCKLER, age 42, joined *ECHO* in April, 1999, as Vice President of *ECHO*'s *XPRESSCHEX* subsidiary. In December 2003, he was promoted to Senior Vice President Product and Strategic Planning and in April 2005, he was appointed to the position of Senior Vice President Check Services. Prior to joining *ECHO*, Mr. Winckler was a consultant at Andersen Consulting and the President of Magic Software, a company specializing in check verification, conversion, and ACH software. Mr. Winckler has been active in the check and collection industry for over ten years and has been a member of the Electronic Check Council of NACHA since 1998.

RICK SLATER, age 46, joined *ECHO* in May 1995 as Vice President of Computer Based Controls, Inc. ("CBC"). Mr. Slater was appointed President of CBC in December 1995, Vice President of *ECHO* in November 1998 and Chief Technology Officer in October 1999, focusing on security, regulatory, process improvement, and strategic technical planning. Prior to joining *ECHO*, Mr. Slater was President of Slater Research, which provided contract engineering services to various institutions. During this time, Mr. Slater directly participated in the U.S. Coast Guard COMSTA upgrade project including site surveys, systems design and system upgrade integration in a number of sites within the

U.S. Prior to this position, Mr. Slater served as a group leader at Aiken Advanced Systems.

STEVE HOOFRING, age 47, joined *ECHO* in October 2001 as Implementation Manager for the Check Services group and was appointed Vice President Visa POS Check and Client Services in October 2003 and Senior Vice President Operations in August 2005. Mr. Hoofring was President of Running Dog Software, Inc., which developed 'Enterprise' software for small to medium size businesses. Prior to this, Mr. Hoofring held several management positions with Emerson Power Transmission, a subsidiary of Emerson Electric, Inc.

Table of Contents

SHAWN ALIKIAN, age 37, joined *ECHO* as General Counsel in May 2007. Mr. Alikian was the founder and Executive Vice President of After Hours Pediatrics, Inc., an exclusive pediatric urgent care center. Prior to this, Mr. Alikian was Division Counsel and Senior Vice President of Corporate Development at Homestore, Inc. In addition, Mr. Alikian was the General Counsel at Homes.com, Inc. and practiced as a corporate attorney at several large law firms.

HERBERT L. LUCAS, JR., age 81, has been a Director since 1991. He served as President from 1972 to 1981 of Carnation International in Los Angeles and as a member of the Board of Directors of the Carnation Company. Since 1982, Mr. Lucas has managed his family investment business. He has served on the Board of Directors of various financial and business institutions including Wellington Trust Company, Arctic Alaska Fisheries, Inc., Scolr Pharma, Inc. and Sunworld International Airways, Inc. Mr. Lucas has served as a Trustee of The J. Paul Getty Trust, the Los Angeles County Museum of Art, The Morgan Library, National Association of Independent Schools and Winrock International. He was formerly a member of the Board of Trustees of Princeton University.

ARISTIDES W. GEORGANTAS, age 63, has served as a Director since February 1999. Mr. Georgantas, prior to his retirement, was Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer at Chase Manhattan Bank's Global Asset Management/Private Banking Division. He serves as a director of Horizon Blue Cross Blue Shield of New Jersey, the Glenmede Corporation, the Glenmede Trust Company, Mathematica Policy Research, Inc. the Pew Charitable Trusts, and the Rita Allen Foundation.

RICHARD D. FIELD, age 67, became a Director of *ECHO* in July 2004. Mr. Field has worked in the financial services industry for over 40 years as an executive of the Bank of New York, Chase, and Citigroup, and a director of Mastercard International and Chairman of its U.S. Board. Since retiring from full time employment in 1997, he has continued his career in the specialty financial areas as a co-founder and director of LendingTree, Inc. as well as serving on the boards of Providian Financial Corporation and HPSC, Inc.

KEITH B. HALL, age 54, became a director in October 2007. Mr. Hall recently retired as the Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of LendingTree, Inc., which went public under his tutelage in 2000. LendingTree was subsequently purchased by InterActive Corp. (IACI). Prior to LendingTree, Mr. Hall held chief financial officer positions with three public companies (Broadway & Seymour, Inc., Loctite Corporation, and Legent Corporation), all of which were subsequently acquired. He also was employed for over twelve years in various financial positions at United Technologies Corporation (UTX), including chief financial officer of Carrier Corporation's North American Operations. Mr. Hall currently serves on the Board of privately-held NewRiver, Inc. and on the Board of Trustees at Coe College.

JERRY McELHATTON, age 68, became a Director of *ECHO* in July 2007. Mr. McElhatton served as the President of Global Technology and Operations for MasterCard International from 1994 to 2005 and as President and Chief Executive Officer of Payment Systems Technology and Consulting from 1988 to 1994. Mr. McElhatton currently is President of Virtual Resources, Inc. which provides strategic direction, consulting, and managed services to numerous payment systems, technology clients and has a management contract and holds the position of CEO of a major call center company. Additionally, he serves as a director on the board of Center for Security Technologies, Enterprise Bank and Trust, as well as serving on the Board of Advisors of the Washington University Business School and a Trustee for the University of Dallas.

All directors are to be elected to three year terms by the stockholders and serve until their respective terms have expired. The Annual Meeting of Stockholders was held on July 2, 2007, and the election of directors was held at that time. All officers serve at the pleasure of our Board of Directors.

Code of Ethical Conduct

Our Board of Directors has adopted a Code of Ethical Conduct (the "Code of Conduct"). We require all employees, directors and officers, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, to adhere to the Code of Conduct in addressing legal and ethical issues encountered in conducting their work. The Code of Conduct requires that these individuals avoid conflicts of interest, comply with all laws and other legal requirements, conduct business in an honest and ethical manner and otherwise act with integrity and in our best interest. The Code of Conduct contains additional provisions that apply specifically to our Chief Financial Officer and other financial officers with respect to full and accurate reporting. The Code of Conduct is available on our website at www.echo-inc.com.

Table of Contents

Audit Committee Financial Expert

Our Board of Directors has determined that Messrs. Aristides W. Georgantas and Keith B. Hall are both “audit committee financial experts” as defined in Item 401(h) of Regulation S-K. Messrs. Georgantas and Hall are “independent” for purposes of Rule 4200(a)(15) of the NASDAQ Marketplace Rules.

Identification of Audit Committee

Our Board of Directors has a separately standing Audit Committee. The Audit Committee currently consists of Richard D. Field, Aristides W. Georgantas, Herbert L. Lucas, Jr., Jerry McElhatton, and Keith Hall. Messrs. Field, Georgantas, Lucas, McElhatton and Hall are “independent directors” within the meaning of Rule 10A-3 promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and the NASDAQ Marketplace Rules. The Audit Committee’s primary duties and responsibilities include appointment of the independent auditors, evaluation of the performance and independence of such auditors and review of the annual audited financial statements and the quarterly financial statements, as well as the adequacy of our internal controls.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, requires our directors and executive officers and the holders of 10% or more of our Common Stock to file with the Securities and Exchange Commission initial reports of ownership and reports of changes in ownership of our equity securities. Based solely on our review of the copies of the forms received by us and written representations from certain reporting persons that they have complied with the relevant filing requirements, we believe that, during the year ended September 30, 2007, all of our executive officers, directors and the holders of 10% or more of our Common Stock complied with all Section 16(a) filing requirements, except for H. Eugene Lockhart, our director, Patricia Williams, our Senior Vice President, and Kris Winckler, our Senior Vice President, each of whom did not timely file one Form 4.

Table of Contents

ITEM 11. Executive Compensation

The information concerning executive compensation will appear in our definitive Proxy Statement to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A within 120 days after the end of our last fiscal year (the “Proxy Statement”), and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

The information concerning the security ownership of certain beneficial owners and management and related stockholder matters will appear in our definitive Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by eference.

ITEM 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

The information concerning certain relationship and related transactions will appear in our definitive Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services

The information concerning principal accounting fees and services will appear in our definitive Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

Table of Contents**PART IV****ITEM 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules**

(a) The following documents are filed as part of this report:

(1) Consolidated Financial Statements

	Page
Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firms	F-1
Consolidated Balance Sheets at September 30, 2007 and 2006	F-4
Consolidated Statements of Operations for each of the three years in the period ended September 30, 2007	F-5
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity for each of the three years in the period ended September 30, 2007	F-6
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for each of the three years in the period ended September 30, 2007	F-7
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-8

(2) Financial Statement Schedule:

Report on Financial Statement Schedule	S-1
Schedule II - Valuation and Qualifying Accounts and Reserves	S-2

All other schedules are omitted because they are not applicable or the required information is shown in the Consolidated Financial Statements or Notes thereto.

Exhibits:

Exhibit Number	Description of Document
2.1	Copy of Merger Agreement and Plan of Reorganization between Electronic Clearing House, Inc., <i>ECHO</i> Acquisition Corporation, and Magic Software Development, Inc., dated April 20, 1999. ^[3]
2.2	Copy of Merger Agreement and Plan of Reorganization between Electronic Clearing House, Inc., <i>ECHO</i> Acquisition Corporation, and Rocky Mountain Retail Systems, Inc., dated January 4, 2000. ^[4]
2.3	Agreement and Plan of Merger dated December 14, 2006 by and among Intuit Inc., Elan Acquisition Corporation and Electronic Clearing House, Inc. ^[11]
2.4	Mutual Termination and Release Agreement dated as of March 26, 2007 by and among Electronic Clearing House, Inc., Intuit Inc., and Elan Acquisition Corporation. ^[12]
3.1	Articles of Incorporation of Bio Recovery Technology, Inc., filed with the Nevada Secretary of State on December 11, 1981. ^[10]
3.1.1	

Amendment to the Articles of Incorporation of Electronic Clearing House, Inc. filed with the Nevada Secretary of State on June 21, 1990. ^[10]

3.1.2 Amendment to the Articles of Incorporation of Electronic Clearing House, Inc. filed with the Nevada Secretary of State on September 27, 1991. ^[10]

Table of Contents

3.1.3	Amendment to the Articles of Incorporation of Electronic Clearing House, Inc. filed with the Nevada Secretary of State on August 5, 1993. ^[10]
3.1.4	Amendment to the Articles of Incorporation of Electronic Clearing House, Inc. filed with the Nevada Secretary of State on April 7, 1995. ^[10]
3.1.5	Amendment to the Articles of Incorporation of Electronic Clearing House, Inc. filed with the Nevada Secretary of State on April 7, 1997. ^[10]
3.1.6	Amendment to the Articles of Incorporation of Electronic Clearing House, Inc. filed with the Nevada Secretary of State on March 13, 1998. ^[10]
3.1.7	Amendment to the Articles of Incorporation of Electronic Clearing House, Inc. filed with the Nevada Secretary of State on June 21, 1999. ^[10]
3.1.8	Amendment to the Articles of Incorporation of Electronic Clearing House, Inc. filed with the Nevada Secretary of State on September 6, 2001. ^[10]
3.2	By-Laws of Bio Recovery Technology, Inc. ^[11]
3.2.1	Amendment to the By-Laws of Electronic Clearing House, Inc., dated April 25, 2005. ^[10]
3.2.2	Amendment to the By-Laws of Electronic Clearing House, Inc., dated September 9, 2005. ^[10]
4.1	Amended and Restated Rights Agreement between Electronic Clearing House, Inc. and OTR, Inc., dated January 29, 2003. ^[5]
4.1.1	Amendment Number One to Amended and Restated Rights Agreement dated September 27, 2004. ^[6]
4.1.2	Amendment Number Two to Amended and Restated Rights Agreement dated December 14, 2006. ^[11]
4.1.3	Amendment Number Three to Amended and Restated Rights Agreement dated April 24, 2007. ^[13]
4.2	Specimen Common Stock Certificate. ^[2]
4.3	Amended and Restated 2003 Incentive Stock Option Plan. ^[7]
4.4	Amended and Restated 1992 Officers and Key Employees Incentive Stock Option Plan. ^[8]
10.35	Copy of Merchant Marketing and Processing Services Agreement between Electronic Clearing House, Inc. and First Regional Bank, dated June 24, 1997. ^[14]
10.46	Copy of Amended and Restated Merchant Marketing and Processing Services Agreement between Electronic Clearing House, Inc. and First Regional Bank, dated August 1, 2000. ^[4]
10.47	Copy of Addendum to Amended and Restated Merchant Marketing and Processing Services Agreement between Electronic Clearing House, Inc. and First Regional Bank, dated August 1, 2000. ^[4]
10.48	Copy of POS Check Third-Party Services Agreement between Visa U.S.A., Inc. and Electronic Clearing House, Inc., dated December 12, 2000. ^[15]
10.51	Copy of First Amendment to the POS Check Third-Party Servicer Agreement between Visa U.S.A., Inc. and Electronic Clearing House, Inc. dated December 12, 2000. ^[16]
10.52	Copy of Second Amendment to the POS Check Third-Party Servicer Agreement between Visa U.S.A., and Electronic Clearing House, Inc. dated December 12, 2000. ^[16]
10.53	Copy of Third Amendment to the POS Check Third-Party Servicer Agreement between Visa U.S.A., and Electronic Clearing House, Inc. dated December 12, 2000. ^[16]
10.56	Office Lease dated May 21, 2003, by and between the Registrant and the 1989 Sheehan Family Trust dated October 24, 1989, with respect to principal executive offices located at 730 Paseo Camarillo, Camarillo, California 93010. ^[17]
10.57	First Amendment to Lease dated July 10, 2003, by and between the Registrant and the 1989 Sheehan Family Trust dated October 24, 1989, with respect to principal executive offices located at 730 Paseo Camarillo, Camarillo, California 93010. ^[10]
10.58	Addendum to Office Lease dated July 7, 2004, by and between the Registrant and the 1989 Sheehan Family Trust dated October 24, 1989, with respect to principal executive offices located at 730 Paseo Camarillo, Camarillo, California 93010. ^[18]
10.60	Sample Amended and Restated Separation Agreement between Electronic Clearing House, Inc. and Company Executives.
10.61	

Edgar Filing: ELECTRONIC CLEARING HOUSE INC - Form 10-K

Form of Voting Agreement between Intuit Inc. and the Officers and Directors of Electronic Clearing House, Inc. ^[11]

- 10.62 Electronic Clearing House, Inc. Non-Prosecution Agreement dated March 27, 2007, with the United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York. ^[12]
- 10.63 Separation and Release Agreement between Electronic Clearing House, Inc. and Joel M. Barry dated August 10, 2007 and effective July 2, 2007.
- 10.64 Form of Indemnification Agreement.
- 11.1 Statement re computation of per share earnings, incorporated herein by reference to Note 10 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.
- 21.0 Subsidiaries of Registrant as of September 30, 2006. ^[10]

Table of Contents

23.1	Consent of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP
23.2	Consent of BDO Seidman, LLP
24.1	Power of Attorney ^[9]
31.1	Certificate of Charles J. Harris, Chief Executive Officer of Electronic Clearing House, Inc. pursuant to Rule 13a-14(b) under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.
31.2	Certificate of Alice L. Cheung, Chief Financial Officer of Electronic Clearing House, Inc. pursuant to Rule 13a-14(b) under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.
32.1	Certificate of Charles J. Harris, Chief Executive Officer of Electronic Clearing House, Inc. pursuant to Rule 13a-14(b) under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.
32.2	Certificate of Alice L. Cheung, Chief Financial Officer of Electronic Clearing House, Inc. pursuant to Rule 13a-14(b) under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

-
- [1] Filed as an Exhibit to Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 1988 and incorporated herein by reference.
- [2] Filed as an Exhibit to Registrant's Form S-1, Amendment No. 3, effective November 13, 1990 and incorporated herein by reference.
- [3] Filed as an Exhibit to Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for fiscal year ended September 30, 1999 and incorporated herein by reference.
- [4] Filed as an Exhibit to Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for fiscal year ended September 30, 2000 and incorporated herein by reference.
- [5] Filed as an Exhibit to Registrant's Form 8-A dated February 10, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference.
- [6] Filed as an Exhibit to Registrant's Form 8-K dated September 30, 2004 and incorporated herein by reference.
- [7] Filed as an Exhibit to Registrant's Notice of Annual Meeting of Shareholders dated February 7, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference.
- [8] Filed as an Exhibit to Registrant's Notice of Annual Meeting of Shareholders dated February 4, 1999 and incorporated herein by reference.
- [9] Included on signature page.
- [10] Filed as an Exhibit to Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for fiscal year ended September 30, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference.
- [11] Filed as an Exhibit to Registrant's Form 8-K dated December 14, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference.
- [12] Filed as an Exhibit to Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2007 and incorporated herein by reference.
- [13] Filed as an Exhibit to Registrant's Form 8-K dated April 26, 2007 and incorporated herein by reference.
- [14] Filed as an Exhibit to Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for fiscal year ended September 30, 1997 and incorporated herein by reference.
- [15] Filed as an Exhibit to Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for fiscal year ended September 30, 2001 and incorporated herein by reference.
- [16] Filed as an Exhibit to Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for fiscal year ended September 30, 2002 and incorporated herein by reference.
- [17] Filed as an Exhibit to Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for fiscal year ended September 30, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference.
- [18] Filed as an Exhibit to Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for fiscal year ended September 30, 2004 and incorporated herein by reference.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this Report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

ELECTRONIC CLEARING HOUSE, INC.

By: /s/ Charles J. Harris
Charles J. Harris, Chief Executive Officer

POWER OF ATTORNEY

Each person whose signature appears below constitutes and appoints Charles J. Harris and Alice L. Cheung, and each of them, as their true and lawful attorneys-in-fact and agents with full power of substitution and resubstitution, for them and in their name, place and stead, in any and all capacities, to sign any or all amendments to this Annual Report on Form 10-K and to file the same, with all exhibits thereto, and other documents in connection therewith, with the Securities and Exchange Commission, granting unto said attorneys-in-fact and agents, and each of them, full power and authority to do and perform each and every act and thing requisite and necessary to be done in and about the foregoing, as fully to all intents and purposes as he might or could do in person, hereby ratifying and confirming all that said attorneys-in-fact and agents, or either of them, or their substitutes, may lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this Report has been signed by the following persons in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signature	Title	Date
/s/ Charles J. Harris Charles J. Harris	Director, Chief Executive Officer) December 14, 2007)
/s/ Aristides W. Georgantas Aristides W. Georgantas	Director)))
/s/ Herbert L. Lucas, Jr. Herbert L. Lucas, Jr.	Director)))
/s/ Richard D. Field Richard D. Field	Director)))
/s/ Jerry McElhatton Jerry McElhatton	Director)))
/s/ Keith Hall Keith Hall	Director Keith Hall)))
/s/ Alice L. Cheung	Chief Financial Officer)

Alice L. Cheung	and Treasurer)
)
/s/ Jeffrey Jacobs	Director of Accounting)
Jeffrey Jacobs)

45

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Stockholders and Board of Directors of
Electronic Clearing House, Inc.
Camarillo, California

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Electronic Clearing House, Inc. and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of September 30, 2007 and 2006 and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity and cash flows for the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Electronic Clearing House, Inc. and subsidiaries as of September 30, 2007 and 2006 and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

As more fully described in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements, effective October 1, 2005, the Company adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 123(R), "Share-Based Payment."

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), Electronic Clearing House, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2007, based on criteria established in *Internal Control — Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria) and our report dated December 14, 2007 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ BDO Seidman, LLP

BDO Seidman, LLP
Los Angeles, CA
December 14, 2007

F-1

Table of Contents

To the Stockholders and Board of Directors of
Electronic Clearing House, Inc.
Camarillo, California

We have audited Electronic Clearing House, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2007, based on criteria established in *Internal Control—Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Item 9A, Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2007 based on the COSO criteria.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company's consolidated balance sheets as of September 30, 2007 and 2006 and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for the years ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 and our report dated December 14, 2007 expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements.

/s/ BDO Seidman, LLP

BDO Seidman, LLP

Los Angeles, California
December 14, 2007

F-2

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders:

In our opinion, the accompanying consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity (deficit) and cash flows present fairly, in all material respects, the results of operations and cash flows of Electronic Clearing House, Inc. and its subsidiaries for the year ended September 30, 2005, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. In addition, in our opinion, the financial statement schedule listed in the index appearing under Item 15(a) 2 for the year ended September 30, 2005 presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein when read in conjunction with the related consolidated financial statements. These financial statements and financial statement schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management; our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial statement schedule based on our audit. We conducted our audits of these statements in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP
Los Angeles, California
December 7, 2005

F-3

Table of Contents**ELECTRONIC CLEARING HOUSE, INC.
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

	2007	September 30, 2006
<u>ASSETS</u>		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 10,752,000	\$ 11,604,000
Restricted cash	1,168,000	1,594,000
Settlement deposits and funds held in trust	4,588,000	23,282,000
Settlement receivables less allowance of \$58,000 and \$16,000	1,163,000	1,499,000
Accounts receivable less allowance of \$321,000 and \$392,000	3,322,000	2,914,000
Prepaid expenses and other assets	522,000	494,000
Deferred tax asset	425,000	506,000
Total current assets	21,940,000	41,893,000
Noncurrent assets:		
Property and equipment, net	2,444,000	2,521,000
Software, net	10,535,000	10,340,000
Other assets, net	215,000	253,000
Total assets	\$ 35,134,000	\$ 55,007,000
<u>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</u>		
Current liabilities:		
Short-term borrowings and current portion of long-term debt	\$ 493,000	\$ 291,000
Accounts payable	657,000	352,000
Accrued expenses	1,989,000	1,643,000
Accrued professional fees	902,000	614,000
Settlement payable and trust payable	5,751,000	24,781,000
Accrued compensation expenses	2,028,000	1,670,000
Total current liabilities	11,820,000	29,351,000
Noncurrent liabilities:		
Long-term debt, net of current portion	834,000	448,000
Deferred tax liability	-0-	2,922,000
Total liabilities	12,654,000	32,721,000
Commitments and contingencies (see Note 13)		
	-	-
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, \$.01 par value, 5,000,000 shares authorized, none outstanding at September 30, 2007 and September 30, 2006	-0-	-0-
Common stock, \$.01 par value, 36,000,000 shares authorized; 7,056,848 and 6,839,333 shares issued, 7,018,579 and 6,801,064 shares outstanding, respectively	70,000	68,000
Additional paid-in capital	29,923,000	27,350,000
Accumulated deficit	(7,047,000)	(4,666,000)
Less treasury stock at cost, 38,269 and 38,269 common shares	(466,000)	(466,000)

Edgar Filing: ELECTRONIC CLEARING HOUSE INC - Form 10-K

Total stockholders' equity	22,480,000	22,286,000
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 35,134,000	\$ 55,007,000

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

F-4

Table of Contents

ELECTRONIC CLEARING HOUSE, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

	Year ended September 30,		
	2007	2006	2005
REVENUES	\$ 76,884,000	\$ 75,311,000	\$ 55,551,000
COSTS AND EXPENSES:			
Processing and transaction expense	54,158,000	50,072,000	35,867,000
Other operating costs	6,523,000	5,775,000	5,653,000
Research and development expense	2,134,000	1,539,000	1,609,000
Selling, general and administrative expenses	14,036,000	12,162,000	8,944,000
Legal settlements and fees	3,180,000	1,261,000	1,799,000
Merger related costs	934,000	348,000	-0-
Severance costs	1,031,000	-0-	-0-
	81,996,000	71,157,000	53,872,000
(Loss) income from operations	(5,112,000)	4,154,000	1,679,000
Interest income	488,000	289,000	136,000
Interest expense	(66,000)	(92,000)	(113,000)
(Loss) income before benefit (provision) for income taxes	(4,690,000)	4,351,000	1,702,000
Benefit (provision) for income taxes	2,309,000	(2,034,000)	(669,000)
Net (loss) income	\$ (2,381,000)	\$ 2,317,000	\$ 1,033,000
Basic net (loss) earnings per share	\$ (0.35)	\$ 0.35	\$ 0.16
Diluted net (loss) earnings per share	\$ (0.35)	\$ 0.33	\$ 0.15
Weighted average shares outstanding:			
Basic	6,747,092	6,613,541	6,485,125
Diluted	6,747,092	7,004,557	6,939,381

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

ELECTRONIC CLEARING HOUSE, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

	Treasury Stock	Common Stock	Common Stock	Additional Paid-in Capital	Treasury Stock	Unearned Stock Compensation	Accumulated Deficit	Total
Balance at September 30, 2004	38,269	6,451,331	64,000	\$ 24,658,000	\$ (466,000)	\$ -0-	\$ (8,016,000)	\$ 16,240,000
Exercise of stock options		119,200	1,000	393,000				394,000
Issuance of restricted stock		50,000	1,000	424,000		(419,000)		6,000
Expense related to stock option issuance				8,000				8,000
Tax benefit from stock options exercised				91,000				91,000
Net income							1,033,000	1,033,000
Balance at September 30, 2005	38,269	6,620,531	66,000	25,574,000	(466,000)	(419,000)	(6,983,000)	17,772,000
Exercise of stock options		131,200	1,000	579,000				580,000
Issuance of restricted stock		83,088		-0-				-0-
Amortization of restricted stock grants				207,000				207,000
Issuance of restricted stock to outside director		4,514	1,000	49,000				50,000
Share-based compensation expense – options				909,000				909,000
Share-based compensation expense – performance				217,000				217,000

Edgar Filing: ELECTRONIC CLEARING HOUSE INC - Form 10-K

Reclassification in connection with adopting SFAS No. 123R				(419,000)		(419,000)			-0-
Excess tax benefit from stock-based compensation				234,000					234,000
Net income								2,317,000	2,317,000
Balance at September 30, 2006	38,269	6,839,333	68,000	27,350,000	(466,000)	-0-	(4,666,000)		22,286,000
Exercise of stock options		188,450	2,000	836,000					838,000
Issuance of restricted stock		27,500		-0-					-0-
Forfeiture of restricted stock grants		(14,012)		-0-					-0-
Issuance of restricted stock to outside directors		15,577		13,000					13,000
Amortization of restricted stock grants				329,000					329,000
Share-based compensation expense – options				664,000					664,000
Share-based compensation expense – performance				354,000					354,000
Excess tax benefit from stock-based compensation				139,000					139,000
Share-based compensation expense related to severance costs				238,000					238,000
Net loss								(2,381,000)	(2,381,000)
Balance at September 30, 2007	38,269	7,056,848	\$ 70,000	\$ 29,923,000	\$ (466,000)	\$ -0-	\$ (7,047,000)		\$ 22,480,000

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

F-6

Table of Contents

ELECTRONIC CLEARING HOUSE, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	Year ended September 30,		
	2007	2006	2005
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net (loss) income	\$ (2,381,000)	\$ 2,317,000	\$ 1,033,000
Adjustments to reconcile net (loss) income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Loss on sale of assets	324,000	84,000	-0-
Depreciation	978,000	891,000	776,000
Amortization of software and other intangibles	3,524,000	2,617,000	1,865,000
Provisions for losses on accounts and notes receivable	311,000	380,000	43,000
Provision for obsolete inventory	-0-	-0-	10,000
Deferred income taxes	(2,841,000)	1,598,000	532,000
Stock-based compensation	1,627,000	1,383,000	14,000
Tax benefit from exercise of stock option	-0-	-0-	91,000
Excess tax benefit from stock-based compensation	(139,000)	(234,000)	-0-
Changes in assets and liabilities:			
Restricted cash	426,000	(146,000)	(424,000)
Settlement deposits and funds held in trust	18,694,000	(6,188,000)	1,188,000
Accounts receivable	(668,000)	(882,000)	(518,000)
Settlement receivable	285,000	(612,000)	(484,000)
Settlement payable and trust payable	(19,030,000)	6,809,000	(707,000)
Accrued compensation expenses	329,000	789,000	181,000
Accounts payable	305,000	47,000	-0-
Accrued professional fees	288,000	117,000	286,000
Accrued expenses	485,000	788,000	(3,000)
Prepaid expenses	(28,000)	(109,000)	5,000
Net cash provided by operating activities	2,489,000	9,649,000	3,888,000
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Other assets	-0-	3,000	4,000
Purchase of equipment	(948,000)	(1,084,000)	(781,000)
Purchased and capitalized software	(3,958,000)	(4,116,000)	(3,859,000)
Net cash used in investing activities	(4,906,000)	(5,197,000)	(4,636,000)
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Proceeds from issuance of notes payable	932,000	-0-	400,000
Repayment of notes payable	(344,000)	(282,000)	(438,000)
Repayment of capitalized leases	-0-	(112,000)	(452,000)
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	838,000	580,000	394,000
Excess tax benefit from stock-based compensation	139,000	234,000	-0-
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	1,565,000	420,000	(96,000)
Net (decrease) increase in cash	(852,000)	4,872,000	(844,000)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	11,604,000	6,732,000	7,576,000

Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 10,752,000	\$ 11,604,000	\$ 6,732,000
--	---------------	---------------	--------------

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

F-7

Table of Contents

ELECTRONIC CLEARING HOUSE, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1 - NATURE OF OPERATIONS AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES:

Electronic Clearing House, Inc. (*ECHO* or the Company) is a Nevada corporation. The Company provides bankcard authorizations, electronic deposit services, check guarantee, check verification, check conversion, and check collection services.

The following comments describe the more significant accounting policies.

Principles of Consolidation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its wholly owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany transactions and accounts have been eliminated.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents consist of unrestricted balances only. The Company considers all highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

Restricted Cash

Under the terms of the processing agreements with the Company's primary processing banks, the Company maintains several cash accounts as a reserve against chargeback losses. As processing fees are received by the processing banks, they are allocated per the processing agreement to the reserve accounts.

Chargeback Losses

Chargeback losses occur when a credit card holder presents a valid claim against one of the Company's merchants and the merchant has insufficient funds or is no longer in business, resulting in the charge being absorbed by the Company. The Company records a receivable for those chargebacks for which the merchant is liable but has not made payment. The Company records a provision for estimated chargeback losses at the time bankcard transactions are processed. A reserve is estimated based upon a historically-determined percentage of gross credit card processing volume and actual losses experienced.

Settlement Deposits, Receivables and Payables

Settlement receivable/payable results from timing differences in the Company's settlement process with merchants. These timing differences are primarily due to the timing between the funds received in the Company's bank accounts and settlement payments made to the merchants. Cash held by the Company associated with this settlement process is classified as settlement deposits in the consolidated balance sheets. Cash held by the Company in trust for others is classified in settlement deposits with the related liability included in settlement and trust payable.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

We make ongoing assumptions relating to the collectibility of our accounts receivable. The accounts receivable amount on our balance sheet includes an allowance for accounts that might not be paid. We regularly review the

allowance by considering factors such as historical experience, credit quality, age of the accounts receivable balances and current economic conditions that may affect a customer's ability to pay. Our reserves have generally been adequate to cover our actual credit losses. However, since we cannot predict future changes in the financial stability of our customers, we cannot guarantee that our reserves will continue to be adequate. If actual credit losses are significantly greater than the allowance we have established, our estimates of the recoverability of amounts due to us could be overstated, and additional allowances could be required, which could have an adverse impact on our operations. Conversely, if actual credit losses are significantly less than our allowance, this would eventually have a positive impact on our operations. Receivables are charged against the allowance when management believes the uncollectibility of a receivable balance, or portion thereof, has been confirmed.

F-8

Table of ContentsNOTE 1: (Continued)Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are stated at cost, less accumulated depreciation and amortization. Expenditures for additions and major improvements are capitalized. Repair and maintenance costs are expensed as incurred. When property and equipment are retired or otherwise disposed of, the related cost and accumulated depreciation are removed from the accounts. Gains or losses from retirements and disposals are credited or charged to income. Depreciation and amortization are computed using the straight-line method over the shorter of the estimated useful lives of the respective assets or terms of the related leases. The useful lives and lease terms for depreciable assets are as follows:

Computer equipment and software	3-5 years
Furniture, fixtures and equipment	5 years
Leasehold improvements	Useful life or life of lease, whichever is shorter

Other Assets

Other assets consist primarily of deposits and intangible assets such as patents and trademarks. Costs related to obtaining a patent and trademark are capitalized and amortized over the estimated life of the patent and trademark. Disclosures regarding intangible assets as required under SFAS No. 142 are included in Note 5.

Software Development Costs

Under the provisions of Statement of Position 98-1, "Accounting for the Costs of Computer Software Developed or Obtained for Internal Use," the Company capitalizes costs associated with software developed for internal use when both the preliminary project stage is completed and management has authorized further funding for the completion of the project. Capitalized costs include only (1) external direct costs of materials and services consumed in developing or obtaining internal-use software, (2) payroll and payroll-related costs for employees who are directly associated with the software project, and (3) interest costs incurred, when material, while developing internal-use software. Capitalization of such costs ceases no later than the point at which the project is substantially complete and ready for its intended purpose. Software developed or obtained for internal use is tested for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstance indicates that its carrying amount may not be recoverable. Capitalized software development costs are amortized using the straight-line method over the lesser of three years or estimated useful life.

Costs incurred to establish the technological feasibility of software and other computer software maintenance costs are recorded as research and development costs and are charged to expense when incurred.

Long-Lived Assets

The Company assesses potential impairments to its long-lived assets in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-lived Assets." An impairment review is performed whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. Factors considered by the Company include, but are not limited to: significant underperformance relative to expected historical or projected future operating results; significant changes in the manner of use of the acquired assets or the strategy for the Company's overall business; significant negative industry or economic trends; a significant decline in the Company's stock price for a sustained period of time; and the Company's market capitalization relative to net book

value. When the Company determines that the carrying value of a long-lived asset may not be recoverable based upon the existence of one or more of the above indicators of impairment, the Company estimates the future undiscounted cash flows expected to result from the use of the asset and its eventual disposition. If the sum of the expected future undiscounted cash flows is less than the carrying amount of the asset, the Company recognizes an impairment loss to reduce the carrying amount of the asset to its fair value. There was no impairment recognized during the years ended September 30, 2007, 2006 or 2005.

F-9

Table of Contents

NOTE 1: (Continued)

Revenue Recognition

The Company earns revenue from services which include the following: debit and credit card processing, check guarantee, check verification, check conversion, check re-presentation, check collection and inventory tracking. All of these services are performed pursuant to a contract with customers which states the terms and fixed price for all contracted services. The price of a service may be a fixed fee for each transaction and/or a percentage of the transaction processed, depending on the service. At the time the guarantee revenue is recognized, the Company provides a reserve for estimated guarantee losses based upon its historical loss experience. The Company generally collects its fee at the time it processes the transaction and accordingly, collectibility is assured. Based on the Company's underwriting criteria and ongoing credit monitoring of customers, collectibility on service transactions is reasonably assured.

Revenue from debit and credit card (collectively called "bankcards") and transaction processing revenue is based on a percentage of the transaction value, commonly referred to as a discount fee on a credit and debit card transaction processed by the Company. In addition, there is a per transaction fee associated with each bankcard transaction which is charged to the merchant. The Company recognizes the processing and transaction revenue when the services are performed.

Revenue from check guarantee is derived from a percentage of the gross amount of the check and guarantees payment of the check to the merchant in the event the check is not honored by the check writer's bank. Merchants typically present customer checks for processing on a regular basis and, therefore, dishonored checks are generally identified within a few days of the date the checks are guaranteed by the Company. The Company recognizes revenue when the checks are processed at the point of sale. In the event a check is dishonored, the Company has the right to collect the full amount of the check from the check writer. The Company establishes a receivable from the delinquent check writer for the full amount of the guaranteed check. The Company establishes a reserve against these receivables based on historical loss experience. The check guarantee service also earns revenue based on fees collected from delinquent check writers, which collection fee is recognized when collected, as collectibility is not reasonably assured until that point.

Revenue from check verification is derived from fees collected from the merchants when a check is verified against the Company's positive and negative check database. This revenue is recognized when the transaction is processed, since the Company has no further performance obligations.

Revenue from check conversion is derived from fees collected from merchants to convert the paper check received by merchants into an ACH transaction, which allows the Company to settle the transaction electronically for the merchant. The Company recognizes the revenue related to check conversion fees when the services are performed.

Revenue from check re-presentation is derived from fees charged to check writers. Check re-presentation is a service that allows merchants to collect a paper check through the Automated Clearing House ("ACH") network after a check has previously been presented to the bank for collection unsuccessfully at least once. The fees earned from check writer are recognized when collected, as collectibility is not reasonably assured until that point.

Table of Contents

NOTE 1: (Continued)

Revenue from check collection is derived from collection activities performed on behalf of a merchant on uncollected checks. The merchant usually keeps the face amount of the uncollected checks if the collection effort is successful. The Company's revenue is derived from the collection fee collected from the check writer. If the Company refers the collection item to another collection agency, the Company will receive a fee from the collection agency upon its successful efforts. Collection fee revenue is recognized when collected, as collectibility is not reasonably assured until that point.

Income Taxes

The Company accounts for income taxes in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes" (SFAS 109). SFAS 109 requires the recognition of deferred tax liabilities and assets for the expected future tax consequences of temporary differences between the carrying amounts and the tax bases of assets and liabilities, and operating loss and tax credit carryforwards. A valuation allowance is established, when necessary, to reduce deferred tax assets to the amount expected to be realized. The Company also determines its tax contingencies in accordance with SFAS No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies ("SFAS 5"). The Company records estimated tax liabilities to the extent the contingencies are probable and can be reasonably estimated.

Earnings (Loss) Per Share

Earnings (loss) per share are based on the weighted average number of common shares and dilutive common equivalent shares outstanding during the period. The shares issuable upon conversion of preferred stock and exercise of options and warrants are included in the weighted average for the calculation of diluted net income per share except where it would be anti-dilutive.

Stock-Based Compensation

Effective October 1, 2005, the Company began recording compensation expense associated with stock options in accordance with SFAS No. 123(R), Share-Based Payment. Prior to October 1, 2005, the Company accounted for stock-based compensation related to stock options under the recognition and measurement principles of Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25; therefore, the Company measured compensation expense for its stock option plan using the intrinsic value method, that is, as the excess, if any, of the fair market value of the Company's stock at the grant date over the amount required to be paid to acquire the stock, and provided the disclosures required by SFAS Nos. 123 and 148. The Company has adopted the modified prospective transition method provided under SFAS No. 123(R), and as a result, has not retroactively adjusted results from prior periods. Under this transition method, compensation expense associated with stock options recognized in fiscal year 2006 includes expense related to the remaining unvested portion of all stock option awards granted prior to October 1, 2005, based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the original provisions of SFAS No. 123. The Company has not issued any stock options since the adoption of SFAS No. 123(R). See Note 12 for information on the impact of our adoption of SFAS No. 123(R) and the assumptions we use to calculate the fair value of stock-based compensation.

Accounting Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent liabilities, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Significant estimates include allowance for chargeback losses and deferred tax assets. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Table of Contents

NOTE 1: (Continued)

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The amount recorded for financial instruments in the Company's consolidated financial statements approximates their fair value as defined in SFAS No. 107, "Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments".

Reclassifications

Certain amounts in the September 30, 2006 and 2005 consolidated financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.

At September 30, 2005, the Company reclassified a small portion of Settlement Receivable relating to an accrual resulting in a corresponding reduction of Settlement Payable. The Statement of Cash Flows was also adjusted accordingly for the affected periods.

New Accounting Pronouncements

In 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, "*Fair Value Measurement*" (SFAS No. 157). SFAS No. 157 provides guidance for using fair value to measure assets and liabilities. The standard expands required disclosures about the extent to which companies measure assets and liabilities at fair value, the information used to measure fair value, and the effect of fair value measurements on earnings. SFAS No. 157 applies whenever other standards require (or permit) assets or liabilities to be measured at fair value. SFAS No. 157 does not expand the use of fair value in any new circumstances. SFAS No. 157 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and interim periods within those fiscal years. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adopting SFAS No. 157 on its consolidated financial statements..

In 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, "*The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities-including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115*" ("SFAS No. 159"). SFAS No. 159 permits entities to measure at fair value many financial instruments and certain other items that are not currently required to be measured at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses related to these financial instruments reported in earnings at each subsequent reporting date. SFAS No. 159 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adopting SFAS 159 on its consolidated financial statements.

In 2006, the SEC issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 108 (SAB No. 108) "*Considering the Effects of Prior Year Restatements when Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements*", which addresses quantifying the financial statement effects of misstatements, specifically, how the effects of prior year uncorrected errors must be considered in quantifying misstatements in the current year financial statements. SAB No. 108 is effective for fiscal years ending after November 15, 2006. The Company adopted the provisions of SAB No. 108 as of October 1, 2006, and it did not have a material impact to its financial condition or results of operations.

In 2006, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 48, "*Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes – an interpretation of FASB Statement 109*" (FIN 48). This interpretation clarifies the accounting for uncertain taxes recognized in a company's financial statements in accordance with SFAS No. 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes." The interpretation requires us to analyze the amount at which each tax position meets a "more likely than not" standard for sustainability upon examination by taxing authorities. Only tax benefit amounts meeting or exceeding this standard will be reflected in tax provision expense and deferred tax asset balances. The interpretation also requires that any differences between the amounts of tax benefits reported on tax returns and tax benefits reported in the financial statements be recorded in a liability for unrecognized tax benefits. The liability for unrecognized tax benefits is reported separately from

deferred tax assets and liabilities and classified as current or noncurrent based upon the expected period of payment. Additional disclosure in the footnotes to the audited financial statements will be required concerning the income tax liability for unrecognized tax benefits, any interest and penalties related to taxes that are included in the financial statements, and open statutes of limitations for examination by major tax jurisdictions. FIN 48 is effective for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2006 and any cumulative effect of adopting FIN 48 will be recorded as a change in accounting principle in the financial statements for the three months ended December 31, 2007. Management of the Company does not expect the impact of FIN 48 to be material to the Company's consolidated financial statements.

F-12

Table of Contents

NOTE 1: (Continued)

In 2006, the Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) reached a consensus on EITF 06-3, "*How Taxes Collected From Customers and Remitted to Government Authorities Should Be Presented in the Income Statement (That is, Gross Versus Net Presentation)*". EITF 06-3 discussed the correct accounting treatment of taxes charged to a customer but collected and remitted by a reporting entity. The Company currently reports its revenue net of any sales tax collected on its Consolidated Statement of Operations.

Concentration of Business and Credit Risks

The Company operates in the market for electronic payment processing in the United States. The industry is characterized by ongoing technological developments, frequent new product introductions and changes in end user requirements. The Company's future success will depend on its ability to develop, introduce and market enhancements to its existing products and services, to introduce new products and services in a timely manner that meet customer requirements, and to respond to competitive pressures and technological advances. Further, the emergence of new industry standards, whether through adoption by regulatory agencies or widespread use by financial institutions or other financial institution data processing vendors, could require the Company to redesign its products and services. The Company currently has two primary bankcard processing and sponsorship relationships. The Company also maintains several banking relationships for ACH processing. While we believe our banking relationships are sound, we can not assure that these banks will not restrict our increasing processing volume or that we will always be able to maintain these relationships or establish new banking relationships.

During the year ended September 30, 2007, one customer accounted for 10.1% of total revenue. No customer accounted for 10% or more of total revenue for the fiscal years 2006 and 2005. At September 30, 2007 and 2006, one customer accounted for 19.0% and 12.2%, respectively, of the net accounts receivable balance.

Bankcard and transaction processing services accounted for approximately 81.4%, 75.7% and 74.0% of total revenue for the years ended September 30, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Check related revenue accounted for approximately 18.6%, 24.3% and 26.0% of total revenue for the years ended September 30, 2007, 2006, and 2005, respectively.

The Company performs ongoing credit evaluations of its customers' financial condition and limits the amount of credit extended when deemed necessary, and in certain instances, requires collateral. Management believes that any risk of loss is significantly reduced due to the nature of the customers as well as the number of its customers and geographic areas. The Company maintains an allowance for doubtful accounts for estimated losses associated with the potential inability of its customers to make required payments or for resolution of potential billing disputes. Receivables are charged against the allowance when management believes the uncollectibility of a receivable balance, or portion thereof, has been confirmed.

The Company has cash in financial institutions that is insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") up to \$100,000 per institution. At September 30, 2007 and 2006, the Company had cash and cash equivalent accounts in excess of the FDIC insured limits in the amount of \$14,414,000 and \$11,644,000, respectively.

Table of ContentsNOTE 2 -STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS:

	2007	September 30 2006	2005
Cash paid for:			
Interest	\$ 66,000	\$ 92,000	\$ 113,000
Income taxes	310,000	126,000	154,000

Significant non-cash transactions for fiscal 2007 are as follows:

- Restricted stock valued at \$372,000 was issued to certain executives and employees.

Significant non-cash transactions for fiscal 2006 are as follows:

- Restricted stock valued at \$1,060,000 was issued to certain executives and employees.
- Capital equipment of \$2,000 was acquired under a capital lease.

Significant non-cash transactions for fiscal 2005 are as follows:

- A note was issued for \$39,000 for the purchase of capital equipment.
- Restricted stock valued at \$425,000 was issued to an executive of the company.

NOTE 3 - PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT:

Property and equipment are comprised of the following:

	2007	September 30 2006
Computer equipment	\$ 5,664,000	\$ 5,052,000
Furniture, fixtures and equipment	1,224,000	1,066,000
Leasehold improvements	263,000	195,000
Auto	17,000	56,000
Cost	7,168,000	6,369,000
Less: accumulated depreciation and amortization	(4,724,000)	(3,848,000)
Net book value	\$ 2,444,000	\$ 2,521,000

Included in property and equipment are assets under capital lease of \$155,000 at September 30, 2007 and 2006, with related accumulated depreciation of \$123,000 and \$92,000. Amortization of assets recorded under capital leases is included with depreciation expense.

Table of Contents**NOTE 4 – CAPITALIZED SOFTWARE**

The following table sets forth information regarding the costs associated with software purchased and developed for internal use:

	September 30	
	2007	2006
Capitalized software	\$ 21,238,000	\$ 18,775,000
Less: accumulated amortization	(10,703,000)	(8,435,000)
Net book value	\$ 10,535,000	\$ 10,340,000

NOTE 5 – OTHER ASSETS

Other assets consist of the following:

	September 30	
	2007	2006
Patents	\$ 173,000	\$ 173,000
Trademarks	280,000	280,000
Other	160,000	160,000
Cost	613,000	613,000
Less: accumulated amortization	(398,000)	(360,000)
Net Book Value	\$ 215,000	\$ 253,000

Amortization expense for each of the years ended September 30, 2007, 2006 and 2005 was \$38,000. Based on the current amount of intangible assets subject to amortization, the estimated amortization expense for each of the five succeeding years is \$38,000.

NOTE 6 - INCOME TAXES

The provision (benefit) for income taxes consists of the following components:

	September 30		
	2007	2006	2005
Current			
Federal	\$ 662,000	\$ 258,000	\$ -0-
State	(124,000)	193,000	5,000
Total current provision	538,000	451,000	5,000
Deferred			
Federal	(2,047,000)	1,369,000	537,000
State	(800,000)	214,000	127,000

Edgar Filing: ELECTRONIC CLEARING HOUSE INC - Form 10-K

Total deferred provision (benefit)	(2,847,000)	1,583,000	664,000
Income tax provision (benefit)	\$ (2,309,000)	\$ 2,034,000	\$ 669,000

F-15

Table of Contents**NOTE 6:** (Continued)

The effective tax rate varies from the U.S. Federal statutory tax rate principally due to the following:

	2007	September 30 2006	2005
U.S. Federal statutory tax rate	(34.00%)	34.00%	34.00%
Add (deduct):			
Stock-based compensation	2.60%	4.70%	-0-
State and local taxes	(4.00%)	6.20%	5.10%
Change in valuation allowance	2.60%	-0-	-0-
Research and development credit	(16.80%)	-0-	-0-
All other	0.40%	1.80%	0.20%
Effective tax rate	(49.20%)	46.70%	39.30%

Components of the deferred tax asset (liabilities) include:

	2007	September 30 2006
Deferred tax assets:		
Net operating loss ("NOL") carryforwards	\$ 1,116,000	\$ -0-
Research and development credit	1,464,000	-0-
Reserve for bad debts	63,000	41,000
Performance stock grant	263,000	99,000
Accrued bonus and severance	585,000	317,000
State tax expense	2,000	32,000
Business credit	25,000	25,000
AMT credit	164,000	90,000
Other	5,000	20,000
Total gross deferred tax assets	3,687,000	624,000
Less valuation allowance on deferred tax assets	(122,000)	-0-
Deferred tax assets	3,565,000	624,000
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Capitalized software	(3,140,000)	(3,040,000)
Net deferred tax assets (liabilities)	\$ 425,000	\$ (2,416,000)

The Company, based upon a study conducted during the year ended September 30, 2007, recorded certain research and development credits for fiscal years ended September 30, 2003 through 2007. These research and development credits resulted in an income tax benefit of \$0.8 million, net of reserve.

In assessing the realizability of deferred tax assets, management considers whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the Company's deferred tax assets will not be realized. The ultimate realization of the Company's deferred tax assets is dependent upon the future utilization of the resulting research and development credit

carryforwards. As of September 30, 2007, the Company recognized a valuation allowance of \$122,000 on some portion of the Company's deferred tax assets.

F-16

Table of ContentsNOTE 6: (Continued)

The Company had total federal and state NOL carryforwards of approximately \$3.3 million each available to offset future taxable income at September 30, 2007. If not used to offset future taxable income, the federal NOLs will expire between the fiscal years 2024 and 2026 and the state NOLs will expire between the fiscal years 2011 and 2026. The Company has research and development credits of \$1.5 million at September 30, 2007 expiring between fiscal years 2022 and 2026, except for the state research and development credit of \$0.8 million, which has no limit on the carryforward period.

NOTE 7 - SHORT-TERM BORROWINGS AND LONG-TERM DEBT:

Short-term borrowings and long-term debt consist of the following:

	September 30	
	2007	2006
Term loan, collateralized by various assets of the Company, due July, 2011, interest at 7.62% at September 30, 2007	\$ 899,000	\$ -0-
Term loan, collateralized by various assets of the Company, due October 2008, interest at prime rate plus .50%, 8.25% at September 30, 2007	162,000	312,000
Term loan, collateralized by various assets of the Company, due January 2010, interest at 7.32%	233,000	333,000
Term loan, collateralized by an asset of the Company, due March 2010, interest at 2%, paid in full during 2007	-0-	28,000
Capital leases	33,000	66,000
	1,327,000	739,000
Less: current portion	(493,000)	(291,000)
Long-term debt	\$ 834,000	\$ 448,000

The weighted average interest rate on the prime rate term loans for the period they were outstanding during the year ended September 30, 2007 was 8.74%.

The term loans contain restrictive debt covenants consisting of quick ratio, cash flow to debt ratio, debt service coverage ratio and tangible net worth requirements. The Company obtained a modification for the cash flow to debt ratio covenant with which it was not in compliance at June 30, 2007 and for the remainder of the fiscal year.. The Company has a \$3,000,000 credit line with a bank, which was unused during fiscal year 2007. The credit line matures in April 2008 and is collateralized by assets of the Company. The credit line also contains restrictive covenants, including quick ratio, debt service coverage ratio and tangible net worth requirements. The Company was in compliance with each of these covenants as of September 30, 2007.

Table of Contents**NOTE 7: (Continued)**

Future maturities of debt are as follows:

<u>Fiscal year</u> <u>ended</u> <u>September</u> <u>30</u>	
2008	\$ 493,000
2009	339,000
2010	277,000
2011	218,000
	\$ 1,327,000

NOTE 8 – SETTLEMENTS PAYABLE AND DEPOSITS

Settlement receivable/payable results from timing differences in the Company's settlement process with merchants. These timing differences are primarily due to the timing between the funds received in the Company's bank accounts and settlement payments made to the merchants. Cash held by the Company associated with this settlement process is classified as settlement deposits in the consolidated balance sheets. Cash held by the Company in trust for others is classified in settlement deposits with the related liability included in trust payable.

Included in settlement deposits was \$733,000 and \$983,000 of funds held in trust at September 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively. In addition, included in settlement payable was \$733,000 and \$983,000 of trust payable at September 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

NOTE 9 – ACCRUED EXPENSES:

Accrued expenses are comprised of the following:

	September 30	
	2007	2006
Accrued bankcard fees	\$ 588,000	\$ 435,000
Accrued communication costs	173,000	113,000
Accrued commission	316,000	294,000
Accrued merchant deposits	565,000	565,000
Income tax payable	-0-	54,000
Other	347,000	182,000
	\$ 1,989,000	1,643,000

NOTE 10 – 401(K) PLAN

Employees who are eligible to participate in the Electronic Clearing House, Inc. 401(k) Plan may contribute up to 90% of their pre-tax salary to the Plan, subject to limitations imposed by the Internal Revenue Code. Full-time and part-time employees who work at least 500 hours per year are eligible to enroll if they are at least 21 years of age and have worked for the Company for six months. The Plan allows the Company to make matching contributions. During fiscal 2006, we matched employee contributions of 50% of the first 6% of salary contributed by the employee, subject to IRS limitations. Twenty percent of matching contributions vest after two years of service by the employee and

20% of matching contributions vests yearly thereafter. Matching contributions were \$245,000 in fiscal 2007, \$196,000 in fiscal 2006 and \$158,000 in fiscal 2005. Participating employees who are age 50 or older may also make catch-up contributions; however, these contributions are not matched.

F-18

Table of ContentsNOTE 11 - STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY:Amended and Restated Rights Agreement

The Company is a party to an Amended and Restated Rights Agreement dated January 29, 2003 as amended. As amended, the Rights Agreement provides that all stockholders have one preferred share purchase right (a "Right") for each outstanding share of common stock of the Company. Each Right entitles the registered holder to purchase from the Company four one-hundredths of a share of Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock, \$0.01 par value ("Preferred Stock") of the Company at a price of \$2.00 per one one-hundredth of a share of Preferred Stock ("Purchase Price"). However, the Rights are not immediately exercisable and will become exercisable only upon the occurrence of certain events.

Generally, if a person or group acquires, or announces a tender or exchange offer that would result in the acquisition of 20% or more of the Company's common stock while the Rights Agreement remains in place, then, unless the Rights are redeemed by the Company, the Rights will become exercisable by all holders of rights other than the acquiring person or group for the Company's shares or shares of the third party acquirer having a value of eight times the Right's then-current exercise price. The Rights Agreement is designed to guard against partial tender offers and other coercive tactics to gain control of the Company without offering a fair and adequate price and terms to all of the Company's shareholders. The Rights Agreement was not adopted in response to any efforts to acquire the Company.

Prior to September 30, 2006, two Rights existed for each outstanding share of common stock of the Company. On September 30, 2006, one of the Rights expired. The remaining Right will expire on January 29, 2013, unless such Right is earlier redeemed or exchanged by the Company as described in the Rights Agreement.

Each 1/100th of a share of Preferred Stock carries with it the following principal rights (at such time as, and only if, a Right becomes exercisable): (a) one vote, voting together with the Common Stock, (b) a minimum preferential dividend payment of one times the dividend declared for the Common Stock (when, as and if declared), (c) in the event of a merger, consolidation, or other transaction in which Common Stock is exchanged, each 1/100th of a share of Preferred Stock will be entitled to receive the amount received per share of Common Stock, and (d) in the event of a liquidation, each 1/100th of a share of Preferred Stock will receive a \$1.00 minimum preferential liquidation payment, in addition to the payment made for each share of Common Stock.

Earnings Per Share

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share:

	2007	September 30 2006	2005
Numerator:			
Net income	\$ (2,381,000)	\$ 2,317,000	\$ 1,033,000
Denominator:			
Weighted average shares outstanding for basic (loss) earnings per share	6,747,092	6,613,541	6,485,125
Effect of dilutive stock options and restricted stock units	-0-	391,016	454,256
Adjusted weighted average shares outstanding for diluted (loss) earnings per share	6,747,092	7,004,557	6,939,381
Basic net (loss) earnings per share	\$ (0.35)	\$ 0.35	\$ 0.16

Diluted net (loss) earnings per share	\$	(0.35)	\$	0.33	\$	0.15
---------------------------------------	----	--------	----	------	----	------

Due to the net loss for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2007, the diluted share calculation result was antidilutive. Thus, the basic weighted average shares were used and potential common shares of approximately 888,000 shares were excluded from the calculations. For the years ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, approximately 14,000 and 72,500 shares, respectively, attributable to the exercise of outstanding options and restricted stock grants, were excluded from the calculation of diluted EPS because the effect was antidilutive.

F-19

Table of ContentsNOTE 12 - COMMON STOCK OPTIONS AND RESTRICTED STOCK:

As a result of the adoption of SFAS No. 123 (R), the Company's net income for the years ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 includes \$1,627,000 and \$1,383,000 of compensation expense and \$14,000 and \$13,000, respectively, of income tax benefits related to the Company's stock options for the years then ended. The effect of adopting SFAS No. 123 (R) had a negative effect to basic and diluted earnings per common share for the year ended September 30, 2007 of \$0.15, and \$0.13 and \$0.12 for basic and diluted earnings, respectively, for the year ended September 30, 2006. The compensation expense related to all of the Company's stock-based compensation arrangements is recorded as a component of selling, general and administrative expenses. Prior to the Company's adoption of SFAS No. 123(R), the Company presented tax benefits resulting from the disqualified dispositions of stock options as cash flows from operating activities on the Company's consolidated statements of cash flows. SFAS No. 123(R) requires that cash flows resulting from tax deductions in excess of the cumulative compensation cost recognized for options exercised (excess tax benefits) be classified as cash inflows from financing activities and cash outflows from operating activities.

Stock Options:

At September 30, 2007, the Company had one stock option plan. Under the Company's current stock option plan, the Board of Directors may grant options to purchase up to 1,150,000 shares of the Company's common stock to officers, key employees and non-employee directors of the Company. At September 30, 2007, only 74,324 shares remained available for future grant under the plan. Options cancelled due to forfeiture or expiration return to the pool available for grant. The plan is administered by the Board of Directors or its designees and provides that options granted under the plan will be exercisable at such times and under such conditions as may be determined by the Board of Directors at the time of grant of such options; however, options may not be granted for terms in excess of ten years. Compensation expense related to stock options granted is recognized ratably over the service vesting period for the entire option award. The total number of stock option awards expected to vest is adjusted by estimated forfeiture rates. The terms of the plan provide for the granting of options at an exercise price not less than 100% of the fair market value of the stock at the date of grant, as determined by the closing market value stock price on the grant date. All options outstanding at September 30, 2007 were issued at 100% of the fair market value of the stock at the date of grant (except for certain option grants with measurement date errors – see Note 14) and have five-year vesting terms.

The estimated fair value of each option award granted was determined on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option valuation model with the following weighted-average assumptions for option grants during the year ended September 30, 2005. There were no options granted during the years ended September 30, 2006 and 2007.

	Year Ended September 30, 2005
Risk-free interest rate	3%
Expected volatility of common stock	76.6%
Dividend yield	-0-
Expected option term	7 years

The computation of the expected term is based on a weighted average calculation combining the average life of options that have already been exercised or cancelled with the estimated life of all unexercised options. The expected volatility is based on the historical volatility of the Company's stock. The risk-free interest rate is based on the implied yield on U.S. Treasury constant maturities with a remaining term equal to the expected term of the option. The

dividend yield is projected to be zero.

A summary of the status of the Company's stock option plan as of September 30, 2006 and 2007 and of changes in options outstanding under the plan during the years ended September 30, 2006 and 2007 is as follows:

F-20

Table of ContentsNOTE 12: (Continued)

	Number of Shares	Weighted- Average Exercise Price per Share	Weighted- Average Remaining Contractual Term (in years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Options outstanding at September 30, 2005	1,116,125	\$ 5.51		
Options granted	-0-			
Options exercised	(131,200)	\$ 4.42		
Options forfeited or expired	(12,650)	\$ 9.00		
Options outstanding at September 30, 2006	972,275	\$ 5.61	6.4	\$ 12,099,000
Options granted	-0-			
Options exercised	(188,450)	\$ 4.44		
Options forfeited or expired	(15,900)	\$ 8.82		
Options outstanding at September 30, 2007	767,925	\$ 5.94	5.0	\$ 3,733,000
Options vested and exercisable at September 30, 2006	481,675	\$ 5.03	5.3	\$ 6,272,000
Options vested and exercisable at September 30, 2007	517,525	\$ 5.55	4.1	\$ 2,719,000

Nonvested share activity under our Stock Option Plan for the years ended September 30, 2006 and 2007 is summarized as follows:

	Nonvested Number Of Shares	Weighted Average Grant-Date Fair Value
Nonvested balance at October 1, 2005	684,625	\$ 4.28
Vested	(193,625)	\$ 3.80
Forfeited	(400)	\$ 0.93
Nonvested balance at September 30, 2006	490,600	\$ 4.47
Vested	(230,800)	\$ 3.98
Forfeited	(9,400)	\$ 5.62
Nonvested balance at September 30, 2007	250,400	\$ 4.68

Table of Contents**NOTE 12: (Continued)**

As of September 30, 2007, there was \$802,000 of unamortized compensation cost related to non-vested stock option awards, which is expected to be recognized over a remaining weighted-average vesting period of 2.2 years.

Cash received from stock option exercises for the years ended September 30, 2007, 2006, and 2005 was \$838,000, \$580,000 and \$394,000, respectively. The income tax benefits from stock option exercises totaled \$139,000, \$234,000, and \$91,000 for the years ended September 30, 2007, 2006, and 2005, respectively.

For stock options granted prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 123(R), the following table illustrates the pro forma effect on net income and earnings per common share as if the Company had applied the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123(R) in determining stock-based compensation for awards under the plan:

	For the Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2005	
Net income, as reported	\$	1,033,000
Add: Stock-based compensation expense included in reported net income, net of related tax effects		5,000
Deduct: Total stock-based compensation expense determined under fair value-based method for all awards, net of related tax effects		(529,000)
Pro forma net income	\$	509,000
Earnings per common share:		
Basic – as reported	\$	0.16
Basic – pro forma	\$	0.08.
Diluted – as reported	\$	0.15
Diluted – pro forma	\$	0.07

Restricted Stock:

Restricted Stock is granted under the 2003 Plan. Compensation expense related to restricted stock issued is recognized ratably over the service vesting period. Restricted stock grants are normally vested over a five-year period.

In accordance with SFAS No. 123(R), the fair value of restricted stock awards is estimated based on the closing market value stock price on the date of share issuance. The total number of restricted stock awards expected to vest is adjusted by estimated forfeiture rates. As of September 30, 2007, there was \$1,315,000 of unamortized compensation cost related to non-vested restricted stock awards, which is expected to be recognized over a remaining weighted-average vesting period of 3.85 years.

Table of ContentsNOTE 12: (Continued)

A summary of the status of the Company's restricted stock awards as of September 30, 2006 and 2007, and of changes in restricted stock nonvested under the plan during the years ended September 30, 2006 and 2007, is as follows:

	Number Of Shares	Weighted-Average Grant Date Fair Value Per Share
Restricted stock awards nonvested at September 30, 2005	50,000	\$ 8.50
Shares issued	87,602	\$ 12.67
Shares vested	(22,029)	\$ 9.62
Shares forfeited	-0-	\$ -0-
Restricted stock awards nonvested at September 30, 2006	115,573	\$ 11.44
Shares issued	43,077	\$ 12.27
Shares vested	(24,940)	\$ 11.28
Shares forfeited	(14,012)	\$ 10.25
Restricted stock awards nonvested at September 30, 2007	119,698	\$ 11.92

The Company issued 1,077 and 4,514 shares of restricted stock as compensation in lieu of cash payments to one outside director during 2007 and 2006, respectively. The Company issued an additional 14,500 shares of restricted stock to its outside directors as compensation during 2007.

Common Stock issued and outstanding in Stockholders' Equity includes 161,076 and 133,088 shares of restricted stock grants at September 30, 2007 and September 30, 2006, respectively, of which 119,698 and 115,573 were unvested at each respective year end.

The Company had a 1992 Officers and Key Employees Incentive Stock Option Plan (the "1992 Plan"), which provided for the issuance of up to 1,343,750 stock options, each to purchase one share of the common stock at a price not less than 100% of the market price at the date of grant. In May 2002, the 1992 Plan expired.

The 2003 Incentive Stock Option Plan was approved at the Annual Shareholders' Meeting in February 2003. The 2003 Incentive Stock Option Plan has similar provisions as the 1992 Plan. In February 2005, the shareholders approved an amendment and restatement of the 2003 Incentive Stock Option Plan to, among other matters, (i) increase the number of shares to be issued under the 2003 Incentive Stock Option Plan from 900,000 shares to 1,150,000 shares, and (ii) permit the grant of restricted stock under the plan.

Table of ContentsNOTE 12: (Continued)

Stock option and restricted stock activity during 2007, 2006, and 2005 was as follows:

				Exercise Price	
Options outstanding September 30, 2004	1,133,925	\$	1.29	-	\$ 16.48
Granted	260,000		7.60	-	9.16
Forfeited	(158,600)		1.29	-	9.56
Exercised	(119,200)		1.30	-	7.00
Options outstanding September 30, 2005	1,116,125	\$	1.30	-	\$ 16.48
Granted	-0-				
Forfeited	(12,650)		1.30	-	16.48
Exercised	(131,200)		1.30	-	9.56
Options outstanding September 30, 2006	972,275	\$	1.30	-	\$ 16.48
Granted	-0-				
Forfeited	(15,900)		2.10	-	10.24
Exercised	(188,450)		1.30	-	16.48
Options outstanding September 30, 2007	767,925	\$	1.30	-	\$ 10.24
Options exercisable at September 30, 2005	440,500	\$	1.30	-	\$ 16.48
Options exercisable at September 30, 2006	481,675	\$	1.30	-	\$ 16.48
Options exercisable at September 30, 2007	517,525	\$	1.30	-	\$ 10.24
Restricted stock nonvested September 30, 2005	50,000	\$	8.50		
Granted	87,602	\$	10.25	-	\$ 17.45
Vested	(22,029)		8.50	-	10.25
Restricted stock nonvested September 30, 2006	115,573	\$	8.50	-	\$ 17.45
Granted	43,077	\$	10.41	-	\$ 14.00
Vested	(24,940)		8.50	-	17.45
Forfeited	(14,012)		10.25		
Restricted stock nonvested September 30, 2007	119,698	\$	8.50	-	\$ 17.45
Authorized shares available for grant at September 30, 2005	285,600				
Authorized shares available for grant at September 30, 2006	147,912				
Authorized shares available for grant at September 30, 2007	74,324				

Table of ContentsNOTE 12: (Continued)

Both options and restricted stock are granted under the 2003 Plan. The exercise price of the incentive stock options shall be 100% of the fair market value on the date the option is granted. Options granted to officers and employees are normally vested over a five-year period. Options are exercisable for a period of five years from date of vest. Restricted stock grants are normally vested over a five-year period.

The following table summarizes information about stock options outstanding at September 30, 2007:

Range of Exercise Prices	Number Outstanding at Sept. 30, 2007	Options Outstanding		Options Exercisable	
		Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life/Years	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number Exercisable at Sept. 30, 2007	Weighted Average Exercise Price
\$ 1.30 - \$ 2.10	72,400	5.13	\$ 1.83	53,000	\$ 1.73
\$ 2.15 - \$ 3.96	142,075	3.59	\$ 2.85	128,075	\$ 2.73
\$ 4.00 - \$ 5.88	10,000	0.87	\$ 4.19	10,000	\$ 4.19
\$ 6.85 - \$ 10.24	543,450	5.40	\$ 7.33	326,450	\$ 7.31
	767,925	4.98	\$ 5.94	517,525	\$ 5.55

In May 2006, the Company entered into an agreement with certain of its employees and executives to potentially grant 80,000 shares of restricted stock and 10,000 equivalent shares payable in cash. The restricted stock would only be granted and cash only be paid if the Company achieves predetermined cumulative Earnings Before Income Taxes and Depreciation and Amortization ("EBITDA") for the fiscal years ending 2006, 2007 and 2008 or upon a change in control. Cumulative EBITDA results must be reached or a reduced number of shares will be granted, if any. As required by SFAS 123(R), 80,000 shares of this award have been treated as an equity award, with the fair value measured at the grant date and 10,000 shares have been treated as a liability award, with the fair value measured at the grant date and remeasured at the end of each reporting period (marked to market). In June 2007, the cumulative EBITDA targets were reduced and this was treated as a modification of an award under SFAS No. 123(R). During 2007, 25,000 shares of restricted stock and 4,000 shares payable in cash were forfeited. As the Company believes the cumulative EBITDA targets will be achieved, the Company recognized compensation expense of \$178,000 and \$255,000 for the years ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

In June 2007, the Company entered into an agreement with certain of its employees and executives to potentially grant 100,000 shares of restricted stock and 21,000 equivalent shares payable in cash. The restricted stock will only be granted and cash only be paid if the Company achieves predetermined cumulative EBITDA for the fiscal years ending 2007, 2008 and 2009 or upon a change in control. Cumulative EBITDA results must be reached or a reduced number of shares will be granted, if any. As required by SFAS 123(R), 100,000 shares of this award have been treated as an equity award, with the fair value measured at the grant date and 21,000 shares have been treated as a liability award, with the fair value measured at the grant date and remeasured at the end of each reporting period (marked to market). During 2007, 2,000 shares payable in cash were forfeited. As the Company believes the cumulative EBITDA targets will be achieved, the Company recognized \$205,000 of compensation expense related to this award for the year ended September 30, 2007.

Table of Contents

NOTE 13 – COMMITMENTS, CONTINGENT LIABILITIES, AND GUARANTEES:

The Company currently relies on cooperative relationships with, and sponsorship by, two banks in order to process its Visa, MasterCard and other bankcard transactions. The agreement between the banks and the Company require the Company to assume and compensate the banks for bearing the risk of “chargeback” losses. Under the rules of Visa and MasterCard, when a merchant processor acquires card transactions, it has certain contingent liabilities for the transactions processed. This contingent liability arises in the event of a billing dispute between the merchant and a cardholder that is ultimately resolved in the cardholder’s favor. In such a case, the disputed transaction is charged back to the merchant and the disputed amount is credited or otherwise refunded to the cardholder. If the Company is unable to collect this amount from the merchant’s account, and if the merchant refuses or is unable to reimburse the Company for the chargeback due to merchant fraud, insolvency or other reasons, the Company will bear the loss for the amount of the refund paid to the cardholders. The Company is also exposed to financial risk in providing Automated Clearing House (“ACH”) services to the merchants. As the Third-Party processor for multiple originating banks, the Company is liable for any fraudulent activities committed by the merchants initiating the ACH activities. The Company utilizes stringent underwriting guidelines combined with a number of systems and procedures to manage merchant risk. In addition, the Company requires cash deposits by certain merchants, which are held by the Company’s sponsoring banks to minimize the risk related to merchant frauds and chargebacks.

A cardholder, through its issuing bank, generally has until the later of up to four months after the date a transaction is processed or the delivery of the product or service to present a chargeback to the Company’s sponsoring bank as the merchant processor. Therefore, management believes that the maximum potential exposure for the chargebacks would not exceed the total amount of transactions processed through Visa and MasterCard for the last four months and other unresolved chargebacks in the process of resolution. For the last four months through September 30, 2007, this potential exposure totaled approximately \$650 million. At September 30, 2007, the Company, through its sponsoring banks, had approximately \$75,000 of unresolved chargebacks that were in the process of resolution. At September 30, 2007, the Company, through its sponsoring banks, had access to \$20.3 million belonging to our merchants. This money has been deposited at the sponsoring bank by the merchants to cover any potential chargeback losses.

For the fiscal years 2007 and 2006, the Company processed approximately \$2.0 billion (2007) and \$1.8 billion (2006) of Visa and MasterCard transactions, which resulted in \$11.1 million in gross chargeback activities for the fiscal year ended 2007 and \$9.8 million in gross chargeback activities for the fiscal year ended 2006. Substantially all of these chargebacks were recovered from the merchants.

The Company’s contingent obligation with respect to chargebacks constitutes a guarantee as defined in Financial Accounting Interpretation No. 45, “Guarantor’s Accounting and Disclosure requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Others” (“FIN 45”). FIN 45 requires that guarantees issued or modified subsequent to December 31, 2002 be initially recorded as liabilities in the Statement of Financial Position at fair value. Since the Company’s agreement with its sponsoring bank which establishes the guarantee obligation was entered into prior to December 31, 2002 and has not been modified since that date, the measurement provisions of FIN 45 are not applicable to this guarantee arrangement. The Company also entered into an arrangement with another sponsoring bank during the fourth quarter of the 2007 fiscal year; however, the only transactions processed through this new bank were for transactions with no potential of chargebacks. Therefore, no additional liabilities are considered to be applicable under FIN 45 for the year ended September 30, 2007. The Company will record any FIN 45 liability that is applicable for fiscal 2008 under the new sponsoring bank agreement.

In accordance with SFAS No. 5, “Accounting for Contingencies”, the Company records a reserve for chargeback losses based on its processing volume and historical trends and data. As of September 30, 2007 and 2006, the allowance for chargeback losses, which is classified as a component of the allowance for uncollectible accounts receivable, was \$219,000 and \$304,000, respectively. The expense associated with the chargeback allowance is included in

processing and transaction expense in the accompanying consolidated statements of income. The Company expensed \$210,000, \$315,000, and \$13,000 for the years ended September 30, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively, for bankcard processing chargeback losses.

F-26

Table of ContentsNOTE 13: (Continued)

The Company has a check guarantee business. The Company charges the merchant a percentage of the face amount of the check and guarantees payment of the check to the merchant in the event the check is not honored by the check writer's bank. Merchants typically present customer checks for processing on a regular basis and, therefore, dishonored checks are generally identified within a few days of the date the checks are guaranteed by the Company. Accordingly, management believes that its best estimate of the Company's maximum potential exposure for dishonored checks at any given balance sheet date would not exceed the total amount of checks guaranteed in the last 10 days prior to the balance sheet date. As of September 30, 2007, the Company estimates that its maximum potential dishonored check exposure was approximately \$2,590,000.

For the fiscal years ended 2007 and 2006, the Company guaranteed approximately \$94,575,000 (2007) and \$67,855,000 (2006) of merchant checks, which resulted in \$516,000 or 0.5% (2007) and \$561,000 or 0.8% (2006) of dishonored checks presented to the Company for payments. The Company has the right to collect the full amount of the check from the check writer. The Company establishes a reserve for this activity based on historical and projected loss experience. The expense associated with the guarantee costs is included in processing and transaction expense in the accompanying consolidated statements of income.

In May 2006, we entered into separation agreements with each of our principal executive officers (CEO, CFO and COO) and each of our senior vice presidents whereby, in the event of a change in control of *ECHO* (as defined in each agreement) each such executive officer would be entitled, to the extent they remain employed by us at the time of such change in control, to the following: (i) an acceleration of vesting with respect to all stock option and restricted stock grants then outstanding and not yet vested, (ii) a portion of such executive's anticipated cash or sales commission-based bonus, as applicable, for the fiscal year in which the change in control occurred, and (iii) in the event that the executive is terminated without cause (as defined in each agreement), or ceases to provide services to us (or our successor) as a result of an involuntary termination (as defined in each agreement) within the two year period following the change in control, then the executive would be entitled to a one-time lump sum cash payment equal to a percentage of the executive's anticipated total compensation for the fiscal year in which the change in control occurred, plus continued medical benefits for a period of time following such termination. The amount of lump sum payout ranges from 1 ½ to 2 years of anticipated total compensation, and duration of continued medical benefits ranges between 1 ½ and 2 years depending on position held by the principal executive or senior vice president.

Lease Commitments

The Company leases equipment and real property under agreements, which expire at various times next year. The Company's future minimum rental payments for capital and operating leases at September 30, 2007 are as follows:

<u>Fiscal Year</u>	Capital Leases	Operating Leases
2008	\$ 34,000	\$ 499,000
Total minimum lease payments	34,000	\$ 499,000
Less: imputed interest of 7.23%	(1,000)	
Present value of net minimum lease payment	\$ 33,000	

Rent expense for the years ended September 30, 2007, 2006, and 2005 totaled \$ 771,000, \$775,000 and \$663,000, respectively. Certain operating leases have renewal options at the end of the lease term solely at the Company's discretion. In May 2003, the Company leased new corporate office space in Camarillo, California. The lease is for a period of five years at a current monthly rate of \$38,000. Subsequent to September 30, 2007, we entered into new

F-27

Table of ContentsNOTE 13: (Continued)

leases in new locations for our Albuquerque, New Mexico and Lakewood, Colorado facilities. See Footnote 17 for additional detail on the new leases.

At September 30, 2007, the Company had long-term contracts with minimum commitments with vendors that provide various services. These contracts include minimum annual commitments as follows:

2008	\$ 250,000
------	------------

Total vendor commitments	\$ 250,000
--------------------------	------------

Payments made under these contracts amounted to \$300,000 for each of the years ended September 30, 2007, 2006, and 2005, respectively.

NOTE 14 – LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

On March 27, 2007, the Company entered into a Non-Prosecution Agreement pursuant to which the Office of the United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York agreed not to pursue actions against us or our subsidiaries for activities related to its provision of payment processing services to Internet wallets that provided services to online gaming websites during the period from January 2001 through and including the date of the signing of the Non-Prosecution Agreement. Pursuant to the terms of the Non-Prosecution Agreement, the Company agreed to pay estimated profits to the United States in the amount of a \$2,300,000 civil disgorgement settlement upon the execution of the Non-Prosecution Agreement, which represented management's estimate of the Company's profits from processing and collection services provided to Internet wallets since 2001. Management agreed to maintain a permanent restriction upon providing automated clearing house services to any business entity providing Internet gambling services to customers in the United States, so long as the processing services and gambling services are illegal under the laws of the United States. Additionally, management agreed to, among other matters, cooperate fully and actively with the U.S. Attorney's Office, the Federal Bureau of Investigation, and with any other agency of the government designated by the U.S. Attorney's Office, and to not commit any violations of law. The Company's cooperation obligations will continue until the later of one year from the date of the signing of the Non-Prosecution Agreement or the date upon which all prosecutions arising out of the conduct described in the Non-Prosecution Agreement are final. Also included in legal settlements and fees are approximately \$880,000 for legal fees and expenses related to the settlement. For the year ended September 30, 2006, the Company settled a patent related lawsuit for \$600,000 and also incurred \$661,000 of related legal expenses. For the year ended September 30, 2005, the Company defended and settled various lawsuits, incurring \$1,349,000 of legal expenses and a lawsuit settlement of \$450,000.

The Company is involved in various lawsuits arising in the ordinary course of business. Based upon current information, management, after consultation with legal counsel, believes the ultimate disposition of these lawsuits will have no material effect upon either the Company's results of operations, financial position, or cash flows.

NOTE 15 – MERGER TRANSACTION

On December 14, 2006, the Company entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger (the Merger Agreement) to be acquired by Intuit, Inc. (Intuit) in a merger transaction in which *ECHO* would have become a wholly owned subsidiary of Intuit (the merger). Pursuant to the terms of the Merger Agreement and subject to the conditions thereof, Intuit would have acquired all of the outstanding shares of the Company's common stock and all outstanding equity awards (which would have vested in connection with the transactions) for a cash amount of \$18.75 per share (less the

applicable exercise price in the case of *ECHO* options), for a total purchase price of approximately \$142 million on a fully diluted basis. The transaction was subject to regulatory review, the Company's shareholder approval and other customary closing conditions. On March 26, 2007, the Company mutually agreed with Intuit and Elan Acquisition Corporation, a Nevada corporation and wholly owned subsidiary of Intuit ("Elan"), to terminate the Merger Agreement. The parties determined that it was in the mutual best interest of each party to terminate the proposed agreement. In connection with the termination, the Company, Intuit and Elan agreed to release each other from all claims arising under or related to the terminated merger agreement. The Company also cancelled its previously adjourned special stockholders' meeting relating to the proposed acquisition, which was scheduled to reconvene on March 27, 2007. As of result of the termination of the merger agreement, the Company recorded approximately \$934,000 of costs related to the merger for the year ended September 30, 2007.

F-28

Table of Contents

NOTE 16 – CEO RETIREMENT AND SEPARATION

In connection with the retirement of our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Joel M. (“Jody”) Barry on July 2, 2007 (the “Effective Date”), the Company entered into a separation agreement (the “Agreement”) with him on August 10, 2007. The agreement resulted in a charge of approximately \$1.0 million to the Company. Under the Agreement, Mr. Barry is entitled to cash severance payments totaling \$742,800 to be paid as follows: (a) an initial lump sum payment of \$300,000 that was paid within three days of August 10, 2007 and (b) two payments of \$221,400 each to be paid on January 2, 2008 and 2009, which payments may be accelerated and become payable within three business days upon Mr. Barry’s written request. All stock options issued to Mr. Barry under the Company’s 1992 Plan will expire in accordance with their terms as of the Effective Date. All unvested stock options issued to Mr. Barry under the Company’s 2003 Plan vested immediately as of the Effective Date and will expire on the 360th day thereafter. The Company paid an amount of approximately \$21,930 in respect to the payoff amount for Mr. Barry’s company vehicle, which he will be entitled to retain. The Company will also make equivalent medical benefits available to Mr. Barry for a two year period. Mr. Barry has agreed to certain noncompetition and nonsolicitation provisions for a 12 month period. In exchange for his severance benefits, Mr. Barry has relinquished his right to make claims against the Company. As part of the agreement, Mr. Barry also forfeited his unvested restricted stock with compensation previously recorded of \$146,000. Amounts still due Mr. Barry under the Agreement totaled approximately \$450,000 at September 30, 2007 and are included in accrued compensation expenses.

NOTE 17: SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

Operating Leases:

Subsequent to September 30, 2007, the Company entered into new leases for its Albuquerque, New Mexico (Albuquerque) and Lakewood, Colorado (Lakewood) facilities. The new Albuquerque lease is for a term of five years with an option to extend for an additional five year term and the Lakewood lease is for a term of three years and three months with an option to extend for an additional three year term.

Indemnification Rights of Directors:

On December 11, 2007, the Company entered into indemnification agreements with each of its principal executive officers (CEO and CFO), senior vice presidents and directors. Pursuant to the terms of the indemnification agreements, the Company has agreed to provide indemnification for, and the advancement of expenses to, our executive officers, senior vice presidents and directors, under certain circumstances and in accordance with Nevada law, all as more completely described within the agreements.

Amended and Restated Separation Agreements:

On December 11, 2007, the Company entered into amended and restated separation agreements with each of its principal executive officers (CEO and CFO) and each of its senior vice presidents whereby, in the event of a change in control of the Company (as defined in each agreement) each such executive officer would be entitled, to the extent they remain employed by the Company at the time of such change in control, to the following: (i) an acceleration of vesting with respect to all stock option and restricted stock grants then outstanding and not yet vested, (ii) a portion of such executive’s anticipated cash or sales commission-based bonus, as applicable, for the fiscal year in which the change in control occurred, and (iii) in the event that the executive is terminated without cause (as defined in each agreement), or ceases to provide services to the Company (or its successor) as a result of an involuntary termination (as defined in each agreement) within the two year period following the change in control, then the executive would be entitled to a one-time lump sum cash payment equal to a percentage of the executive’s anticipated total compensation for the fiscal year in which the change in control occurred, plus continued medical benefits for a period

of time following such termination. The amount of the lump sum payout ranges from 1 ½ to 2 years of anticipated total compensation, and the duration of continued medical benefits ranges between 1 ½ and 2 years depending on the position held by the principal executive or senior vice president. These agreements amend and restate separation agreements previously entered into with each of these executives.

In November 2007, the Board of Directors approved a cash-based Stock Appreciation Rights Plan. The plan has 150,000 stock appreciation units reserved for issuance to all non-Executive employees of the Company. No units have been issued to date, and there are currently no planned grants. Additionally, there is no timeframe for when the units will be granted. In addition, there has been no determination as to how these units will be allocated among employees.

F-29

Table of ContentsNOTE 18 - SEGMENT INFORMATION

The Company has adopted SFAS No. 131, "Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information" (FAS 131). FAS 131 established revised standards for public companies related to the reporting of financial and descriptive information about their operating segments in financial statements.

Certain information is disclosed, per FAS 131, based on the way management organizes financial information for making operating decisions and assessing performance.

The Company currently operates in two business segments: Bankcard and Transaction Processing and Check Related Products, all of which are located in the United States. The Company also has certain corporate expenses such as salaries and benefits, legal and professional fees, rent, and litigation accrual expenses which are not allocated to the two business segments.

The Company's reportable operating segments have been determined in accordance with the Company's internal management structure, which is organized based on operating activities. The accounting policies of the operating segments are the same as those described in the summary of significant accounting policies. The Company evaluates performance based upon two primary factors, one is the segment's operating income and the other is based on the segment's contribution to the Company's future strategic growth.

<u>Business Segments</u>	2007	September 30, 2006	2005
Revenues:			
Bankcard and Transaction Processing	\$ 62,580,000	\$ 56,983,000	\$ 41,093,000
Check Related Products	14,304,000	18,328,000	14,458,000
	\$ 76,884,000	\$ 75,311,000	\$ 55,551,000
Operating income:			
Bankcard and Transaction Processing	\$ 9,236,000	\$ 8,495,000	\$ 5,829,000
Check Related Products	(1,116,000)	4,384,000	2,204,000
Other – Corporate Expenses	(13,232,000)	(8,725,000)	(6,354,000)
	\$ (5,112,000)	\$ 4,154,000	\$ 1,679,000
Depreciation and Amortization:			
Bankcard and Transaction Processing	\$ 1,828,000	\$ 1,009,000	\$ 954,000
Check Related Products	2,673,000	2,499,000	1,702,000
	\$ 4,501,000	\$ 3,508,000	\$ 2,656,000
Capital Expenditures:			
Bankcard and Transaction Processing	\$ 2,683,000	\$ 3,386,000	\$ 2,167,000
Check Related Products	2,279,000	1,829,000	2,505,000
	\$ 4,962,000	\$ 5,215,000	\$ 4,672,000
Total Assets:			
Bankcard and Transaction Processing	\$ 11,904,000	\$ 12,707,000	\$ 9,452,000
Check Related Products	13,233,000	31,412,000	24,616,000
Other	9,997,000	10,888,000	6,646,000

\$ 35,134,000 \$ 55,007,000 \$ 40,714,000

F-30

Table of ContentsNOTE 18: (Continued)

In 2007, the Company refocused its sales and service strategy to provide merchants, banks, technology partners and collection agencies with electronic payment services that combine credit card, debit card and electronic check and collection services. The Company believes this “All-In-One” offering represents a significant competitive differentiator. In addition, the Company’s services enable merchants to maximize revenue by offering a wide variety of payment options, minimize costs by dealing with one source and improve their bad debt collection rates through use of the Company’s integrated collection and risk management services. As such, the Company will be combining its Bankcard and Transaction Processing with its Check Related Products segments into one “payment processing” segment for 2008. The Company believes one segment is more representative of how the Company is structured and will be operated for 2008.

NOTE 19- QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA (Unaudited)

The following summarizes the quarterly financial results of the Company for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2007 and September 30, 2006 (in thousands, except share data):

	Year Ended September 30, 2007			
	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
Net revenues	\$ 19,379	\$ 19,993	\$ 19,029	\$ 18,483
Gross profit	6,429	6,045	5,226	5,026
Profit (loss) from operations	560	(3,169)	(1,668)	(835)
Net income (loss)	339	(1,925)	(682)	(113)
Earnings (loss) per share - basic	\$ 0.05	\$ (0.29)	\$ (0.10)	\$ (0.02)
Earnings (loss) per share - diluted	\$ 0.05	\$ (0.29)	\$ (0.10)	\$ (0.02)

	Year Ended September 30, 2006			
	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
Net revenues	\$ 16,926	\$ 19,228	\$ 19,869	\$ 19,288
Gross profit	5,783	6,313	6,570	6,573
Profit from operations	1,061	781	1,785	527
Net income	592	424	1,010	291
Earnings per share - basic	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.06	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.04
Earnings per share - diluted	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.06	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.04

Table of Contents

REPORT ON FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULE

Board of Directors and Stockholders
Electronic Clearing House, Inc.

The audit referred to in our report dated December 14, 2007 relating to the consolidated financial statements for the years ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 of Electronic Clearing House, Inc., which is presented on the page set forth in Item 15 of this Form 10-K, included the audit of the financial statement schedule presented on page S-2 of this Form 10-K. This financial statement schedule is the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on this financial statement schedule based upon our audit.

In our opinion, such financial statement schedule presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

/s/ BDO Seidman, LLP

BDO Seidman, LLP
Los Angeles, California
December 14, 2007

S-1

Table of Contents

ELECTRONIC CLEARING HOUSE, INC. AND CONSOLIDATED SUBSIDIARIES

SCHEDULE II TO FORM 10K

RULE 12-09 VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS AND RESERVES

DESCRIPTION	REDUCTION IN RESERVE AND BALANCE CHARGED TO ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE			REDUCTION IN RESERVE AND BALANCE CHARGED TO ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE			REDUCTION IN RESERVE AND BALANCE CHARGED TO ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE			
	09/30/2004	EXPENSE	09/30/2005	EXPENSE	09/30/2006	EXPENSE	09/30/2006	EXPENSE	09/30/2006	
Allowance for trade receivables/chargeback/settlement receivables	\$ 133,000	\$ 295,000	\$ 311,000	\$ 117,000	\$ 380,000	\$ 89,000	\$ 408,000	\$ 311,000	\$ 340,000	\$ 379,000
Allowance for obsolete inventories	\$ 46,000	\$ 10,000	\$ 56,000	\$ -0-	\$ -0-	\$ -0-	\$ -0-	\$ -0-	\$ -0-	\$ -0-

S-2

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Description of Document
2.1	Copy of Merger Agreement and Plan of Reorganization between Electronic Clearing House, Inc., <i>ECHO</i> Acquisition Corporation, and Magic Software Development, Inc., dated April 20, 1999. ^[3]
2.2	Copy of Merger Agreement and Plan of Reorganization between Electronic Clearing House, Inc., <i>ECHO</i> Acquisition Corporation, and Rocky Mountain Retail Systems, Inc., dated January 4, 2000. ^[4]
2.3	Agreement and Plan of Merger dated December 14, 2006 by and among Intuit Inc., Elan Acquisition Corporation and Electronic Clearing House, Inc. ^[11]
2.4	Mutual Termination and Release Agreement dated as of March 26, 2007 by and among Electronic Clearing House, Inc., Intuit Inc., and Elan Acquisition Corporation. ^[12]
3.1	Articles of Incorporation of Bio Recovery Technology, Inc., filed with the Nevada Secretary of State on December 11, 1981. ^[10]
3.1.1	Amendment to the Articles of Incorporation of Electronic Clearing House, Inc. filed with the Nevada Secretary of State on June 21, 1990. ^[10]
3.1.2	Amendment to the Articles of Incorporation of Electronic Clearing House, Inc. filed with the Nevada Secretary of State on September 27, 1991. ^[10]
3.1.3	Amendment to the Articles of Incorporation of Electronic Clearing House, Inc. filed with the Nevada Secretary of State on August 5, 1993. ^[10]
3.1.4	Amendment to the Articles of Incorporation of Electronic Clearing House, Inc. filed with the Nevada Secretary of State on April 7, 1995. ^[10]
3.1.5	Amendment to the Articles of Incorporation of Electronic Clearing House, Inc. filed with the Nevada Secretary of State on April 7, 1997. ^[10]
3.1.6	Amendment to the Articles of Incorporation of Electronic Clearing House, Inc. filed with the Nevada Secretary of State on March 13, 1998. ^[10]
3.1.7	Amendment to the Articles of Incorporation of Electronic Clearing House, Inc. filed with the Nevada Secretary of State on June 21, 1999. ^[10]
3.1.8	Amendment to the Articles of Incorporation of Electronic Clearing House, Inc. filed with the Nevada Secretary of State on September 6, 2001. ^[10]
3.2	By-Laws of Bio Recovery Technology, Inc. ^[1]
3.2.1	Amendment to the By-Laws of Electronic Clearing House, Inc., dated April 25, 2005. ^[10]
3.2.2	Amendment to the By-Laws of Electronic Clearing House, Inc., dated September 9, 2005. ^[10]
4.1	Amended and Restated Rights Agreement between Electronic Clearing House, Inc. and OTR, Inc., dated January 29, 2003. ^[5]
4.1.1	Amendment Number One to Amended and Restated Rights Agreement dated September 27, 2004. ^[6]
4.1.2	Amendment Number Two to Amended and Restated Rights Agreement dated December 14, 2006. ^[11]
4.1.3	Amendment Number Three to Amended and Restated Rights Agreement dated April 24, 2007. ^[13]
4.2	Specimen Common Stock Certificate. ^[2]
4.3	Amended and Restated 2003 Incentive Stock Option Plan. ^[7]
4.4	Amended and Restated 1992 Officers and Key Employees Incentive Stock Option Plan. ^[8]
10.35	Copy of Merchant Marketing and Processing Services Agreement between Electronic Clearing House, Inc. and First Regional Bank, dated June 24, 1997. ^[14]
10.46	Copy of Amended and Restated Merchant Marketing and Processing Services Agreement between Electronic Clearing House, Inc. and First Regional Bank, dated August 1, 2000. ^[4]
10.47	Copy of Addendum to Amended and Restated Merchant Marketing and Processing Services Agreement between Electronic Clearing House, Inc. and First Regional Bank, dated August 1, 2000. ^[4]
10.48	Copy of POS Check Third-Party Services Agreement between Visa U.S.A., Inc. and Electronic Clearing House, Inc., dated December 12, 2000. ^[15]
10.51	

Copy of First Amendment to the POS Check Third-Party Servicer Agreement between Visa U.S.A., Inc. and Electronic Clearing House, Inc. dated December 12, 2000. ^[16]

10.52 Copy of Second Amendment to the POS Check Third-Party Servicer Agreement between Visa U.S.A., and Electronic Clearing House, Inc. dated December 12, 2000. ^[16]

10.53 Copy of Third Amendment to the POS Check Third-Party Servicer Agreement between Visa U.S.A., and Electronic Clearing House, Inc. dated December 12, 2000. ^[16]

Table of Contents

10.56	Office Lease dated May 21, 2003, by and between the Registrant and the 1989 Sheehan Family Trust dated October 24, 1989, with respect to principal executive offices located at 730 Paseo Camarillo, Camarillo, California 93010. ^[17]
10.57	First Amendment to Lease dated July 10, 2003, by and between the Registrant and the 1989 Sheehan Family Trust dated October 24, 1989, with respect to principal executive offices located at 730 Paseo Camarillo, Camarillo, California 93010. ^[10]
10.58	Addendum to Office Lease dated July 7, 2004, by and between the Registrant and the 1989 Sheehan Family Trust dated October 24, 1989, with respect to principal executive offices located at 730 Paseo Camarillo, Camarillo, California 93010. ^[18]
<u>10.60</u>	Sample Amended and Restated Separation Agreement between Electronic Clearing House, Inc. and Company Executives.
10.61	Form of Voting Agreement between Intuit Inc. and the Officers and Directors of Electronic Clearing House, Inc. ^[11]
10.62	Electronic Clearing House, Inc. Non-Prosecution Agreement dated March 27, 2007, with the United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York. ^[12]
<u>10.63</u>	Separation and Release Agreement between Electronic Clearing House, Inc. and Joel M. Barry dated August 10, 2007 and effective July 2, 2007.
<u>10.64</u>	Form of Indemnification Agreement.
11.1	Statement re computation of per share earnings, incorporated herein by reference to Note 10 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.
21.0	Subsidiaries of Registrant as of September 30, 2006. ^[10]
<u>23.1</u>	Consent of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP
<u>23.2</u>	Consent of BDO Seidman, LLP
24.1	Power of Attorney ^[9]
<u>31.1</u>	Certificate of Charles J. Harris, Chief Executive Officer of Electronic Clearing House, Inc. pursuant to Rule 13a-14(b) under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.
<u>31.2</u>	Certificate of Alice L. Cheung, Chief Financial Officer of Electronic Clearing House, Inc. pursuant to Rule 13a-14(b) under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.
<u>32.1</u>	Certificate of Charles J. Harris, Chief Executive Officer of Electronic Clearing House, Inc. pursuant to Rule 13a-14(b) under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.
<u>32.2</u>	Certificate of Alice L. Cheung, Chief Financial Officer of Electronic Clearing House, Inc. pursuant to Rule 13a-14(b) under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

^[1] Filed as an Exhibit to Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 1988 and incorporated herein by reference.

^[2] Filed as an Exhibit to Registrant's Form S-1, Amendment No. 3, effective November 13, 1990 and incorporated herein by reference.

^[3] Filed as an Exhibit to Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for fiscal year ended September 30, 1999 and incorporated herein by reference.

^[4] Filed as an Exhibit to Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for fiscal year ended September 30, 2000 and incorporated herein by reference.

^[5] Filed as an Exhibit to Registrant's Form 8-A dated February 10, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference.

^[6] Filed as an Exhibit to Registrant's Form 8-K dated September 30, 2004 and incorporated herein by reference.

^[7] Filed as an Exhibit to Registrant's Notice of Annual Meeting of Shareholders dated February 7, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference.

^[8]

Edgar Filing: ELECTRONIC CLEARING HOUSE INC - Form 10-K

Filed as an Exhibit to Registrant's Notice of Annual Meeting of Shareholders dated February 4, 1999 and incorporated herein by reference.

- ^[9] Included on signature page.
 - ^[10] Filed as an Exhibit to Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for fiscal year ended September 30, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference.
 - ^[11] Filed as an Exhibit to Registrant's Form 8-K dated December 14, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference.
 - ^[12] Filed as an Exhibit to Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2007 and incorporated herein by reference.
 - ^[13] Filed as an Exhibit to Registrant's Form 8-K dated April 26, 2007 and incorporated herein by reference.
 - ^[14] Filed as an Exhibit to Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for fiscal year ended September 30, 1997 and incorporated herein by reference.
 - ^[15] Filed as an Exhibit to Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for fiscal year ended September 30, 2001 and incorporated herein by reference.
 - ^[16] Filed as an Exhibit to Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for fiscal year ended September 30, 2002 and incorporated herein by reference.
 - ^[17] Filed as an Exhibit to Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for fiscal year ended September 30, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference.
 - ^[18] Filed as an Exhibit to Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for fiscal year ended September 30, 2004 and incorporated herein by reference.
-