HAWAIIAN ELECTRIC INDUSTRIES INC Form 11-K June 25, 2013 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 11-K

x ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 15(d) OF THE

SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012

or

o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 15(d) OF THE

SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission file number 1-8503

HAWAIIAN ELECTRIC INDUSTRIES RETIREMENT SAVINGS PLAN

Hawaiian Electric Industries, Inc.

1001 Bishop Street, Suite 2900, Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

Table of Contents

REQUIRED INFORMATION

<u>Financial Statements</u>. The statements of net assets available for benefits as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the statements of changes in net assets available for benefits for the years then ended, together with notes to financial statements, and PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP s Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm thereon, are filed as a part of this annual report, as listed in the accompanying index.

<u>Exhibit</u>. The written consent of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP with respect to the incorporation by reference of the Plan s financial statements in registration statement No. 333-02103 on Form S-8 of Hawaiian Electric Industries, Inc. is filed as a part of this annual report and attached hereto as Exhibit 23.1.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

The Plan. Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the trustees (or other persons who administer the employee benefit plan) have duly caused this annual report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned hereunto duly authorized.

HAWAIIAN ELECTRIC INDUSTRIES RETIREMENT SAVINGS PLAN

Date: June 25, 2013	By:	HAWAIIAN ELECTRIC INDUSTRIES, INC. PENSION INVESTMENT COMMITTEE Its Named Fiduciary				
	By:	/s/ James A. Ajello James A. Ajello Its Chairman				
	By:	/s/ Chester A. Richardson Chester A. Richardson Its Secretary				

Hawaiian Electric Industries

Retirement Savings Plan

Financial Statements

December 31, 2012 and 2011

Hawaiian Electric Industries

Retirement Savings Plan

Index

	Page(s)
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	1
Financial Statements	
Statements of Net Assets Available for Benefits December 31, 2012 and 2011	2
Statements of Changes in Net Assets Available for Benefits Years Ended December 31, 2012 and 2011	3
Notes to Financial Statements December 31, 2012 and 2011	4 15
Exhibit	
Exhibit 23.1 - Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	16

Note: Other schedules required by Section 2520.103-10 of the U.S. Department of Labor s Rules and Regulations for Reporting and Disclosure under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended, have been omitted because they are not applicable.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Participants and Administrator of the Hawaiian Electric Industries Retirement Savings Plan

In our opinion, the accompanying statements of net assets available for benefits and the related statements of changes in net assets available for benefits present fairly, in all material respects, the net assets available for benefits of the Hawaiian Electric Industries Retirement Savings Plan (the Plan) at December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the changes in net assets available for benefits for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Plan s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits of these statements in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP Los Angeles, California June 25, 2013

Hawaiian Electric Industries

Retirement Savings Plan

Statements of Net Assets Available for Benefits

December 31, 2012 and 2011

	2012	2011
Assets		
Plan interest in Master Trust		
Investments, at fair value	\$ 314,805,682 \$	281,286,297
Notes receivable from participants	6,282,754	5,964,986
Participant contributions receivable	789,234	104,856
Employer contributions receivable	7,787	
Due from Fidelity	36,625	
Total assets	321,922,082	287,356,139
Liabilities		
Accounts payable	4,657	4,352
Net assets available for benefits	\$ 321,917,425 \$	287,351,787

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

2

Hawaiian Electric Industries

Retirement Savings Plan

Statements of Changes in Net Assets Available for Benefits

Years Ended December 31, 2012 and 2011

	2012	2011
Additions		
Additions to net assets attributable to		
Investment income		
Plan interest in Master Trust		
Net appreciation (depreciation) in fair value of investments	\$ 18,389,882 \$	(2,646,826)
Dividends and interest	8,634,545	7,976,356
Total investment income	27,024,427	5,329,530
Master Trust interest from participants notes receivable	263,657	250,302
Revenue credit	125,143	
Mutual fund settlement	77,262	
Contributions		
Participants	19,175,450	16,892,389
Employer	265,350	24,683
Rollover	1,044,089	1,210,485
Total contributions	20,484,889	18,127,557
Total additions	47,975,378	23,707,389
Deductions		
Distributions to participants	(13,339,510)	(17,506,902)
Administrative expenses and other	(70,230)	(25,468)
Total deductions	(13,409,740)	(17,532,370)
Net increase	34,565,638	6,175,019
Net assets available for benefits		
Beginning of year	287,351,787	281,176,768
End of year	\$ 321,917,425 \$	287,351,787

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

3

Hawaiian Electric Industries

Retirement Savings Plan

Notes to Financial Statements

December 31, 2012 and 2011

1. Plan Description

The Hawaiian Electric Industries Retirement Savings Plan (the Plan or HEIRS Plan) was established by Hawaiian Electric Industries, Inc. (the Company or HEI) effective April 1, 1984. The Plan is a defined contribution 401(k) plan that provides certain tax-favored retirement benefits to participating employees. As of December 31, 2012, the Participating Employers in the Plan were Hawaiian Electric Industries, Inc., Hawaiian Electric Company, Inc. (HECO), Maui Electric Company, Limited (MECO), and Hawaii Electric Light Company, Inc. (HELCO).

Effective May 1, 2011, the HEIRS Plan was amended and restated in its entirety to incorporate benefit changes negotiated with the electrical workers union representing employees of HECO, MECO and HELCO. The changes include a 50% match on the first 6% of compensation deferred to the HEIRS Plan by all employees who commence employment on or after May 1, 2011. The matching contribution is subject to a six-year graded vesting schedule. As part of the restatement, HEI, the Plan sponsor, was designated as the Plan Administrator, as defined in the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended (ERISA).

Effective January 1, 2013, the HEIRS Plan was again amended and restated in its entirety to incorporate all amendments required by the 2011 Cumulative List of Changes in Plan Qualification Requirements, including amendments to comply with the Pension Protection Act of 2006, the Heroes Earnings Assistance and Relief Tax Act of 2008, and the Worker, Retiree, and Employer Recovery Act of 2008.

On January 31, 2013, the HEIRS Plan document, as restated, was submitted to the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) for a determination that the language of the Plan continues to meet the federal tax law requirements applicable to it.

The following description of the Plan provides only general information. Participants should refer to the Plan document for its detailed provisions, which are also summarized in the most recent prospectus for the Plan and in the summary plan description:

a. Plan Administration

The Company is the Administrator of the Plan. The board of directors of the Company has established the Pension Investment Committee (PIC) to oversee the administration of the Plan and the investment options offered under the Plan. The PIC has appointed an Administrative Committee to oversee the day-to-day administration of the Plan, which includes the discretionary authority to interpret the Plan s provisions. The

PIC has also appointed an Investment Committee to oversee the day-to-day financial affairs of the Plan. The Administrative and Investment Committees are comprised of employees of the Company or its subsidiaries and are chaired by a member of the PIC.

The Participating Employers and the Plan currently pay the Plan s administrative fees. The Plan s trustee and certain of the mutual funds offered under the Plan also provide revenue credits to the Plan, which are used to pay for Plan administration including recordkeeping. Fees charged directly to the Plan that are not paid by revenue credits or other asset-based fees may be allocated to participant accounts. Participants may also be assessed interest and fees related to participants notes receivable and withdrawals.

4

Hawaiian Electric Industries

Retirement Savings Plan

Notes to Financial Statements

December 31, 2012 and 2011

b. Eligibility

All nonbargaining unit employees of the Participating Employers (other than leased employees or contract employees hired for specific tasks or assignments) are eligible to participate in the Plan upon employment. Bargaining unit employees are eligible to participate in the Plan upon becoming regular employees under the terms of the applicable collective bargaining agreement (and subject to any future changes therein). Participation in the Plan is voluntary for eligible employees.

c. Salary Reduction Contributions

Employees participate in the Plan by making salary reduction contributions of up to 30% of compensation, subject to a federal tax limit of \$17,000 in 2012 and \$16,500 in 2011.

Participants who are age 50 or older, or who attain age 50 during the year, may elect to make catch-up contributions, as defined in the Plan, subject to a federal tax limit of \$5,500 in 2012 and 2011.

For purposes of calculating contributions to the Plan, compensation is defined as Box 1, W-2 earnings, modified to (a) exclude discretionary bonuses, fringe benefits, employer nonelective contributions to a cafeteria plan, reimbursements, moving and other expense allowances, and special executive compensation; and (b) include nontaxable elective contributions made by a Participating Employer to the Plan, a cafeteria plan, or a pretax transportation spending plan.

Federal tax law limits the amount of annual compensation that may be taken into account in determining contributions to the Plan. The maximum limit was \$250,000 in 2012 and \$245,000 in 2011.

d. Employer Nonelective Contributions and Matching Contributions for New Employees

Effective May 1, 2011, the Participating Employers began matching the 401(k) contributions of their respective participants who were first employed (or deemed to be new employees under Section 1.2 of the Retirement Plan for Employees of Hawaiian Electric Industries, Inc. and Participating Subsidiaries) after April 30, 2011. The amount of the match is 50% of the first 6% of annual compensation deferred by the participant (i.e., maximum matching contribution of 3% of the participant s annual compensation).

e. Participant Accounts

Each participant has an individual account in the Plan, which may include one or more subaccounts. Each participant is 100% vested in all of the participant s subaccounts other than a matching contribution subaccount (if any). A participant s benefits equal the vested balance in the participant s account at the time of distribution. Each participant s account is credited with the participant s elective contributions, matching contributions, if applicable, and allocations of Plan earnings and gains or losses (whether realized or unrealized), and charged with an allocation of any administrative expenses paid directly by the Plan or charged directly to the participant s account. Individual expenses, such as fees associated with loans and distributions, are charged directly to a participant s individual account. Other administrative expenses, such as recordkeeping expenses, are paid through investment level expenses that are borne by participants in proportion to their investments in the designated investment alternatives that generate revenue credits for the Plan. Participant accounts are valued at the end of each day that the New York Stock Exchange is open.

5

Hawaiian Electric Industries

Retirement Savings Plan

Notes to Financial Statements

December 31, 2012 and 2011

The Plan is intended to be an ERISA Section 404(c) plan, under which the fiduciaries of the Plan are relieved of liability for any losses that are the direct and necessary result of a participant s or beneficiary s exercise of control over the investments in his or her individual account. Participants are responsible for directing the investment of all amounts in their accounts using investment options offered under the Plan and for the performance of such investments. The Plan currently offers various mutual funds and target-date funds, and a unitized common stock fund that consists of shares of HEI common stock and short-term liquid investments. Participants may change their investment elections at any time. If a participant does not choose an investment option for any portion of the participant s account, such amounts are automatically invested in the age-appropriate Fidelity Freedom Index Fund - Class W specific to the participant s normal retirement age or such other investment as the PIC may direct, pending other direction by the participant.

The portion of the Plan comprising the HEI Common Stock Fund is designated as an employee stock ownership plan (ESOP). Amounts contributed to the Plan for investment in the HEI Common Stock Fund or transferred to the HEI Common Stock Fund from other investment alternatives become part of the ESOP component of the Plan.

There are two limitations on the amount a participant may invest in the HEI Common Stock Fund. First, a participant may not direct more than 20% of any contribution to the HEI Common Stock Fund. Second, participants and beneficiaries are prohibited from making transfers or exchanges from other investment alternatives into the HEI Common Stock Fund if the transfer or exchange would cause the participant s or beneficiary s investment in the HEI Common Stock Fund to exceed 20% of the participant s or beneficiary s total account balance.

f. Distributions

Distributions from participants accounts are generally made upon retirement, death, permanent disability or other termination of employment. Distributions may be made in a single lump sum, or a retired or terminated participant may elect to receive partial distributions (once per year) until the participant s account has been distributed in full or the participant elects to receive a single-sum distribution of the remaining account balance. Retired participants may also elect to receive required minimum distributions from the Plan.

Account balances of \$5,000 or less are automatically distributed upon termination of employment. Any automatic distribution of more than \$1,000 (but not more than \$5,000) is made in the form of an automatic direct rollover to an Individual Retirement Account (IRA) designated by the Administrative Committee, unless the participant requests a cash distribution or a direct rollover to an IRA or tax-qualified retirement plan of the participant s choosing.

Distributions from the HEI Common Stock Fund are in the form of HEI common stock, with any fractional shares paid in cash, or, if the participant so elects, cash. Distributions of HEI Stock Ownership Plan (HEISOP) subaccounts invested in the HEI Common Stock Fund may be made in installments, generally over a period of no more than five years, or may be made in a single lump sum (in stock or in cash).

The participant s account will be reduced by any unpaid note balance at the time of distribution.

Hawaiian Electric Industries

Retirement Savings Plan

Notes to Financial Statements

December 31, 2012 and 2011

g. Death Benefits

Upon the death of a participant, the full value of the participant s vested account balance is payable as a death benefit to the participant s designated beneficiary.

h. Withdrawals While Employed

Prior to termination of employment, pretax salary-reduction and catch-up contributions (and income earned on such contributions prior to 1989) and certain other contributions may be withdrawn in the event of hardship. A participant who receives a hardship withdrawal is prohibited from making additional pretax salary reduction contributions to the Plan for six months following the hardship withdrawal.

Upon request, a participant may withdraw tax-deductible voluntary contributions or after-tax contributions previously allowed under the Plan. These contributions are no longer permitted under the Plan.

Participants who elect to invest portions of their account balances in the HEI Common Stock Fund (the ESOP component of the Plan) may elect to receive cash distributions of periodic dividends attributable to such investments or may elect to have such dividends reinvested.

A participant who is age 59½ or older may elect to receive an in-service distribution from his or her vested account balance once per year.

i. Notes Receivable from Participants

Goodwill

24,024 15,255 35,397 167,481 13,811

25**9,968**hased intangibles

440 977 1,417

24,024 15,255 35,837 167,481 14,788 257,385Accumulated amortization as of January 31, 2002 Goodwill 3,764 2,187 14,841 5,644 14,632 107,474 7,385 6,151 162,078Purchased intangibles 220 639 726 2,220 282 466 7,242 10,128 655 22,578
220 4,403 2,913 17,061 5,926 15,098 114,716 17,513 6,806 184,656Net book value as of January 31, 2002 Goodwill 2,354 16,094 9,477 10,163 151 42,438 1,822 2,813 85,312 Purchased intangibles 2,655 2,771 3,144 4,780 194 8,558 995 23,097

5,009 18,865 12,621 14,943 345 50,996 2,817 2,813 108,409Amortization Expense and Foreign Translation for the three months ended April 30, 002 Purchased intangibles 180 213 242 438 22 984 44 (53) 2,070	
	_
180 213 242 438 22 984 44 (53) 2,070Net book value at April 30, 002 Goodwill 2,354 16,094 9,477 10,163 151 42,438 1,822 2,813 85,312 Purchased ntangibles 2,475 2,558 2,902 4,342 172 7,574 951 53 21,027	
\$4,829 \$18,652 \$12,379 \$14,505 \$ \$323 \$50,012 \$2,773 \$2,866 \$106,339	

Impairment of Goodwill and Purchased Intangibles

During the second and fourth quarters of fiscal 2002, Wind River identified indicators of possible impairment of goodwill and other acquired intangible assets relating to previous acquisitions. These indicators included the deterioration in the business climate, changes in sales and cash flow forecasts, strategic plans for certain of the acquired businesses and significant declines in the market values of companies in the embedded software industry. Accordingly, Wind River compared the undiscounted cash flows associated with the acquired businesses and long-lived assets with the respective carrying amounts and determined that an impairment of certain of these assets existed. As a result, during fiscal 2002, Wind River recorded an aggregate charge of \$257.4 million, of which, \$256.0 million related to the impairment of goodwill, which includes both impaired goodwill and workforce balances, and \$1.4 million related to the impairment of other purchased intangible assets. The impaired amount was measured as the amount by which the carrying amount exceeded the respective present value of the estimated future cash flows for goodwill and purchased intangible assets presented in the table above.

The impairment charge for those assets held for use was determined based upon the estimated discounted cash flows over the remaining useful life of the goodwill using discount rates ranging from 17% to 23%. The assumptions supporting the cash flows, including the discount rates, were determined using Wind River's best estimates as of the date of the impairment review. The impairment charge for those assets held for disposal was determined based on the expected proceeds of disposition. As of April 30, 2002 and January 31, 2002, Wind River had net book values in goodwill and purchased

6

intangible assets of approximately \$106.3 million and \$108.4 million, respectively. Accumulated amortization for goodwill and purchased intangibles as of April 30, 2002 and January 31, 2002 was \$186.7 million and \$184.7 million, respectively. Amortization expense relating to completed technology for the three months ended April 30, 2002 and 2001 was \$1.7 million and \$2.2 million, respectively. Other than goodwill, all identifiable intangible assets of approximately \$21.0 million in net book value as of April 30, 2002 will continue to be amortized in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets." See Note 12 "Recent Accounting Pronouncements" for information on SFAS No. 142.

Wind River adopted SFAS No. 142 on February 1, 2002, and accordingly, ceased amortizing goodwill with net book value totaling \$85.3 million, including \$8.5 million of acquired workforce previously classified as purchased intangibles. The following table presents the impact of SFAS 142 on net income (loss) and net income (loss) per share had SFAS No. 142 been applicable for the first quarter of fiscal 2002, ended April 30, 2001:

	Three Months Ended April 30,				
(In thousands, except per share amounts)		2002		2001	
Reported loss	\$	(18,048)	\$	(24,841)	
Adjustments:					

	Three Months Ended		nded	
Amortization of goodwill		April	30,	28,410
Amortization of acquired workforce previously classified as purchased intangible assets				675
Net adjustments				29,085
Adjusted net income (loss)	\$	(18,048)	\$	4,244
	¢	(0.22)	¢	(0.22)
Reported net loss per share basic and diluted Adjusted net income (loss) per share basic	\$ \$	(0.23) (0.23)		(0.32) 0.06
Adjusted net income (loss) per share diluted	ֆ \$	(0.23)		0.00

The estimated future amortization expense of purchased intangible assets is as follows:

Fiscal Year	_	Amount (in thousands)
2003*	\$	6,865
2004	\$	8,655
2005	\$	4,551
2006	\$	956

^{*} Remaining nine months

Note 3: Revenue Recognition

Wind River derives revenue from two sources: (i) product revenue and (ii) service revenue. Product revenues consist of royalties and fees for operating systems and fees for the use of development tools. Wind River licenses its software products under perpetual as well as time-based arrangements. Service revenues are derived from fees from professional services, which include design and development fees, software maintenance contracts, and customer training and consulting. Maintenance contract renewals are generally sold separately from Wind River's products. Wind River's customers consist of end users, distributors, original equipment manufacturers, system integrators and value-added resellers. Agreements generally do not allow the right of return or sales price adjustments.

7

Wind River recognizes revenue in accordance with SOP 97-2, "Software Revenue Recognition," as amended, SOP 81-1, "Accounting for Performance of Construction-Type and Certain Production-Type Contracts" and Staff Accounting Bulletin ("SAB") 101, "Revenue Recognition."

Wind River recognizes revenue when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred, the vendor's fee is fixed or determinable and vendor-specific objective evidence ("VSOE") exists to allocate the total fee to all undelivered elements of the arrangement and collection is determined to be probable. These four criteria are further defined as follows:

Persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists. It is Wind River's customary practice to have written non-cancelable contracts and a customer purchase order prior to recognizing revenue on an arrangement.

Delivery has occurred. Product revenues for software and hardware are recognized at the time of shipment or upon the delivery of a product master, with standard transfer terms of FOB shipping point. If undelivered products or services exist that are essential to the functionality of the delivered product in an arrangement, delivery is not considered to have occurred. If evidence of fair value of all undelivered elements exists, then revenue is recognized using the residual method. Under the residual method, the fair value of the undelivered elements is deferred and the remaining portion of the arrangement fee is recognized as revenue.

Wind River recognizes revenues from one-year, time-based licenses ratably over the term of the agreement. For multi-year time-based licenses, Wind River defers recognition of the fees associated with the VSOE of the maintenance and support over the term of the license and recognizes the residual contract amount in the period in which the agreement is signed, so long as it has VSOE for

undelivered elements, which typically consist of maintenance and support. Where VSOE does not exist for undelivered elements, Wind River recognizes the fees ratably over the term of the multi-year license.

Sales to distributors, original equipment manufacturers, system integrators and value-added resellers are recognized either at the time the risks of ownership have transferred or upon receipt of royalty reports related to the sale of product to an end-user, depending on Wind River's historical experience with these customers.

Service revenues from professional services contracts are recognized as the services are performed for time-and-materials contracts and using the percentage-of-completion basis for fixed-price contracts. Service revenues from software maintenance, support and update fees are recognized ratably over the contract period, which in most instances is one year. Service revenues from training and consulting are recognized when the services are provided.

The vendor's fee is fixed or determinable. At the time of each transaction, Wind River assesses whether the fee associated with a revenue transaction is fixed or determinable based on the payment terms associated with the transaction. If any portion of a fee is due after Wind River's standard payment terms, which are generally no greater than 90 days from invoice date, Wind River accounts for the fee as not being fixed or determinable. In these cases, revenue is recognized as the fees become due.

Collection is probable. Wind River assesses probability of collection based on a number of factors, including its past transaction history with the customer and the credit-worthiness of the customer. New customers and certain existing customers are subject to a credit review process that evaluates the customers' financial position and ultimately their ability to pay according to the original terms of the arrangement. Based on Wind River's review process, if it is determined from the outset of an arrangement that collection of the resulting receivable is not probable, then revenue is recognized on a cash-collected basis.

8

Significant management judgments and estimates are made in connection with the revenues recognized in any accounting period. Wind River must assess whether the fee associated with a revenue transaction is fixed or determinable and whether or not collection is probable. Material differences could result in the amount and timing of revenues for any period if management were to make different judgments or utilize different estimates.

Note 4: Cash and Cash Equivalents, Investments and Restricted Cash

Cash equivalents consist of highly liquid investments with remaining maturity at the date of purchase of three months or less. These fixed income securities are readily convertible to cash and are stated at cost, which approximates fair value. Fair value is determined based upon the quoted market prices of the securities as of the balance sheet date.

Investments with original maturities greater than three months and less than one year are classified as short-term investments. Investments with maturities greater than one year are classified as long-term investments. Wind River accounts for its investments, including marketable equity securities, money market funds, municipal bonds, U.S. government and agency obligations, corporate bonds and other debt securities, in accordance with Statement SFAS No. 115, "Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities." Wind River determines the appropriate classification of its investments at the time of purchase and re-evaluates such classification at each balance sheet date. Wind River has classified all of its investments as available-for-sale and carries such investments at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses reported in the accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) component of stockholders' equity until disposition. The cost of securities sold is based on the specific identification method. Realized gains or losses and declines in value, which are judged to be other-than-temporary, are reported in other income or expense.

Wind River has certain other minority investments in private companies. These investments are included in other long-term assets on Wind River's balance sheet and are carried at cost, subject to adjustment for impairment. As of April 30, 2002 and January 31, 2002, the cost basis of the portion of our remaining investments related to private companies was \$4.0 million. Wind River monitors these investments for impairment and makes appropriate reductions in carrying values when necessary.

Restricted cash consists of the investments held as collateral under the operating leases for Wind River's headquarters and interest rate swap agreements.

Note 5: Derivative Financial Instruments

Wind River enters into foreign currency forward exchange contracts to manage foreign currency exposures related to certain foreign currency denominated inter-company balances. Additionally, Wind River may adjust its foreign currency hedging position by taking out additional contracts or by terminating or offsetting existing forward contracts. These adjustments may result from changes in the underlying foreign currency exposures or from fundamental shifts in the economics of particular exchange rates. Gains and losses on terminated forward contracts, or on contracts that are offset, are recognized in income in the period of contract termination or offset. As of April 30, 2002, Wind River had outstanding forward contracts with notional amounts of \$4.1 million. At January 31, 2002, Wind River had no outstanding forward contracts.

In March 1998, Wind River entered into an interest rate swap agreement to reduce the impact of changes in interest rates on its first floating rate operating lease for its corporate headquarters. The interest rate swap effectively changes Wind River's interest rate exposure on its operating lease, which is at the one-month London interbank offering rate ("LIBOR"), to a fixed rate of 5.9%. As of both April 30, 2002 and January 31, 2002, the notional amount of this interest rate swap was \$28.5 million.

In January 2001, Wind River entered into another interest rate swap agreement to mitigate the impact of changes in interest rates on its second floating rate operating lease for additional construction at its headquarters facility. This second interest rate swap changes Wind River's interest rate exposure on its second operating lease, which is at one-month LIBOR, to a fixed rate of 5.6%. As of both April 30, 2002 and January 31, 2002, the notional amount of this interest rate swap was \$27.9 million. Wind River's potential credit exposure under the interest rate swaps (arising from the inability of the counter parties to meet the terms of their contracts) is limited to the amounts, if any, by which the counter parties obligations exceed the obligations of Wind River.

As of both April 30, 2002 and January 31, 2002, the fair value of these interest rate swap liabilities was approximately \$2.6 million and was recorded as a long-term liability and as a component of comprehensive loss. The interest rate swap agreements mature at the same time that the respective operating lease expires.

Note 6: Restructuring Costs

During fiscal 2002, Wind River announced cost control measures that included a reduction of its worldwide work force, organizational restructuring and additional measures focused on reducing operational expenses. Wind River made both permanent and temporary adjustments to its operations in order to optimize operating efficiency. As a result of the decision to restructure its business, Wind River recorded net restructuring costs of \$21.7 million classified as operating expenses during fiscal 2002. As of January 31, 2002, the remaining restructuring liabilities totaled \$5.2 million. The following table provides detailed cash payments made during the three months ended April 30, 2002 and the remaining restructuring liabilities as of April 30, 2002.

(In thousands)	Li	estructuring abilities as of nuary 31, 2002	 Cash Payments for Three Months Ended April 30, 2002	 Restructuring Liabilities as of April 30, 2002
Work force reduction	\$	4,294	\$ (913)	\$ 3,381
Consolidation of excess facilities	\$	949	\$ (174)	\$ 775
	\$	5,243	\$ (1,087)	\$ 4,156

The worldwide work force reductions will be substantially completed by the end of the first half of fiscal 2003. Amounts accrued relating to the net future payments due on excess facilities will be paid through fiscal 2005 unless Wind River successfully negotiates an exit from the leases at an earlier date.



Note 7: Other Borrowings

As of April 30, 2002, Wind River has United States dollar equivalent net borrowings of \$12.9 million from a line of credit obtained through its Japanese subsidiary for up to 2.1 billion Japanese yen, approximately \$16.4 million. Wind River extended the line of credit until July 31, 2002, at an average annual interest rate of 2.51% on any borrowed amount.

Note 8: Comprehensive Loss

Comprehensive loss is defined as the change in equity of a company during a period from transactions and other events and circumstances excluding transactions resulting from investments by owners and distributions to owners. The difference between net loss and comprehensive loss for Wind River results from foreign currency translation adjustments, mark to market adjustments on interest rate swap and unrealized gains and losses on available-for-sale securities, net of taxes.

Comprehensive loss for the three months ended April 30, 2002 and 2001 is as follows:

	Three Months Ended April 30,					
(In thousands)	 2002		2001			
Net loss	\$ (18,048)	\$	(24,841)			
Other comprehensive income (loss):						
Foreign currency translation adjustments	622		(1,813)			
Unrealized loss on investments	(121)		(1,096)			
Fair value measurement of interest rate swap	(80)					
		_				
Other comprehensive income (loss)	 421		(2,909)			
Tetal communication land	\$ (17, (07))	¢	(27.750)			
Total comprehensive loss	\$ (17,627)	\$	(27,750)			

Note 9: Net Loss Per Share Computation

In accordance with the SFAS No. 128, "Earnings Per Share," the calculation of shares used in basic and diluted net loss per share computation is presented below:

	Three Months Ended April 30,	
(In thousands)	2002	2001
Shares used in basic net loss per share computation Effect of dilutive potential common shares	78,767	76,785
Shares used in diluted net loss per share computation	78,767	76,785

The effect of assumed conversion of the convertible subordinated notes is anti-dilutive shares of 6.2 million and 4.3 million for the three months ended April 30, 2002 and 2001, respectively, and is therefore excluded from both of the above computations. In addition, dilutive potential common shares totaling approximately 1.3 million and 2.9 million for the three months ended April 30, 2002 and 2001, respectively, were excluded from the computation of the number of shares used in the diluted net loss per share calculations as their inclusion would be anti-dilutive. Since Wind River has a net loss for all periods presented, net loss per share on a diluted basis is equivalent to basic net loss per share.

Note 10: Commitments and Contingencies

In fiscal 1998, Wind River entered into an operating lease for its headquarters facility constructed on the land Wind River purchased in Alameda, California. In fiscal 2000, Wind River entered into a

second operating lease agreement for the construction of two additional buildings at its headquarters facility. None of Wind River's officers or employees has any financial interest in these synthetic lease arrangements.

The lessor has funded a total of \$32.4 million of construction costs related to the first operating lease and \$25.0 million of construction costs related to the second operating lease. The operating lease payments under both leases vary based upon the total construction costs of the property, including capitalized interest at LIBOR. In connection with the lease of Wind River's headquarters, Wind River leased the land to the lessor of the building at a nominal rate and for a term of 55 years. If Wind River were to terminate the ground lease prior to maturity, it would be obligated to purchase the buildings. The operating leases provide Wind River with the option, at end of their terms, to either acquire the buildings at the lessor's original cost or arrange for the buildings to be acquired. Both leases have initial terms of seven years but may be renewed under certain circumstances. Unless renewed, the first lease will terminate in September 2004 and the second lease will terminate in November 2006. As of April 30, 2002, Wind River had not made a decision with respect to the option it will pursue at the end of the lease terms, and it may seek to amend or terminate these lease arrangements if accounting rules applicable to synthetic leases are modified. However, it is likely that Wind River will decide to continue to occupy the buildings, and may therefore purchase the buildings or arrange for their purchase and lease back.

Wind River has guaranteed the residual value associated with the buildings under the first operating lease and the second operating lease to the lessor of a fixed amount of approximately 82% and 85%, respectively, of the lessor's actual funding of the two leases. Upon maturity of the operating leases, if Wind River does not purchase the buildings, it may be obligated to pay the amount of the residual value guarantee to the lessor. Wind River has deposited fixed income securities with a custodian to ensure the performance of its obligations under the leases; as of April 30, 2002 and January 31, 2002, Wind River held \$60.3 million in cash and cash equivalents as restricted cash in satisfaction of this requirement. The amount held as restricted cash as of April 30, 2002 is sufficient to satisfy performance of Wind River's obligations under the operating leases. The majority of restricted cash represents the costs incurred for construction of the buildings.

Under the terms of the leases, Wind River must maintain compliance with certain financial covenants, relating to minimum consolidated fixed charge ratio, minimum EBITDA, minimum tangible net worth and minimum net unencumbered cash. As of April 30, 2002, Wind River was not in compliance with the EBITDA covenant, which requires that Wind River maintain a certain EBITDA level, which is calculated by adding net loss and certain other charges recorded for the quarter. The lessor waived the application of EBITDA covenant as of April 30, 2002. Additionally, in June 2002, the operative documents for the synthetic leases were amended to (i) extend the applicability of the minimum net unencumbered cash covenant through the quarter ending April 30, 2003, (ii) apply the minimum EBITDA covenant to each of the three remaining quarters in fiscal 2003 with the required EBITDA varying for each such quarter and (iii) apply the minimum consolidated fixed charge ratio for the quarter scommencing with the quarter ending April 30, 2003 with the required ratio varying each quarter through the October 2003 quarter and thereafter returning to the original covenant level. The minimum tangible net worth covenant was not amended. There can be no assurance that Wind River will continue to be in compliance with these covenants, particularly if its revenues continue to be negatively impacted by the economy or other factors or if Wind River is not able to control its costs adequately. In the event Wind River were not in compliance, and were not able to obtain a waiver or amendment of the covenants, it could become obligated to purchase the buildings, as discussed below, and could incur additional costs associated with replacing the synthetic leases.

In the event of a default, Wind River's obligation to purchase the leased properties for 100% of the amount financed by the lessor could be accelerated. If the leases were terminated as a result of an event of default and Wind River became obligated to pay the purchase price of the buildings, Wind

12

River would reflect the purchase price as an asset on its balance sheet, and its restricted cash and investment position would be reduced by the amount of the purchase price. Currently, Wind River reflects rent payments as an expense on its statement of operations. In the event Wind River were required to purchase the buildings, its rent expense would cease and Wind River would subsequently record depreciation expense for the buildings over their estimated useful lives. Although no assurance can be given, management believes that an event of default or termination of the leases and Wind River's obligations to purchase the buildings would not have a material adverse affect on its financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

From time to time, Wind River is subject to legal proceedings and claims in the ordinary course of business, including claims of alleged infringement of patents and other intellectual property rights. Wind River is not currently aware of any legal proceedings or claims that Wind River believes will have, individually or in the aggregate, a material adverse affect on Wind River's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Note 11: Segment and Geographic Information

Wind River reports in one industry segment technology for embedded operating systems. Wind River markets its products and related services to customers in the United States, Canada, Europe, Japan and Asia Pacific. Internationally, Wind River markets its products and services primarily through its subsidiaries and various distributors. Revenues are attributed to geographic areas based on the country in which the customer is domiciled. The distribution of revenues and long-lived assets, net by geographic location is as follows:

	Rev	enues							
	Three Mo Apr	Ended	Long-lived Assets						
(In thousands)	 2002		2001	As	of April 30, 2002		As of January 31, 2002		
North America	\$ 40,295	\$	69,942	\$	164,390	\$	169,764		
Japan	5,909		10,853		6,869		7,386		
Other International	 20,155		29,397		7,223		6,556		
Consolidated	\$ 66,359	\$	110,192	\$	178,482	\$	183,706		

Other international consists of the revenues and long-lived assets of operations in Europe and Asia Pacific.

Revenue information on a product and services basis is as follows:

		Three Months Ended April 30,								
(In thousands)	2002		2001							
Software licenses	\$ 26,24	9 \$	49,590							
Run-time license revenues	17,47	6	24,080							
Maintenance revenues	12,61	2	20,748							
Other service revenues	10,02	2	15,774							
Total	\$ 66,35	9 \$	110,192							

No single customer accounted for more than 10% of Wind River's total revenues in the three months ended April 30, 2002 or 2001.

13

Note 12: Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In November 2001, the Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF") issued EITF Issue No. 01-09 ("EITF 01-09"), "Accounting for Consideration Given by a Vendor to a Customer/Reseller," which addresses the accounting for consideration given by a vendor to a customer, including both a reseller of the vendor's products and an entity that purchases the vendor's products from a reseller. EITF 01-09 also codifies and reconciles related guidance issued by the EITF, including EITF No. 00-25 "Vendor Income Statement Characterization of Consideration Paid to a Reseller of the Vendor's Products" ("EITF 00-25"). EITF 01-09 outlines the presumption that consideration given by a vendor to a customer, a reseller or a customer of a reseller is to be treated as a deduction from revenue. Treatment of such payments as an expense would only be appropriate if two conditions are met: (i) the vendor receives an identifiable benefit in return for the consideration paid that is sufficiently separable from the sale such that the vendor could have entered into an exchange transaction with a party other than the purchaser or its products in order to receive that benefit; and (ii) the vendor can reasonably estimate the fair value of that benefit. Wind River adopted EITF 01-09 effective February 1, 2002. Wind River's adoption of EITF 01-09 has not had a material impact on Wind River's financial statements.

In July 2001, the FASB also issued SFAS No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets," which is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2001. Certain provisions also apply to acquisitions initiated subsequent to June 30, 2001. SFAS No. 142 supersedes APB Opinion No. 17 "Intangible Assets" and requires, among other things, the discontinuance of amortization related to goodwill and indefinite lived

intangible assets. These assets will then be subject to an impairment test at least annually. In addition, the statement includes provisions upon adoption for the reclassification of certain existing recognized intangibles, including acquired workforce, as goodwill, the identification of reporting units for the purpose of assessing potential future impairments of goodwill, the reassessment of the useful lives of existing recognized intangibles and reclassification of certain intangibles out of previously reported goodwill. Wind River adopted SFAS No. 142 effective February 1, 2002, which resulted, among other effects, in Wind River's discontinuation of its amortization of existing goodwill. Additionally, Wind River is currently reviewing the impact of the adoption of SFAS No. 142, including the transition impairment tests. Wind River's initial impairment review is required to be completed by July 31, 2002. The impairment, if any, resulting from these transition tests will be recorded in the period it is determined and will be recognized as the cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle. See note 2 above for information regarding the impact of SFAS No. 142 on net income (loss) and net income (loss) per share had SFAS No. 142 been applicable in the three months ended April 30, 2001.

In October 2001, the FASB issued SFAS No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets." SFAS No. 144 supersedes SFAS No. 121 "Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and for Long-Lived Assets to Be Disposed of." SFAS No. 144 applies to all long-lived assets (including discontinued operations) and consequently amends APB Opinion No. 30, "Reporting the Results of Operations, Reporting the Effects of Disposal of a Segment of a Business, and Extraordinary, Unusual and Infrequently Occurring Events and Transactions." SFAS No. 144 develops one accounting model for long-lived assets that are to be disposed of by sale. SFAS No. 144 requires that long-lived assets that are to be disposed of by sale be measured at the lower of book value or fair value less cost to sell. Additionally SFAS No. 144 expands the scope of discontinued operations to include all components of an entity with operations. SFAS No. 144 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2001 and its provisions are to be applied prospectively. Wind River adopted SFAS No. 144, effective February 1, 2002. Wind River's adoption of SFAS No. 144 has not had a material impact on Wind River's financial statements.

14

Note 13: Subsequent Events

In May 2002, the Board of Directors amended, subject to stockholder approval, Wind River's 1993 Employee Stock Purchase Plan to increase the number of shares reserved for issuance thereunder by 1,500,000 shares plus an annual increase of 300,000 shares on the first day of each of the next five fiscal years, beginning with the fiscal year commencing February 1, 2003, provided such shares are repurchased by Wind River in the open market.

In May 2002, Wind River announced a restructuring plan to reduce operating expenses up to \$6.0 million per quarter. The restructuring plan will include a reduction in force as well as the implementation of other cost control measures. Wind River expects to take one-time cash charges of approximately \$13.0 million to \$15.0 million in the second quarter ending July 31, 2002 related to this restructuring plan. Wind River expects to realize the full impact of these reductions in the third quarter of fiscal 2003, ending October 31, 2002 and future quarters.

15

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Forward Looking Statements

The following Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements include the sentences in the third paragraph under "Results of Operations Overview" regarding one-time charges that we expect to take in the second quarter of fiscal 2003 relating to the restructuring we announced in May 2002, as well as to when the impact of the restructuring efforts will be realized; the sentence in the fourth paragraph under " Revenues" regarding expectations for international sales; the sentences in the second and third paragraphs under "Cost of Revenues" regarding factors that may affect product related costs of revenues in the future and our expectations regarding cost of service revenues in absolute dollars and as a percentage of revenue; the sentences in the first, second and third paragraphs under " Operating Expenses" regarding our expectations about sales and marketing expenses, product development and engineering expenses, and general and administrative expenses, respectively; the statements in the second, fourth and fifth paragraphs under "Liquidity and Capital Resources Commitments" regarding amendment or termination of our synthetic leases, our ability to obtain a waiver or amendment of the lease agreement and the affect that an event of default or termination of the leases would have on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows; the sentences in the last paragraph of "Liquidity and Capital Resources" regarding our beliefs about future liquidity and capital

requirements; and the statements under "Recent Accounting Pronouncements" regarding the impact on Wind River of the adoption of the pronouncements discussed thereunder.

These forward-looking statements are based on current expectations and involve known and unknown risks and uncertainties that may cause the results, levels of activity, performance or achievements of Wind River or its industry to be materially different from those expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements. The cautionary statements set forth below and in "Additional Risk Factors that May Affect Future Results of Operations" identify important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those in any such forward-looking statements. Additionally, see Wind River's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 31, 2002 for further discussion of these factors. Wind River does not intend to update any of the forward-looking statements contained in this report to reflect any future events or developments unless required by law. The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the condensed consolidated financial statements and notes included elsewhere in this report.

Overview

Wind River is a leading supplier of software solutions and development tools for embedded systems. An embedded system consists of a microprocessor, or a series of microprocessors, and related software and is used to control, monitor or assist the operation of electronic devices, equipment and machinery. Embedded systems are used in diverse products such as cellular phones, digital imaging products, auto braking systems, internet routers, jet fighter control panels and factory automation devices. Our products, including our flagship products, the Tornado® development platform and the VxWorks® real-time operating system, help customers to enhance product performance, standardize designs across projects, reduce research and development costs and shorten product development cycles. We sell our products to customers in a variety of markets, including aerospace, automotive, digital imaging, industrial measurement and networking.

We market our products and services in North America, Europe, Japan and the Asia Pacific region primarily through our own direct sales organization, which consists of sales persons and field engineers. We also license international distributors, primarily in the Asia Pacific region, to serve customers in regions not serviced by our direct sales force. Wind River was incorporated in California in February 1983 and reincorporated in Delaware in April 1993.

For a discussion of our critical accounting policies, please see "Critical Accounting Policies," in Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 31, 2002, which was filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 30, 2002.

Results of Operations

Overview

During the second quarter of fiscal 2002, we began to be significantly impacted by the continuing economic downturn in the high-technology sector. As a result, our revenues for the fiscal year ended January 31, 2002 were \$351.1 million compared to \$438.0 million for the prior fiscal year, reflecting a 20% decrease. The downturn in the high-technology sector, as well as the adverse impact on us, has continued into the first quarter of fiscal 2003. For the quarter ended April 30, 2002, our revenues were \$66.4 million compared to \$110.2 million for the quarter ended April 30, 2001, reflecting a 40% decrease. Net loss was \$18.0 million, or \$0.23 per share, for the quarter ended April 30, 2002 compared to a net loss of \$24.8 million, or \$0.32 per share, for the quarter ended April 30, 2001.

On February 1, 2002, we adopted the Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets" and, accordingly, in the three month period ended April 30, 2002, no amortization of goodwill was recorded. However, in the quarter ended April 30, 2001, we recorded amortization of goodwill and acquired workforce of \$29.1 million under the accounting rules existing at that time. If we had not amortized goodwill in the quarter ended April 30, 2001, net income would have been \$4.2 million, or \$0.06 per basic share and \$0.05 per diluted share. See note 2 to notes to condensed consolidated financial statements for further information about the impact of SFAS No. 142 on net income (loss) and net income (loss) per share had SFAS No. 142 been applicable for the quarter ended April 30, 2001.

As a result of the financial results for the quarter ended April 30, 2002, we have announced a second restructuring plan, which will include a headcount reduction and the implementation of other cost saving measures. Implementation of the restructuring plan will begin during the second quarter ending July 31, 2002 and we anticipate that the full impact of the plan will be realized in the third quarter ending October 31, 2002 and future quarters. We expect to take one-time cash charges relating to restructuring of \$13.0 million to \$15.0 million during the second quarter ending July 31, 2002.

¹⁶

See "Results of Operations" and " Factors That May Affect Future Results The continuing economic downturn has adversely impacted, and may continue to adversely impact, our revenues and earnings," for a further discussion regarding the impact of the economic downturn on our financial condition and results of operations.

The following table sets forth certain consolidated statement of operations data as a percentage of revenues for the periods indicated:

		Three Months Ended April 30,		
	2002	2001		
Revenues, net:				
Products	66%	679		
Services	34	33		
Total revenues	100	100		
Cost of revenues:				
Products	8	6		
Services	21	17		
Total cost of revenues	29	23		
Gross profit	71	77		
Operating expenses:	51	40		
Selling and marketing Product development and engineering	31	40 23		
General and administrative	14	23 9		
Amortization of goodwill and purchased intangibles	3	29		
Total operating expenses	99	101		
Loss from operations	(28)	(24		
Interest income and other, net	2	2		
Loss before provision for income taxes	(26)	(22		
Provision for income taxes	1	1		
Net loss	(27)%	(23)		

Revenues consist of product revenues and service revenues, net of sales returns and other allowances. Product revenues consist of royalties and fees for operating systems, hardware, licenses, and fees for the use of development tools. Service revenues are derived from fees from professional services, which include design and development fees, software maintenance contracts, and customer training and consulting. We accrue for sales returns and other allowances based on historical experience. Total revenues were \$66.4 million and \$110.2 million for the three months ended April 30, 2002 and 2001, respectively, reflecting a decrease of 40%. The decrease is due to a decline in product and service revenues resulting primarily from the continuing economic downturn in the high-technology sector. This downturn has affected us both directly, by decreasing our sales, and indirectly, because our products are incorporated into our customers' products, and as our customers' sales decline, our royalty revenue declines as well.

Our product revenues, excluding run-time royalties, decreased 47% to \$26.2 million in the three months ended April 30, 2002, compared to \$49.6 million in the three months ended April 30, 2001. Run-time royalties declined 27% to \$17.5 million in the three months ended April 30, 2002, compared to \$24.1 million in the three months ended April 30, 2001. Product revenues accounted for approximately 66% and 67% of total revenues in the three months ended April 30, 2002, and 2001,

18

respectively. The decline in product revenues is primarily due to lower customer demand for hardware and software products, including run-time royalties, which are generally tied to the number of customer products deployed. During fiscal 2002 and the first quarter of fiscal 2003, some of our customers deferred or canceled projects, in whole or in part, and experienced budgeting constraints causing a reduction in their purchases of our products.

Service revenues decreased 38% to \$22.6 million in the three months ended April 30, 2002, compared to \$36.5 million in the three months ended April 30, 2001. The decrease was primarily due to lower customer demand for maintenance, consulting and training services primarily as a result of the continuing economic downturn in the high-technology sector. Maintenance revenues decreased 39% to \$12.6 million in the three months ended April 30, 2002, compared to \$20.7 million in the three months ended April 30, 2001. Other service revenues declined 36% to \$10.0 million in the three months ended April 30, 2002 from \$15.8 million in the three months ended April 30, 2001. Service revenues accounted for approximately 34% and 33% of total revenues in the three months ended April 30, 2002 and 2001, respectively.

Revenues from international sales decreased by 35% to \$26.1 million in the three months ended April 30, 2002 from \$40.3 million in the three months ended April 30, 2001. The overall decrease was due to a 46% decline in revenues from Japan, a 35% decrease in revenues from Europe and a 19% decline in revenues from Asia Pacific. Revenues in Japan were \$5.9 million in the three months ended April 30, 2002, compared to \$10.9 million in the three months ended April 30, 2001. Revenues in Europe were \$14.5 million in the three months ended April 30, 2002, compared to \$22.4 million in the three months ended April 30, 2001. Revenues from Asia Pacific were \$5.7 million in the three months ended April 30, 2002 compared to \$7.0 million in the three months ended April 30, 2001. The declines in Japan, Europe and Asia Pacific were primarily the result of local economic slowdowns, which caused lower demand for our products and services. Many of our customers are large multinational corporations that have reduced capital spending across all geographic regions. Overall, however, international sales were not as adversely affected by the decrease in customer spending as domestic sales. As a result, international revenues accounted for 39% of total revenues for the three months ended April 30, 2002, compared to 37% in the three months ended April 30, 2001. We expect international sales to continue to represent a significant portion of revenues, although the actual percentage may fluctuate from period to period. Our international sales are mostly denominated in local currencies.

We cannot predict how long or severe the continuing economic downturn will be. As a result of this uncertainty, forecasting and financial and strategic planning are more difficult than usual. If the downturn continues for an extended period or becomes more severe, our business will suffer and we may experience additional declines in sales, as well as continued losses, as our customers attempt to limit their spending. In addition, the adverse impact of the downturn on the capital markets could impair our ability to raise capital as needed and impede our ability to expand our business. For further discussion about factors affecting our revenues and our revenue recognition policy see "Factors That May Affect Future Results" Our significant international business activities subject us to increased costs and economic risks."

Costs of Revenues

The overall cost of products and services as a percentage of total revenues was 29% in the three months ended April 30, 2002, compared to 23% in the three months ended April 30, 2001.

Cost of products was \$5.5 million and \$7.0 million in the three months ended April 30, 2002 and 2001, respectively, reflecting a decrease of 21%. Product-related cost of revenues as a percentage of product revenues was 13% in the three months ended April 30, 2002, compared to 9% in the three months ended April 30, 2001. Product-related costs consist primarily of salaries and benefits for

19

production employees, product media, amortization of capitalized software development costs, and royalty expense related to the use of third parties' software in our products, documentation and packaging. The decline in product-related costs in the three months ended April 30, 2002 was primarily due to lower product costs associated with lower hardware revenues together with savings achieved as a result of our restructuring plan at our manufacturing facilities. Additionally, lower amortization expense relating to capitalized software development costs, and lower third-party royalty costs contributed to the decline in product-related costs. Amortization of capitalized software development costs and third-party royalty costs included in cost of products amounted to \$231,000 and \$1.6 million, respectively, for the three months ended April 30, 2002, and \$390,000 and \$1.8 million, respectively, for the three months ended April 30, 2002 was due to a faster decline in the product revenues base than the decline in the fixed costs associated with manufacturing and distribution overhead. Product-related cost of revenues may be affected in the future by the amortization of capitalized software development costs, costs of distribution related to the introduction of new products and by royalty costs for use of third-party software in our products.

Cost of services was \$14.0 million and \$18.2 million in the three months ended April 30, 2002 and 2001, respectively, a decrease of 23%. Service-related cost of revenues as a percentage of service revenues was 62% in the three months ended April 30, 2002, compared to 50% in the three months ended April 30, 2001. Service-related cost of revenues consist primarily of personnel related costs associated with providing services, including consulting services, to customers and the infrastructure to manage a services organization, as well as costs to recruit, develop, and retain services professionals. The decrease in absolute dollars of service costs in the three months ended April 30, 2002 compared to the three months ended April 30, 2001 was primarily due to reduced utilization of outside consultants and a reduction of full-time employees as part of the restructuring plan implemented during the second quarter of fiscal 2002. Due to the restructuring program, we realized cost reductions of \$2.1 million in professional services costs, \$800,000 in maintenance costs and \$600,000 in training costs in the quarter ended April 30, 2002 compared to the quarter ended April 30, 2001. The increase in service-related costs as a percentage of service revenues in the three months ended April 30, 2002 was due to a faster decline in the service revenues base than the decline in fixed costs associated with customer support, personnel and certified third-party contractors within our professional services organization. We expect that the cost of service revenues will increase in absolute dollars in the long term as we continue to increase our professional service organization and customer support capabilities. Additionally, we expect cost of services to fluctuate as a percentage of service revenue based on progress of various consulting and professional service projects.

Operating Expenses

Selling and marketing expenses were \$33.8 million and \$44.4 million in the three months ended April 30, 2002 and 2001, respectively, reflecting a decrease of 24% from the three months ended April 30, 2001 to the three months ended April 30, 2002. As a percentage of total revenues, selling and marketing expenses were 51% in the three months ended April 30, 2002, compared to 40% in the three months ended April 30, 2001. The decrease in absolute dollars of selling and marketing expenses in the three months ended April 30, 2002 compared to the three months ended April 30, 2001 was primarily attributable to lower sales commission expenses as a result of decreased sales volume and decreased expenditures in various areas, primarily payroll expense, internal sales events, marketing programs and recognition programs relating to our restructuring program implemented during the second quarter of fiscal year 2002. Due to the restructuring plan and the general decline in sales, we realized cost reductions of \$3.1 million in sales commissions, \$2.2 million in the area of payroll-related costs, \$1.3 million relating to internal sales events and \$1.1 million in advertising and marketing-related costs in the quarter ended April 30, 2002 compared to the quarter ended April 30, 2001. The increase in selling and marketing expenses as a percentage of revenues was due to an overall lower revenue base

20

and expenses declining at a slower rate than the decline in revenues. We believe selling and marketing expenses in absolute dollars will decrease slightly during the second quarter of fiscal 2003 and more significantly during the third quarter of fiscal 2003 as we expect to realize the full impact of the new restructuring program by the third quarter of fiscal 2003, ending October 31, 2002. As a result of the new restructuring program to be implemented during the second quarter of fiscal 2003, we expect to see declines in areas relating to payroll, sales commissions and other sales and marketing-related program events and costs.

Product development and engineering expenses were \$20.6 million and \$25.3 million in the three months ended April 30, 2002 and 2001, respectively, reflecting a decrease of 19%. As a percentage of total revenues, product development and engineering expenses were 31% in the three months ended April 30, 2002, compared to 23% in the three months ended April 30, 2001. The decrease in product development and engineering expenses in absolute dollars in the quarter ended April 30, 2002 compared to the quarter ended April 30, 2001 was primarily due to our implementation of cost-control measures related to our restructuring plan implemented in the second quarter of fiscal 2002. Due to this

restructuring plan, we realized cost reductions of \$2.3 million in payroll-related costs, together with smaller savings achieved in various other areas, in the quarter ended April 30, 2002 compared to the quarter ended April 30, 2001. The increase in costs as a percentage of revenues was primarily due to an overall lower revenue base and expenses declining at a slower rate than the decline in revenues. We believe that product development and engineering expenses in absolute dollars will not increase significantly in the short term. However, we do expect an increase in absolute dollars in the long term, as we continue to focus on long-term growth in the areas of research and development. In addition, we received \$1.1 million and \$1.0 million for the three months ended April 30, 2002 and 2001, respectively, of funded research and development related to our Center of Excellence initiative, which offset a portion of our gross research and development expenses in the respective periods.

General and administrative expenses were \$9.3 million and \$10.0 million in the three months ended April 30, 2002 and 2001, respectively, reflecting a decrease of 8%. As a percentage of total revenues, general and administrative expenses were 14% in the three months ended April 30, 2002, compared to 9% in the three months ended April 30, 2001. The slight decline in general and administrative expenses in absolute dollars was the result of an overall decline in expenses due to our implementation of cost-control measures related to our restructuring plan implemented in the second quarter of fiscal 2002. Due to this restructuring plan, significant cost reductions were realized in the areas of payroll-related costs of \$1.2 million and outside consulting costs of \$1.0 million. These decreases were partially offset by an increase in legal fees of \$800,000 million associated with certain litigation matters. The increase in expenses as a percentage of total revenues was primarily due to an overall lower revenue base and expenses declining at a slower rate than the decline in revenues. We believe that general and administrative expenses will not increase significantly in absolute dollars in the short term as a result of the existing restructuring program discussed above and due to the new restructuring program implemented in May 2002. However, we do expect an increase in absolute dollars in the long term, as we continue to invest in worldwide staff and infrastructure in the areas of information systems and finance and administration, and continue to consolidate the financial, manufacturing, customer relations management and customer support information systems of acquired companies.

We allocate the total costs for information technology, facilities and fixed asset depreciation to each of the functional areas based on certain headcount data. Information technology allocated costs include salaries, information technology, project costs, communication costs and depreciation expense for fixed assets. Facilities allocated costs include facility rent for the corporate offices as well as shared function offices, property taxes, depreciation expenses for office furniture and other department operating costs. Fixed asset depreciation allocated costs include straight-line depreciation expense on buildings, leasehold improvements, computer equipment, software, furniture and office equipment.

21

Amortization of goodwill and purchased intangibles totaled \$2.1 million and \$31.5 million in the three months ended April 30, 2002 and 2001, respectively. The decrease is primarily related to the impact of our adoption of SFAS No. 142, as a result of which we did not amortize any goodwill in the three months ended April 30, 2002. In the quarter ended April 30, 2001, we recorded amortization of goodwill of \$29.1 million, including \$675,000 of acquired workforce previously classified as purchased intangible assets, under the accounting rules existing at that time. See "Recent Accounting Pronouncements" below for further information about SFAS No. 142.

Other Income (Expense)

Interest income and other, net was \$1.4 million and \$2.6 million for the three months ended April 30, 2002 and 2001, respectively. The decrease in interest income and other is primarily due to lower interest rates. Cash and cash equivalents, investments and restricted cash totaled \$336.9 million and \$328.3 million as of April 30, 2002 and 2001, respectively.

Interest expense was \$1.5 million and \$1.8 million for the three months ended April 30, 2002 and 2001, respectively. The decrease in interest expense is due to a lower interest rate on outstanding debt. The convertible notes outstanding during the quarter ended April 30, 2001 carried an interest rate of 5.0% while the convertible notes outstanding during the quarter ended April 30, 2002 carried an interest rate of 3.75%. Wind River pays interest on its outstanding 3.75% convertible subordinated notes semi-annually and records the amortization of certain issuance costs associated with these notes as other expense.

Other income and expense, net was a net other expense of \$1.0 million and \$867,000 for the three months ended April 30, 2002 and 2001, respectively. The slight increase in net expense for the three months ended April 30, 2002 is primarily due to higher realized investment losses and amortization of bond premiums, which was partially offset by foreign currency gains.

Provision For Income Taxes

Despite the occurrence of net losses in the three months ended April 30, 2002 and 2001, we had a tax provision of \$500,000 and \$1.2 million, and an effective tax rate of 2.8% and 5.1%, in the three months ended April 30, 2002 and 2001, respectively, due to significant costs associated with amortization of goodwill and purchased intangibles, which is not deductible for income tax purposes, and due to tax liabilities arising from certain foreign subsidiaries operations for the three months ended April 30, 2002. Excluding the non-deductible charges, we would have recorded a tax benefit with an effective tax rate of 36.5% for the three-months ended April 30, 2002 and a tax liability with an

effective tax rate of 37.5% in the three-month period ended April 30, 2001.

In addition, in fiscal 2002 we recorded a full valuation allowance against deferred tax assets based on management's determination that it is more likely than not that our deferred tax assets at January 31, 2002 will not be realized. As of April 30, 2002, approximately \$22.0 million of the gross deferred tax asset related to certain U.S. operating loss carry-forwards resulting from the exercise of employee stock options. When recognized, the tax benefit related to these assets will be accounted for as a credit to additional paid-in-capital rather than a reduction of the income tax provision.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Cash Flows

As of April 30, 2002, we had working capital of approximately \$78.0 million, and cash, cash equivalents and investments of approximately \$273.3 million, which includes \$34.0 million of short-term investments and \$163.6 million of investments with maturities of greater than one year but excludes restricted cash of \$63.7 million associated with our synthetic leases and related interest rate swap agreements. We invest primarily in highly liquid, investment-grade instruments. We have substantial debt service, principal repayment and lease payment obligations, which could affect our liquidity, cash reserves and ability to obtain additional financing if we need to do so.

Operating activities primarily include the net loss for the year, non-cash charges such as depreciation and amortization expense and changes in assets and liabilities. In the three months ended April 30, 2002, our operating activities used net cash of \$2.5 million compared to net cash provided of \$5.0 million in the three months ended April 30, 2001. Net cash used in operating activities for the three months ended April 30, 2002 consisted of a decrease in cash used by operations of \$9.2 million offset by an increase in cash of \$6.7 million arising from changes in assets and liabilities, primarily accounts receivable. Accounts receivable decreased by \$14.2 million due primarily to the lower sales volume in the three months ended April 30, 2002 and to an increased rate of collections. Net cash provided by operating activities in the three months ended April 30, 2001 consisted of cash generated from operations of \$10.7 million offset by a decrease in cash of \$5.7 million arising from changes in assets and liabilities, primarily accounts receivable. Cash from operations includes net loss of \$18.0 million and \$24.8 million offset primarily by depreciation and amortization of \$8.1 million and \$36.3 million in the three months ended April 30, 2002 and 2001, respectively. Our operating cash flows depend heavily on the level of our sales, which in turn depend, to a large extent on general economic conditions affecting us and our customers, as well as the timing of new product introductions and other competitive factors and our ability to control expenses successfully.

Our investing activities used net cash of \$54.7 million compared to \$13.7 million in the three months ended April 30, 2002 and 2001, respectively. Investing activities generally relate to the purchase of investments, business acquisitions and equipment purchases, partially offset by cash provided from the sale and maturity of investments. Purchases of investments totaled \$101.1 million and \$63.7 million in the three months ended April 30, 2002 and 2001, respectively. Additionally, purchases of capital equipment totaled \$2.4 million and \$6.5 million, in the three months ended April 30, 2002 and 2001, respectively. Furthermore, during the three months ended April 30, 2001, we used \$16.4 million for acquisition related activities, lent \$7.5 million to Berkeley Software Design, Inc. and had restricted cash deposits (relating to our synthetic leases and interest rate swap agreements) of \$778,000. Cash provided by the sale of investments was \$37.5 million and \$66.2 million in the three months ended April 30, 2002 and 2001, respectively, and cash provided by maturities of investments was \$11.3 million and \$14.9 million in the three months ended April 30, 2002 and 2001, respectively.

In the three months ended April 30, 2002, our financing activities provided net cash of \$1.2 million compared to \$5.5 million in the three months ended April 30, 2001. During the three months ended April 30, 2002, the primary source of cash was \$3.3 million received from the issuance of common stock from employee stock option exercises, offset by \$2.1 million in repayment of a line of credit at our Japanese subsidiary. During the three months ended April 30, 2001, cash of \$4.1 million was provided by the issuance of common stock from stock option exercises and cash of \$1.3 million was provided by an increase in a line of credit at our Japanese subsidiary.

Convertible Subordinated Notes

In December 2001, we issued \$150.0 million of 3.75% convertible subordinated notes due December 2006. The notes are unsecured, subordinated to all existing and future senior debt and

convertible into shares of our common stock at an initial conversion price of \$24.115 per share. The notes mature on December 15, 2006, unless earlier redeemed or converted. Interest is payable in cash semi-annually in arrears on June 15 and December 15 of each year, commencing

June 15, 2002. At the option of the holder, the notes may be converted into our common stock at any time at the then-current conversion price. We may redeem all or a portion of the notes for cash at a redemption price of 100.75% of the principal amount between December 15, 2004 and December 14, 2005, and 100.0% of the principal amount beginning December 15, 2005 and thereafter. Additionally, under specified circumstances we may redeem the notes prior to 2004.

The indenture under which the notes were issued provides that an event of default will occur if (i) we fail to pay principal or premium on the notes, (ii) we fail to pay interest on the notes and fail to cure such non-payment within 30 days, (iii) we fail to perform any other covenant required of us in the indenture and the failure is not cured or waived within 60 days, or (iv) we or one of our significant subsidiaries fails to pay, at final maturity or upon acceleration, any indebtedness for money borrowed in an outstanding principal amount in excess of \$35.0 million, including lease commitments, and the indebtedness is not discharged, or the default is not cured, waived or rescinded within 60 days after written notice is provided in accordance with the terms of the indenture. If any of these events of default occurs, either the trustee or the holders of at least 25% of the outstanding notes may declare the principal amount of the notes to be due and payable. In addition, an event of bankruptcy, insolvency or reorganization (involving us or any of our significant subsidiaries) will constitute an event of default under the indenture and, in that case, the principal amount of the notes will automatically become due and payable.

Commitments

In fiscal 1998 and fiscal 2000, we entered into two operating leases for our headquarters facility constructed on land owned by us in Alameda, California. After consideration of various financing alternatives for the construction of our headquarters buildings on land owned by us, the related economic impact of each alternative and the ability to retain control of the property, we chose a form of financing that we believe offered beneficial economic terms, commonly referred to as a "synthetic lease." These leases are treated as operating leases for accounting purposes and financing leases for tax purposes. A synthetic lease is a form of off-balance sheet financing under which an unrelated third party funds 100% of the costs for the acquisition and/or construction of the property and leases the asset back to the company, as lessee. None of our officers or employees has any financial interest in these synthetic lease arrangements.

The lessor has funded a total of \$32.4 million of construction costs related to the first operating lease and \$25.0 million of construction costs related to the second operating lease. The operating lease payments vary based upon the total construction costs of the buildings, which include capitalized interest at the London interbank offering rate ("LIBOR"). In connection with the leases, we leased the land to the lessor of the buildings at a nominal rate and for a term of 55 years. If we were to terminate the ground lease prior to maturity, we would become obligated to purchase the buildings. The operating leases provide us with the option, at the end of their terms, to either acquire the buildings at the lessor's original cost or arrange for the buildings to be acquired. Both leases have initial terms of seven years but may be renewed under certain circumstances. Unless renewed, the first lease will terminate in September 2004, and the second lease will terminate in November 2006. As of April 30, 2002, we had not made a decision with respect to the option we will pursue at the end of the lease terms, and we may seek to amend or terminate these lease arrangements if accounting rules applicable to synthetic leases are modified. However, it is likely that we will decide to continue to occupy the buildings, and may therefore purchase the buildings or arrange for their purchase and lease back.

We have guaranteed the residual value associated with the buildings under these operating leases to the lessor of a fixed amount of approximately 82% and 85%, respectively, of the lessor's actual

24

funding of the two leases. Upon maturity of the operating leases, if we do not purchase the buildings, we may be obligated to pay the amount of the residual value guarantee to the lessor. We have deposited fixed income securities with a custodian to ensure the performance of our obligations under the operating leases; at each of April 30, 2002 and January 31, 2002, we held \$60.3 million in cash and cash equivalents as restricted cash in satisfaction of this requirement. The amount held as restricted cash as of April 30, 2002 is sufficient to satisfy performance of our obligations under the operating leases. The majority of restricted cash represents the cost incurred for construction of the buildings.

Under the terms of the operating leases, we must maintain compliance with financial covenants relating to a minimum consolidated fixed charge ratio, minimum EBITDA, a minimum tangible net worth and minimum net unencumbered cash. As of April 30, 2002, we were not in compliance with the minimum EBITDA covenant. The minimum EBITDA covenant requires that we maintain a certain EBITDA level, which is calculated by adding net loss and certain other charges recorded for the quarter. The lessor waived the application of EBITDA covenant as of April 30, 2002. Additionally, in June 2002, the operative documents for the synthetic leases were amended to (i) extend the applicability of the minimum net unencumbered cash covenant through the quarter ending April 30, 2003, (ii) apply the minimum EBITDA covenant for each of the three remaining quarters in fiscal 2003 with the required EBITDA varying for each such quarter and (iii) apply the minimum consolidated fixed charge ratio for the quarter scommencing with the quarter ending April 30, 2003 with the required ratio varying each quarter through the October 2003 quarter and thereafter returning to the original covenant level. The minimum tangible net worth covenant was not amended. There can be no assurance that we will continue to be in compliance with these covenants, particularly if our revenues continue to be negatively impacted by the economy or other factors or if we are not able to control our costs adequately. In addition, while we believe we would be able to

obtain a waiver if we were out of compliance, there can be no assurance that the lessor will agree to provide one. In the event we are not in compliance, and were not able to obtain a waiver or amendment of the covenants, we could become obligated to purchase the buildings, as discussed below, and could incur additional costs associated with replacing the synthetic leases.

In the event of a default, our obligation to purchase the leased properties for 100% of the amount financed by the lessor could be accelerated. If the leases were terminated as a result of an event of default and we became obligated to pay the purchase price of the buildings, we would reflect the purchase price as an asset on our balance sheet, and our restricted cash and investments position would be reduced by the amount of the purchase price. Currently, we reflect rent payments as an expense on our statement of operations. In the event we were required to purchase the buildings, our rent expense would cease and we would subsequently record depreciation expense for the buildings over their estimated useful lives. Although no assurance can be given, management believes that an event of default or termination of the leases and our obligation to purchase the buildings would not have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

In March 1998, we entered into an interest rate swap agreement to mitigate the impact of changes in interest rates on our first floating rate operating lease. The interest rate swap agreement effectively changes our interest rate exposure on the operating lease, which is based on the one month LIBOR, to a fixed rate of 5.9%. As of April 30, 2002, the notional amount of the interest rate swap was \$28.5 million. In January 2001, we entered into another interest rate swap agreement to reduce the impact of changes in interest rates on our second floating rate operating lease. This second interest rate swap agreement effectively changes our interest rate exposure on our second operating lease, which is based on the one month LIBOR, to a fixed rate of 5.6%. As of April 30, 2002, the notional amount of the interest rate swap was \$27.9 million. The differential to be paid or received under both interest rate swap agreements will be recognized as an adjustment to rent expense related to the respective operating leases. The interest rate swap agreements mature at the same time that their respective operating leases expire. The amounts potentially subject to credit risk (arising from the

25

possible inability of the counterparties to meet the terms of their contracts) are generally limited to the amounts, if any, by which the counterparties' obligations exceed our obligations. As of April 30, 2002, the estimated fair value of these interest rate swap liabilities was \$2.6 million, which is recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income and other long-term liabilities.

As of April 30, 2002, our future financial commitments are as set forth in the table below:

			Years Ending January 31, 2002										
(In thousands)	Nine Months Ending nuary 31, 2003	_	2004		2005		2006	_	2007	1	[hereafter		Total
Synthetic lease payments	\$ 864	\$	1,152	\$	937	\$	508	\$	424	\$		\$	3,885
Interest rate swap payments	1,666		2,058		1,632		905		753				7,014
	 	_		_		-		_		_			
Synthetic lease payments, net	2,530		3,210		2,569		1,413		1,177				10,899
Convertible debt	5,625		5,625		5,625		5,625		155,625				178,125
Japanese subsidiary line of													
credit	13,000												13,000
Other operating leases	8,329		5,681		5,150		2,979		1,682		10,197		34,018
		_		_		-		_		_			
Total	\$ 29,484	\$	14,516	\$	13,344	\$	10,017	\$	158,484	\$	10,197	\$	236,042
				_						_		_	

The synthetic lease payments, net, set forth in the table above are computed based on current interest rates, which are subject to certain market-based interest rates, and are stated net of interest rate swap payments. The \$150.0 million 3.75% convertible subordinated notes mature in December 2006, but may be redeemed by us starting in 2004 or earlier if certain conditions are met. See " Convertible Subordinated Notes" above. We had no material planned capital commitments as of April 30, 2002.

Our capital requirements depend on numerous factors including our research and development expenditures, expenses related to selling, general and administrative operations and working capital to support business growth. We anticipate that our operating and capital expenditures will constitute a material use of our cash resources. As a result, our net cash flows will depend heavily on (i) the level of our future sales (which depend, to a large extent, on general economic conditions affecting us and our customers, as well as the timing of new product introductions and other competitive factors) and (ii) our ability to implement our restructuring plans and to control expenses. Although it is difficult for us to

predict future liquidity requirements with certainty, we believe that our current cash and cash equivalents will satisfy our cash requirements for planned expansion, product development and capital expenditures for at least the next twelve months and on a longer term basis. During or after this period, if cash generated by operations is insufficient to satisfy our liquidity requirements, we may need to sell additional equity or debt securities or obtain an additional credit facility. Our ability to obtain additional financing may be limited by the amount of indebtedness we have outstanding and/or our recent performance and financial condition, particularly if our bond rating is lowered or withdrawn, as well as general market conditions if the continuing economic downturn were to continue or become more serious. There can be no assurance that additional financing, we might be required to reduce our expenses, including product development and engineering expenses, which could have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In November 2001, the Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF") issued EITF Issue No. 01-09 ("EITF 01-09"), "Accounting for Consideration Given by a Vendor to a Customer/Reseller," which addresses the accounting for consideration given by a vendor to a customer, including both a reseller of the

26

vendor's products and an entity that purchases the vendor's products from a reseller. EITF 01-09 also codifies and reconciles related guidance issued by the EITF, including EITF No. 00-25 "Vendor Income Statement Characterization of Consideration Paid to a Reseller of the Vendor's Products" ("EITF 00-25"). EITF 01-09 outlines the presumption that consideration given by a vendor to a customer, a reseller or a customer of a reseller is to be treated as a deduction from revenue. Treatment of such payments as an expense would only be appropriate if two conditions are met: (i) the vendor receives an identifiable benefit in return for the consideration paid that is sufficiently separable from the sale such that the vendor could have entered into an exchange transaction with a party other than the purchaser or its products in order to receive that benefit; and (ii) the vendor can reasonably estimate the fair value of that benefit. We adopted EITF 01-09 effective February 1, 2002. Our adoption of EITF 01-09 has not had a material impact on our financial statements.

In July 2001, the FASB also issued SFAS No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets," which is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2001. Certain provisions also apply to acquisitions initiated subsequent to June 30, 2001. SFAS No. 142 supersedes APB Opinion No. 17 "Intangible Assets" and requires, among other things, the discontinuance of amortization related to goodwill and indefinite lived intangible assets. These assets will then be subject to an impairment test at least annually. In addition, the statement includes provisions upon adoption for the reclassification of certain existing recognized intangibles, including acquired workforce, as goodwill, the identification of reporting units for the purpose of assessing potential future impairments of goodwill. We adopted SFAS No. 142 effective February 1, 2002, which resulted, among other effects, in our discontinuation of our amortization of existing goodwill. Additionally, we are currently reviewing the impact of the adoption of SFAS No. 142, including the transition impairment tests. Our initial impairment review is required to be completed by July 31, 2002. The impairment, if any, resulting from these transition tests will be recorded in the period it is determined and will be recognized as the cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle. See note 2 to notes to condensed consolidated financial statements for information regarding the impact of SFAS No. 142 on net income (loss) and net income (loss) per share had SFAS No. 142 been applicable in the three months ended April 30, 2001.

In October 2001, the FASB issued SFAS No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets." SFAS No. 144 supersedes SFAS No. 121 "Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and for Long-Lived Assets to Be Disposed of." SFAS No. 144 applies to all long-lived assets (including discontinued operations) and consequently amends APB Opinion No. 30, "Reporting the Results of Operations, Reporting the Effects of Disposal of a Segment of a Business, and Extraordinary, Unusual and Infrequently Occurring Events and Transactions." SFAS No. 144 develops one accounting model for long-lived assets that are to be disposed of by sale. SFAS No. 144 requires that long-lived assets that are to be disposed of by sale be measured at the lower of book value or fair value less cost to sell. Additionally SFAS No. 144 expands the scope of discontinued operations to include all components of an entity with operations that (i) can be distinguished from the rest of the entity and (ii) will be eliminated from the ongoing operations of the entity in a disposal transaction. SFAS No. 144 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2001 and its provisions are to be applied prospectively. We adopted SFAS No. 144 effective February 1, 2002. Our adoption of SFAS No. 144 has not had a material impact on our financial statements.

Factors That May Affect Future Results

Our business faces significant risks. The risks described below may not be the only risks we face. Additional risks that we do not yet know of or that we currently think are immaterial may also impair our business operations. If any of the events or circumstances described in the following risks actually occur, our business, financial condition or results of operations could suffer, and the trading price of our common stock could decline.

The recent economic downturn has adversely impacted and may continue to adversely impact our revenues and earnings. In addition, uncertainties associated with the downturn increase the difficulty of financial planning and forecasting.

The United States is currently in the midst of a general economic downturn that commenced in 2001. During the fiscal year ended January 31, 2002, we experienced a decline in revenues and a loss of profitability, which we believe is attributable, at least in part, to this downturn, as many of our customers experienced budgeting constraints, causing them to defer or cancel projects. Our total revenues decreased 20% to \$351.1 million in fiscal 2002 compared to \$438.0 million in fiscal 2001. We recorded a net loss of \$375.6 million, or \$4.84 per share, for fiscal 2002 compared with a net loss of \$76.4 million, or \$1.05 per share, for fiscal 2001. This decline has continued into the first quarter of fiscal 2003; our total revenues decreased 40% to \$66.4 million for the quarter ended April 30, 2002 compared to \$110.2 million for the quarter ended April 30, 2001, and we recorded a net loss of \$18.0 million for the quarter ended April 30, 2002 compared to a net loss of \$24.8 million for the quarter ended April 30, 2001. Our decline in revenues during the first half of fiscal 2002, as well as the adverse economic conditions, led us to implement a restructuring program in May 2001 that included a headcount reduction and other cost-control measures, and to record charges for restructuring and impairment of acquired goodwill and other assets during fiscal year 2002. Additionally, in May 2002, we announced another restructuring program, including further headcount reductions and cost-control measures.

We cannot predict how long or severe this downturn will be or whether any actions taken or proposed by the government will be effective to bolster the economy. As a result of this uncertainty, forecasting and financial and strategic planning are more difficult than usual. If the downturn continues for an extended period or becomes more severe, our business will continue to suffer and we may experience additional declines in sales, as well as continued losses, as our customers attempt to limit their spending. In addition, the adverse impact of the downturn on the capital markets could impair our ability to raise capital as needed and impede our ability to expand our business.

Numerous factors may cause our total revenues and operating results to fluctuate significantly from period to period. These fluctuations increase the difficulty of financial planning and forecasting and may result in decreases in our available cash and declines in the market price of our stock.

A number of factors, many of which are outside our control, may cause or contribute to significant fluctuations in our total revenues and operating results. These fluctuations make financial planning and forecasting more difficult. In addition, these fluctuations may result in unanticipated decreases in our available cash, which could negatively impact our operations. As discussed more fully below, these fluctuations also could increase the volatility of our stock price. Factors that may cause or contribute to fluctuations in our operating results and revenues include:

the number and timing of orders we receive, including disproportionately higher receipt and shipment of orders in the last month of the quarter;

changes in the length of our products' sales cycles, which increase as our customers' purchase decisions become more strategic and are made at higher management levels;

the success of our customers' products from which we derive our royalty revenue;

the mix of our revenues as between sales of products and lower-margin sales of services;

our ability to control our operating expenses;

our ability to continue to develop, introduce and ship competitive new products and product enhancements quickly;

²⁸

possible deferrals of orders by customers in anticipation of new product introductions;

announcements, product introductions and price reductions by our competitors;

our ability to manage costs for fixed-price consulting agreements;

seasonal product purchases by our customers, which historically have been higher in our fourth fiscal quarter;

changes in business cycles that affect the markets in which we sell our products;

economic conditions in the United States and international markets; and

foreign currency exchange rates.

One or more of the foregoing factors may cause our operating expenses to be disproportionately high or may cause our net revenue and operating results to fluctuate significantly. Results from prior periods are thus not necessarily indicative of the results of future periods.

Our restructuring plans may not result in sufficient cost savings to enable us to achieve profitability in a difficult economic environment.

In response to market conditions and the decline in our revenues, in May 2001 and again in May 2002, we implemented restructuring plans that were designed to align our resources more strategically and control our expenses. Our current restructuring plan is based on certain assumptions regarding the cost structure of our business and the nature and severity of the current industry adjustment and general economic trends. In fiscal 2002, we anticipated a more rapid pace of economic recovery than has actually occurred. Similarly, we cannot be certain that the assumptions underlying our current restructuring plan will prove to be accurate. If they are not, our current restructuring plan may not result in the correct alignment of our resources or sufficient cost savings. Our restructuring plans involve the implementation of a number of initiatives to streamline our business and focus our investments, including reducing headcount by a total of 475 employees during fiscal 2002 and an additional number during the second quarter of fiscal 2003 across all business functions, implementing cost-control measures such as reducing executive compensation, implementing a program of office closure days and reducing discretional spending on items such as consulting and travel. Additionally, we recorded an aggregate charge of \$257.4 million for impairment of goodwill and intangible assets during the second and fourth quarters of fiscal 2003. We expect to take one-time cash charges of approximately \$13.0 million to \$15.0 million during the second quarter of fiscal 2003 relating to the current restructuring and reduction in force. These measures may adversely affect our ability to realize our current or future business objectives. In addition, the costs actually incurred in connection with restructuring actions may exceed our estimated costs of these actions.

We may need to refine, expand or extend our current plan, which may involve additional restructuring actions, such as further headcount reductions, assessing whether we should consider disposing of businesses or product lines and reviewing the recoverability of remaining tangible and intangible assets. Any decision to further limit investment or to dispose of or otherwise exit additional businesses may result in the recording of additional accrued liabilities for one-time or other charges, such as work force reduction costs, asset write-downs and contractual settlements. Current and additional restructuring actions may result in further cash and/or non-cash charges, which could have a

29

material adverse effect on our business and results of operations. As a result, we cannot be sure that we will return to profitability as a result of our restructuring plan.

We have substantial debt service and principal repayment obligations, which could make it difficult for us to obtain financing and deplete our cash reserves.

Our substantial debt service and other commitments could impair our liquidity and cash reserves and our ability to obtain additional financing for working capital or acquisitions, should we need to do so. As of April 30, 2002, we had \$150.0 million in outstanding indebtedness under our 3.75% notes and \$57.4 million in long-term obligations under the lease financings of our facilities in Alameda, California. As of

April 30, 2002, there was \$12.9 million outstanding under the revolving credit facility of our Japanese subsidiary. As a result of these and other commitments as of April 30, 2002, our total future commitments for the remainder of fiscal year ended January 31, 2003 will be \$29.5 million and for the fiscal year ended January 31, 2004 will be \$14.5 million, assuming that none of our obligations is accelerated. As of April 30, 2002, we had cash and cash equivalents of \$75.7 million, short-term investments of \$34.0 million and investments with maturities of greater than one year of \$163.6 million.

Under the terms of our synthetic leases, we must maintain compliance with financial covenants relating to a minimum consolidated fixed charge ratio, minimum EBITDA, a minimum tangible net worth and minimum net unencumbered cash. As of April 30, 2002, we were not in compliance with the minimum EBITDA covenant; however, the lessor waived the covenant and in June 2002 the covenants were amended as they relate to future periods. There can be no assurance that we will continue to be in compliance with these covenants, particularly if our revenues continue to be negatively impacted by the economy or other factors. In addition, if we were not in compliance, we cannot be sure that the lessor would agree to provide a waiver or amendment of the covenants. In the event we are not in compliance, and are not able to obtain a waiver or amendment of the covenants, we could become obligated to purchase the buildings and could incur additional costs associated with replacing the synthetic leases. See "Liquidity and Capital Resources" above for a further discussion of our synthetic leases.

We face intense competition in the embedded software industry, which could decrease demand for our products or cause us to reduce our prices.

The embedded software industry is characterized by rapid change, new and complex technology and intense competition. Our ability to maintain our current market share depends upon our ability to satisfy customer requirements, enhance existing products and develop and introduce new products. We expect the intensity of competition to increase in the future. Increased competitiveness may result in reductions in the prices of our products, run-time royalties and services, lower-than-expected gross margins or loss of market share, any of which would harm our business.

Our primary competition comes from internal research and development departments of companies that develop embedded systems in-house. In many cases, companies that develop operating systems in-house have already made significant investments of time and effort in developing their own internal systems, making acceptance of our products as a replacement more difficult. Additionally, we compete with independent software vendors. Some of the companies that develop embedded systems in-house and some of these independent software vendors, such as Microsoft Corporation, have significantly greater financial, technical, marketing, sales and other resources and significantly greater name recognition than we do.

We also compete with open-source software vendors that promote the Linux operating system. The accessibility of the open source code promotes rapid technological changes from contributors in the open-source community, and open-source vendors may be able to respond more quickly to these changes. Additionally, because Linux is royalty-free, we may be forced to reduce the prices of our run-time royalties, which may cause our revenue and profit margin to decline.

30

Demands for rapid change and the increasing complexity of the technology in our industry intensify the competition we face. In addition, our competitors may consolidate or establish strategic alliances to expand product offerings and resources or address new market segments. As a result, they may be able to respond more quickly to new or emerging technologies and changes in customer requirements or to devote greater resources to the development, promotion, sale and support of their products. These factors favor larger competitors that have the resources to develop new technologies or to respond more quickly with new product offerings or product enhancements. We may be unable to meet the pace of rapid development set by our competitors or may incur additional costs attempting to do so, which may cause declines in our operating results. Our competitors may foresee the course of market developments more accurately than we do and could in the future develop new technologies that compete with our products or even render our products obsolete, any of which could adversely affect our competitive position.

If we do not continue to address new and rapidly changing markets and increasingly complex technologies successfully and deliver our products on a timely basis, our revenues and operating results will decline.

The market for embedded software is characterized by ongoing technological developments, evolving industry standards and rapid changes in customer requirements and product offerings in the embedded market. Our success depends upon our ability to adapt and respond to these changes in a timely and cost-effective manner. If we fail to continually update our existing products to keep them current with customer needs or to develop new or enhanced products to take advantage of new technologies, emerging standards, and expanding customer requirements, our existing products could become obsolete and our financial performance would suffer. We have from time to time experienced delays in the commercial release of new technologies, new products and enhancements of existing products. These delays are commonplace in the software industry due to the complexity and unpredictability of the development work required. We must effectively market and sell new product

offerings to key customers, because once a customer has designed a product with a particular operating system, that customer typically is reluctant to change its supplier due to the significant related costs. If we cannot adapt or respond in a cost-effective and timely manner to new technologies and new customer requirements, sales of our products could decline.

The costs of software development can be high, and we may not realize revenues from our development efforts for a substantial period of time.

Introducing new products that rapidly address changing market demands requires a continued high level of investment in research and development. Our product development and engineering expenses were \$20.6 million, or 31% of total revenues, for the three months ended April 30, 2002 compared to \$25.3 million, or 23% of total revenues, for the three months ended April 30, 2001. Our product development and engineering expenses were \$88.7 million, or 25% of total revenues, for the year ended January 31, 2002 compared to \$83.0 million, or 19% of total revenue, for the year ended January 31, 2001. As we undertake the extensive capital outlays to address the changes in the embedded market, we may be unable to realize revenue as soon as we may expect. These costs associated with software development are increasing, including the costs of recruiting and retaining engineering talent and acquiring or licensing new technologies. Our investment in new and existing market opportunities prior to our ability to generate revenue from these new opportunities may adversely affect our operating results.

Integrating the companies we have acquired and costs associated with acquisitions and investments may disrupt our business and harm our operating results.

We anticipate that, as part of our business strategy, we will continue to acquire or make investments in business, products and technologies that complement ours. These investments and

31

acquisitions can be expensive and difficult to manage and integrate. We have incurred significant costs in connection with acquisition transactions in recent fiscal years and may incur significant costs in connection with future transactions, whether or not they are consummated. For example, for the fiscal year ended January 31, 2001, we recorded a charge of \$33.3 million for acquisition-related costs, of which \$25.2 million related to acquisition and integration costs associated with the acquisition of Integrated Systems, Inc., including fees for financial advisors, office closure costs, severance payments and systems integration. Acquisitions involve additional risks including:

difficulties in integrating the operations, technologies, and products of the acquired companies;

the risk of diverting management's attention from normal daily operations of the business;

potential difficulties in completing projects associated with in-process research and development;

risks of operating in markets in which we have no or limited direct prior experience and where competitors in such markets have stronger market positions;

disruption of customer relationships;

increased expenses associated with acquisitions, including costs for employee redeployment, relocation or

severance; conversion of information systems; combining research and development teams and processes;

reorganization or closures of facilities; and relocation or disposition of excess equipment;

potential delays in realizing anticipated revenues associated with the acquisition; and

the potential loss of key employees of the acquired companies.

Additionally, if revenues associated with acquired businesses do not meet our original expectations, acquisitions may result in charges relating to impairment of acquired goodwill and purchased intangibles. During fiscal 2002, we identified indicators of possible impairment of goodwill and other intangible assets relating to acquisitions made in earlier years. As a result of our impairment review, we recorded an aggregate charge of \$257.4 million for the impairment of goodwill and purchased intangibles relating to our acquisitions of Embedded Support Tools Corporation, AudeSi Technologies, Inc., Rapid Logic, Inc., ICESoft AS and Software Development Systems, Inc.

We may not be successful in integrating the business, products, technologies and personnel we acquire. Similarly, we cannot guarantee that our investments will yield a significant return, if any. If we cannot successfully manage the integration of our acquisitions or are unable to realize the benefits of, or anticipated revenues from, our acquisitions, our business, financial condition and operating results could suffer.

Because a significant portion of our revenue is derived from royalties, we are dependent upon the ability of our customers to develop and penetrate new markets successfully.

Our operating systems and middleware products are embedded in end-user products developed and marketed by our customers, and we receive royalty fees for each copy of our operating system and middleware products embedded in those products. Therefore, our royalty revenues depend both upon our ability to successfully negotiate royalty agreements with our customers and, in turn, upon our customers' successful commercialization of their underlying products. In particular, we derive significant revenue from customers that develop products in highly competitive and technologically complex areas such as Internet infrastructure, servers and storage, digital consumer, aerospace and defense, industrial control and automotive. If these customers sell fewer products or otherwise face significant economic difficulties, our revenues will decline. During the fiscal year ended January 31, 2002 and continuing during the three months ended April 30, 2002, we experienced a decline in run-time royalties received

32

from customers, which we believe is primarily due to our customers' response to the existing current market conditions in the high-technology sector. We cannot control these customers' product development or commercialization or predict their success. In addition, we depend on our customers to accurately report the use of their products in order for us to collect our run-time royalties. If our customers are not successful with their products or do not accurately report use of their products, our royalty revenues may decline significantly.

Our significant international business activities subject us to increased costs and economic risks.

We develop and sell a substantial percentage of our products internationally. For the three months ended April 30, 2002, revenues from international sales were \$26.1 million, or 39% of total revenue, as compared to \$40.3 million, or 37% of total revenue, for three months ended April 30, 2001. For the fiscal year ended January 31, 2002, revenues from international sales were \$135.8 million, or 39% of total revenue, as compared to \$137.3 million, or 31% of total revenue, for the fiscal year ended January 31, 2002, revenues from international sales were \$135.8 million, or 39% of total revenue, as compared to \$137.3 million, or 31% of total revenue, for the fiscal year ended January 31, 2001. Additionally, we have investments in, or have made acquisitions of, companies located outside the United States. We also expect to continue to make investments to further support and expand our international operations and increase our direct sales force in Europe and Asia. Risks inherent in international operations include:

the imposition of governmental controls and regulatory requirements;

the costs and risks of localizing products for foreign countries;

differences in business cultures and sales cycles;

differences in operation and sales support expenses;

unexpected changes in tariffs, import and export restrictions and other barriers and restrictions;

greater difficulty in accounts receivable collection;

the restrictions on repatriation of earnings;

exposure to adverse movements in foreign currency exchange rates;

the burdens of complying with a variety of foreign laws;

difficulties in staffing and managing foreign subsidiaries and branch operations;

difficulties in integrating products and operations from foreign acquisitions;

exposure to local economic slowdowns; and

the need to guarantee credit instruments extended to support foreign operations, in particular in Japan.

Any of these events, regionally and as a whole, could reduce our international sales and increase our costs of doing business internationally and have a material adverse effect on our gross margins and net operating results. For example, revenues from international sales decreased by 35% to \$26.1 million in the three months ended April 30, 2002 from \$40.3 million in the three months ended April 30, 2001. The overall decrease was due to a 46% decline in revenues from Japan, a 35% decrease in revenues from Europe and a 19% decline in revenues from Asia Pacific. The declines in Japan, Europe and Asia Pacific were primarily the result of local economic slowdowns, which caused lower demand for our products and services.

33

Failure of our current and planned information systems, controls and infrastructure to adequately manage and support our anticipated growth and global operations could disrupt our business.

We have experienced, and expect to continue to experience in the long term, both through acquisitions and internal expansion, significant growth in our headcount and in the scope, complexity and geographic reach of our operations. To support this expansion, we must standardize, integrate and improve our management controls, reporting systems and procedures and information technology infrastructure. To implement those improvements, we must purchase, develop and maintain complex and expensive information management systems. Our current and planned systems, procedures and controls may not be adequate to support our future operations. Failure of these systems to meet our needs and an inability to efficiently integrate and expand support worldwide could disrupt our operations. Our ability to manage this growth is complicated by the need to implement headcount reductions and cost-control measures in the short term in response to general economic conditions and declining revenues. See "Our restructuring plans may not result in sufficient cost savings to enable us to achieve profitability in a difficult economic environment" above.

Our common stock price is subject to volatility.

In recent years, the stock markets in general and the shares of technology companies in particular have experienced extreme price fluctuations. These recent price fluctuations have often been unrelated or disproportionate to the operating performance of the companies affected. Our stock price has similarly experienced significant volatility; as reported on the Nasdaq National Market, during fiscal 2002 our stock had a high sales price of \$37.75 and a low sales price of \$9.70 and, during the quarter ended April 30, 2002, our stock had a high sales price of \$20.14 and a low sales price of \$9.92. The last sale price of our common stock as reported on the Nasdaq National Market on May 31, 2002 was \$6.72. In recent fiscal quarters, we have experienced shortfalls in revenue and earnings from levels expected by securities analysts and investors, which have had an immediate and significant adverse effect our stock price. Comments by or changes in estimates from securities analysts as well as significant developments involving our competitors or our industry could also affect our stock price. In addition, the market price of our common stock is affected by the stock performance of other technology companies generally, as well as companies in our industry and our customers in particular. Other broad market and industry factors may negatively affect our operating results or cause our stock price to decline, as may general political or economic conditions in the United States and globally, such as recessions, or interest rate or currency

fluctuations.

Our strategic equity investments are subject to equity price risk and their value may fluctuate.

From time to time, we make investments for the promotion of business and strategic objectives with publicly traded and privately held companies. The market price and valuation of the securities that we hold in these companies may fluctuate due to market conditions and other circumstances over which we have little or no control. Recently, the value of these investments has declined significantly, causing us to record a total impairment loss of \$11.3 million in fiscal 2002 for most of these investments. As of April 30, 2002, the market value of our publicly held investments was \$888,000 and the cost-basis of our remaining private investments was \$4.0 million. We typically do not attempt to reduce or eliminate this equity price risk through hedging or similar techniques, and market price and valuation fluctuations could impact our financial results. Moreover, our investments in privately held companies are inherently risky because the markets for the technologies or products these companies have under development are typically in the early stage and may never develop. We will continue to monitor these investments for impairment and will make appropriate reductions in the carrying value when necessary. To the extent that the fair value of these securities is less than our cost over an extended period of time, our net income would be reduced.

34

The rights we rely upon to protect the intellectual property underlying our products may not be adequate, which could enable third parties to use our technology and reduce our ability to compete.

Our success depends significantly upon the proprietary technology contained in our products. We currently rely on a combination of patents, copyrights, trademarks, trade secret laws, and contractual provisions to establish and protect our intellectual property rights in our technology and products. We cannot be certain that the steps we take to protect our intellectual property will adequately protect our rights, that others will not independently develop or otherwise acquire equivalent or superior technology, or that we can maintain our technology as trade secrets. In addition, discovery and investigation of unauthorized use of our intellectual property is difficult. We expect software piracy, which is difficult to detect, to be a persistent problem, particularly in those foreign countries where the laws may not protect our intellectual property as fully as in the United States. Employees, consultants, and others who participate in the development of our products may breach their agreements with us regarding our intellectual property. We might not have adequate remedies for infringement or breach of our proprietary rights or to establish the validity of our proprietary rights. Whether or not such litigation is determined in our favor, such actions could result in significant expense to us, divert the efforts of our technical and management personnel from productive tasks or cause product shipment delays.

Patent, trademark or copyright infringement or product liability claims against us may result in costly litigation, cause product shipment delays or require us to expend significant resources. In addition, patent or copyright claims may require us to enter into royalty or licensing arrangements.

We occasionally receive communications from third parties alleging patent, trademark or copyright infringement or other intellectual property claims, and there is always the chance that third parties may assert infringement claims against us or against our customers under circumstances that might require us to provide indemnification. For example, at various times in recent years, we have been informed that IBM Corporation has contacted a few of our customers with respect to potential infringement of IBM patents by our customers' products which may include our software. Additionally, because our products are increasingly used in applications, such as network infrastructure, transportation, medical and mission-critical business systems, in which the failure of the embedded system could cause property damage, personal injury or economic loss, we may face product liability claims.

Although our agreements with our customers typically contain provisions intended to limit our exposure to infringement and liability claims, these provisions may not be effective in doing so in all circumstances or in all jurisdictions. Any of these types of claims, with or without merit, could result in claims for indemnification by us or costly litigation, could require us to expend significant resources to develop non-infringing technology or remedy product defects, cause product shipment delays or require us to pay significant damages if the claims are successful. In the case of infringement of another party's intellectual property, we may be required to enter into royalty or licensing agreements; however, we cannot be certain that the necessary licenses will be available or that they can be obtained on commercially reasonable terms. If we are not successful in defending these claims or, with respect to infringement claims, were to fail to obtain royalty or licensing agreements in a timely manner and on reasonable terms, our business, financial condition and results of operations would be materially adversely affected.

We are currently involved in a dispute with Mentat, Inc. for which Mentat, Inc. alleges a breach of the terms of a distribution agreement pertaining to license and trademark matters. Additionally, the U.S. Department of Justice has commenced a civil investigatory demand involving potential antitrust violations with respect to a licensing and distribution agreement we entered into with The MathWorks, Inc. Such matters

involve complex questions of fact and law and could involve significant

35

costs and the diversion of resources to defend. Additionally, the results of litigation are inherently uncertain, and an adverse outcome is at least reasonably possible. We are unable to estimate the range of possible loss from outstanding litigation and other legal proceedings and no amounts have been provided for such matters in our consolidated financial statements. If we are not successful in defending these claims, our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows could be materially adversely affected.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Interest Rate Sensitivity

Our exposure to market risk for changes in interest rates relate primarily to our investment portfolio and debt obligations.

We place our investments with high quality credit issuers and, by policy, limit the amount of credit exposure to any one issuer. As stated in our policy, our first priority is to reduce the risk of principal loss. Consequently, we seek to preserve our invested funds by limiting default risk, market risk and reinvestment risk. We mitigate default risk by investing in only high quality credit securities that we believe to be low risk and by positioning our portfolio to respond appropriately to a significant reduction in a credit rating of any investment issuer or guarantor. The portfolio includes only marketable securities with active secondary or resale markets to ensure portfolio liquidity.

We believe an immediate 100 basis point move in interest rates affecting our floating and fixed rate financial instruments as of April 30, 2002 would have an immaterial effect on our pretax earnings. We also believe an immediate 100 basis point move in interest rates would have an immaterial effect on the fair value of our fixed income securities.

In March 1998, we entered into a 5.9% interest rate swap to mitigate the impact of changes in interest rates on our floating interest rate operating lease for our new headquarters. As of April 30, 2002, the notional amount of the interest rate swap was \$28.5 million. In January 2001, we entered into a 5.6% interest rate swap to mitigate the impact of interest rate changes on our second floating interest rate operating lease for the additional construction of our headquarters facility. The notional amount of this interest rate swap was \$27.9 million as of April 30, 2002. The estimated fair value of the interest rate swap liabilities was \$2.6 million at both April 30, 2002 and January 31, 2002. The interest rate swap agreements mature at the same time that the respective operating leases expire.

Foreign Currency Risk

We enter into foreign currency forward exchange contracts to manage foreign currency exposures related to certain foreign currency denominated inter-company balances. Additionally, we may adjust our foreign currency hedging position by taking out additional contracts or by terminating or offsetting existing forward contracts. These adjustments may result from changes in the underlying foreign currency exposures or from fundamental shifts in the economics of particular exchange rates. Gains and losses on terminated forward contracts, or on contracts that are offset, are recognized in income in the period of contract termination or offset. Our ultimate realized gain or loss with respect to currency fluctuations will depend on the currency exchange rates and other factors in effect as the contracts mature. As of April 30, 2002, we had outstanding forward contracts with notional amounts of \$4.1 million.

Equity Price Risk

We own 338,652 shares of common stock of e-Sim that was purchased prior to e-Sim's public offering in July 1998 at \$6.35 per share. On April 30, 2002, the closing price of e-Sim's stock was \$0.18 per share. We own 90,909 shares of common stock of Tvia, Inc. that was purchased prior to Tvia's

public offering in August 2000 at \$11.00 per share. On April 30, 2002, the closing price of Tvia's stock was \$1.40 per share. We own 400,000 shares of common stock of Insignia Solutions, Ltd. purchased in February 2001 at a cost of \$5.00 per share. On April 30, 2002, the closing price of Insignia was \$1.75 per share.

We value our publicly held investments using the closing price of the stock at the end of each month. As a result, we reflect these investments on our balance sheet as of April 30, 2002, as long-term investments, at their aggregate market value of approximately \$888,000.

We also have certain other minority investments in private companies. These investments are included in other long-term assets on our balance sheet and are carried at cost, subject to adjustment for impairment. These investments are inherently risky because the markets for the technologies or products they have under development are typically in the early stages and may never develop. As of April 30, 2002, the cost basis of the portion of our remaining investments related to private companies was \$4.0 million. We will continue to monitor these investments for impairment and make appropriate reductions in carrying values when necessary.

37

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Not applicable.

ITEM 2. CHANGES IN SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

On February 25, 2002, the Board of Directors of Wind River Systems, Inc. adopted Amended and Restated Bylaws for the purpose of reflecting changes to Delaware law since their initial adoption, amending the provisions governing stockholder proposals and notification, eliminating provisions that ceased to be applicable upon Wind River's initial public offering of common stock in 1993, and reflecting previous amendments to the Bylaws. The Amended and Restated Bylaws were filed as Exhibit 3.3 to Wind River's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended January 31, 2002, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

Not applicable.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

Not applicable.

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

Not applicable.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

(a)

Exhibits

Exhibit No.	Description
10.40	Second Amendment dated as of June 3, 2002 to Participation Agreement and Certain Operative Agreements with Limited Waiver between Wind River Systems, Inc., Deutsche

Exhibit No.	Description
	Bank AG, New York Branch and Deutsche Bank AG, New York and/or Cayman Islands Branch.
10.41	Ninth Amendment dated as of June 3, 2002 to Participation Agreement and Certain Operative Agreements with Limited Waiver between Wind River Systems, Inc., Deutsche Bank AG, New York Branch, and Deutsche Bank AG, New York and/or Cayman Islands Branch.
on Form 8-K	
did not file an	y reports on Form 8-K during the quarter ended April 30, 2002.

38

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Dated: June 13, 2002

Report

Wind River

(b)

WIND RIVER SYSTEMS, INC.

By: /s/ MICHAEL W. ZELLNER

Michael W. Zellner Vice President of Finance, Chief Financial Officer and Secretary (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

39

QuickLinks

<u>INDEX</u>

PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS WIND RIVER SYSTEMS, INC. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (In thousands, except per share amounts) (Unaudited) WIND RIVER SYSTEMS, INC. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (In thousands, except par value) (Unaudited) WIND RIVER SYSTEMS, INC. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (In thousands) (Unaudited)

WIND RIVER SYSTEMS, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

PART II OTHER INFORMATION ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS ITEM 2. CHANGES IN SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION ITEM 6. EXHIBITS AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K SIGNATURE