Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. Form 10-K February 28, 2012 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES

	SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
	Washington, D.C. 20549
	FORM 10-K
x	ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
	For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011
	OR
0	TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
	For the transition period from to
	Commission file number 001-32319

Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Maryland	20-1296886		
(State or Other Jurisdiction of	(I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)		
Incorporation or Organization)	identification Number)		
120 Vantis, Suite 350			
Aliso Viejo, California	92656		
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)	(Zip Code)		
Securities registered pursuant to Sec	etion 12(b) of the Act:		
Title of Each Class	Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered		
Common Stock, \$0.01 par value Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, \$0.01 par value	New York Stock Exchange New York Stock Exchange		
Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, \$0.01 par value	New York Stock Exchange		
Securities registered pursuant to Securities	tion 12(g) of the Act: None		

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes x No o

Series A Series D

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes o No x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant s knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Large accelerated filer x

Accelerated filer o

Non-accelerated filer o

Smaller reporting company o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No x

The aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant based upon the closing sale price of the registrant s common stock on June 30, 2011 as reported on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) was approximately \$1.1 billion. The registrant had no non-voting common equity outstanding on such date. This amount excludes 1,203,534 shares of the registrant s common stock held by the executive officers and directors. Exclusion of such shares should not be construed to indicate that any such person possesses the power, direct or indirect, to direct or cause the direction of the management or policies of the registrant or that such person is controlled by or under common control with the registrant.

The number of shares of the registrant s common stock outstanding as of February 10, 2012 was 118,623,891.

Documents Incorporated by Reference

Part III of this Report incorporates by reference information from the definitive Proxy Statement for the registrant s 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

Table of Contents

SUNSTONE HOTEL INVESTORS, INC.

ANNUAL REPORT ON

FORM 10-K

For the Year Ended December 31, 2011

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
PART I		
<u>Item 1</u>	<u>Business</u>	1
Item 1A	Risk Factors	8
Item 1B	<u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	24
Item 2	<u>Properties</u>	25
Item 3	Legal Proceedings	26
<u>Item 4</u>	Mine Safety Disclosures	26
PART II		
<u>Item 5</u>	Market for Registrant s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer	
	Purchases of Equity Securities	27
Item 6	Selected Financial Data	28
Item 7	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of	
	<u>Operations</u>	29
Item 7A	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	53
Item 8	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	53
Item 9	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial	
	<u>Disclosure</u>	53
Item 9A	Controls and Procedures	53
Item 9B	Other Information	56
PART III		
Item 10	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	56
<u>Item 11</u>	Executive Compensation	56
Item 12	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related	
	Stockholder Matters	56
Item 13	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	56
Item 14	Principal Accountant Fees and Services	56
PART IV		
<u>Item 15</u>	Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules	57

SIGNATURES 61

Table of Contents

The Company means Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc., a Maryland corporation, and one or more of its subsidiaries, including Sunstone Hotel Partnership, LLC, or the Operating Partnership, and Sunstone Hotel TRS Lessee, Inc., or the TRS Lessee, and, as the context may require, Sunstone Hotel Investors only or the Operating Partnership only.

SPECIAL NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This report, together with other statements and information publicly disseminated by the Company, contains certain forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Exchange Act. The Company intends such forward-looking statements to be covered by the safe harbor provisions for forward-looking statements contained in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and includes this statement for purposes of complying with these safe harbor provisions. Forward-looking statements, which are based on certain assumptions and describe the Company s future plans, strategies and expectations, are generally identifiable by use of the words believe, expect, intend, anticipate, estimate, project or similar expressions. You should not rely on forward-looking statements because they involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that are, in some cases, beyond the Company s control and which could materially affect actual results, performances or achievements. Factors that may cause actual results to differ materially from current expectations include, but are not limited to the risk factors discussed in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Accordingly, there is no assurance that the Company s expectations will be realized. Except as otherwise required by the federal securities laws, the Company disclaims any obligations or undertaking to publicly release any updates or revisions to any forward-looking statement contained herein (or elsewhere) to reflect any change in the Company s expectations with regard thereto or any change in events, conditions or circumstances on which any such statement is based.

Item 1. Business

Our Company

We were incorporated in Maryland on June 28, 2004. We are a real estate investment trust, or REIT, under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, or the Code. As of December 31, 2011, we had interests in 32 hotels (the 32 hotels). The 32 hotels are comprised of 13,208 rooms, located in 14 states and in Washington, D.C.

Our primary business is to acquire, own, asset manage and renovate full-service hotel properties in the United States. As part of our ongoing portfolio management strategy, we may also sell certain hotel properties from time to time. Our hotels are operated under nationally recognized brands, such as Marriott, Hilton, Fairmont, Hyatt and Sheraton. Our portfolio primarily consists of upper upscale hotels in the United States. We also own luxury, upscale and upper midscale hotels. The classifications luxury, upper upscale, upscale and upper midscale are defined by Smith Travel Research, an independent provider of lodging industry statistical data. Smith Travel Research classifies hotel chains into the following segments: luxury; upper upscale; upper midscale; midscale; economy; and independent.

Our hotels are operated by third-party managers pursuant to long-term management agreements with our TRS Lessee or its subsidiaries. As of December 31, 2011, our third-party managers included: subsidiaries of Marriott International, Inc. and Marriott Hotel Services, Inc. (collectively Marriott), managers of 13 of the Company s 32 hotels; a subsidiary of Interstate Hotels & Resorts, Inc. (IHR), manager of 11 of the Company s 32 hotels; Highgate Hotels (Highgate), manager of two of the Company s 32 hotels; Hilton Worldwide (Hilton), manager of two of the Company s

32 hotels; and Davidson Hotels & Resorts (Davidson), Fairmont Hotels & Resorts (U.S.) (Fairmont), Hyatt Corporation (Hyatt) and Sage
Hospitality Resources (Sage), each managers of one of the Company s 32 hotels. In addition, as of January 2011, we own 100% of BuyEfficient,
LLC (BuyEfficient), an electronic purchasing platform that allows members to procure food, operating supplies, furniture, fixtures and
equipment.

Competitive S	trengths
---------------	----------

We believe the following competitive strengths distinguish us from other owners of lodging properties:

- Strong Access to Capital. As a publicly traded REIT, over the long-term, we benefit from greater access to a variety of forms of capital as compared to non-public investment vehicles.
- Low Cost of Capital. As a publicly traded REIT, over the long-term, we may benefit from a lower cost of capital as compared to non-public investment vehicles as a result of our liquidity, professional management and portfolio diversification.
- Significant Cash Position. As of December 31, 2011, we had \$150.5 million in unrestricted cash and cash equivalents. We intend to deploy a material portion of our excess cash balance towards the repayment of maturing debt, selective acquisitions and capital investments in our portfolio. As of December 31, 2011, our debt maturities totaled \$94.5 million through the three-year period ending December 31, 2014, excluding principal amortization and assuming we repay our Operating Partnership s 4.60% exchangeable senior notes (the Senior Notes) then remaining balance of \$62.5 million at

1

Table of Contents

the first put date in 2013. In February 2012, we repurchased \$4.5 million of our Senior Notes for a price of \$4.57 million plus accrued interest of approximately \$13,000. This transaction reduced the outstanding balance of our Senior Notes to \$58.0 million, thereby reducing our total debt maturities through 2014 to \$90.0 million, which is materially below our year-end 2011 unrestricted cash balance of \$150.5 million.

- Flexible Capital Structure. We believe our capital structure provides us with appropriate financial flexibility to execute our strategy. As of December 31, 2011, the weighted average term to maturity of our debt is approximately 6 years, and 73.4% of our debt is fixed rate with a weighted average interest rate of 5.5%. The weighted average interest rate on all of our debt, which includes the effect of our interest rate derivative agreements based on variable rates at December 31, 2011 is 5.0%. All of our debt is in the form of senior unsecured notes or single asset non-recourse loans rather than cross-collateralized multi-property pools. We believe this structure is appropriate for the operating characteristics of our business as it isolates risk and provides flexibility for various portfolio management initiatives, including the sale of individual hotels subject to the existing debt.
- High Quality Portfolio.

Presence in Key Markets. We believe that our hotels are located in desirable markets with major demand generators and significant barriers to entry for new supply. In 2011, approximately 83% of the revenues generated by the 32 hotels were earned by hotels located in key gateway markets such as Boston, New York, Washington, D.C./Baltimore, Chicago, Orlando, New Orleans, Los Angeles, Orange County and San Diego. Over time, we expect the revenues of hotels located in key gateway markets to grow more quickly than the average for U.S. hotels as a result of stronger economic drivers as well as higher levels of international travel.

Upper Upscale and Upscale Concentration. The upper upscale and upscale segments, which represented approximately 93% of the hotel revenue generated by the 32 hotels during 2011, tend to outperform the lodging industry, particularly in the recovery phase of the lodging cycle. As of December 31, 2011, the hotels comprising our 32 hotel portfolio average 413 rooms in size, and total RevPAR was \$123.91 for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Nationally Recognized Brands. Most of our hotels are operated under nationally recognized brands, including Marriott, Hilton, Fairmont, Hyatt and Sheraton. We believe that affiliations with strong brands improve the appeal of our hotels to a broad set of travelers and help to drive business to our hotels.

Recently Renovated Hotels. From January 1, 2007 through December 31, 2011, we invested \$359.8 million in capital renovations throughout the 32 hotels. We believe that these capital renovations have improved the competitiveness of our hotels and have helped to position our portfolio for future growth.

• Seasoned Management Team. Each of our core disciplines, asset management, acquisitions and finance, are overseen by industry leaders with demonstrated track records.

Asset Management. Our asset management team is responsible for maximizing the long-term value of our real estate investments by achieving above average revenue and profit performance through proactive oversight of hotel operations. Our asset management team leads property-level innovation, benchmarks best practices and aggressively oversees hotel management teams and property plans. We work with our operators to develop hotel-level master plans, which include positioning and capital renovation plans. We believe that a proactive asset management program can help to grow the revenues of our hotel portfolio and maximize operational efficiency by leveraging best practices and innovations across our various hotels, and by initiating well-timed focused capital improvements aimed at improving the appeal of our hotels.

Acquisitions. Our acquisitions team is responsible for enhancing our portfolio quality and scale by executing on well-timed acquisitions and dispositions that maximize our risk-adjusted return on invested capital. We believe that our significant acquisition and disposition experience will allow us to continue to execute our cycle-appropriate strategy to redeploy capital from slower growth to higher growth hotels. From the date of our initial public offering through December 31, 2011, we acquired interests in 20 hotel properties and sold 34 hotel properties. Pursuant to our cycle-appropriate strategy, our focus shifted from acquisitions to dispositions in 2007, 2008 and for the majority of 2009 as the lodging cycle peaked and experienced a down cycle. Towards the later part of 2009 and into 2010 and 2011, our focus shifted to the selective acquisition of upper upscale hotels as we believe we have entered a recovery phase in the lodging cycle. We will maintain this focus of selective acquisitions in 2012, as we believe pending debt maturities may create opportunities for well-capitalized companies to acquire individual hotel assets at attractive values. Meanwhile, we will also focus on capital recycling and may selectively sell hotels that have lower growth prospects or are encumbered with large debt balances.

Table of Contents

Finance. We have a highly experienced finance team focused on minimizing our cost of capital and maximizing our financial flexibility by proactively managing our capital structure and opportunistically sourcing appropriate capital for growth, while maintaining a best in class disclosure and investor relations program. We remain committed to thoughtfully and methodically reducing leverage while maintaining a focus on creating and protecting stockholder value.

Business Strategy

Our mission is to create meaningful value for our stockholders by becoming the premier hotel owner. Our values include transparency, trust, ethical conduct, communication and discipline. As demand for lodging generally fluctuates with the overall economy (we refer to these changes in demand as the lodging cycle), we seek to employ a balanced, cycle-appropriate corporate strategy that encompasses proactive portfolio management, intensive asset management, disciplined external growth and measured balance sheet improvement.

- **Proactive Portfolio Management**. The leaders of each of our core disciplines function as a portfolio management team. The portfolio management team s purpose is to strategically maximize the long-term value of our assets by enhancing portfolio quality / scale, optimizing market exposure, and improving effectiveness / efficiency of decision making by developing long-term portfolio objectives, asset specific plans and a comprehensive external growth strategy. The team is responsible for developing portfolio-wide objectives related to brand and operator relationships, asset quality and scale targets, target markets, capital investments, and asset-level capitalization. The team is also responsible for developing a comprehensive portfolio growth strategy and decision support tools and models.
- Intensive Asset Management. Through all phases of the lodging cycle, our strategy emphasizes internal growth and value enhancements through proactive asset management, which entails working closely with our third-party hotel operators to develop and implement long-term strategic plans for each hotel designed to enhance revenues, minimize operational expenses and asset risk, maximize the appeal of our hotels to travelers and maximize our return on invested capital. We also focus on improving the appeal and growth potential of our existing hotels through an internally-managed comprehensive hotel renovation program.
- **Disciplined External Growth**. Our acquisitions plan is oriented around investing in institutional-quality hotels which generate returns in excess of our cost of capital. During the recovery and growth phases of the lodging cycle, our strategy emphasizes external growth objectives oriented toward active investment in hotels that are additive to the quality of our portfolio, that have attractive growth potential and that may benefit from our asset management competencies. We endeavor to structure our acquisitions in a way that will not only increase the value of our common shares, but also will advance our other corporate objectives, such as improving our financial flexibility and reducing our leverage. During periods of cyclical decline, our strategy may emphasize opportunistically investing in distressed assets and the repurchase of our equity or debt securities.
- Measured Balance Sheet Improvement. Our financial objectives include the measured improvement of our credit ratios, improved disclosures, maintenance of appropriate levels of liquidity, and a gradual reduction in our financial leverage. Our financial objectives are integral to our overall corporate strategy, and accordingly we have developed our financial objectives in conjunction with our internal and external growth objectives. The lodging industry is economically sensitive. Therefore, our financial objectives are aimed at reducing the potentially negative impact of combining high operating leverage with high financial leverage, while preserving access to multiple capital sources and minimizing our weighted-average cost of capital. We seek to capitalize our acquisitions in a way that will advance our financial objectives. For example, as reducing our financial leverage is a key objective, we expect to fund our acquisitions with a greater proportion of equity capital than debt capital. During the mature phase of the lodging cycle, our financial objectives may include liquidity improvement, which may be

accomplished through selective hotel dispositions.

Through our industry relationships, the knowledge and experience of our board of directors in both real estate and REIT issues and our extensive experience in acquiring and upgrading hotel properties, as well as our financial structuring capabilities, flexible capital structure, strong liquidity position and REIT structure, we believe we are well positioned to grow our business as the lodging cycle recovers. In addition to hotel acquisitions, we may seek to grow our portfolio by making investments in defaulted and/or distressed debt positions in loan-to-own hotel transactions, utilizing our REIT structure to effect strategic combinations with select property owners, effecting portfolio purchases from institutional and other owners seeking portfolio liquidity, and by providing capital solutions to illiquid owners facing debt maturities or capital requirements.

Competition

The hotel industry is highly competitive. Our hotels compete with other hotels for guests in each of their markets. Competitive advantage is based on a number of factors, including location, quality of accommodations, convenience, brand affiliation, room rates, service levels and amenities, and level of customer service. Competition is often specific to the individual markets in which our hotels are located and includes competition from existing and new hotels operated under brands in the luxury, upper upscale and upscale segments. Increased competition could harm our occupancy or revenues or may lead our operators to increase service or amenity levels, which may reduce the profitability of our hotels.

Table of Contents

We believe that competition for the acquisition of hotels is fragmented. We face competition from institutional pension funds, private equity investors, other REITs and numerous local, regional and national owners, including franchisors, in each of our markets. Some of these entities may have substantially greater financial resources than we do and may be able and willing to accept more risk than we believe we can prudently manage. During the recovery phase of the lodging cycle, when we seek to acquire hotels, competition among potential buyers may increase the bargaining power of potential sellers, which may reduce the number of suitable investment opportunities available to us or increase pricing. Similarly, during times when we seek to sell hotels, competition from other sellers may increase the bargaining power of the potential property buyers.

Thirty of the 32 hotels are operated under nationally recognized brands as of December 31, 2011. We believe that the market s perception of quality and service associated with the brands our hotels operate under, including Marriott, Hilton, Fairmont, Hyatt and Sheraton, is an important driver of demand.

Management Agreements

All of our 32 hotels are managed by third parties pursuant to management agreements with our TRS Lessee or its subsidiaries. As of December 31, 2011, 13 of our hotels were managed by Marriott, 11 of our hotels were managed by IHR, Highgate and Hilton each managed two of our hotels, and the other four hotels were individually managed by Davidson, Fairmont, Hyatt and Sage. The following is a general description of our third-party management agreements as of December 31, 2011.

Marriott. Our management agreements with Marriott require us to pay Marriott a base management fee between 2.31% and 3.0% of total revenue. These management agreements expire between 2014 and 2055, absent prior termination by either party. Additionally, eight of these management agreements require payment of an incentive fee of 20.0% of the excess of gross operating profit over a certain threshold; one of these management agreements requires payment of an incentive fee of 35.0% of the excess of gross operating profit over a certain threshold; one of these management agreements requires payment of an incentive fee of 15.0% of the excess of gross operating profit over a certain threshold through 2011, an incentive fee equal to a fixed sum if gross operating profit is over a certain threshold for years 2012 and 2013 and thereafter 20.0% of the excess of gross operating profit over a certain threshold; one of the management agreements requires payment of an incentive fee of 10.0% of adjusted gross operating profit, limited to 3.0% of gross revenue; and one of the management agreements requires payment of an incentive fee of 20.0% of gross operating profit, subject to deferral if Sunstone does not receive its priority distribution. The management agreements with Marriott may be terminated earlier than the stated term if certain events occur, including the failure of Marriott to satisfy certain performance standards, a condemnation of, a casualty to, or force majeure event involving a hotel, the withdrawal or revocation of any license or permit required in connection with the operation of a hotel and upon a default by Marriott or us that is not cured prior to the expiration of any applicable cure periods. In certain instances, Marriott has rights of first refusal to either purchase or lease hotels, or to terminate the applicable management agreement in the event we sell the respective hotel.

IHR. Our management agreements with IHR require us to pay a management fee ranging from 2.0% to 2.1% of gross revenues; plus an incentive fee of 10.0% of the excess of net operating income over a threshold. The incentive fee, however, may not exceed a range of 1.5% to 1.9% of the total revenues for all the hotels managed by IHR for any fiscal year. Our Operating Partnership has guaranteed all fees payable to IHR.

With the exception of the IHR management agreement at the Sheraton Cerritos (which expires in 2012; and which automatically renews for successive two-year terms), the IHR management agreements expire in 2024 and provide us the right to renew each management agreement for up to two additional terms of five years each, absent a prior termination by either party.

Highgate. Our Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square and Hilton Times Square hotels located in New York are operated under management agreements with Highgate, and require us to pay Highgate a base management fee of 3.0% of gross revenue. The management agreement at the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square expires in 2037, absent a prior termination by either party. In addition, the management agreement at the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square requires us to pay an incentive fee of 1.0% of annual gross receipts in the event that a certain return threshold is achieved. The management agreement at the Hilton Times Square expires in 2021 and provides us with the right to renew for two additional terms of five years, absent a prior termination by either party. In addition, the management agreement at the Hilton Times Square requires us to pay an incentive fee of 50.0% of the excess of net operating income over a certain threshold, limited to 1.25% of total revenue.

Table of Contents

Hilton. Our Embassy Suites La Jolla and Hilton San Diego Bayfront hotels located in California are operated under management agreements with Hilton. The management agreement at the Embassy Suites La Jolla expires in 2016 (absent early termination by either party), and provides no renewal options. The agreement requires us to pay a base management fee ranging from 1.5% of gross revenue in 2006 to 2.25% of gross revenue in 2010 through 2016. The agreement includes an incentive fee of 15.0% of our net profit at the hotel in excess of certain net profit thresholds. The management agreement at the Hilton San Diego Bayfront expires in 2018 and provides Hilton with the right to renew for up to three additional terms of five years each, absent a prior termination by either party. The agreement requires us to pay a base fee of 2.5% of total revenues and an incentive fee of 15.0% of the excess of operating cash flow over a certain percentage.

Davidson. Our Embassy Suites Chicago, Illinois hotel is operated under a management agreement with Davidson. The agreement expires in 2015 and provides us with the right to renew for up to two additional terms of five years each, absent a prior termination by either party. The management agreement requires us to pay 2.0% of total revenue as a base management fee and calls for an incentive fee (capped at 1.0% of total revenue) of 15.0% of the excess of net operating income over a certain threshold and an additional incentive fee in the amount of 1.0% of total revenue upon achieving a certain level of net operating income. The base and incentive management fees payable to Davidson under the management agreement have an aggregate cap of 4.0% of total revenue.

Fairmont. Our Fairmont Newport Beach, California hotel is operated under a management agreement with Fairmont. The agreement expires in 2015 (absent early termination by either party) and provides Fairmont with two extension options, the first option having a term of twenty years and the second option having a term of 10 years. The agreement requires us to pay 3.0% of total revenue as a base management fee and calls for payment of incentive fees ranging from 20.0% to 30.0% of the hotel s net profit above certain net profit thresholds. The base and incentive management fees payable to Fairmont under the management agreement have an aggregate cap of 6.0% of total revenue. In addition, we entered into an agreement with Fairmont whereby Fairmont will provide us with a limited performance guaranty up to \$6.0 million to ensure, subject to certain limitations, a return on equity to us. Under the terms of this agreement, we received \$3.5 million of the \$6.0 million performance guaranty during 2008, and \$2.5 million of the performance guaranty during 2009. As of December 31, 2009, we have fully utilized this \$6.0 million performance guaranty.

Hyatt. Our Hyatt Regency Newport Beach, California hotel is operated under a management agreement with Hyatt. This agreement expires in 2019 and provides either party the right to renew for successive periods of 10 years (provided that the term of the agreement shall in no event extend beyond 2039), absent early termination by either party. The agreement requires us to pay 3.5% of total hotel revenue as a base management fee, with an additional 0.5% of total revenue payable to Hyatt based upon the hotel achieving specific operating thresholds. The agreement also requires us to pay an incentive fee of 10.0% of the excess of adjusted profit over certain thresholds.

Sage. Our Hilton Del Mar, California hotel is operated under a management agreement with Sage. The agreement expires in 2015 and provides us the right to renew for up to two additional terms of five years each, absent a prior termination by either party. The agreement requires us to pay 2.0% of total revenues as a base management fee and calls for an incentive fee of 10.0% of the excess of net operating income over certain thresholds. The base and incentive management fees payable to Sage under the management agreement have an aggregate cap of 4.0% of total revenue.

The existing management agreements with Marriott, Fairmont, Hilton and Hyatt require the manager to furnish chain services that are generally made available to other hotels managed by that operator. Costs for these chain services are reimbursed by us. Such services include: (1) the development and operation of computer systems and reservation services; (2) management and administrative services; (3) marketing and sales services; (4) human resources training services; and (5) such additional services as may from time to time be more efficiently performed on a national, regional or group level.

Franchise Agreements

As of December 31, 2011, 15 of the 32 hotels were operated subject to franchise agreements. Franchisors provide a variety of benefits to franchisees, including nationally recognized brands, centralized reservation systems, national advertising, marketing programs and publicity designed to increase brand awareness, training of personnel and maintenance of operational quality at hotels across the brand system.

The franchise agreements generally specify management, operational, record-keeping, accounting, reporting and marketing standards and procedures with which our subsidiary, as the franchisee, must comply. The franchise agreements obligate the subsidiary to comply with the franchisors standards and requirements with respect to training of operational personnel, safety, maintaining specified insurance, the types of services and products ancillary to guest room services that may be provided by the subsidiary, display of signage and the type, quality and age of furniture, fixtures and equipment included in guest rooms, lobbies and other common areas. The franchise agreements for our hotels require that we reserve up to 5.0% of the gross revenues of the hotels into a reserve fund for capital expenditures.

The franchise agreements also provide for termination at the franchisor s option upon the occurrence of certain events, including failure to pay royalties and fees or to perform other obligations under the franchise license, bankruptcy and abandonment of the franchise or a change in control. The subsidiary that is the franchisee is responsible for making all payments under the franchise agreements to the franchisors, however the Company guaranties certain obligations under the franchise agreements.

Table of Contents

Tax Status

We have elected to be taxed as a REIT under Sections 856 through 859 of the Code, commencing with our taxable year ending December 31, 2004. Under current federal income tax laws, we are required to distribute at least 90% of our net taxable income to our stockholders. While REITs enjoy certain tax benefits relative to C corporations, as a REIT we may, however, be subject to certain federal, state and local taxes on our income and property. We may also be subject to federal income and excise tax on our undistributed income.

Taxable REIT Subsidiary

Subject to certain limitations, a REIT is permitted to own, directly or indirectly, up to 100% of the stock of a taxable REIT subsidiary, or TRS. The TRS may engage in businesses that are prohibited to a REIT. In particular, a hotel REIT is permitted to own a TRS that leases hotels from the REIT, rather than requiring the lessee to be an unaffiliated third party. However, a hotel leased to a TRS still must be managed by an unaffiliated third party in the business of managing hotels. The TRS provisions are complex and impose certain conditions on the use of TRSs. This is to assure that TRSs are subject to an appropriate level of federal corporate taxation.

A TRS is a fully taxable corporation that may earn income that would not be qualifying income if earned directly by us. A TRS may perform activities such as development, and other independent business activities. However, a TRS may not directly or indirectly operate or manage any hotels or provide rights to any brand name under which any hotel is operated.

We and the TRS Lessee must make a joint election with the IRS for the TRS Lessee to be treated as a TRS. A corporation of which a qualifying TRS owns, directly or indirectly, more than 35% of the voting power or value of the corporation s stock will automatically be treated as a TRS. Overall, no more than 25% of the value of our assets may consist of securities of one or more TRS, and no more than 25% of the value of our assets may consist of the securities of TRSs and other assets that are not qualifying assets for purposes of the 75% asset test. The 75% asset test generally requires that at least 75% of the value of our total assets be represented by real estate assets, cash, or government securities.

The rent that we receive from a TRS qualifies as rents from real property as long as the property is operated on behalf of the TRS by a person who qualifies as an independent contractor and who is, or is related to a person who is, actively engaged in the trade or business of operating qualified lodging facilities for any person unrelated to us and the TRS (an eligible independent contractor). A qualified lodging facility is a hotel, motel or other establishment in which more than one-half of the dwelling units are used on a transient basis. A qualified lodging facility does not include any facility where wagering activities are conducted. A qualified lodging facility includes customary amenities and facilities operated as part of, or associated with, the lodging facility as long as such amenities and facilities are customary for other properties of a comparable size and class owned by other unrelated owners.

We have formed the TRS Lessee as a wholly owned TRS. We lease each of our hotels to the TRS Lessee or one of its subsidiaries. These leases provide for a base rent plus variable rent based on occupied rooms and departmental revenues. These leases must contain economic terms which are similar to a lease between unrelated parties. If they do not, the IRS could impose a 100% excise tax on certain transactions between our TRS and us or our tenants that are not conducted on an arm s-length basis. We believe that all transactions between us and the TRS Lessee are conducted on an arm s-length basis. Further, the TRS rules limit the deductibility of interest paid or accrued by a TRS to us to assure that the TRS is subject to an appropriate level of corporate taxation.

The TRS Lessee has engaged eligible independent contractors to manage the hotels it leases from Sunstone Hotel Partnership, LLC.

Ground and Air Lease Agreements

At December 31, 2011, nine of the 32 hotels are subject to ground or air leases with unaffiliated parties that cover either all or portions of their respective properties. As of December 31, 2011, the remaining terms of these ground and air leases (including renewal options) range from approximately 32 to 115 years. These leases generally require us to make rental payments and payments for all or portions of costs and expenses, including real and personal property taxes, insurance and utilities associated with the leased property.

Table of Contents

Any proposed sale of a property that is subject to a ground or air lease or any proposed assignment of our leasehold interest as ground or air lessee under the ground or air lease may require the consent of the applicable ground or air lessor. As a result, we may not be able to sell, assign, transfer or convey our ground or air lessee s interest in any such property in the future absent the consent of the ground or air lessor, even if such transaction may be in the best interests of our stockholders. Three of the nine leases prohibit the sale or conveyance of the hotel and assignment of the lease by us to another party without first offering the lessor the opportunity to acquire our interest in the associated hotel and property upon the same terms and conditions as offered by us to the third party.

Three of the nine leases allow us the option to acquire the ground lessor s interest in the ground lease subject to certain exercisability provisions. From time to time, we evaluate our options to purchase the ground lessors interests in the leases.

Offices

We lease our headquarters located at 120 Vantis, Suite 350, Aliso Viejo, California 92656 from an unaffiliated third party. We occupy our headquarters under a lease that terminates on August 30, 2018.

Employees

At February 1, 2012, we had 42 employees. We believe that our relations with our employees are positive. All persons employed in the day-to-day operations of the hotels are employees of the management companies engaged by the TRS Lessee or its subsidiaries to operate such hotels.

Environmental

Environmental reviews have been conducted on all of our hotels. Environmental consultants retained by our lenders have conducted Phase I environmental site assessments on many of our properties. In certain instances, these Phase I assessments relied on older environmental assessments prepared in connection with prior financings. Phase I assessments are designed to evaluate the potential for environmental contamination of properties based generally upon site inspections, facility personnel interviews, historical information and certain publicly available databases. Phase I assessments will not necessarily reveal the existence or extent of all environmental conditions, liabilities or compliance concerns at the properties. While some of these assessments have led to further investigation and sampling, none of the environmental assessments have revealed, nor are we aware of, any environmental liability (including asbestos-related liability) that we believe would harm our business, financial position, results of operations or cash flow.

Under various federal, state and local laws and regulations, an owner or operator of real estate may be liable for the costs of removal or remediation of certain hazardous or toxic substances on the property. These laws often impose such liability without regard to whether the owner knew of, or was responsible for, the presence of hazardous or toxic substances. Furthermore, a person that arranges for the disposal or transports for disposal or treatment of a hazardous substance at another property may be liable for the costs of removal or remediation of hazardous substances released into the environment at that property. The costs of remediation or removal of such substances may be substantial, and the

presence of such substances, or the failure to promptly remediate such substances, may adversely affect the owner s ability to sell such real estate or to borrow using such real estate as collateral. In connection with the ownership and operation of our properties, we or the TRS Lessee, as the case may be, may be potentially liable for such costs.

As an owner of real estate, we are not directly involved in the operation of our properties or other activities that produce meaningful levels of greenhouse gas emissions. As a result, we have not implemented a formal program to measure or manage emissions associated with our corporate office or hotels. Although we do not believe that climate change represents a direct material risk to our business, we could be indirectly affected by climate change and other environmental issues to the extent these issues negatively affect the broader economy or result in increased regulation or costs.

We have provided unsecured environmental indemnities to certain lenders. We have performed due diligence on the potential environmental risks including obtaining an independent environmental review from outside environmental consultants. These indemnities obligate us to reimburse the guaranteed parties for damages related to environmental matters. There is generally no term or damage limitation on these indemnities; however, if an environmental matter arises, we could have recourse against other previous owners. Although we have tried to mitigate environmental risk through insurance, this insurance may not cover all or any of the environmental risks we encounter.

ADA Regulation

Our properties must comply with various laws and regulations, including Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) to the extent that such properties are public accommodations as defined by the ADA. The ADA may require removal of structural barriers to access by persons with disabilities in certain public areas of our properties where such removal is readily achievable. We believe that our properties are in substantial compliance with the ADA; however, noncompliance with the ADA could result in capital expenditures, the imposition of fines or an award of damages to private litigants. The obligation to make readily achievable accommodations is an ongoing one, and we will continue to assess our properties and to make alterations as appropriate in this respect.

Lugar Filling. Sunstone Floter investors, inc Form 10-10
Table of Contents
Seasonality and Volatility
We experience some seasonality in our business. Revenue for hotels in tourist areas generally is substantially greater during tourist season than other times of the year. Quarterly revenue also may be adversely affected by events beyond our control, such as extreme weather conditions, climate change, terrorist attacks or alerts, public health concerns, airline strikes, cost of air travel or reduced airline capacity, economic factors, natural disasters and other considerations affecting travel.
Inflation
Inflation may affect our expenses, including, without limitation, by increasing costs such as labor, food, taxes, property and casualty insurance and utilities.
Securities Exchange Act Reports
Our internet address is www.sunstonehotels.com. Periodic and current Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) reports and amendments to those reports, such as our annual proxy statement, our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q and current reports on Form 8-K, are available, free of charge, through links displayed on our web site as soon as reasonably practicable after we file such material with, or furnish it to, the SEC. In addition, the SEC maintains a website that contains these reports at www.sec.gov. Our website and the SEC website and the information on our and the SEC s website is not a part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.
Item 1A. Risk Factors
The statements in this section describe some of the significant risks to our business and should be considered carefully. In addition, these statements constitute our cautionary statements under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, as amended.
Risks Related to Our Business
The uncertain environment in the lodging industry and the economy generally will continue to impact our financial results and growth.

The recent U.S. recession and global economic slowdown and the uncertainty over their respective depths and durations have adversely affected our business. In addition, operating results at our hotels in key gateway markets may be negatively affected by reduced demand from international travelers due to lingering concerns regarding the debt burden of certain Eurozone countries and their ability to meet future financial

obligations. Also, volatility in transportation fuel costs, increases in air and ground travel costs and decreases in airline capacity have reduced and may continue to reduce the demand for our hotel rooms. Accordingly, our financial results have been and may continue to be harmed if the economic slowdown, on either a domestic or an international basis, continues for a prolonged period or becomes worse, if conditions in the lodging industry do not improve, or if transportation fuel costs return to the recent high levels for an extended period or increase further.

Volatility in the debt and equity markets may adversely affect our ability to acquire or sell hotel assets.

The continuation or intensification of volatility in the global financial markets may have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations. Among other things, over time, the capital markets have experienced periods of extreme price volatility, dislocations and liquidity disruptions, all of which have exerted downward pressure on stock prices, widened credit spreads on prospective debt financing and led to declines in the market values of U.S. and foreign stock exchanges. Future dislocations in the debt markets may reduce the amount of capital that is available to finance real estate, which, in turn may limit our ability to finance the acquisition of hotels or the ability of purchasers to obtain financing for hotels that we wish to sell, either of which may have a material adverse impact on revenues, income and/or cash flow.

We have historically used capital obtained from debt and equity markets, along with mortgage debt, to acquire hotel assets. If these markets are difficult to access as a result of low demand for debt or equity securities, higher capital costs and interest rates, a low value for capital securities (including our common or preferred stock), and more restrictive lending standards, our ability to obtain capital for acquisitions on favorable terms or at all could be adversely affected. Similar factors could also adversely affect the ability of others to obtain capital and therefore could make it more difficult to sell hotel assets.

DD 11		c	\sim		
Lab	e.	ΩŤ	CO	ntents	

Changes in the debt and equity markets may adversely affect the value of our hotels.

The value of hotel real estate has an inverse correlation to the capital costs of hotel investors. If capital costs increase, real estate values may decrease. Capital costs are generally a function of interest rates on mortgage debt and return expectations of equity investors. Interest rates for hotel mortgages had increased by several percentage points from 2007 to 2009 before moderating in 2010 and slightly increasing in 2011. Equity investor return expectations have also risen during the same time period primarily due to increased volatility and global economic uncertainty. If capital costs increase from current levels, and if the income generated by our hotels does not increase by amounts sufficient to cover such higher capital costs, the market value of our hotel real estate may decline. In some cases, the value of our hotel real estate has and may continue to decline below the amount of the debt securing such hotel real estate.

As of December 31, 2011, we had approximately \$1.6 billion of outstanding debt, and carrying such debt may impair our financial flexibility or harm our business and financial results by imposing requirements on our business.

Of our total debt due as of December 31, 2011, approximately \$325.8 million matures over the next four years (\$32.0 million in 2012, \$62.5 million in 2013, assuming we repay our Senior Notes remaining balance of \$62.5 million at the first put date in 2013, none in 2014 and \$231.3 million in 2015). In February 2012, we repurchased \$4.5 million of our Senior Notes for a price of \$4.57 million plus accrued interest of approximately \$13,000, leaving approximately \$321.3 million of our debt maturing over the next four years. The \$321.3 million does not include \$22.0 million of scheduled loan amortization payments due in 2012, \$24.1 million due in 2013, \$30.4 million due in 2014, or \$27.9 million due in 2015. Carrying our outstanding debt may adversely impact our business and financial results by:

- requiring us to use a substantial portion of our funds from operations to make required payments on principal and interest, which will reduce the amount of cash available to us for distributions to our stockholders and for our operations and capital expenditures, future business opportunities and other purposes;
- making us more vulnerable to economic and industry downturns and reducing our flexibility in responding to changing business and economic conditions;
- limiting our ability to undertake refinancings of debt or borrow more money for operations or capital expenditures or to finance acquisitions; and
- compelling us to sell or deed back properties, possibly on disadvantageous terms, in order to make required payments of interest and principal.

We also may incur additional debt in connection with future acquisitions of real estate, which may include loans secured by some or all of the hotels we acquire. In addition to our outstanding debt, at December 31, 2011, we had \$1.5 million in outstanding letters of credit.

During 2009, pursuant to a completed secured debt restructuring program, we voluntarily elected to cease the subsidization of debt service on mortgages securing some of our hotels. If operating fundamentals decline from 2011, we may voluntarily elect to cease the subsidization of debt service on additional mortgages in the future, which could reduce the number of hotels we own and our revenues and affect our ability to raise equity or debt financing.

In the context of determining whether to cease the subsidization of debt service on mortgages secured by some of our hotels, we balanced the interests of our stockholders against other considerations, including relationships with lenders whom we may in the future seek to do business. During 2009, pursuant to secured debt restructuring negotiations with respect to certain of our mortgage loans (the 2009 secured debt restructuring program), we ultimately determined that it was in our best interest to voluntarily cease the subsidization of debt service shortfalls under four of our non-recourse mortgages totaling \$303.9 million, which were secured by 11 of our hotels. During 2010, we transferred the titles on 10 of these hotels to either the lenders or new owners, and the related debt was extinguished. We reacquired the Renaissance Westchester in June 2010 for \$26.0 million, including \$1.2 million of restricted cash and related costs for a net purchase price of \$24.8 million. In connection with this repurchase, the hotel s \$29.2 million non-recourse mortgage was cancelled. The title transfers of the 10 hotels reduced our assets and our debt, and could have an adverse effect on our ability to raise equity or debt capital in the future, as well as increase the cost of such capital.

In addition to the foregoing loans, we may face issues with other loans in the future, some of which may be beyond our control, including our ability to service payment obligations from the cash flow of the applicable hotel, or the inability to refinance existing debt at the applicable maturity date. In such event, we may elect to default on the applicable loan and, as a result, the lenders would have the right to exercise various remedies under the loan documents, which would include foreclosure on the applicable hotels. Any such defaults, whether voluntary or involuntary, could result in a default under our other debt or otherwise have an adverse effect on our business, results of operations or financial condition.

Table of Contents

If we were to default on our secured debt in the future, the loss of our property securing the debt may negatively affect our ability to satisfy other obligations.

A majority of our debt is secured by first deeds of trust on our properties. Using our properties as collateral increases our risk of property losses because defaults on indebtedness secured by properties may result in foreclosure actions initiated by lenders and ultimately our loss of the property that secures any loan under which we are in default. For tax purposes, a foreclosure on any of our properties would be treated as a sale of the property. If the outstanding balance of the debt secured by the mortgage exceeds our tax basis in the property, we would recognize taxable income on foreclosure but would not necessarily receive any cash proceeds. As a result, we may be required to identify and utilize other sources of cash for distributions to our stockholders.

We anticipate that we will refinance our indebtedness from time to time to repay our debt, and our inability to refinance on favorable terms, or at all, could impact our operating results.

Because we anticipate that our internally generated cash will be adequate to repay only a portion of our indebtedness prior to maturity, we expect that we will be required to repay debt from time to time through refinancings of our indebtedness and/or offerings of equity or debt. The amount of our existing indebtedness may impede our ability to repay our debt through refinancings. While we have worked to conduct fair negotiations with lenders holding mortgages secured by some of our hotels, our election to cease the subsidization of debt service on certain of such mortgages pursuant to our 2009 secured debt restructuring program may negatively impact our ability to secure favorable financing in the future. If we are unable to refinance our indebtedness on acceptable terms, or at all, and are unable to negotiate an extension with the lender, we may be in default or forced to sell one or more of our properties on potentially disadvantageous terms, which might increase our borrowing costs, result in losses to us and reduce the amount of cash available to us for distributions to our stockholders. If prevailing interest rates or other factors at the time of any refinancing result in higher interest rates on new debt, our interest expense would increase, which would harm our operating results.

Financial covenants in our debt instruments may restrict our operating or acquisition activities.

Our credit facility contains, and other potential financings that we may incur or assume in the future may contain, restrictions, requirements and other limitations on our ability to incur additional debt, as well as financial covenants relating to the performance of those properties. Our ability to borrow under these agreements is subject to compliance with these financial and other covenants. If we are unable to engage in activities that we believe would benefit those properties or we are unable to incur debt to pursue those activities, our growth may be limited. Obtaining consents or waivers from compliance with these covenants may not be possible, or if possible, may cause us to incur additional costs.

Many of our existing mortgage debt agreements contain cash trap provisions that could limit our ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

Certain of our loan agreements contain cash trap provisions that may get triggered if the performance of our hotels decline further. When these provisions are triggered, substantially all of the profit generated by our hotels is deposited directly into lockbox accounts and then swept into cash management accounts for the benefit of our various lenders. Cash is distributed to us only after certain items are paid, including deposits into leasing and maintenance reserves and the payment of debt service, insurance, taxes, operating expenses, and extraordinary capital

expenditures and leasing expenses. This could affect our liquidity and our ability to make distributions to our stockholders. As of December 31, 2011, the cash trap provisions had been triggered at seven hotels resulting in \$14.7 million being held by the respective lenders as of December 31, 2011. As of December 31, 2011, one of the seven hotels met the financial requirements to be released from the cash trap provisions, which we expect to happen during the first quarter 2012.

Our Series C preferred stock contains financial covenants that could limit our financial flexibility and harm our financial condition.

Our Series C cumulative convertible preferred stock (the Series C preferred stock), contains fixed charge coverage and debt ratio limitations, and other financings that we may incur or assume in the future may contain financial and operating covenants, including net worth requirements, fixed charge coverage and debt ratios and other limitations on our ability to make distributions or other payments to our stockholders as well as limitations on our ability to sell all or substantially all of our assets and engage in mergers, consolidations and certain acquisitions. Failure to meet our financial covenants could result from, among other things, changes in our results of operations, the incurrence of debt or changes in general economic conditions. Specifically, if we fail to meet certain financial covenants in the Series C preferred stock for four consecutive quarters a financial ratio violation will occur. During the continuation of a financial ratio violation, among other things, we would be restricted from paying dividends on our common stock, and may incur a 50 basis point per quarter dividend increase on the Series C preferred stock. Additionally, the Series C preferred stockholders would gain the right to appoint one board member. As of July 2010, the Series C preferred stock is redeemable at our option, in whole or in part, for cash at a redemption price of \$24.375 per share, plus accrued and unpaid dividends up to and including the redemption date, without any prepayment penalties.

Table of Contents

Our organizational documents contain no limitations on the amount of debt we may incur, so we may become too highly leveraged.

Our organizational documents do not limit the amount of indebtedness that we may incur. If we increase the level of our borrowings, then the resulting increase in cash flow that must be used for debt service would reduce cash available for distribution and could harm our ability to make payments on our outstanding indebtedness and our financial condition.

Two of our directors have direct and active economic interests in hotels, which may result in conflicts and competing demands on their time.

Two of our directors, Messrs. Alter and Wolff, are actively involved in the management of entities that invest in hotels. Accordingly, these directors may have a conflict of interest in owning hotels that operate in similar markets or in evaluating hotel acquisition opportunities in which we and those entities both have a potential interest. These potential conflicts have been disclosed to, and reviewed and approved by the board of directors.

We face competition for hotel acquisitions and dispositions, and we may not be successful in completing hotel acquisitions or dispositions that meet our criteria, which may impede our business strategy.

Our business strategy is predicated on a cycle-appropriate approach to hotel acquisitions and dispositions. We may not be successful in identifying or completing acquisitions or dispositions that are consistent with our strategy. We compete with institutional pension funds, private equity investors, other REITs, owner-operators of hotels, franchise-owned hotels and others who are engaged in the acquisition of hotels, and we rely on such entities as purchasers of hotels we seek to sell. These competitors may affect the supply/demand dynamics and, accordingly, increase the price we must pay for hotels or hotel companies we seek to acquire, and these competitors may succeed in acquiring those hotels or hotel companies themselves. Furthermore, our potential acquisition targets may find our competitors to be more attractive suitors because they may have greater marketing and financial resources, may be willing to pay more, or may have a more compatible operating philosophy. In addition, the number of entities competing for suitable hotels may increase in the future, which would increase demand for these hotels and the prices we must pay to acquire them, which, although beneficial to dispositions of hotels, may materially impact our ability to acquire new properties. We are also unable to predict certain market changes including changes in supply of, or demand for, similar real properties in a particular area. If we pay higher prices for hotels, our profitability may be reduced. Also, future acquisitions of hotels or hotel companies may not yield the returns we expect and, if financed using our equity, may result in stockholder dilution. In addition, our profitability may suffer because of acquisition-related costs or amortization costs for acquired intangible assets, and the integration of such acquisitions may cause disruptions to our business and may strain management resources.

Delays in the acquisition, renovation, development and construction of real properties may have adverse effects on our results of operations and returns to our stockholders.

Delays we encounter in the selection, acquisition, renovation and development of real properties could adversely affect investor returns. Our ability to commit to purchase specific assets will depend, in part, on the amount of our available cash at a given time. Where properties are acquired prior to the start of construction or during the early stages of construction, it will typically take several months to complete construction and rent available space. Renovation programs may take longer and cost more than initially expected. Therefore, stockholders may suffer delays in receiving cash distributions attributable to those particular real properties. We may incur additional risks when we make periodic progress

payments or other advances to builders prior to completion of construction. Each of those factors could result in increased costs of a project or loss of our investment. Furthermore, the price we agree to pay for a real property will be based on our projections of income and expenses and estimates of the fair market value of real property upon completion of construction. If our projections are inaccurate, we may pay too much for a property.

Accounting for the acquisition of a hotel property as a purchase transaction requires an allocation of the purchase price to the assets acquired and the liabilities assumed in the transaction at their estimated fair values. Should the allocation be incorrect, our assets and liabilities may be overstated or understated, which may also affect depreciation expense on our statement of operations.

Accounting for the acquisition of a hotel property as a purchase transaction requires an allocation of the purchase price to the assets acquired and the liabilities assumed in the transaction at their respective estimated fair values. The most difficult estimations of individual fair values are those involving long-lived assets, such as property and equipment and intangible assets. During 2011, we used all available information to make these fair value determinations, and engaged an independent valuation specialist to assist in the fair value determination of the long-lived assets acquired in our purchases of the outside 62.0% equity interests in the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square joint venture, the outside 50.0% equity interests in the BuyEfficient joint venture, the JW Marriott New

Orleans and the purchase of a 75.0% majority interest in the entity that owns the Hilton San Diego Bayfront. Should any of these allocations be incorrect, our assets and liabilities may be overstated or understated, which may also affect depreciation expense on our statement of operations.

Table of Contents

The acquisition of a portfolio of hotels or a company presents more risks to our business and financial results than the acquisition of a single hotel.

We have acquired in the past, and may acquire in the future, multiple hotels in single transactions to seek to reduce acquisition costs per hotel and enable us to expand our hotel portfolio more rapidly. We may also evaluate acquiring companies that own hotels. Multiple hotel and company acquisitions, however, are generally more complex than single hotel acquisitions and, as a result, the risk that they will not be completed is greater. These acquisitions may also result in our owning hotels in new markets, which places additional demands on our ability to actively asset manage the hotels. In addition, we may be required by a seller to purchase a group of hotels as a package, even though one or more of the hotels in the package do not meet our investment criteria. In those events, we expect to attempt to sell the hotels that do not meet our investment criteria, but may not be able to do so on acceptable terms or may have to pay a 100% prohibited transactions tax on any gain. These hotels may harm our operating results if they operate below our underwriting or we sell them at a loss. Also, a portfolio of hotels may also be more difficult to integrate with our existing hotels than a single hotel, may strain our management resources and may make it more difficult to find one or more management companies to operate the hotels. Any of these risks could harm our operating results.

Joint venture investments could be adversely affected by our lack of sole decision-making authority, our reliance on a co-venturer s financial condition and disputes between us and our co-venturers.

We have and may in the future co-invest with third parties through partnerships, joint ventures or other entities, acquiring non-controlling interests in or sharing responsibility for managing the affairs of a property, partnership, joint venture or other entity. For example, on April 15, 2011, we acquired a 75.0% majority equity interest in One Park Boulevard, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company (One Park), the joint venture that holds title to the 1,190-room Hilton San Diego Bayfront hotel located in San Diego, California. Hilton Worldwide, Inc. is the 25.0% minority equity partner in One Park. Accordingly, we are not in a position, and may not be in a position in the future to exercise sole decision-making authority regarding a property, partnership, joint venture or other entity. Investments in partnerships, joint ventures or other entities may, under certain circumstances, involve risks not present were a third party not involved, including the possibility that partners or co-venturers might become bankrupt or fail to fund their share of required capital contributions. Partners or co-venturers may have economic or other business interests or goals which are inconsistent with our business interests or goals, and may be in a position to take actions contrary to our policies or objectives. Such investments may also have the potential risk of impasses on decisions, such as a sale, because neither we nor the partner or co-venturer would have full control over the partnership or joint venture. Disputes between us and partners or co-venturers may result in litigation or arbitration that would increase our expenses and prevent our officers and/or trustees from focusing their time and effort on our business. Consequently, actions by, or disputes with, partners or co-venturers might result in subjecting properties owned by the partnership or joint venture to additional risk. In addition, we may in certain circumstances be liable for the actions of our third party partners or co-venturers.

The mortgage loan we currently own, or mortgage loans we may invest in at a future date, may be affected by unfavorable real estate market conditions, which could decrease the value of those loans and the return on our investment.

The mortgage loan we currently own, or mortgage loans we may invest in at a future date, may be at risk of defaults by the borrowers on those mortgage loans. These defaults may be caused by conditions beyond our control, including interest rate levels and local and other economic conditions affecting real estate values. We do not know whether the values of the properties securing the mortgage loan we currently own or any potential mortgage loans acquired in the future will remain at the levels existing on the dates of origination of those mortgage loans. If the values of the underlying properties were to drop, our risk would increase because of the lower value of the security associated with such loans.

The mortgage loan we currently own, or mortgage loans we may invest in at a future date, may be subject to interest rate fluctuations that could reduce our returns as compared to market interest rates and reduce the value of the mortgage loans in the event we sell them.

With respect to the mortgage loan we currently own, or mortgage loans we may invest in at a future date, we could yield a return that is lower than the current rate in existence when the loans were acquired. If interest rates decrease, we will be adversely affected to the extent that mortgage loans are prepaid because we may not be able to make new loans at the higher interest rate. If we invest in variable-rate loans and interest rates decrease, our revenues will also decrease. Finally, if we invest in variable-rate loans and interest rates increase, the value of the loans we own at such time would decrease, which would lower the proceeds we would receive in the

event we sell such assets. For these reasons, our returns on those loans and the value of your investment will be subject to fluctuations in interest rates

Table of Contents

The loans in which we invest may involve greater risks of loss than senior loans secured by income-producing real properties.

We have invested in hotel loans, and may invest in additional loans in the future, including mezzanine loans that take the form of subordinated loans secured by second mortgages on the underlying real property or loans secured by a pledge of the ownership interests of the entity owning the real property, the entity that owns the interest in the entity owning the real property or other assets. These types of investments involve a higher degree of risk than direct hotel investments because the investment may become unsecured as a result of foreclosure by the senior lender. In the event of a bankruptcy of the entity providing the pledge of its ownership interests as security, we may not have full recourse to the assets of such entity, or the assets of the entity may not be sufficient to satisfy our mezzanine loan. If a borrower defaults on our mezzanine loan or debt senior to our loan, or in the event of a borrower bankruptcy, our mezzanine loan will be satisfied only after the senior debt. As a result, we may not recover some or all of our investment. In addition, mezzanine loans may have higher loan-to-value ratios than conventional mortgage loans, resulting in less equity in the real property and increasing the risk of loss of principal.

If we make or invest in mortgage loans with the intent of gaining ownership of the hotel secured by or pledged to the loan, our ability to perfect an ownership interest in the hotel is subject to the sponsor s willingness to forfeit the property in lieu of the debt.

If we invest in a mortgage loan or note secured by the equity interest in a property with the intention of gaining ownership through the foreclosure process, the time it will take for us to perfect our interest in the property may depend on the sponsor s willingness to cooperate during the foreclosure process. The sponsor may elect to file bankruptcy which could materially impact our ability to perfect our interest in the property and could result in a loss on our investment in the debt or note.

In the past, events beyond our control, including an economic slowdown and terrorism, harmed the operating performance of the hotel industry generally and the performance of our hotels, and if these or similar events occur again, our operating and financial results may be harmed by declines in average daily room rates and/or occupancy.

The performance of the lodging industry has traditionally been closely linked with the performance of the general economy. The majority of our hotels are classified as upper upscale or upscale hotels. In an economic downturn, these types of hotels may be more susceptible to a decrease in revenue, as compared to hotels in other categories that have lower room rates in part because upper upscale and upscale hotels generally target business and high-end leisure travelers. In periods of economic difficulties, business and leisure travelers may reduce travel costs by limiting travel or by using lower cost accommodations. In addition, the terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001 had a dramatic adverse effect on business and leisure travel, and on the occupancy and average daily rate, or ADR, of our hotels. Future terrorist activities could have a harmful effect on both the industry and us. Likewise, the volatility in the credit and equity markets and the economic recession in 2008 and 2009 had an adverse effect on our business, and many continue to have a negative effect on our revenues and profitability.

Certain of our long-lived assets and goodwill have in the past become impaired and may become impaired in the future.

We periodically review each of our hotels and any related goodwill for possible impairment. In 2011, we recognized an impairment loss of \$1.5 million to discontinued operations to reduce the carrying value of one of our commercial laundry facilities to its fair value based on proceeds received on the sale of the commercial laundry facility in July 2011. In addition, we recognized an impairment loss of \$10.9 million in 2011 to reduce the carrying value of a note receivable from the purchaser of the Royal Palm Miami Beach (the Royal Palm note) to its fair value based

on proceeds received from the sale of the Royal Palm note in October 2011. In 2010, we recognized an impairment loss of \$1.9 million related to an office building and land adjacent to one of our hotels based on estimated proceeds expected to be received from the possible sale of this property. During 2009, we recognized impairment losses totaling \$252.2 million, which included: \$25.5 million to impairment loss to reduce the carrying value of one hotel and one parcel of land adjacent to one of our hotels on our balance sheets to their fair values; \$3.9 million to impairment loss related to goodwill on two of our hotels; \$1.4 million to impairment loss related to the write-off of deferred costs associated with a potential time share development; \$26.0 million to equity in net losses of unconsolidated joint ventures in order to reduce our investment in the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square joint venture to zero on our balance sheets; \$192.3 million to discontinued operations to reduce the carrying values of 11 hotels on our balance sheet to their fair values; and, \$3.0 million to discontinued operations related to goodwill on four hotels. Our other hotels and related goodwill may become impaired, or our hotels and related goodwill which have previously become impaired may become further impaired, in the future, which may adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

Table of Contents

We own primarily upper upscale and upscale hotels, and the upper upscale and upscale segments of the lodging market are highly competitive and may be subject to greater volatility than other segments of the market, which could negatively affect our profitability.

The upper upscale and upscale segments of the hotel business are highly competitive. Our hotels compete on the basis of location, room rates and quality, service levels, reputation and reservations systems, among many other factors. There are many competitors in our hotel chain scale segments, and many of these competitors have substantially greater marketing and financial resources than we have. This competition could reduce occupancy levels and room revenue at our hotels, which would harm our operations. Over-building in the hotel industry may increase the number of rooms available and may decrease occupancy and room rates. We will also face competition from nationally recognized hotel brands with which we are not associated. In addition, in periods of weak demand, profitability is negatively affected by the relatively high fixed costs of operating upper upscale and upscale hotels when compared to other classes of hotels.

Rising operating expenses or low occupancy rates could reduce our cash flow and funds available for future distributions.

Our hotels, and any hotels we buy in the future, are and will be subject to operating risks common to the lodging industry in general. If any hotel is not occupied at a level sufficient to cover our operating expenses, then we could be required to spend additional funds for that hotel s operating expenses. In the future, our hotels will be subject to increases in real estate and other tax rates, utility costs, operating expenses, insurance costs, repairs and maintenance and administrative expenses, which could reduce our cash flow and funds available for future distributions.

A significant portion of our hotels are geographically concentrated in California and New York and, accordingly, we could be disproportionately harmed by economic downturns or natural disasters in these areas of the country.

As of December 31, 2011, 10 of the 32 hotels, the largest concentration of our hotels in any state, representing approximately 32% of our rooms and approximately 32% of the revenue generated by the 32 hotels during 2011, are located in California. In addition, as of December 31, 2011, three of the 32 hotels, representing approximately 10% of our rooms and approximately 16.0% of the revenue generated by the 32 hotels during 2011, are located in New York. The concentration of our hotels in California and New York exposes our business to economic conditions, competition and real and personal property tax rates unique to California and New York. In addition, natural disasters in California or New York would disproportionately affect our hotel portfolio. The California and New York economies and tourism industries, in comparison to other parts of the country, are negatively affected to a greater extent by changes and downturns in certain industries, including the entertainment, high technology and financial industries. It is also possible that because of our California and New York concentration, a change in laws applicable to hotels and the lodging industry in either state may have a greater impact on us than a change in comparable laws in another geographical area in which we have hotels. Adverse developments in California and New York could harm our revenue or increase our operating expenses in those states.

The operating results of some of our individual hotels are significantly impacted by group contract business and room nights generated by large corporate transient customers, and the loss of such customers for any reason could harm our operating results.

Group contract business and room nights generated by other large corporate transient customers can significantly impact the results of operations of our hotels. These contracts and customers vary from hotel to hotel and change from time to time. Such group contracts are typically for a limited period of time after which they may be put up for competitive bidding. The impact and timing of large events are not always easy to

predict. As a result, the operating results for our individual hotels can fluctuate as a result of these factors, possibly in adverse ways, and these fluctuations can affect our overall operating results.

Because most of our hotels are operated under franchise agreements or are brand managed, termination of these franchise or management agreements or circumstances that negatively affect the franchisor or the hotel brand could cause us to lose business at our hotels or lead to a default or acceleration of our obligations under certain of our notes payable.

As of December 31, 2011, 30 of the 32 hotels, representing approximately 93% of our rooms, were operated under franchise or management agreements with international franchisors or hotel management companies, such as Marriott, Hilton, Fairmont, Hyatt and Sheraton. In general, under these arrangements, the franchisor or brand manager provides marketing services and room reservations and certain other operating assistance, but requires us to pay significant fees to it and to maintain the hotel in a required condition. If we fail to maintain these required standards, then the franchisor or hotel brand may terminate its agreement with us and obtain damages for any liability we may have caused. Moreover, from time to time, we may receive notices from franchisors or the hotel brands regarding our alleged non-compliance with the franchise agreements or brand standards, and we may disagree with these claims that we are not in compliance. Any disputes arising under these agreements could also lead to a termination of a franchise or management agreement and a payment of liquidated damages. Such a termination may trigger a default or acceleration of our obligations under some of our notes payable. In addition, as our franchise or management agreements expire, we may not be able to

Table of Contents

renew them on favorable terms or at all. If we were to lose a franchise or hotel brand for a particular hotel, it could harm the operation, financing, leverage opportunity or value of that hotel due to the loss of the franchise or hotel brand name, marketing support and centralized reservation system. Moreover, negative publicity affecting a franchisor or hotel brand in general could reduce the revenue we receive from the hotels subject to that particular franchise or brand. Any loss of revenue at a hotel could harm the ability of the TRS Lessee, to whom we have leased our hotels as a result of certain federal income tax restrictions on lodging REITs, to pay rent to the Operating Partnership and could harm our ability to pay dividends on our common stock or preferred stock.

Our franchisors and brand managers require us to make capital expenditures pursuant to property improvement plans, or PIPs, and the failure to make the expenditures required under the PIPs or to comply with brand standards could cause the franchisors or hotel brands to terminate the franchise or management agreements.

Historically, some of our franchisors and brand managers require that we make renovations to some of our hotels in connection with revisions to our franchise or management agreements. In addition, upon regular inspection of our hotels, our franchisors and hotel brands may determine that additional renovations are required to bring the physical condition of our hotels into compliance with the specifications and standards each franchisor or hotel brand has developed. In connection with the acquisitions of hotels, franchisors and hotel brands may also require PIPs, which set forth their renovation requirements. If we do not satisfy the PIP renovation requirements, the franchisor or hotel brand may have the right to terminate the applicable agreement. In addition, in the event that we are in default under any franchise agreement as a result of our failure to comply with the PIP requirements, in general, we will be required to pay the franchisor liquidated damages, generally equal to a percentage of gross room revenue for the preceding two-, three- or five-year period for the hotel or a percentage of gross revenue for the preceding twelve-month period for all hotels operated under the franchised brand if the hotel has not been operating for at least two years.

Our franchisors and brand managers may change certain policies or cost allocations that could negatively impact our hotels.

Our franchisors and brand managers incur certain costs that are allocated to our hotels subject to our franchise and management agreements. Those costs may increase over time or our franchisors and brand managers may elect to introduce new programs that could increase costs allocated to our hotels. In addition, certain policies may be altered resulting in reduced revenue or increased costs to our hotels.

Because we are a REIT, we depend on third parties to operate our hotels, which could harm our results of operations.

In order to qualify as a REIT, we cannot directly operate our hotels or participate in the decisions affecting the daily operations of our hotels. Accordingly, we must enter into management agreements with eligible independent contractors to manage our hotels. Thus, independent management companies control the daily operations of our hotels.

As of December 31, 2011, our hotels were managed as follows: Marriott 13 hotels; IHR 11 hotels; Highgate two hotels; Hilton two hotels; and Davidson, Fairmont, Hyatt and Sage one hotel each. Under the terms of our management agreements with these companies, although we actively participate in setting operating strategies, we do not have the authority to require any hotel to be operated in a particular manner or to govern any particular aspect of the daily operations of any hotel (e.g., setting room rates, etc.). We depend on these independent management companies to operate our hotels as provided in the applicable management agreements. Thus, even if we believe a hotel is being operated inefficiently or in a manner that does not result in satisfactory ADR, occupancy rates and RevPAR, we do not necessarily have contractual rights to cause our

independent management companies to change their method of operation at our hotels. We can only seek redress if a management company violates the terms of its applicable management agreement with us or fails to meet performance objectives set forth in the applicable management agreement, and then only to the extent of the remedies provided in the management agreement. Additionally, while our management agreements typically provide for limited contractual penalties in the event that we terminate the applicable management upon an event of default, such terminations could result in significant disruptions at the affected hotels. If any of the foregoing occurs at franchised hotels, our relationships with the franchisors may be damaged, and we may be in breach of one or more of our franchise or management agreements.

We cannot assure you that our management companies will successfully manage our hotels. A failure by our management companies to successfully manage our hotels could lead to an increase in our operating expenses or a decrease in our revenue, or both, which would reduce the amount available for dividends on our common stock and our preferred stock. In addition, the management companies may operate other hotels that may compete with our hotels or divert attention away from the management of our hotels.

Table of Contents

If our management companies are not successful in managing our hotels or we terminate any of our management agreements and enter into new agreements with different management companies, the day to day operations of our hotels may be disrupted.

As of December 31, 2011, 13 of our hotels are managed and operated by Marriott and 11 of our hotels are managed and operated by IHR pursuant to management agreements with the TRS Lessee or its subsidiaries. In addition, 8 of our hotels are managed and operated by Davidson, Fairmont, Highgate, Hilton, Hyatt or Sage under management agreements with the TRS Lessee or its subsidiaries. Four of these agreements were entered into during 2011, and two of these agreements were entered into during 2010. If we were to terminate any of these agreements and enter into new agreements with different hotel operators, the day to day operations of our hotels may be disrupted. In addition, we cannot assure you that any new management agreement would contain terms that are favorable to us. Also, we cannot assure you that a new management company would be successful in managing our hotels.

Our hotels have an ongoing need for renovations and potentially significant capital expenditures in connection with acquisitions and other capital improvements, some of which are mandated by applicable laws or regulations or agreements with third parties, and the costs of such renovations or improvements may exceed our expectations or cause other problems.

In addition to capital expenditures required by our management, franchise and loan agreements, from time to time we will need to make capital expenditures to comply with applicable laws and regulations, to remain competitive with other hotels and to maintain the economic value of our hotels. We also may need to make significant capital improvements to hotels that we acquire. Occupancy and ADR are often affected by the maintenance and capital improvements at a hotel, especially in the event that the maintenance or improvements are not completed on schedule or if the improvements require significant closures at the hotel. The costs of capital improvements we need or choose to make could harm our financial condition and reduce amounts available for distribution to our stockholders. These capital improvements may give rise to the following additional risks, among others:

- construction cost overruns and delays;
- a possible shortage of available cash to fund capital improvements and the related possibility that financing for these capital improvements may not be available to us on affordable terms;
- uncertainties as to market demand or a loss of market demand after capital improvements have begun;
- disruption in service and room availability causing reduced demand, occupancy and rates;
- possible environmental problems; and

• disputes with managers or franchisors regarding our compliance with the requirements under the relevant management or franchise agreement.

Because we are a REIT, we depend on the TRS Lessee to make rent payments to us, and its inability to do so could harm our revenue and our ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

Due to certain federal income tax restrictions on hotel REITs, we cannot directly operate our hotel properties. Therefore, we lease our hotel properties to the TRS Lessee, which contracts with third-party hotel managers to manage our hotels. Our revenue and our ability to make distributions to our stockholders will depend solely upon the ability of the TRS Lessee to make rent payments under these leases. In general, under the leases with the TRS Lessee, we will receive from the TRS Lessee both fixed rent and variable rent based upon a percentage of gross revenues and the number of occupied rooms. As a result, we participate in the operations of our hotels only through our share of rent paid pursuant to the leases.

The TRS Lessee s ability to pay rent is affected by factors beyond its control, such as changes in general economic conditions, the level of demand for hotels and the related services of our hotels, competition in the lodging and hospitality industry, the ability to maintain and increase gross revenue at our hotels and other factors relating to the operations of our hotels.

Although failure on the part of the TRS Lessee to materially comply with the terms of a lease (including failure to pay rent when due) would give us the right to terminate the lease, repossess the hotel and enforce the payment obligations under the lease, such steps may not provide us with any substantive relief since the TRS Lessee is our subsidiary. If we were to terminate a lease, we would then be required to find another lessee to lease the hotel because we cannot operate hotel properties directly and remain qualified as a REIT. We cannot assure you that we would be able to find another lessee or that, if another lessee were found, we would be able to enter into a new lease on similar terms.

Table of Contents

Because nine of the 32 hotels are subject to ground or air leases with unaffiliated parties, termination of these leases by the lessors could cause us to lose the ability to operate these hotels altogether and incur substantial costs in restoring the premises.

Our rights to use the underlying land and/or air space of nine of the 32 hotels are based upon our interest under long-term ground or air leases with unaffiliated parties. Pursuant to the terms of the ground or air leases for these hotels, we are required to pay all rent due and comply with all other lessee obligations. As of December 31, 2011, the terms of these ground or air leases (including renewal options) range from approximately 32 to 115 years. Any pledge of our interest in a ground or air lease may also require the consent of the applicable lessor and its lenders. As a result, we may not be able to sell, assign, transfer or convey our lessee s interest in any hotel subject to a ground or air lease in the future absent consent of such third parties even if such transactions may be in the best interest of our stockholders.

The lessors may require us, at the expiration or termination of the ground or air leases, to surrender or remove any improvements, alterations or additions to the land at our own expense. The ground or air leases also generally require us to restore the premises following a casualty and to apply in a specified manner any proceeds received in connection therewith. We may have to restore the premises if a material casualty, such as a fire or an act of nature, occurs and the cost thereof exceeds available insurance proceeds.

If we fail to maintain effective internal control over financial reporting and disclosure controls and procedures in the future, we may not be able to accurately report our financial results, which could have an adverse effect on our business.

If our internal control over financial reporting and disclosure controls and procedures are not effective, we may not be able to provide reliable financial information. If we discover deficiencies in our internal controls, we will make efforts to remediate these deficiencies; however, there is no assurance that we will be successful either in identifying deficiencies or in their remediation. Any failure to maintain effective controls in the future could adversely affect our business or cause us to fail to meet our reporting obligations. Such non-compliance could also result in an adverse reaction in the financial marketplace due to a loss of investor confidence in the reliability of our financial statements. In addition, perceptions of our business among customers, suppliers, rating agencies, lenders, investors, securities analysts and others could be adversely affected.

Risks Related to Our Organization and Structure

Provisions of Maryland law and our organizational documents may limit the ability of a third party to acquire control of our company and may serve to limit our stock price.

Provisions of Maryland law and our charter and bylaws could have the effect of discouraging, delaying or preventing transactions that involve an actual or threatened change in control of us, and may have the effect of entrenching our management and members of our board of directors, regardless of performance. These provisions include the following:

Aggregate Stock and Common Stock Ownership Limits. In order for us to qualify as a REIT, no more than 50% of the value of outstanding shares of our stock may be owned, actually or constructively, by five or fewer individuals at any time during the last half of each taxable year.

To assure that we will not fail to qualify as a REIT under this test, subject to some exceptions, our charter prohibits any stockholder from owning beneficially or constructively more than 9.8% (in number or value, whichever is more restrictive) of the outstanding shares of our common stock or more than 9.8% of the value of the outstanding shares of our capital stock. Any attempt to own or transfer shares of our capital stock in excess of the ownership limit without the consent of our board of directors will be void and could result in the shares (and all dividends thereon) being automatically transferred to a charitable trust. The board of directors has granted waivers of the aggregate stock and common stock ownership limits to three—look through entities—such as mutual or investment funds. This ownership limitation may prevent a third party from acquiring control of us if our board of directors does not grant an exemption from the ownership limitation, even if our stockholders believe the change in control is in their best interests.

Authority to Issue Stock. Our charter authorizes our board of directors to cause us to issue up to 500,000,000 shares of common stock and up to 100,000,000 shares of preferred stock. Our charter authorizes our board of directors to amend our charter without stockholder approval to increase or decrease the aggregate number of shares of stock or the number of shares of any class or series of our stock that it has authority to issue, to classify or reclassify any unissued shares of our common stock or preferred stock and to set the preferences, rights and other terms of the classified or reclassified shares. Issuances of additional shares of stock may have the effect of delaying or preventing a change in control of our company, including change of control transactions offering a premium over the market price of shares of our common stock, even if our stockholders believe that a change of control is in their interest.

Number of Directors, Board Vacancies, Term of Office. Under our charter and bylaws, we have elected to be subject to certain provisions of Maryland law which vest in the board of directors the exclusive right to determine the number of directors and the exclusive right, by the affirmative vote of a majority of the remaining directors, to fill vacancies on the board even if the remaining directors do not constitute a quorum. Any director elected to fill a vacancy will hold office until the next annual meeting of stockholders, and until his or her successor is elected and qualifies. As a result, stockholder influence over these matters is limited.

Table of Contents

Limitation on Stockholder Requested Special Meetings. Our bylaws provide that our stockholders have the right to call a special meeting only upon the written request of the stockholders entitled to cast not less than a majority of all the votes entitled to be cast by the stockholders at such meeting. This provision makes it more difficult for stockholders to call special meetings.

Advance Notice Provisions for Stockholder Nominations and Proposals. Our bylaws require advance written notice for stockholders to nominate persons for election as directors at, or to bring other business before, any meeting of our stockholders. This bylaw provision limits the ability of our stockholders to make nominations of persons for election as directors or to introduce other proposals unless we are notified and provided certain required information in a timely manner prior to the meeting.

Authority of our Board to Amend our Bylaws. Our bylaws provide that our board of directors has the exclusive power to adopt, alter or repeal any provision of the bylaws or to make new bylaws, except with respect to amendments to the provision of our bylaws regarding our opt out of the Maryland Business Combination and Control Share Acquisition Acts. Thus, our stockholders may not effect any changes to our bylaws other than as noted in the preceding sentence.

Duties of Directors. Maryland law requires that a director perform his or her duties (1) in good faith, (2) in a manner he or she reasonably believes to be in the best interests of the corporation and (3) with the care that an ordinary prudent person in a like position would use under similar circumstances. The duty of the directors of a Maryland corporation does not require them to (1) accept, recommend or respond on behalf of the corporation to any proposal by a person seeking to acquire control of the corporation, (2) authorize the corporation to redeem any rights under, or modify or render inapplicable, a stockholders—rights plan, (3) elect on behalf of the corporation to be subject to or refrain from electing on behalf of the corporation to be subject to the unsolicited takeover provisions of Maryland law, (4) make a determination under the Maryland Business Combination Act or the Maryland Control Share Acquisition Act or (5) act or fail to act solely because of the effect the act or failure to act may have on an acquisition or potential acquisition of control of the corporation or the amount or type of consideration that may be offered or paid to the stockholders in an acquisition. Moreover, under Maryland law the act of the directors of a Maryland corporation relating to or affecting an acquisition or potential acquisition of control is not subject to any higher duty or greater scrutiny than is applied to any other act of a director. Maryland law also contains a statutory presumption that an act of a director of a Maryland corporation satisfies the applicable standards of conduct for directors under Maryland law. These provisions increase the ability of our directors to respond to a takeover and may make it more difficult for a third party to effect an unsolicited takeover.

Unsolicited Takeover Provisions. Provisions of Maryland law permit the board of a corporation with a class of equity securities registered under the Exchange Act and at least three independent directors, without stockholder approval, to implement possible takeover defenses, such as a classified board or a two-thirds vote requirement for removal of a director. These provisions, if implemented, may make it more difficult for a third party to effect a takeover.

We rely on our senior management team, the loss of whom could significantly harm our business.

Our continued success will depend to a significant extent on the efforts and abilities of our senior management team. These individuals are important to our business and strategy and to the extent that any of them departs and is not replaced with a qualified substitute, such person s departure could harm our operations and financial condition.

Risks Related to the Lodging and Real Estate Industries

A number following:	of factors, many of which are common to the lodging industry and beyond our control, could affect our business, including the
• prolonged	general economic and business conditions affecting the lodging and travel industry, both nationally and locally, including a U.S. recession;
• and comm	threat of terrorism, terrorist events, airline strikes or other factors that may affect travel patterns and reduce the number of business ercial travelers and tourists;
•	recent volatility in the credit or equity markets and its effect on the general economy and, as a result, the demand for lodging;
•	increased competition from other hotels in our markets;
•	new hotel supply in our markets, which could harm our occupancy levels and revenue at our hotels;
•	unexpected changes in business, commercial and leisure travel and tourism;

18

Table of Contents

our profitability may decline.

• increases in operating costs due to inflation, labor costs (including the impact of unionization), workers compensation and health-care related costs, utility costs, insurance and unanticipated costs such as acts of nature and their consequences and other factors that may not be offset by increased room rates;
• changes in interest rates and in the availability, cost and terms of debt financing and other changes in our business that adversely affect our ability to comply with covenants in our debt financing;
• changes in our relationships with, and the performance and reputation of, our management companies and franchisors;
• changes in governmental laws and regulations, fiscal policies and zoning ordinances and the related costs of compliance with laws and regulations, fiscal policies and ordinances; and
• adverse effects of international market conditions, which may diminish the desire for leisure travel or the need for business travel, as well as national, regional and local economic and market conditions in which our hotels operate and where our customers live.
These factors could harm our financial condition, results of operations and ability to make distributions to our stockholders.
The hotel business is seasonal and seasonal variations in revenue at our hotels can be expected to cause quarterly fluctuations in our revenue.
Quarterly revenue may also be harmed by events beyond our control, such as extreme weather conditions, terrorist attacks or alerts, contagious diseases, airline strikes, economic factors, natural disasters and other considerations affecting travel. Seasonal fluctuations in revenue may affect our ability to make distributions to our stockholders or to fund our debt service.
The use of internet travel intermediaries by consumers may harm our profitability as a result of increased commissions or lower room rates.
Some of our hotel rooms are booked through independent third-party internet travel intermediaries. Because we may continue to selectively use these third-party internet intermediaries to generate sales, they may be able to obtain higher commissions, reduced room rates or achieve other significant contract concessions from us. If the amount of sales made through internet intermediaries increases significantly and we fail to

appropriately price room inventory in a manner that maximizes yields, or we are unable to do so, our room revenue may flatten or decrease and

The illiquidity of real estate investments and the lack of alternative uses of hotel properties could significantly limit our ability to respond to adverse changes in the performance of our hotels and harm our financial condition.

Because real estate investments are relatively illiquid, our ability to promptly sell one or more of our hotels in response to changing economic, financial and investment conditions is limited. The real estate market, including our hotels, is affected by many factors, such as general economic conditions, availability of financing, interest rates and other factors, including supply and demand, that are beyond our control. We may not be able to sell any of our hotels on favorable terms. It may take a long time to find a willing purchaser and to close the sale of a hotel if we want to sell. Should we decide to sell a hotel during the term of that particular hotel s management agreement, we may have to pay termination fees, which could be substantial, to the applicable management company.

In addition, hotels may not be readily converted to alternative uses if they were to become unprofitable due to competition, age of improvements, decreased demand or other factors. The conversion of a hotel to alternative uses would also generally require substantial capital expenditures and may give rise to substantial payments to our franchisors, management companies and lenders.

We may be required to expend funds to correct defects or to make improvements before a hotel can be sold. We may not have funds available to correct those defects or to make those improvements and, as a result, our ability to sell the hotel would be restricted. In acquiring a hotel, we may agree to lock-out provisions that materially restrict us from selling that hotel for a period of time or impose other restrictions on us, such as a limitation on the amount of debt that can be placed or repaid on that hotel to address specific concerns of sellers. These lock-out provisions would restrict our ability to sell a hotel. These factors and any others that would impede our ability to respond to adverse changes in the performance of our hotels could harm our financial condition and results of operations.

Claims by persons relating to our properties could affect the attractiveness of our hotels or cause us to incur additional expenses.

We could incur liabilities resulting from loss or injury to our hotels or to persons at our hotels. These losses could be attributable to us or result from actions taken by a hotel management company. Claims such as these, whether or not they have merit, could harm the reputation of a hotel or cause us to incur expenses to the extent of insurance deductibles or losses in excess of policy limitations, which could harm our results of operations.

Table of Contents

Uninsured and underinsured losses could harm our financial condition, results of operations and ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

Various types of catastrophic losses, such as losses due to wars, terrorist acts, earthquakes, floods, hurricanes, pollution or environmental matters, generally are either uninsurable or not economically insurable, or may be subject to insurance coverage limitations, such as large deductibles or co-payments. Of the 32 hotels, 10 are located in California, which has been historically at greater risk to certain acts of nature (such as fires, earthquakes and mudslides) than other states.

In the event of a catastrophic loss, our insurance coverage may not be sufficient to cover the full current market value or replacement cost of our lost investment. Should an uninsured loss or a loss in excess of insured limits occur, we could lose all or a portion of the capital we have invested in a hotel, as well as the anticipated future revenue from the hotel. In that event, we might nevertheless remain obligated for any notes payable or other financial obligations related to the property, in addition to obligations to our ground lessors, franchisors and managers. Inflation, changes in building codes and ordinances, environmental considerations and other factors might also keep us from using insurance proceeds to replace or renovate a hotel after it has been damaged or destroyed. Under those circumstances, the insurance proceeds we receive might be inadequate to restore our economic position on the damaged or destroyed hotel.

Since September 11, 2001, it has generally become more difficult and expensive to obtain property and casualty insurance, including coverage for terrorism. When our current insurance policies expire, we may encounter difficulty in obtaining or renewing property or casualty insurance on our hotels at the same levels of coverage and under similar terms. Such insurance may be more limited and for some catastrophic risks (e.g., earthquake, fire, flood and terrorism) may not be generally available at current levels. Even if we are able to renew our policies or to obtain new policies at levels and with limitations consistent with our current policies, we cannot be sure that we will be able to obtain such insurance at premium rates that are commercially reasonable. If we are unable to obtain adequate insurance on our hotels for certain risks, it could cause us to be in default under specific covenants on certain of our indebtedness or other contractual commitments we have to our ground lessors, franchisors and managers which require us to maintain adequate insurance on our properties to protect against the risk of loss. If this were to occur, or if we were unable to obtain adequate insurance and our properties experienced damages which would otherwise have been covered by insurance, it could harm our financial condition and results of operations.

We may not be able to recover fully under our existing terrorism insurance for losses caused by some types of terrorist acts, and federal terrorism legislation does not ensure that we will be able to obtain terrorism insurance in adequate amounts or at acceptable premium levels in the future.

We obtain terrorism insurance as part of our all-risk property insurance program. However, our all-risk policies have limitations such as per occurrence limits and sublimits that might have to be shared proportionally across participating hotels under certain loss scenarios. Also, all-risk insurers only have to provide terrorism coverage to the extent mandated by the Terrorism Risk Insurance Act (the TRIA) for certified acts of terrorism namely those which are committed on behalf of non-United States persons or interests. Furthermore, we do not have full replacement coverage for all of our properties for acts of terrorism committed on behalf of United States persons or interests (noncertified events), as well as for certified events, as our terrorism coverage for such incidents is subject to sublimits and/or annual aggregate limits. In addition, property damage related to war and to nuclear, biological and chemical incidents is excluded under our policies. To the extent we have property damage directly related to fire following a nuclear, biological or chemical incident, however, our coverage will extend to reimburse us for our losses. While the TRIA provides for the reimbursement of insurers for losses resulting from nuclear, biological and chemical perils, the TRIA does not require insurers to offer coverage for these perils and, to date, insurers are not willing to provide this coverage, even with government reinsurance. The TRIA is due to expire on December 31, 2014. There is no guaranty that terrorism insurance will be readily available or affordable before or after expiration of the TRIA in December 2014. As a result of the above, there remains considerable uncertainty regarding the extent and adequacy of terrorism coverage that will be available to protect our interests in the event of future terrorist attacks that impact our

properties.

Laws and governmental regulations may restrict the ways in which we use our hotel properties and increase the cost of compliance with such regulations. Noncompliance with such regulations could subject us to penalties, loss of value of our properties or civil damages.

Our hotel properties are subject to various federal, state and local laws relating to the environment, fire and safety and access and use by disabled persons. Under these laws, courts and government agencies have the authority to require us, if we are the owner of a contaminated property, to clean up the property, even if we did not know of or were not responsible for the contamination. These

Table of Contents

laws also apply to persons who owned a property at the time it became contaminated. In addition to the costs of cleanup, environmental contamination can affect the value of a property and, therefore, an owner s ability to borrow funds using the property as collateral or to sell the property. Under such environmental laws, courts and government agencies also have the authority to require that a person who sent waste to a waste disposal facility, such as a landfill or an incinerator, pay for the clean-up of that facility if it becomes contaminated and threatens human health or the environment.

Furthermore, various court decisions have established that third parties may recover damages for injury caused by property contamination. For instance, a person exposed to asbestos while staying in or working at a hotel may seek to recover damages for injuries suffered. Additionally, some of these environmental laws restrict the use of a property or place conditions on various activities. For example, some laws require a business using chemicals (such as swimming pool chemicals at a hotel) to manage them carefully and to notify local officials that the chemicals are being used.

We could be responsible for the types of costs discussed above. The costs to clean up a contaminated property, to defend against a claim, or to comply with environmental laws could be material and could reduce the funds available for distribution to our stockholders. Future laws or regulations may impose material environmental liabilities on us, or the current environmental condition of our hotel properties may be affected by the condition of the properties in the vicinity of our hotels (such as the presence of leaking underground storage tanks) or by third parties unrelated to us.

Our hotel properties are also subject to the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, or the ADA. Under the ADA, all public accommodations must meet various Federal requirements related to access and use by disabled persons. Compliance with the ADA is requirements could require removal of access barriers and non-compliance could result in the U.S. government imposing fines or in private litigants winning damages. If we are required to make substantial modifications to our hotels, whether to comply with the ADA or other changes in governmental rules and regulations, our financial condition, results of operations and the ability to make distributions to our stockholders could be harmed. In addition, we are required to operate our hotel properties and laundry facilities in compliance with fire and safety regulations, building codes and other land use regulations, as they may be adopted by governmental agencies and become applicable to our properties.

Tax and Employee Benefit Plan Risks

If we fail to qualify as a REIT, our distributions will not be deductible by us and our income will be subject to federal and state taxation, reducing our cash available for distribution.

We are a REIT under the Code, which affords us significant tax advantages. The requirements for qualifying as a REIT, however, are complex. If we fail to meet these requirements, our distributions will not be deductible by us and we will have to pay a corporate federal and state level tax on our income. This would substantially reduce our cash available to pay distributions and your yield on your investment in our common stock. In addition, such a tax liability might cause us to borrow funds, liquidate some of our investments or take other steps which could negatively affect our results of operations. Moreover, if our REIT status is terminated because of our failure to meet a technical REIT requirement or if we voluntarily revoke our election, we would generally be disqualified from electing treatment as a REIT for the four taxable years following the year in which REIT status is lost.

Even as a REIT, we may become subject to federal, state or local taxes on our income or property, reducing our cash available for distribution.

Even as a REIT, we may become subject to federal income taxes and related state taxes. For example, if we have net income from a prohibited transaction, that income will be subject to a 100% tax. A prohibited transaction is, in general, the sale or other disposition of inventory or property, other than foreclosure property, held primarily for sale to customers in the ordinary course of business. We may not be able to make sufficient distributions to avoid excise taxes applicable to REITs. We may also decide to retain income we earn from the sale or other disposition of our property and pay federal income tax directly on that income. In that event, our stockholders would be treated as if they earned that income and paid the tax on it directly. However, stockholders that are tax-exempt, such as charities or qualified pension plans, would have no benefit from their deemed payment of that tax liability.

We may also be subject to state and local taxes on our income or property, either directly or at the level of our operating partnership or at the level of the other companies through which we indirectly own our assets. In the normal course of business, entities through which we own or operate real estate either have undergone, or are currently undergoing, tax audits. Although we believe that we have substantial arguments in favor of our positions in the ongoing audits, in some instances there is no controlling precedent or interpretive guidance on the specific point at issue. Should we receive a material tax deficiency notice in the future which requires us to incur additional expense, our earnings may be negatively impacted. There can be no assurance that future audits will not occur with increased frequency or that the ultimate result of such audits will not have a material adverse effect on our results of operations. We cannot assure you that we will be able to continue to satisfy the REIT requirements, or that it will be in our best interests to continue to do so.

If the leases of our hotels to our taxable REIT subsidiary are not respected as true leases for federal income tax purposes, we would fail to qualify as a REIT.

Table of Contents

To qualify as a REIT, we must satisfy two gross income tests, under which specified percentages of our gross income must be passive income, like rent. For the rent paid pursuant to the leases of our hotels to the Operating Partnership by our taxable REIT subsidiary, the TRS Lessee, which constitutes substantially all of our gross income, to qualify for purposes of the gross income tests, the leases must be respected as true leases for federal income tax purposes and not be treated as service contracts, joint ventures or some other type of arrangement. If the leases are not respected as true leases for federal income tax purposes, we would fail to qualify as a REIT.

Our taxable REIT subsidiary is subject to special rules that may result in increased taxes.

Several Code provisions ensure that a taxable REIT subsidiary is subject to an appropriate level of federal income taxation. For example, a taxable REIT subsidiary, such as the TRS Lessee, is limited in its ability to deduct interest payments made to an affiliated REIT. In addition, the REIT has to pay a 100% penalty tax on some payments that it receives if the economic arrangements between us and the taxable REIT subsidiary are not comparable to similar arrangements between unrelated parties. The IRS may successfully assert that the economic arrangements of any of our inter-company transactions, including the hotel leases, are not comparable to similar arrangements between unrelated parties.

We may be required to pay a penalty tax upon the sale of a hotel.

The federal income tax provisions applicable to REITs provide that any gain realized by a REIT on the sale of property held as inventory or other property held primarily for sale to customers in the ordinary course of business is treated as income from a prohibited transaction that is subject to a 100% penalty tax. Under current law, unless a sale of real property qualifies for a safe harbor, the question of whether the sale of a hotel (or other property) constitutes the sale of property held primarily for sale to customers is generally a question of the facts and circumstances regarding a particular transaction. We may make sales that do not satisfy the requirements of the safe harbors or the IRS may successfully assert that one or more of our sales are prohibited transactions and, therefore we may be required to pay a penalty tax.

We also may be subject to corporate level income tax on certain built-in gains.

We hold certain properties acquired from C corporations (and may acquire additional such properties in the future), in which we must adopt the C corporation s tax basis in that asset as our tax basis. If we sell any such property within 10 years of the date on which we acquire it, then we will have to pay tax on the gain at the highest regular corporate tax rate.

An investment in our common stock or Series C preferred stock may not be suitable for every employee benefit plan.

When considering an investment in our common stock or Series C preferred stock, an individual with investment discretion over assets of any pension plan, profit-sharing plan, retirement plan, individual retirement account under Section 408(a) of the Code or other employee benefit plan covered by the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended, or ERISA, should consider whether the investment satisfies the requirements of Section 404 of ERISA or other applicable laws. In particular, attention should be paid to the diversification requirements of Section 404(a)(1)(C) of ERISA in light of all the facts and circumstances, including the portion of the plan s portfolio of which the investment

will be a part. All plan investors should also consider whether the investment is prudent and meets plan liquidity requirements as there may be only a limited market in which to sell or otherwise dispose of our common stock, and whether the investment is permissible under the plan s governing instrument. We have not, and will not, evaluate whether an investment in our common stock or Series C preferred stock is suitable for any particular plan.

Risks Related to Our Common Stock

Table of Contents

The market	nuise of our	r equity securities	144 AN 11 AWN CT	hetantially
i ne markei	price of our	r eauuv securines	mav varv si	เกรเสทกสสมร

The trading prices of equity securities issued by REITs may be affected by changes in market interest rates and other factors. During 2011, our closing daily stock price fluctuated from a low of \$5.07 to a high of \$10.90. One of the factors that may influence the price of our common stock or preferred stock in public trading markets is the annual yield from distributions on our common stock or preferred stock, if any, as compared to yields on other financial instruments. An increase in market interest rates, or a decrease in our distributions to stockholders, may lead prospective purchasers of our stock to demand a higher annual yield, which could reduce the market price of our equity securities.

In addition to the risk factors discussed, other factors that could affect the market price of our equity securities include the following:

- a prolonged U.S. recession impacting the market for common equity generally;
- actual or anticipated variations in our quarterly or annual results of operations;
- changes in market valuations of companies in the hotel or real estate industries;
- changes in expectations of our future financial performance, changes in our estimates by securities analysts or failures to achieve those expectations or estimates;
- the trading volumes of our stock;
- additional issuances of our common stock or other securities, including the issuance of our preferred stock, in the foreseeable future;
- the addition or departure of board members or senior management;
- disputes with any of our hotel operators; and
- announcements by us or our competitors of acquisitions, investments or strategic alliances.

Our distributions to stockholders may vary.

We paid a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.50 per share to the stockholders of our Series A cumulative redeemable preferred stock, or the Series A preferred stock, and a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.393 per share to our Series C preferred stockholders in each of January, April, July and October 2011. In addition, we paid a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.472222 per share to the stockholders of our Series D cumulative redeemable preferred stock, or the Series D preferred stock, and \$0.50 per share to our Series D preferred stockholders in each of July and October 2011, respectively. In November 2011, our board of directors authorized the payment of a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.50 per share to our Series A and Series D preferred stockholders, and a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.393 per share to our Series C preferred stockholders. We paid such dividends in January 2012. Distributions will be authorized and determined by our board of directors in its sole discretion from time to time and will be dependent upon a number of factors, including projected taxable income, restrictions under applicable law and our balance sheet and capital requirements. Furthermore, our board of directors may elect to pay dividends on our common stock by any means allowed under the Code, including a combination of cash and shares of our common stock. In addition, our Series C preferred stock contains covenants that may restrict us from paying dividends or making distributions. Consequently, our dividends may fluctuate or may be eliminated depending on changes in our operations.

Distributions on our common stock may be made in the form of cash, stock, or a combination of both.

As a REIT, we are required to distribute at least 90% of our taxable income to our stockholders. Typically, we generate cash for distributions through our operations, the disposition of assets, or the incurrence of additional debt. We have elected in the past, and may elect in the future, to pay dividends on our common stock in cash, shares of common stock or a combination of cash and shares of common stock. Changes in our dividend policy could adversely affect the price of our stock.

Table	of	Contents

Shares of our common stock that are or become available for sale could affect the share price.

Sales of a substantial number of shares of our common stock, or the perception that sales could occur, could adversely affect prevailing market prices for our common stock. In addition, a substantial number of shares of our common stock have been and will be issued or reserved for issuance from time to time under our employee benefit plans or pursuant to securities we may issue that are convertible into shares of our common stock or securities that are exchangeable for shares of our common stock. As of December 31, 2011, the Operating Partnership had \$62.5 million outstanding in aggregate principal amount of Senior Notes exchangeable under certain conditions for shares of our common stock at an exchange rate equal to 32.9179 shares of our common stock for each \$1,000 principal amount of notes (which equates to an exchange price of \$30.38 per share) for a total of approximately 2.1 million shares. The exchange rate is subject to further adjustment for various reasons, including as a result of the payment of dividends to common stockholders.

Our earnings and cash distributions will affect the market price of shares of our common stock.

We believe that the market value of a REIT s equity securities is based primarily on the value of the REIT s owned real estate, capital structure, debt levels and perception of the REIT s growth potential and its current and potential future cash distributions, whether from operations, sales, acquisitions, development or refinancings. Because our market value is based on a combination of factors, shares of our common stock may trade at prices that are higher or lower than the net value per share of our underlying assets. To the extent we retain operating cash flow for investment purposes, working capital reserves or other purposes rather than distributing the cash flow to stockholders, these retained funds, while increasing the value of our underlying assets, may negatively impact the market price of our common stock. Our failure to meet our expectations or the market s expectation with regard to future earnings and cash distributions would likely adversely affect the market price of our common stock.

Our dividend policy and market interest rates may affect the price of shares of our common stock.

We have not paid a dividend on our common stock since January 2009, and we may not pay a cash dividend on our common stock in the future. We currently place a higher emphasis on leverage reduction than cash distributions on our common shares; therefore, cash distributions may be restricted until certain leverage objectives are achieved. We believe that investors consider the relationship of dividend yield to market interest rates to be an important factor in deciding whether to buy or sell shares of a REIT. If market interest rates increase, prospective purchasers of REIT shares may expect a higher dividend rate. Thus, higher market interest rates, the absence of dividends or changes in our dividend rate in the future could cause the market price of our shares to decrease.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Properties

The following table sets forth additional summary information with respect to our hotels as of December 31, 2011:

			Chain Scale	Service		
Hotel	City	State	Segment (1)	Category	Rooms	Manager
Courtyard by Marriott Los Angeles (2)	Los Angeles	California	Upscale	Select Service	185	IHR
Doubletree Guest Suites Minneapolis		Minnesota	Upscale	Full Service		IHR
Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square (2)	New York City	New York	Upper Upscale	Full Service	460	Highgate
Embassy Suites Chicago	Chicago	Illinois	Upper Upscale	Full Service	367	Davidson
Embassy Suites La Jolla	San Diego	California	Upper Upscale	Full Service	340	Hilton
Fairmont Newport Beach (2)	Newport Beach	California	Luxury	Full Service	444	Fairmont
Hilton Del Mar	Del Mar	California	Upper Upscale	Full Service	257	Sage
Hilton North Houston	Houston	Texas	Upper Upscale	Full Service	480	IHR
Hilton San Diego Bayfront (2) (3)	San Diego	California	Upper Upscale	Full Service	1,190	Hilton
Hilton Times Square (2)	New York City	New York	Upper Upscale	Full Service	460	Highgate
Hyatt Regency Newport Beach (2)	Newport Beach	California	Upper Upscale	Full Service	403	Hyatt
JW Marriott New Orleans (2)	New Orleans	Louisiana	Luxury	Full Service	496	Marriott
Kahler Grand	Rochester	Minnesota	Upscale	Full Service	668	IHR
Kahler Inn & Suites	Rochester	Minnesota	Upper Midscale	Extended Stay	271	IHR
Marriott Boston Long Wharf	Boston	Massachusetts	Upper Upscale	Full Service	412	Marriott
Marriott Del Mar	San Diego	California	Upper Upscale	Full Service	284	Marriott
Marriott Houston	Houston	Texas	Upper Upscale	Full Service	390	IHR
Marriott Park City	Park City	Utah	Upper Upscale	Full Service	199	IHR
Marriott Philadelphia	West Conshohocken	Pennsylvania	Upper Upscale	Full Service	289	Marriott
Marriott Portland	Portland	Oregon	Upper Upscale	Full Service	249	IHR
Marriott Quincy	Quincy	Massachusetts	Upper Upscale	Full Service	464	Marriott
Marriott Rochester	Rochester	Minnesota	Upper Upscale	Full Service	203	IHR
Marriott Troy	Troy	Michigan	Upper Upscale	Full Service	350	Marriott
Marriott Tysons Corner	Vienna	Virginia	Upper Upscale	Full Service	396	Marriott
Renaissance Harborplace (2)	Baltimore	Maryland	Upper Upscale	Full Service	622	Marriott
Renaissance Los Angeles Airport	Los Angeles	California	Upper Upscale	Full Service	499	Marriott
Renaissance Long Beach	Long Beach	California	Upper Upscale	Full Service	374	Marriott
Renaissance Orlando at SeaWorld ® (4)	Orlando	Florida	Upper Upscale	Full Service	781	Marriott
Renaissance Washington DC	Washington, DC	District of Columbia	Upper Upscale	Full Service	807	Marriott
Renaissance Westchester	White Plains	New York	Upper Upscale	Full Service		Marriott
Residence Inn by Marriott Rochester	Rochester	Minnesota	Upscale	Extended Stay		IHR
Sheraton Cerritos (2)	Cerritos	California	Upper Upscale	Full Service	203	IHR
Total number of rooms					13,208	

⁽¹⁾ As defined by Smith Travel Research.

⁽²⁾ Subject to a ground or air lease with an unaffiliated third party.

- (3) 75% ownership interest.
- (4) 85% ownership interest.

In addition to the hotel properties listed above, as of December 31, 2011, we also own an 88,000 square foot commercial laundry facility in Rochester, Minnesota, one undeveloped parcel of land in Craig, Colorado and an office building in Troy, Michigan. Our commercial laundry facility services our hotels in the area, as well as the Mayo Clinic and other surrounding hospitals and businesses.

Table of Contents

Geographic Diversity

We own a geographically diverse portfolio of hotels located in 14 states and in Washington, DC. The following tables summarize our 32 hotel Comparable Portfolio by region as of December 31, 2011, and the operating statistics by region for 2011, 2010 and 2009, including prior ownership results for the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square, the JW Marriott New Orleans and the Hilton San Diego Bayfront, as well as operating results for the Renaissance Westchester during the periods in 2009 and 2010 while it was held in receivership:

Region	Number of Hotels	Number of Rooms	Percentage of 2011 Revenues
California (1)	10	4,179	31.9%
Other West (2)	4	1,318	6.2%
Midwest (3)	7	2,177	11.0%
East (4)	11	5,534	50.9%
Total	32	13,208	100.0%

			2011					2010				2009		
Region	Occupancy		ADR	R	RevPAR	Occupancy		ADR	F	RevPAR	Occupancy	ADR	R	levPAR
California (1)	78.0%	\$	147.68	\$	115.19	74.9%	\$	142.23	\$	106.53	70.0%	\$ 141.02	\$	98.71
Other West (2)	68.7%	\$	112.69	\$	77.42	62.5%	\$	115.63	\$	72.27	66.6%	\$ 118.84	\$	79.15
Midwest (3)	65.9%	\$	138.41	\$	91.21	64.5%	\$	131.76	\$	84.99	64.7%	\$ 126.54	\$	81.87
East (4)	76.1%	\$	202.89	\$	154.40	74.3%	\$	194.78	\$	144.72	71.9%	\$ 189.36	\$	136.15
Weighted Average	74.3%	\$	166.77	\$	123.91	71.7%	\$	161.15	\$	115.54	69.6%	\$ 157.58	\$	109.68
Year-over-year														
change	260bps	3	3.5%	ó	7.2%	210bps	S	2.3%)	5.3%	% NA	NA		NA

⁽¹⁾ All of these hotels are located in Southern California.

- (2) Includes Oregon, Texas and Utah.
- (3) Includes Illinois, Michigan and Minnesota.
- (4) Includes Florida, Louisiana, Maryland, Massachusetts, New York, Pennsylvania, Virginia and Washington, DC.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

During the third quarter of 2011, we accrued \$1.6 million in settlement costs related to litigation involving three separate claims by certain employees at four of our hotels: Marriott Del Mar; Marriott Quincy; Renaissance Los Angeles Airport; and Renaissance Long Beach. The Company and certain other defendants reached tentative settlements regarding two of the lawsuits comprising \$0.9 million of our third quarter 2011 accrual, which settlements are subject to final approval by the Superior Court of California, Los Angeles County and the Superior Court of California, Orange County. We are still in negotiations regarding the third claim, however we expect to incur a maximum of \$0.7 million in related settlement or judgment costs and expenses.

We are also involved from time to time in various other claims and legal actions in the ordinary course of our business. We do not believe that
the resolution of any such pending legal matters will have a material adverse effect on our financial position or results of operations when
resolved.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

Table of Contents

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Our common stock is traded on the NYSE under the symbol SHO. On February 10, 2012, the last reported price per share of common stock on the NYSE was \$9.74. The table below sets forth the high and low closing price per share of our common stock as reported on the NYSE and the cash dividends per share of common stock we declared with respect to each period.

	High	Low	Divi	idends Declared
2010:				
First Quarter	\$ 11.18	\$ 8.14	\$	0.00
Second Quarter	\$ 13.42	\$ 9.86	\$	0.00
Third Quarter	\$ 10.95	\$ 8.27	\$	0.00
Fourth Quarter	\$ 10.93	\$ 9.33	\$	0.00
2011:				
First Quarter	\$ 10.90	\$ 9.28	\$	0.00
Second Quarter	\$ 10.50	\$ 8.65	\$	0.00
Third Quarter	\$ 10.03	\$ 5.10	\$	0.00
Fourth Quarter	\$ 8.15	\$ 5.07	\$	0.00

We may pay quarterly cash dividends to common stockholders at the discretion of our board of directors, however it is our current policy to minimize cash dividends in order to maximize cash available to reduce our indebtedness. The amount of each future quarterly cash dividend, if any, depends on our funds from operations, financial condition and capital requirements, annual distribution requirements under the REIT provisions of the Code and such other factors our board of directors deems relevant. We have elected in the past, and may elect in the future, to pay dividends on our common stock in cash, or a combination of cash and shares of common stock pursuant to Revenue Procedure 2009-15. The Company intends to maintain its annual common dividend payouts at a level approximating 100% of taxable income.

As of February 10, 2012, we had approximately 29 holders of record of our common stock. In order to comply with certain requirements related to our qualification as a REIT, our charter limits the number of common shares that may be owned by any single person or affiliated group to 9.8% of the outstanding common shares, subject to the ability of our board to waive this limitation under certain conditions.

Information relating to compensation plans under which our equity securities are authorized for issuance is set forth in Part III, Item 12 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Fourth Quarter 2011 Purchases of Equity Securities:

Period

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Maximum Number (or Appropriate Dollar Value) of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs
October 1, 2011				_
October 31, 2011		\$		\$
November 1, 2011				
November 30, 2011		\$		\$
December 1, 2011				
December 31, 2011		\$		\$
Total				

Table of Contents

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The following table sets forth selected financial information for the Company that has been derived from the consolidated financial statements and notes. This information should be read in conjunction with Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and our consolidated financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

	Year Ended December 31, 2011	Year Ended December 31, 2010		Year Ended December 31, 2009	Year Ended December 31, 2008	Year Ended December 31, 2007	
Operating Data (\$ in thousands):		2010		2003	2000		
Revenues:							
Room	\$ 572,289	\$ 41	8,943	\$ 401,920	\$ 496,727	\$ 484,795	
Food and beverage	196,524	15	9,365	157,219	196,361	194,257	
Other operating	65,916	4	6,236	50,173	55,619	50,579	
Total revenues	834,729	62	4,544	609,312	748,707	729,631	
Operating expenses:							
Room	144,334	10	7,788	100,578	112,703	108,590	
Food and beverage	143,120	11	6,856	115,246	141,714	140,620	
Other operating	26,092		3,265	23,579	26,241	26,086	
Advertising and promotion	41,952		2,225	31,545	34,858	,	
Repairs and maintenance	33,766		7,161	26,819	28,953		
Utilities	31,014		4,527	24,429	28,265		
Franchise costs	29,115		1.474	20,658	24,579		
Property tax, ground lease and insurance	63,423		0,980	42,820	44,420	- /	
Property general and administrative	98,642		4,535	71,019	84,830	,	
Corporate overhead	25,746		1,971	25,227	21,495		
Depreciation and amortization	127,945		2,374	92,457	92,466	,	
Impairment loss	10,862		1,943	30,852	57	,	
Total operating expenses	776,011		5,099	605,229	640,581	629,137	
Operating income	58,718		9,445	4,083	108,126	,	
Equity in net earnings (losses) of	30,710		,,115	1,003	100,120	100,171	
unconsolidated joint ventures	21		555	(27,801)	(1,445	(3,588)	
Interest and other income	3,118		111	1,388	3.637		
Interest expense	(82,965		0,174)	(75,869)	(82,489	- ,	
Gain on remeasurement of equity interests	69,230	,	0,174)	(73,007)	(02,40)	(10,101)	
Gain (loss) on extinguishment of debt	09,230			54,506		(417)	
Income (loss) from continuing operations	48,122	(3	0,063)	(43,693)	27,829	· /	
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	33,177	`	8,605	(225,915)	43,409		
meome (loss) from discontinued operations	33,177	U	8,003	(223,913)	43,409	93,200	
Net income (loss)	81,299	2	8,542	(269,608)	71,238	123,876	
Income from consolidated joint venture	01,299		0,542	(209,000)	71,236	123,670	
attributable to non-controlling interest	(312	`					
Distributions to non-controlling interest	(30						
Dividends paid on unvested restricted stock	(30	,					
compensation				(447)	(814	(1.007)	
Preferred stock dividends and accretion	(27,321) (2	0,652)	(20,749)	(20,884	()/	
Undistributed income allocated to unvested	(27,321) (2	0,032)	(20,749)	(20,004) (20,193)	
	1626	`	(102)			(222)	
restricted stock compensation	(636)	(102)			(222)	
Undistributed income allocated to Series C						(1.207)	
preferred stock						(1,397)	
Income available (loss attributable) to	¢ 52.000	¢ 1	7.700	(200.004)	¢ 40.540	¢ 100.455	
common stockholders	\$ 53,000	\$ 1	7,788	\$ (290,804)	\$ 49,540	\$ 100,455	

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

Income (loss) from continuing operations available (attributable) to common stockholders per diluted common share \$ 0.17 \$ (0.51) \$ (0.93) \$ 0.09 0.11 \$ Cash dividends declared per common share \$ 0.00 \$ 0.00 \$ 1.31 0.00 \$ 1.20 \$ **Balance Sheet Data (\$ in thousands):** \$ 2,777,826 \$ 1,902,819 1,907,449 1,988,255 1,984,954 Investment in hotel properties, net \$ Total assets 3,101,240 2,436,106 2,513,530 2,805,611 3,049,152 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ Total debt (2) \$ 1,570,477 1,131,530 \$ 1,191,749 \$ 1,377,474 \$ 1,382,350 Total liabilities \$ 1,675,946 \$ 1,236,807 \$ 1,526,867 \$ 1,791,103 \$ 1,836,894 Equity \$ 1,325,294 \$ 1,099,299 \$ 886,767 \$ 914,812 \$ 1,112,762

⁽¹⁾ Does not include non-cash common stock dividend of \$0.60 per share declared in 2008.

⁽²⁾ Does not include debt which has been reclassified to discontinued operations.

Table of Contents

Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this report.

Overview

Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. is a Maryland corporation. We operate as a self-managed and self-administered real estate investment trust, or REIT. A REIT is a legal entity that directly or indirectly owns real estate assets. REITs generally are not subject to federal income taxes at the corporate level as long as they pay stockholder dividends equivalent to 100% of their taxable income. REITs are required to distribute to stockholders at least 90% of their taxable income. We own, directly or indirectly, 100% of the interests of Sunstone Hotel Partnership, LLC (the Operating Partnership), which is the entity that directly or indirectly owns our hotel properties. We also own 100% of the interests of our taxable REIT subsidiary, Sunstone Hotel TRS Lessee, Inc., which leases all of our hotels from the Operating Partnership, and engages third-parties to manage our hotels. In addition, prior to January 21, 2011, we owned 50.0% of BuyEfficient, LLC (BuyEfficient), an electronic purchasing platform that allows members to procure food, operating supplies, furniture, fixtures and equipment. In January 2011, we purchased the outside 50.0% equity interest in BuyEfficient, and as a result, we are now the sole owner of BuyEfficient.

We own primarily upper upscale and upscale hotels in the United States. As of December 31, 2011, we had interests in 32 hotels (the 32 hotels). Of the 32 hotels, we classify 29 as upscale or upper upscale, two as luxury and one as upper midscale as defined by Smith Travel Research, Inc. The majority of our hotels are operated under nationally recognized brands such as Marriott, Hilton, Fairmont, Hyatt and Sheraton, which are among the most respected and widely recognized brands in the lodging industry. While we believe the largest and most stable segment of demand for hotel rooms is represented by travelers who prefer the consistent service and quality associated with nationally recognized brands, we also believe that in certain markets the strongest demand growth may come from travelers who prefer non-branded hotels that focus on highly customized service standards.

We seek to own hotels in urban locations that benefit from significant barriers to entry by competitors. Most of our hotels are considered business, convention, or airport hotels, as opposed to resort, leisure or extended-stay hotels. The hotels comprising our 32 hotel portfolio average 413 rooms in size.

Our mission is to create meaningful value for our stockholders by becoming the premier hotel owner. Our values include transparency, trust, ethical conduct, communication and discipline. As demand for lodging generally fluctuates with the overall economy (we refer to these changes in demand as the lodging cycle), we seek to employ a balanced, cycle-appropriate corporate strategy that encompasses proactive portfolio management, intensive asset management, disciplined external growth and measured balance sheet improvement as detailed below:

• Proactive Portfolio Management: The leaders of each of our core disciplines function as a portfolio management team. The portfolio management team is purpose is to strategically maximize the long-term value of our assets by enhancing portfolio quality / scale, optimizing market exposure, and improving effectiveness / efficiency of decision making by developing long-term portfolio objectives, asset specific plans and a comprehensive external growth strategy. The team is responsible for developing portfolio-wide objectives related to brand and operator relationships, asset quality and scale targets, target markets, capital investments, and asset-level capitalization. The team is also responsible for

developing a comprehensive portfolio growth strategy and decision support tools and models.

• Intensive Asset Management: Through all phases of the lodging cycle, our strategy emphasizes internal growth and value enhancements through proactive asset management, which entails working closely with our third-party hotel operators to develop and implement long-term strategic plans for each hotel designed to enhance revenues, minimize operational expenses and asset risk, maximize the appeal of our hotels to travelers and maximize our return on invested capital. We also focus on improving the appeal and growth potential of our existing hotels through an internally-managed comprehensive hotel renovation program.

29

Table of Contents

- Disciplined External Growth: Our acquisitions plan is oriented around investing in institutional-quality hotels which generate returns in excess of our cost of capital. During the recovery and growth phases of the lodging cycle, our strategy emphasizes external growth objectives oriented toward active investment in hotels that are additive to the quality of our portfolio, that have attractive growth potential and that may benefit from our asset management competencies. We endeavor to structure our acquisitions in a way that will not only increase the value of our common shares, but also will advance our other corporate objectives, such as improving our financial flexibility and reducing our leverage. During periods of cyclical decline, our strategy may emphasize opportunistically investing in distressed assets and the repurchase of our equity or debt securities.
- *Measured Balance Sheet Improvement*: Our financial objectives include the measured improvement of our credit ratios, improved disclosures, maintenance of appropriate levels of liquidity, and a gradual reduction in our financial leverage. Our financial objectives are integral to our overall corporate strategy, and accordingly we have developed our financial objectives in conjunction with our internal and external growth objectives. The lodging industry is economically sensitive. Therefore, our financial objectives are aimed at reducing the potentially negative impact of combining high operating leverage with high financial leverage, while preserving access to multiple capital sources and minimizing our weighted-average cost of capital. We seek to capitalize our acquisitions in a way that will advance our financial objectives. For example, as reducing our financial leverage is a key objective, we expect to fund our acquisitions with a greater proportion of equity capital than debt capital. During the mature phase of the lodging cycle, our financial objectives may include liquidity improvement, which may be accomplished through selective hotel dispositions.

During 2010 and continuing into 2011, we witnessed improving business and consumer sentiment, which resulted in improved hotel revenues and profits. Accordingly, we believe we are currently in the early stages of a recovery phase of the lodging cycle, during which hotels acquired are likely to benefit from a multi-year recovery in hotel profitability, and are likely to create long-term value in excess of our investment hurdles. Accordingly, we deployed a portion of our excess cash balance during 2011 towards selective acquisitions. These selective acquisitions included: the purchase of the outside 62.0% equity interests in our Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square joint venture for \$37.5 million in cash and the assumption of \$270.0 million in non-recourse senior mortgage and mezzanine debt; the purchase of the outside 50.0% equity interest in our BuyEfficient joint venture for \$9.0 million in cash; the purchase of the JW Marriott New Orleans for approximately \$51.6 million in cash and the assumption of \$42.2 million in debt; and the purchase of a 75.0% majority interest in a joint venture that owns the Hilton San Diego Bayfront for approximately \$182.8 million. Concurrent with the Hilton San Diego Bayfront acquisition, the joint venture entered into a new \$240.0 million mortgage financing secured by the hotel. Our acquisition program is aimed at generating attractive risk-adjusted returns on our investment dollars, and therefore we may target lodging assets outside of the typical branded, urban, upper upscale profile represented by our existing portfolio in order to capitalize on opportunities which may arise. We intend to select the brands and operators for our hotels that we believe will lead to the highest returns.

Additionally, the scope of our acquisitions program may include large hotel portfolios or hotel loans. In general, future acquisitions may be funded by our issuance of additional debt or equity securities, including our common and preferred OP units, or by draws on our \$150.0 million senior corporate credit facility entered into in November 2010. However, in light of our current financial objectives, we expect to fund any near term acquisitions with a greater proportion of equity capital than debt capital.

We have disposed, and will continue to dispose, of assets that we believe will not offer long-term returns in excess of our cost of capital, or that have a high risk profile relative to their anticipated return expectations. In April 2011, we completed the sale of the Royal Palm Miami Beach for a gross sales price of \$130.0 million, including \$40.0 million in cash and a \$90.0 million mortgage-secured purchase money loan (the Royal Palm note) to the buyer, and recognized a gain on the sale of \$14.0 million. We sold the Royal Palm note in October 2011 and received net proceeds of approximately \$79.2 million. In anticipation of this sale, we recorded an impairment loss of \$10.9 million in September 2011. We retained an earn-out right on the Royal Palm hotel which will enable the Company to receive future payments of up to \$20.0 million in the event that the hotel achieves certain return hurdles.

In October 2011, we completed the sale of the Valley River Inn located in Eugene, Oregon for a gross sales price of \$16.4 million, including the assumption of the existing mortgage secured by the hotel which totaled \$11.5 million at the date of sale, and recognized a gain on the sale of \$0.9 million.

In October 2011, we refinanced \$270.0 million of non-recourse senior mortgage and mezzanine debt secured by interests in the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square, which was scheduled to mature in January 2012, and which bore a blended interest rate of 3-month LIBOR plus 115 basis points, with a new \$180.0 million non-recourse mortgage which matures in October 2018, and bears interest at a floating rate of 3-month LIBOR plus 325 basis points. In conjunction with this refinancing, we entered into an interest rate protection agreement which caps the interest rate on the new mortgage at 4.0% until October 2015. We funded the remainder of the repayment of the prior loan with approximately \$90.0 million of our unrestricted cash.

As of December 31, 2011, the weighted average term to maturity of our debt is approximately 6 years, and 73.4% of our debt is fixed rate with a weighted average interest rate of 5.5%. The weighted average interest rate on all of our debt, which includes the effect of our interest rate derivative agreements based on the variable rates at December 31, 2011, is 5.0%. As of December 31, 2011, approximately \$325.8 million of our total debt matures over the next four years (\$32.0 million in 2012, \$62.5 million in 2013, assuming we repay our

Table of Contents

Operating Partnership s 4.60% exchangeable senior notes (the Senior Notes) then remaining balance of \$62.5 million at the first put date in 2013,
none in 2014 and \$231.3 million in 2015). In February 2012, we repurchased \$4.5 million of our Senior Notes for a price of \$4.57 million plus
accrued interest of approximately \$13,000, leaving approximately \$321.3 million of our debt maturing over the next four years. The \$321.3
million does not include \$22.0 million of scheduled loan amortization payments due in 2012, \$24.1 million due in 2013, \$30.4 million due in
2014, or \$27.9 million due in 2015.

Oi	perating	Activities

Operating Performance Indicators. The following performance indicators are commonly used in the hotel industry:

- Occupancy;
- Average daily room rate, or ADR;
- Revenue per available room, or RevPAR, which is the product of occupancy and ADR, and does not include food and beverage revenue, or other operating revenue;
- Comparable RevPAR, which we define as the RevPAR generated by hotels we owned as of the end of the reporting period, but excluding those hotels that experienced material and prolonged business interruption due to renovations, re-branding or property damage during either the most recent calendar year presented or the calendar year immediately preceding it. For hotels that were not owned for the entirety of the comparison periods, comparable RevPAR is calculated using RevPAR generated during periods of prior ownership. We refer to this subset of our hotels used to calculate comparable RevPAR as our Comparable Portfolio. Currently our Comparable Portfolio includes all 32 hotels, and includes prior ownership results for the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square, the JW Marriott New Orleans and the Hilton San Diego Bayfront, as well as operating results for the Renaissance Westchester for all periods presented, including the periods in 2009 and 2010 while it was held in receivership;
- RevPAR index, which is the quotient of a hotel s RevPAR divided by the average RevPAR of its competitors, multiplied by 100. A RevPAR index in excess of 100 indicates a hotel is achieving higher RevPAR than its competitors. In addition to absolute RevPAR index, we monitor changes in RevPAR index;
- *EBITDA*, which is net income (loss) excluding: non-controlling interests; interest expense; provision for income taxes, including income taxes applicable to sale of assets; and depreciation and amortization;

• loss from a	Adjusted EBITDA, which includes EBITDA but excludes: amortization of deferred stock compensation; the impact of any gain or asset sales; impairment charges; and any other identified adjustments;
	Funds from operations, or FFO, which includes net income (loss), excluding non-controlling interests, gains and losses from sales of blus real estate-related depreciation and amortization (excluding amortization of deferred financing costs) and real estate-related at losses, and after adjustment for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures; and
• losses and	Adjusted FFO, which includes FFO but excludes penalties, written-off deferred financing costs, non-real estate-related impairment any other identified adjustments.
Revenues.	Substantially all of our revenues are derived from the operation of our hotels. Specifically, our revenues consist of the following:
•	Room revenue, which is the product of the number of rooms sold and the ADR;
• catering ev	Food and beverage revenue, which is comprised of revenue realized in the hotel food and beverage outlets as well as banquet and vents; and
from our c	Other operating revenue, which includes ancillary hotel revenue and other items primarily driven by occupancy such as telephone, tion, parking, spa, entertainment and other guest services. Additionally, this category includes, among other things, operating revenue commercial laundry facility located in Rochester, Minnesota, BuyEfficient (subsequent to our purchase of the outside 50.0% equity January 2011), and hotel space leased by third parties.
	31

Table of Contents

Expenses. Our expenses consist of the following:
• Room expense, which is primarily driven by occupancy and, therefore, has a significant correlation with room revenue;
• Food and beverage expense, which is primarily driven by food and beverage sales and banquet and catering bookings and, therefore, has a significant correlation with food and beverage revenue;
• Other operating expense, which includes the corresponding expense of other operating revenue, advertising and promotion, repairs and maintenance, utilities, and franchise costs;
• Property tax, ground lease and insurance expense, which includes the expenses associated with property tax, ground lease and insurance payments, each of which is primarily a fixed expense, but property tax is subject to regular revaluations based on the specific tax regulations and practices of each municipality;
• <i>Property general and administrative expense</i> , which includes our property-level general and administrative expenses, such as payroll and related costs, professional fees, travel expenses, and management fees;
• Corporate overhead expense, which includes our corporate-level expenses, such as payroll and related costs, amortization of deferred stock compensation, acquisition and due diligence costs, severance expense, contract and professional fees, bad debt, relocation, entity level state franchise and minimum tax payments, travel expenses and office rent;
• Depreciation and amortization expense, which includes depreciation on our hotel and commercial laundry facility buildings, improvements, furniture, fixtures and equipment, along with amortization on our franchise fees and intangibles; and
• Impairment loss, which includes the charges we have recognized to reduce the carrying value of assets on our balance sheets to their fair value and to write-off goodwill in association with our impairment evaluations.
Other Revenue and Expense. Other revenue and expense consists of the following:

• Equity in net earnings (losses) of unconsolidated joint ventures, which includes our portion of net earnings or losses from our two joint ventures, BuyEfficient and Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square, prior to our acquisitions of the outside interests in both joint ventures in January 2011. Subsequent to these acquisitions, both entities are now presented on a consolidated basis;
• Interest and other income, which includes interest income we have earned on our restricted and unrestricted cash accounts and the Royal Palm note, as well as any gains or losses we have recognized on sales of assets other than hotels;
• Interest expense, which includes interest expense incurred on our outstanding debt, accretion of the Senior Notes, amortization of deferred financing fees, any write-offs of deferred financing fees, and any loan penalties and fees incurred on our debt;
• Gain on remeasurement of equity interests, which includes the gain we recognized to mark up the equity interests in our BuyEfficien and Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square joint ventures to fair market value upon our purchases of the outside equity interests in these joint ventures, as well as our gain to mark up the mezzanine loan to its fair value in connection with the acquisition of the outside equity interest in the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square joint venture;
• Gain on extinguishment of debt, which includes the gain we recognized on the repurchase and cancellation of the Senior Notes;
• Income from consolidated joint venture attributable to non-controlling interest, which includes net income attributable to the outside 25.0% interest in the joint venture that owns the Hilton San Diego Bayfront;
• Distributions to non-controlling interest, which includes preferred dividends earned by investors from an entity that owns the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square, including related administrative fees;
Dividends paid on unvested restricted stock compensation, which includes dividends earned on our unvested restricted stock awards;
32

Table of Contents

•	Preferred stock dividends and accretion, which includes dividends earned on our 8.0% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred
Stock (ries A preferred stock), Series C Cumulative Convertible Redeemable Preferred Stock (Series C preferred stock) and 8.0% Series I
Cumula	e Redeemable Preferred Stock (Series D preferred stock), as well as redemption value accretion on our Series C preferred stock; and

•	Undistributed income allocated to unvested restricted stock compensation, which includes undistributed income allocated to
unvested sl	hare-based payment awards that contain nonforfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents (whether paid or unpaid) pursuant
to the two-	class method.

Factors Affecting Our Operating Results. The primary factors affecting our operating results include overall demand for hotel rooms, the pace of new hotel development, or supply, and the relative performance of our operators in increasing revenue and controlling hotel operating expenses.

- Demand. The demand for lodging generally fluctuates with the overall economy. In 2010, following a two year cyclical trough, we began to see signs of improving demand trends, and Comparable Portfolio RevPAR increased 5.3% as compared to 2009, with a 210 basis point increase in portfolio occupancy. These improving demand trends continued in 2011, and Comparable Portfolio RevPAR increased 7.2% in 2011 as compared to 2010, with a 260 basis point increase in portfolio occupancy. Consistent with prior trends, we anticipate that lodging demand will continue to improve as the U.S. economy continues to strengthen. Historically, cyclical troughs are followed by extended periods of relatively strong demand, resulting in a cyclical lodging growth phase. While growth is not expected to be uniform, we expect hotel demand to remain strong over the next several quarters as economic growth, employment and business investment are expected to increase.
- Supply. The addition of new competitive hotels affects the ability of existing hotels to drive RevPAR and profits. The development of new hotels is largely driven by construction costs and expected performance of existing hotels. The recession and credit crisis which occurred in 2008 and 2009, served to restrict credit and tighten lending standards, which resulted in a meaningful curtailment of funding for new hotel construction projects. Moreover, with same-property hotel profitability still below peak levels, new supply in many markets is difficult to justify economically. Accordingly, we believe hotel development will be constrained until operating trends of existing hotels improve to levels where developer return targets can be achieved, and until the construction financing markets recover. Given the one-to-three-year timeline needed to construct a typical hotel that would compete with our hotels, we expect a window of at least two to four years during which hotel supply, as indicated by the number of new hotel openings, will be below historical levels.
- Revenues and expenses. We believe that marginal improvements in RevPAR index, even in the face of declining revenues, are a good indicator of the relative quality and appeal of our hotels, and our operators effectiveness in maximizing revenues. Similarly, we also evaluate our operators effectiveness in minimizing incremental operating expenses in the context of increasing revenues or, conversely, in reducing operating expenses in the context of declining revenues.

With respect to improving RevPAR index, we continue to work with our hotel operators to optimize revenue management initiatives while taking into consideration market demand trends and the pricing strategies of competitor hotels in our markets. We also develop capital investment programs designed to ensure each of our hotels is well renovated and positioned to appeal to groups and individual travelers fitting target guest profiles. Our revenue management initiatives are generally oriented towards maximizing ADR even if the result may be lower occupancy than may be achieved through lower ADR. Increases in RevPAR attributable to increases in ADR may be accompanied by minimal additional expenses, while increases in RevPAR attributable to higher occupancy may result in higher variable expenses such as housekeeping,

labor and utilities expense. Thus, increases in RevPAR associated with higher ADR may result in higher hotel EBITDA margins. Increases in RevPAR associated with higher occupancy may result in a more muted hotel EBITDA margin improvement.

With respect to maximizing operating flow through, we continue to work with our operators to identify operational efficiencies designed to reduce expenses while minimally affecting guest experience. Key asset management initiatives include optimizing hotel staffing levels, increasing the efficiency of the hotels, such as installing energy efficient management and inventory control systems, and selectively combining certain food and beverage outlets. Our operational efficiency initiatives may be difficult or take time to implement, as most categories of variable operating expenses, such as utilities and certain labor costs, such as housekeeping, fluctuate with changes in occupancy. Furthermore, our hotels operate with significant fixed costs, such as general and administrative expense, insurance, property taxes, and other expenses associated with owning hotels, over which our operators have little control. We have experienced increases in hourly wages, employee benefits (especially health insurance), utility costs and property insurance, which have negatively affected our operating margins. Moreover, there are limits to how far our operators can reduce expenses without affecting brand standards or the competitiveness of our hotels.

Table of Contents

Operating Results. The following table presents our operating results for our total portfolio for 2011 and 2010, including the amount and percentage change in the results between the two periods. The table presents the results of operations included in the consolidated statements of operations, and includes the 32 hotels (13,208 rooms) as of December 31, 2011 and 29 hotels (11,056 rooms) as of December 31, 2010. Income from discontinued operations for the year ended December 31, 2011 includes the results of operations and other adjustments for the following: Royal Palm Miami Beach, which was sold in April 2011; our commercial laundry facility located in Salt Lake City, Utah, which was sold in July 2011; and the Valley River Inn located in Eugene, Oregon, which was sold in October 2011. Income from discontinued operations for the year ended December 31, 2011 also includes the gain on extinguishment of debt related to the resolution of the contingency for franchise termination fees for the Hilton Huntington, Residence Inn by Marriott Manhattan Beach, Marriott Provo, Courtyard by Marriott San Diego (Old Town), and Marriott Salt Lake City (University Park), which hotels were deeded back to the lender in November 2010 pursuant to our 2009 secured debt restructuring program. Income from discontinued operations for the year ended December 31, 2010 includes the results of operations for the following: Royal Palm Miami Beach, which was sold in April 2011; our commercial laundry facility located in Salt Lake City, Utah, which was sold in July 2011; the Valley River Inn located in Eugene, Oregon, which was sold in October 2011; the eight hotels which secured the non-recourse mortgage with Massachusetts Mutual Life Insurance Company (the Mass Mutual eight hotels: Renaissance Atlanta Concourse; Hilton Huntington; Residence Inn by Marriott Manhattan Beach; Marriott Provo; Courtyard by Marriott San Diego (Old Town); Holiday Inn Downtown San Diego; Holiday Inn Express San Diego (Old Town); and Marriott Salt Lake City (University Park)), which were deeded back to the lender in November 2010 pursuant to our 2009 secured debt restructuring program; and the Marriott Ontario Airport, which was sold by the receiver in August 2010 pursuant to our 2009 secured debt restructuring program. Income from discontinued operations for the year ended December 31, 2010 also includes the gain on extinguishment of debt pursuant to our 2009 secured debt restructuring program for the Renaissance Westchester, which was deeded back to the lender and reacquired by us in June 2010, the W San Diego, which was deeded back to the lender in July 2010, and the Marriott Ontario Airport, which was sold by the receiver in August 2010. These amounts can be found in our consolidated financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

	2011		2010		Change \$	Change %
		(dolla	ars in thousands, exc	cept sta	tistical data)	
REVENUES						
Room	\$ 572,289	\$	418,943	\$	153,346	36.6%
Food and beverage	196,524		159,365		37,159	23.3%
Other operating	65,916		46,236		19,680	42.6%
Total revenues	834,729		624,544		210,185	33.7%
OPERATING EXPENSES						
Hotel operating	512,816		394,276		118,540	30.1%
Property general and administrative	98,642		74,535		24,107	32.3%
Corporate overhead	25,746		21,971		3,775	17.2%
Depreciation and amortization	127,945		92,374		35,571	38.5%
Impairment loss	10,862		1,943		8,919	459.0%
Total operating expenses	776,011		585,099		190,912	32.6%
Operating income	58,718		39,445		19,273	48.9%
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated joint						
ventures	21		555		(534)	(96.2)%
Interest and other income	3,118		111		3,007	2,709.0%
Interest expense	(82,965)		(70,174)		(12,791)	(18.2)%
Gain on remeasurement of equity interests	69,230				69,230	100.0%
Income (loss) from continuing operations	48,122		(30,063)		78,185	260.1%
Income from discontinued operations	33,177		68,605		(35,428)	(51.6)%
Net income	81,299		38,542		42,757	110.9%
Income from consolidated joint venture						
attributable to non-controlling interest	(312)				(312)	(100.0)%
Distributions to non-controlling interest	(30)				(30)	(100.0)%
Preferred stock dividends and accretion	(27,321)		(20,652)		(6,669)	(32.3)%
Undistributed income allocated to unvested						
restricted stock compensation	(636)		(102)		(534)	(523.5)%
Income available to common stockholders	\$ 53,000	\$	17,788	\$	35,212	198.0%

The following table presents our operating results for our total portfolio for 2010 and 2009, including the amount and percentage change in the results between the two periods. The table presents the results of operations included in the consolidated statements of operations, and includes the 29 hotels (11,056 rooms) as of December 31, 2010 and 2009. Income from discontinued operations for the year ended December 31, 2010 includes the results of operations for the following: Royal Palm Miami Beach, which was sold in April 2011; our commercial laundry facility located in Salt Lake City, Utah, which was sold in July 2011; the Valley River Inn located in Eugene, Oregon, which was sold in October 2011; the Mass Mutual eight hotels, which were deeded back to the lender in November 2010 pursuant to our 2009 secured debt restructuring program; and the Marriott Ontario Airport, which was sold by the receiver in August 2010 pursuant to our 2009 secured debt restructuring program. Income from discontinued operations for the year ended December 31, 2010 also includes the gain on extinguishment of debt pursuant to our 2009 secured debt restructuring program for the Renaissance Westchester, which was deeded back to the lender and reacquired by us in June 2010, the W San Diego, which was deeded back to the lender in July 2010, and the Marriott Ontario Airport, which was sold by the receiver in August 2010. Loss from discontinued operations for 2009 includes the results of operations for the following: our commercial laundry facility located in Salt Lake City, Utah, which was sold in July 2011; the Valley River Inn located in Eugene, Oregon, which was sold in October 2011; Renaissance Westchester which was deeded back to the lender and reacquired by us in June 2010; W San Diego which was deeded back to the lender in July 2010; Marriott Ontario Airport which was sold by the receiver in August 2010; the Mass Mutual eight hotels which were deeded back to the lender in November 2010, Marriott Napa Valley, which was sold in May 2009; Marriott Riverside, which was sold in June 2009; and Hyatt Suites Atlanta Northwest, which was sold in July 2009. These amounts can be found in our consolidated financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

		2010		2009		Change \$	Change %
			(doll	lars in thousands, exc	ept sta	tistical data)	
REVENUES							
Room	\$	418,943	\$	401,920	\$	17,023	4.2%
Food and beverage		159,365		157,219		2,146	1.4%
Other operating		46,236		50,173		(3,937)	(7.8)%
Total revenues		624,544		609,312		15,232	2.5%
OPERATING EXPENSES							
Hotel operating		394,276		385,674		8,602	2.2%
Property general and administrative		74,535		71,019		3,516	5.0%
Corporate overhead		21,971		25,227		(3,256)	(12.9)%
Depreciation and amortization		92,374		92,457		(83)	(0.1)%
Impairment loss		1,943		30,852		(28,909)	(93.7)%
Total operating expenses		585,099		605,229		(20,130)	(3.3)%
Operating income		39,445		4,083		35,362	866.1%
Equity in net earnings (losses) of							
unconsolidated joint ventures		555		(27,801)		28,356	102.0%
Interest and other income		111		1,388		(1,277)	(92.0)%
Interest expense		(70,174)		(75,869)		5,695	7.5%
Gain on extinguishment of debt				54,506		(54,506)	(100.0)%
Loss from continuing operations		(30,063)		(43,693)		13,630	31.2%
Income (loss) from discontinued operations		68,605		(225,915)		294,520	130.4%
Net income (loss)		38,542		(269,608)		308,150	114.3%
Dividends paid on unvested restricted stock							
compensation				(447)		447	100.0%
Preferred stock dividends and accretion		(20,652)		(20,749)		97	0.5%
Undistributed income allocated to unvested							
restricted stock compensation		(102)				(102)	(100.0)%
Income available (loss attributable) to							
common stockholders	\$	17,788	\$	(290,804)	\$	308,592	106.1%

Operating Statistics. Included in the following tables are comparisons of the key operating metrics for our 32 hotel Comparable Portfolio, which includes prior ownership results for the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square, the JW Marriott New Orleans and the Hilton San Diego Bayfront, as well as operating results for the Renaissance Westchester during the periods in 2009 and 2010 while it was held in receivership.

	2011				2010				Change	
 Occ%	ADR]	RevPAR	Occ%	ADR	R	RevPAR	Occ%	ADR	RevPAR
74.3%	\$ 166.77	\$	123.91	71.7%	\$ 161.15	\$	115.54	260bps	3.5%	7.2%
								_		
	2010				2009				Change	
Occ%	ADR]	RevPAR	Occ%	ADR	R	RevPAR	Occ%	ADR	RevPAR
71.7%	\$ 161.15	\$	115.54	69.6%	\$ 157.58	\$	109.68	210bps	2.3%	5.3%

Non-GAAP Financial Measures. The following table reconciles net income (loss) to EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA for our hotel portfolio for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009. We believe EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA are useful to investors in evaluating our operating performance because these measures help investors evaluate and compare the results of our operations from period to period by removing the impact of our capital structure (primarily interest expense) and our asset base (primarily depreciation and amortization) from our operating results. We also use EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA as measures in determining the value of hotel acquisitions and dispositions. We caution investors that amounts presented in accordance with our definitions of EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA may not be comparable to similar measures disclosed by other companies, because not all companies calculate these non-GAAP measures in the same manner. EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA should not be considered as an alternative measure of our net income (loss), operating performance, cash flow or liquidity. EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA may include funds that may not be available for our discretionary use to fund interest expense, capital expenditures or general corporate purposes. Although we believe that EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA can enhance an investor s understanding of our results of operations, these non-GAAP financial measures, when viewed individually, are not necessarily a better indicator of any trend as compared to GAAP measures such as net income (loss) or cash flow from operations. In addition, you should be aware that adverse economic and market conditions may harm our cash flow.

	2011	2010		2009
Net income (loss)	\$ 81,299	\$ 38,54	2 \$	(269,608)
Operations held for investment:				
Depreciation and amortization	127,945	92,37	4	92,457
Amortization of lease intangibles	4,007	28	1	
Interest expense	75,995	64,81	3	71,282
Interest expense default rate		88	4	472
Amortization of deferred financing fees	3,232	1,58	5	1,811
Write-off of deferred financing fees	21	1,58	5	284
Loan penalties and fees		31	1	207
Non-cash interest related to discount on Senior				
Notes	1,062	99	6	1,813
Non-cash interest related to loss on derivatives	2,655			
Non-controlling interests:				
Income from consolidated joint venture attributable				
to non-controlling interest	(312)			
Depreciation and amortization	(4,014)			
Interest expense	(1,562)			
Amortization of deferred financing fees	(160)			
Non-cash interest related to loss on derivative	(31)			
Unconsolidated joint ventures:				
Depreciation and amortization	3	5	2	5,131
Interest expense				2,614

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

Amortization of deferred financing fees			192
Discontinued operations:			1,2
Depreciation and amortization	1,951	8,558	18,603
Interest expense	515	9,283	17,599
Interest expense default rate		7,071	1,407
Amortization of deferred financing fees	10	453	578
Write-off of deferred financing fees	42		
Loan penalties and fees		1,021	3,784
EBITDA	292,658	227,809	(51,374)
On anotion a hold for investment.			
Operations held for investment: Amortization of deferred stock compensation	2,745	3,942	4,055
Non-cash straightline lease expense	2,743	944	796
(Gain) loss on sale of assets	(83)	382	(375)
Gain on remeasurement of equity interests	(69,230)	362	(373)
Gain on extinguishment of debt	(09,230)		(54,506)
Closing costs completed acquisitions	3,403		(34,300)
Due diligence costs abandoned project	3,403	959	
Impairment loss	10,862	1.943	30.852
Lawsuit settlement costs	1,620	1,943	30,632
Costs associated with CEO severance	1,020	2,242	
Bad debt expense on corporate note receivable		2,242	5,557
Non-controlling interests:			3,337
Non-cash straightline lease expense	(354)		
Unconsolidated joint ventures:	(331)		
Amortization of deferred stock compensation	2	32	47
Impairment loss	_	<u> </u>	26,007
Discontinued operations:			_0,007
(Gain) loss on sale of assets	(14,912)		13,052
Impairment loss	1,495		195,293
Gain on extinguishment of debt	(18,145)	(86,235)	
Closing costs completed acquisition	(-, -,	6,796	
	(80,199)	(68,995)	220,778
Adjusted EBITDA	\$ 212,459 \$	158,814 \$	169,404

Adjusted EBITDA was \$212.5 million in 2011 as compared to \$158.8 million in 2010 and \$169.4 million in 2009. Adjusted EBITDA increased in 2011 as compared to 2010 due to additional earnings generated by the three hotels we acquired or purchased interests in during 2011 (Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square, JW Marriott New Orleans and Hilton San Diego Bayfront) and by the Renaissance Westchester, which we reacquired from a court-appointed receiver in June 2010, combined with increased earnings at our other hotels. Adjusted EBITDA decreased in 2010 as compared to 2009 primarily due to decreased operating income from discontinued operations combined with increased costs incurred during 2010 to transition our hotels to new management companies.

The following table reconciles net income (loss) to FFO and Adjusted FFO for our hotel portfolio for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009. We believe that the presentation of FFO and Adjusted FFO provides useful information to investors regarding our operating performance because they are measures of our operations without regard to specified non-cash items such as real estate depreciation and amortization, any real estate impairment loss, gain or loss on sale of assets and certain other items which we believe are not indicative of the performance of our underlying hotel properties. We believe that these items are more representative of our asset base and our acquisition and disposition activities than our ongoing operations. We also use FFO as one measure in determining our results after taking into account the impact of our capital structure. We caution investors that amounts presented in accordance with our definitions of FFO and Adjusted FFO may not be comparable to similar measures disclosed by other companies, because not all companies calculate these non-GAAP measures in the same manner. FFO and Adjusted FFO should not be considered as an alternative measure of our net income (loss), operating performance, cash flow or liquidity. FFO and Adjusted FFO may include funds that may not be available for our discretionary use to fund interest expense, capital expenditures or general corporate purposes. Although we believe that FFO and Adjusted FFO can enhance an investor s understanding of our results of operations, these non-GAAP financial measures, when viewed individually, are not necessarily a better indicator of any trend as compared to GAAP measures such as net income (loss) or cash flow from operations. In addition, you should be aware that adverse economic and market conditions may harm our cash flow.

Net income (loss) \$ 81,299 \$ 38,542 \$ (269,608) Preferred stock dividends (27,321) (20,652) (20,749) Operations held for investment: Real estate depreciation and amortization 126,776 91,824 91,910 Real estate impairment loss 1,943 30,852 Amortization of lease intangibles 4,007 281 (Gain) loss on sale of other assets (83) 382 (375) Non-controlling interests: Income form a prophilitated initiation transfers
Operations held for investment: Real estate depreciation and amortization Real estate impairment loss Amortization of lease intangibles (Gain) loss on sale of other assets Non-controlling interests: 126,776 91,824 91,910 28,1 4,007 281 (83) 382 (375)
Real estate depreciation and amortization 126,776 91,824 91,910 Real estate impairment loss 1,943 30,852 Amortization of lease intangibles 4,007 281 (Gain) loss on sale of other assets (83) 382 (375) Non-controlling interests:
Real estate impairment loss1,94330,852Amortization of lease intangibles4,007281(Gain) loss on sale of other assets(83)382(375)Non-controlling interests:
Amortization of lease intangibles 4,007 281 (Gain) loss on sale of other assets (83) 382 (375) Non-controlling interests:
(Gain) loss on sale of other assets (83) 382 (375) Non-controlling interests:
Non-controlling interests:
Income from consolidated joint wenture attributable to
Income from consolidated joint venture attributable to
non-controlling interest (312)
Real estate depreciation and amortization (4,014)
Unconsolidated joint ventures:
Real estate depreciation and amortization 5,060
Real estate impairment loss 26,007
Discontinued operations:
Real estate depreciation and amortization 1,951 8,558 18,603
Real estate impairment loss 195,293
(Gain) loss on sale of assets (14,912) 13,052
FFO 167,391 120,878 90,045
Operations held for investment:
Interest expense default rate 884 472
Write-off of deferred financing fees 21 1,585 284
Loan penalties and fees 311 207
Non-cash straightline lease expense 2,398 944 796
Non-cash interest related to loss on derivatives 2,655
Gain on remeasurement of equity interests (69,230)
Gain on extinguishment of debt (54,506)

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

Closing costs completed acquisitions	3,403		
Due diligence costs abandoned project		959	
Impairment loss	10,862		
Lawsuit settlement costs	1,620		
Costs associated with CEO severance		2,242	
Amortization of deferred stock			
compensation associated with CEO severance		1,074	
Bad debt expense on corporate note receivable			5,557
Non-controlling interests:			
Non-cash straightline lease expense	(354)		
Non-cash interest related to loss on derivative	(31)		
Discontinued operations:			
Write-off of deferred financing fees	42		
Interest expense default rate		7,071	1,407
Loan penalties and fees		1,021	3,784
Impairment loss	1,495		
Gain on extinguishment of debt	(18,145)	(86,235)	
Closing costs completed acquisition		6,796	
	(65,264)	(63,348)	(41,999)
Adjusted FFO	\$ 102,127 \$	57,530 \$	48,046

Table of Contents

Adjusted FFO was \$102.1 million in 2011 as compared to \$57.5 million in 2010 and \$48.0 million in 2009. Adjusted FFO increased in 2011 as compared to 2010 due to additional earnings generated by the three hotels we acquired or purchased interests in during 2011 (Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square, JW Marriott New Orleans and Hilton San Diego Bayfront) and by the Renaissance Westchester, which we reacquired from a court-appointed receiver in June 2010, combined with increased earnings at our other hotels. Adjusted FFO increased in 2010 as compared to 2009 primarily due to lower interest expense, partially offset by decreased operating income from discontinued operations combined with increased costs incurred during 2010 to transition our hotels to new management companies.

Room revenue. Room revenue increased \$153.3 million, or 36.6%, for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2010. We reacquired the Renaissance Westchester from a court-appointed receiver in June 2010. In addition, we acquired the outside 62.0% equity interests in the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square in January 2011 (resulting in our 100% ownership of the hotel) and the JW Marriott New Orleans in February 2011. We also purchased a 75.0% majority interest in the joint venture that owns the Hilton San Diego Bayfront in April 2011. These four recently acquired hotels (the four recently acquired hotels) generated room revenue of \$127.1 million during the year ended December 31, 2011. Room revenue generated by the 28 hotels we acquired prior to January 1, 2010 (our 2011 existing portfolio) increased \$26.2 million during 2011 as compared to 2010 due to an increase in occupancy (\$14.3 million) combined with an increase in ADR (\$11.9 million). Room revenue at some of our northeast hotels was negatively impacted during the third quarter of 2011 by Hurricane Irene, which caused a loss in room revenue of approximately \$0.3 million at the four recently acquired hotels and approximately \$0.6 million in our existing portfolio.

Room revenue increased \$17.0 million, or 4.2%, for the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2009. The results of operations for the Renaissance Westchester are included in continuing operations from the date we reacquired the hotel (June 2010) forward. The 2009 results of operations for the Renaissance Westchester are included in discontinued operations as possession and control of the hotel was held by a court-appointed receiver. Room revenue generated by the Renaissance Westchester and included in continuing operations for 2010 was \$6.3 million. Room revenue generated by the 28 hotels we acquired prior to January 1, 2009 (our 2010 existing portfolio) increased \$10.7 million in 2010 as compared to 2009 due to an increase in occupancy (\$5.2 million) combined with an increase in ADR (\$5.5 million).

Food and beverage revenue. Food and beverage revenue increased \$37.2 million, or 23.3%, for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2010. Our four recently acquired hotels contributed \$38.2 million to food and beverage revenue during 2011. Food and beverage revenue in our 2011 existing portfolio decreased \$1.0 million during 2011 as compared to 2010. This decrease is primarily due to a reduction in business at one of our Houston, Texas hotels with a customer who was operating under a contract with the United States government. In addition, our 2011 existing portfolio lost approximately \$0.1 million during the third quarter 2011 due to Hurricane Irene. Banquet revenue also decreased in our 2011 existing portfolio during 2011 as compared to 2010 as a few of our larger group-oriented hotels experienced higher traffic from transient demand than from

group demand. These decreases were slightly offset by an increase in food and beverage revenue generated by our outlets due to increased transient occupancy at several of our hotels, as well as to increased volume from local businesses and residents at several of our recently renovated restaurants and lounges.

Table of Contents

Food and beverage revenue increased \$2.1 million, or 1.4%, for the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2009. Food and beverage revenue generated by the Renaissance Westchester and included in continuing operations for 2010 was \$3.4 million. Food and beverage revenue generated from our 2010 existing portfolio decreased \$1.3 million in 2010 as compared to 2009. This decrease is primarily due to lower revenues generated in 2010 by our Washington D.C. area hotels, which benefited from the 2009 presidential inauguration. Food and beverage revenue also decreased in 2010 as compared to 2009 due to a reduction in business at our Houston, Texas hotels with one customer who was operating under a contract with the United States government. Additionally, many of our hotels began to consolidate food and beverage outlets during 2009 in order to maximize profitability, which continued into 2010.

Other operating revenue. Other operating revenue increased \$19.7 million, or 42.6%, for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2010. Our four recently acquired hotels contributed \$12.8 million to other operating revenue during 2011. Other operating revenue also increased \$5.4 million in 2011 as compared to 2010 due to the consolidation of BuyEfficient with our operations due to the purchase of the outside 50.0% equity interest in the joint venture in January 2011. Previously, our 50.0% portion of BuyEfficient s net income was included in equity in earnings of unconsolidated joint ventures. Other operating revenue in our 2011 existing portfolio increased \$1.5 million in 2011 as compared to 2010, as increased revenue at our commercial laundry facility combined with increased parking and lease rent revenue was slightly offset by decreased telephone, cancellation, attrition, guest movies, lift ticket, spa and retail revenue.

Other operating revenue decreased \$3.9 million, or 7.8%, for the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2009. Other operating revenue generated by the Renaissance Westchester and included in continuing operations for 2010 was \$0.3 million. Other operating revenue generated by our 2010 existing portfolio decreased \$4.2 million in 2010 compared to 2009. A substantial portion of our other operating revenue in 2009 resulted from a performance guaranty provided by the manager of the Fairmont Newport Beach. We recognized \$2.5 million of the \$6.0 million performance guaranty during 2009, and zero during 2010. As of December 31, 2009, we had fully utilized the entire \$6.0 million performance guaranty. Other operating revenue in our 2010 existing portfolio also decreased in 2010 as compared to 2009 due to decreased cancellation, attrition, telephone and guest movie revenue, slightly offset by increased parking and spa revenue combined with increased revenue at our commercial laundry facility.

Hotel operating expenses. Hotel operating expenses increased \$118.5 million, or 30.1%, during the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2010. The four recently acquired hotels contributed \$109.3 million to hotel operating expenses during 2011. Hotel operating expenses in our 2011 existing portfolio increased \$9.2 million during 2011 as compared to 2010. This increase in hotel operating expenses is primarily related to increased room expense, corresponding to the increased room revenue. In addition, hotel operating expenses in our 2011 existing portfolio increased in 2011 as compared to 2010 due to increases in the following expenses: advertising and repairs and maintenance as the hotels increased spending due to the improved economy; franchise fees and assessments due to the increased revenue; and property taxes due to increased assessments. These increases were partially offset by decreased food and beverage expense, corresponding to the decrease in food and beverage revenue, as well as by decreased utilities due to reductions in gas rates and usage at several of our hotels and by decreased property and liability insurance due to an actuarial adjustment.

Hotel operating expenses increased \$8.6 million, or 2.2%, for the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2009. Hotel operating expenses generated by the Renaissance Westchester and included in continuing operations for 2010 totaled \$7.5 million. Hotel operating expenses for our 2010 existing portfolio increased \$1.1 million during 2010 as compared to 2009. This increase in hotel operating expenses is primarily related to the increase in related room revenue. In addition, hotel operating expenses increased in 2010 as compared to 2009 due to costs incurred of \$0.2 million during 2010 related to our management company transitions, increased franchise fees and assessments due to higher revenue, and increased ground lease expense due to higher costs at several of our hotels. These increases were partially offset by decreases in the following expenses: food and beverage expense and other operating expenses due to the decline in related revenue; departmental payroll due to staff reductions and cost cutting initiatives implemented throughout 2009; repairs and maintenance as the hotels continued to cancel or delay unnecessary expenditures; utilities due to reductions in gas rates and usage at several of our hotels; and property taxes due to reassessments on several of our hotels.

Property general and administrative expense. Property general and administrative expense increased \$24.1 million, or 32.3%, during the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2010. The four recently acquired hotels contributed \$16.8 million to property general and administrative expense during 2011. Property general and administrative expense also increased \$3.7 million in 2011 as compared to 2010 due to the consolidation of BuyEfficient with our operations due to the purchase of the outside 50.0% equity interest in the joint venture in January 2011. Property general and administrative expense in our

Table of Contents

2011 existing portfolio increased \$3.6 million during the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2010, primarily due to increased payroll, management fees and credit and collection expenses due to the increase in revenue, combined with increased legal expenses, travel, training and sales tax expense, partially offset by decreased contract and professional fees, employee recruitment expenses and computer hardware/software costs.

Property general and administrative expense increased \$3.5 million, or 5.0%, for the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2009. Property general and administrative expense generated by the Renaissance Westchester and included in continuing operations for 2010 totaled \$1.5 million. Hotel operating expenses for our 2010 existing portfolio increased \$2.0 million during 2010 as compared to 2009. Management fees and credit and collection expenses increased in our 2010 existing portfolio due to the increase in revenue. In addition, property general and administrative expense in our 2010 existing portfolio increased due to increased payroll, employee recruitment, relocation and training. These increases were partially offset by decreased legal and sales tax audit expenses.

Corporate overhead expense. Corporate overhead expense increased \$3.8 million, or 17.2%, during the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2010, primarily due to increases of \$0.4 million related to payroll and related costs, \$0.5 million related to contract and professional fees, \$0.2 million related to relocation, \$0.2 million related to travel, \$0.1 million related to bad debt, \$1.1 million related to legal expenses and \$2.4 million related to due diligence costs. In September 2011, we accrued \$1.6 million in settlement costs related to litigation involving three separate claims by certain employees at four of our hotels: Marriott Del Mar; Marriott Quincy; Renaissance Los Angeles Airport; and Renaissance Long Beach. The Company and certain other defendants reached tentative settlements regarding two of the lawsuits comprising approximately \$0.9 million of our third quarter 2011 accrual, which settlements are subject to final approval by the Superior Court of California, Los Angeles County and the Superior Court of California, Orange County. We are still in negotiations regarding the third claim, however we expect to incur a maximum of \$0.7 million in related settlement or judgment costs and expenses. During 2011 we incurred due diligence costs of \$3.4 million related to our completed acquisitions, and an additional \$0.3 million related to in process or abandoned projects. During 2010, we incurred due diligence costs of \$1.3 million related to in process or abandoned projects. These increases in corporate overhead expense in 2011 as compared to 2010 were partially offset by a decrease of \$1.1 million related to deferred stock compensation.

Corporate overhead expense decreased \$3.3 million, or 12.9%, during the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2009, primarily due to a decrease in bad debt expense. In December 2009, we determined that a \$5.6 million note received from the buyer of 13 hotels we sold in 2006, along with the related interest accrued on the note may be uncollectible. As such, we recorded bad debt expense of \$5.6 million to corporate overhead in 2009 to reserve against both the discounted note and the related interest receivable. Corporate overhead expenses also decreased in 2010 as compared to 2009 due to decreased sales tax expense, office rent, and relocation expense. These decreases were partially offset by increases in 2010 related to \$3.3 million in CEO severance expenses, \$0.5 million in retention bonuses accrued during 2010 to incentivize certain hotel-level employees to stay through the management company transitions, and an increase of \$1.1 million in due diligence costs incurred in 2010 as compared to 2009 related to acquisition projects that were abandoned. In addition, corporate overhead expense increased in 2010 as compared to 2009 due to increased legal expenses.

Depreciation and amortization expense. Depreciation and amortization increased \$35.6 million, or 38.5%, for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2010. Our four recently acquired hotels contributed \$31.0 million to depreciation and amortization during 2011. Depreciation and amortization expense in our 2011 existing portfolio increased \$4.6 million during 2011 as compared to 2010 due to additional depreciation recognized on hotel renovations and purchases of furniture, fixtures and equipment (FF&E) for our hotel properties.

Depreciation and amortization expense decreased \$0.1 million, or 0.1%, for the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2009. Depreciation and amortization expense generated by the Renaissance Westchester and included in continuing operations for

2010 totaled \$0.6 million. Depreciation and amortization in our 2010 existing portfolio decreased \$0.7 million during 2010 as compared to 2009 primarily due to the fact that we reduced the depreciable assets of our 2010 existing portfolio by \$25.4 million during the second quarter of 2009, as well as due to reduced renovations and purchases of FF&E for our hotel properties.

Impairment loss. Impairment loss totaled \$10.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, \$1.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2010, and \$30.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. During 2011, we recognized an impairment loss of \$10.9 million on our Royal Palm note in anticipation of its sale in October 2011. During 2010, we recognized an impairment loss of \$1.9 million on an office building and land adjacent to one of our hotels in anticipation of its possible sale. In conjunction with our quarterly impairment evaluations performed during 2009, we recognized a \$25.4 million impairment loss on the Marriott Del Mar to reduce the carrying value of this hotel to its fair value. In addition, during 2009 we recognized a \$1.4 million impairment loss related to costs associated with a potential timeshare development, and recognized a \$0.1 million impairment loss on a parcel of land adjacent to one of our hotels which we sold in June 2009. We also wrote off \$1.3 million of goodwill associated with the Marriott Rochester.

Equity in net earnings (losses) of unconsolidated joint ventures. Equity in net earnings (losses) of unconsolidated joint ventures totaled earnings of \$21,000 for the year ended December 31, 2011, earnings of \$0.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2010, and a net loss of \$27.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. In January 2011, we acquired 100% interests in both the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square and BuyEfficient joint ventures. Post-acquisition, therefore, we present both of these investments on a consolidated basis. Prior to our January 14, 2011 acquisition date, we did not recognize any earnings on our Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square joint venture because the joint venture losses in excess of our investment, and we reduced our interest in this partnership to zero at December 31, 2009. The excess cumulative losses resulted primarily from the hotel s fourth quarter 2009 impairment charge. Prior to our purchase of the outside 50.0% equity interest in the BuyEfficient joint venture on January 21, 2011, we recognized income of \$21,000 on our BuyEfficient joint venture. In 2010, we recognized income of \$0.6 million on our BuyEfficient joint venture, and zero on our Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square joint venture because the joint venture had cumulative losses in excess of our investment, and we reduced our interest in this partnership to zero at December 31, 2009. In 2009, we recognized a \$28.3 million loss on our Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square joint venture. This \$28.3 million loss was comprised of a \$2.3 million operating loss and a \$26.0 million impairment loss. Also during 2009, we recognized income of \$0.5 million on our BuyEfficient joint venture.

Interest and other income. Interest and other income totaled \$3.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, \$0.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 and \$1.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. In 2011, we recognized \$2.9 million in interest income, including \$2.7 million related to the Royal Palm note. We sold this Royal Palm note in October 2011 for net proceeds of approximately \$79.2 million. In anticipation of this sale, we recorded an impairment loss of \$10.9 million in September 2011. In addition, during 2011, we recognized income of \$0.1 million on sales and dispositions of surplus FF&E located in several of our hotels and \$0.1 million in other miscellaneous income. In 2010, we recognized \$0.3 million in interest income and \$0.1 million in other miscellaneous income, partially offset by a loss of \$0.3 million on sales and dispositions of surplus FF&E located in several of our hotels and in our corporate office. In 2009, we recognized \$0.9 million in interest income, \$0.4 million on the sale of surplus FF&E located in two of our hotels and \$0.1 million in other miscellaneous income.

Interest expense. Interest expense is as follows (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31, 2011	Year Ended December 31, 2010	Year Ended December 31, 2009
Interest expense	\$ 75,995	\$ 64,813	\$ 71,282
Interest expense default rate		884	472
Loss on derivatives	2,655		
Accretion of Senior Notes	1,062	996	1,813
Amortization of deferred financing fees	3,232	1,585	1,811
Write-off of deferred financing fees	21	1,585	284
Loan penalties and fees		311	207
	\$ 82,965	\$ 70,174	\$ 75,869

Interest expense increased \$12.8 million, or 18.2%, during the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2010. Mortgage interest expense increased \$11.2 million during 2011 as compared to 2010 due to increased loan balances as we assumed \$270.0 million of non-recourse senior mortgage and mezzanine debt in connection with our acquisition of the outside 62.0% equity interests in our Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square joint venture in January 2011 (which loan we refinanced in October 2011 with a new \$180.0 million non-recourse mortgage), and a \$42.2 million loan in connection with our acquisition of the JW Marriott New Orleans in February 2011. Our loan balances also increased during 2011 as compared to 2010 due to a \$240.0 million loan entered into by our Hilton San Diego Bayfront joint venture in April 2011. In addition, interest expense increased \$2.7 million during 2011 as compared to 2010 related to losses recognized on interest rate cap agreements on the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square and Hilton San Diego Bayfront loans, combined with a loss on an interest rate swap agreement on the JW Marriott New Orleans loan. Interest expense also increased during 2011 as compared to 2010 due to a \$1.6 million increase in amortization of deferred financing fees related to additional fees paid in association with our Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square, JW Marriott New Orleans and Hilton San Diego Bayfront acquisitions, as well as to fees incurred on our line of credit and on our

refinancing of the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square in October 2011 and the Hilton Times Square in November 2010. Accretion of Senior Notes also caused interest expense to increase by \$0.1 million in 2011 as compared to 2010. These increases were partially offset by additional interest expenses incurred in 2010 related to our elective defaults pursuant to our 2009 secured debt restructuring program as one of the lenders increased our interest rate by 5.0% causing an additional \$1.2 million in default interest, penalties and fees. In addition, interest expense for 2011 decreased as compared to 2010 as we incurred \$1.6 million in 2010 related to the write-off of deferred financing fees. During 2010, we wrote-off \$1.5 million in deferred financing fees related to the termination of our credit facility in February 2011, and \$0.1 million in deferred financing fees related to the release of three hotels from the Mass Mutual loan.

Table of Contents

Interest expense decreased \$5.7 million, or 7.5%, during the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2009. Interest expense decreased \$6.5 million during 2010 as compared to 2009 as a result of decreases in our loan balances combined with our repurchase of \$64.0 million in aggregate principal amount of the Senior Notes in the first quarter of 2009 and an additional \$123.5 million in the second quarter of 2009, as well as the repayment of \$83.0 million in April 2010 to release three hotels from the Mass Mutual loan. In addition, interest expense due to the accretion of Senior Notes decreased \$0.8 million during 2010 as compared to 2009 due to the repurchases of the Senior Notes in 2009. Interest expense also decreased \$0.2 million during 2010 as compared to 2009 due to a decrease in amortization of deferred financing fees related to the repayment of the \$83.0 million noted above, as well as the termination of our credit facility in February 2010, partially offset by an increase in fees associated with our new credit facility entered into in November 2010, combined with our repurchase of the Senior Notes and the amendment of our loan on the Renaissance Baltimore during the third quarter of 2009. These decreases were partially offset by an increase of \$1.3 million in interest expense due to the write-off of deferred financing fees. During 2010, we wrote-off \$1.5 million in deferred financing fees related to the termination of our credit facility in February 2010, and \$0.1 million in deferred financing fees related to the release of the three hotels from the Mass Mutual loan in April 2010. During 2009, we wrote off \$0.3 million in deferred financing fees associated with the amendment of our credit facility. Interest expense also increased during 2010 as compared to 2009 due to our elective defaults pursuant to our 2009 secured debt restructuring program as one of the lenders increased our interest rate by 5.0% causing an additional \$0.4 million in default interest and an additional \$

Our weighted average interest rate per annum, including the effect of our interest rate derivatives, was approximately 5.0% at December 31, 2011, 5.5% at December 31, 2010 and 5.6% at December 31, 2009. At December 31, 2011, approximately 73.4% of our outstanding notes payable had fixed interest rates. At both December 31, 2010 and 2009, all of the outstanding notes payable included in our continuing operations had fixed interest rates. In October 2011, we refinanced the \$270.0 million non-recourse senior mortgage and mezzanine debt secured by interests in the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square which bore interest at a blended rate of 3-month LIBOR plus 115 basis points for a \$180.0 million non-recourse non-recourse mortgage which bears interest at a floating rate of 3-month LIBOR plus 325 basis points, which will cause our interest expense to increase going forward.

Gain on remeasurement of equity interests. Gain on remeasurement of equity interests totaled \$69.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, and zero for both the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009. In January 2011, we purchased the outside interests in both our Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square joint venture and our BuyEfficient joint venture, and became the sole owner of both entities. Previously, our investment in the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square joint venture consisted of a 38.0% equity interest in the hotel and a \$30.0 million, 8.5% mezzanine loan maturing in January 2017 secured by the equity interests in the hotel. During the fourth quarter of 2009, the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square recorded an impairment loss, effectively reducing our investment in the partnership to zero as of December 31, 2009. In conjunction with the acquisition of the outside 62.0% equity interests in the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square in January 2011, we adjusted both our investment in the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square joint venture and the mezzanine loan to their fair market values, and recorded gains totaling \$60.5 million on the remeasurement. In addition, in conjunction with the acquisition of the outside 50.0% equity interest in the BuyEfficient joint venture in January 2011, we adjusted our investment up to its fair market value, and recorded a gain of \$8.7 million on the remeasurement.

Gain on extinguishment of debt. Gain on extinguishment of debt totaled zero for both the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, and \$54.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. During 2009, we recognized a gain of \$54.5 million due to the repurchase and cancellation of \$187.5 million in aggregate principal amount of the Senior Notes.

Income (loss) from discontinued operations. Income (loss) from discontinued operations is as follows (in thousands):

Year Ended Year Ended Year Ended
December 31, December 31, December 31,

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

	2011	2010	2009
Operating revenues	\$ 18,059 \$	89,652 \$	151,322
Operating expenses	(13,926)	(80,896)	(126,921)
Interest expense	(567)	(17,828)	(23,368)
Depreciation and amortization expense	(1,951)	(8,558)	(18,603)
Impairment loss	(1,495)		(195,293)
Gain on extinguishment of debt	18,145	86,235	
Gain (loss) on sale of hotels and other assets, net	14,912		(13,052)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	\$ 33,177 \$	68,605 \$	(225,915)

Table of Contents

As described under Investing Activities Dispositions, we sold two hotels and a commercial laundry facility during 2011 and three hotels during 2009. In addition, pursuant to our 2009 secured debt restructuring program we reclassified the operating results of 11 hotels to discontinued operations in 2010: W San Diego, which was transferred to a receiver in September 2009 and deeded back to the lender in July 2010; Renaissance Westchester, which was transferred to a receiver in December 2009 and reacquired by the Company in June 2010; Marriott Ontario Airport, which was transferred to a receiver in March 2010 and sold by the receiver in August 2010; and the Mass Mutual eight hotels, which were deeded back to the lender in November 2010. As a result of these deed backs and title transfers, we have disposed of all assets and liabilities from our operations held for non-sale disposition segment. Accordingly, all assets, liabilities and the operations from our non-sale disposition segment have been reclassified to discontinued operations. Consistent with the Property, Plant and Equipment Topic of the FASB ASC, we have reclassified the results of operations, along with any gains on extinguishment of debt, gains or losses on sales and impairments recognized, for all 16 of these hotels and the commercial laundry facility to discontinued operations.

Income from consolidated joint venture attributable to non-controlling interest. Income from consolidated joint venture attributable to non-controlling interest totaled \$0.3 million for the year ended December 31 2011, and zero for both the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009. In April 2011 we purchased a 75.0% majority interest in the entity that owns the Hilton San Diego Bayfront. Consistent with the Presentation Topic of the FASB ASC, our net income for the year ended December 31, 2011 includes 100% of the net income generated during our ownership period by the entity that owns the Hilton San Diego Bayfront. The outside 25.0% interest in the entity that owns the Hilton San Diego Bayfront earned net income of \$0.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Distributions to non-controlling interest. Distributions to non-controlling interest totaled \$30,000 for the year ended December 31, 2011, and zero for both the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009. We purchased the outside 62.0% equity interests in our Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square joint venture in January 2011, and, as a result, we became the sole owner of the entity that owns the hotel. Preferred dividends earned by investors from the entity that owns the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square, net of related administrative fees totaled \$30,000 for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Dividends paid on unvested restricted stock compensation. Common stock dividends earned on our unvested restricted stock awards were zero for both of the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, and \$0.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Preferred stock dividends and accretion. Preferred stock dividends and accretion totaled \$27.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, and \$20.7 million for both the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009. Preferred stock dividends and accretion increased \$6.7 million, or 32.3% during 2011 as compared to 2010 due primarily to our issuance of 4,600,000 shares of Series D preferred stock in April 2011, which caused us to incur an additional \$6.8 million in dividends during 2011. This increase was slightly offset by a reduction in preferred stock accretion due to the initial carrying value of our Series C preferred stock being fully accreted to its redemption value during the third quarter of 2010. Preferred stock dividends and accretion decreased \$0.1 million, or 0.5% during 2010 as compared to 2009. Though the dividend rate for our Series A preferred stock and Series C preferred stock remained at \$2.00 and \$1.572, respectively, per share for 2010 and 2009, preferred stock dividends and accretion decreased in 2010 due to the initial carrying value of our Series C preferred stock being fully accreted to its redemption value during the third quarter of 2010.

Undistributed income allocated to unvested restricted stock compensation. In accordance with the Earnings Per Share Topic of the FASB ASC, unvested share-based payment awards that contain non-forfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents (whether paid or unpaid) are participating securities and shall be included in the computation of earnings per share pursuant to the two-class method. As such, undistributed income of \$0.6 million for 2011, \$0.1 million for 2010 and zero for 2009 were allocated to the participating securities.

Investing Activities

Acquisitions. While recovery is likely to remain uneven, we believe we are currently in the early stages of a recovery phase of the lodging cycle. We further believe that hotels acquired over the next several quarters are likely to benefit from a multi-year recovery in hotel profitability, and are likely to create long-term value in excess of our investment hurdles. Therefore, we have deployed a portion of our excess cash balance during 2010 and 2011 towards selective acquisitions. The following table sets forth the hotels we have acquired or reacquired since January 1, 2009:

Hotels	Rooms	Acquisition Date
2011:		
Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square, New York City, New York	460	January 14, 2011
JW Marriott New Orleans, New Orleans, Louisiana (1)	494	February 15, 2011
Hilton San Diego Bayfront, San Diego, California	1,190	April 15, 2011
2010:		
Renaissance Westchester, White Plains, New York (2)	347	June 14, 2010
Royal Palm Miami Beach, Miami Beach, Florida (3)	409	August 27, 2010
2009:		
No hotel acquisitions		
Total January 1, 2009 to December 31, 2011	2,900	

- (1) Subsequent to this acquisition, the Company added two additional rooms to this hotel, increasing the room count to 496.
- (2) Hotel deeded back to the lender and reacquired by the Company on June 14, 2010.
- (3) Hotel sold by the Company on April 8, 2011.

The total cost for these five hotel acquisitions was approximately \$414.3 million, or \$143,000 per room. Each of these acquisitions is discussed below.

In January 2011, we purchased the outside 62.0% equity interests in our Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square joint venture for \$37.5 million, and, as a result, became the sole owner of the entity that owns the 460-room Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square located in New York City, New York. The hotel was encumbered by \$270.0 million of non-recourse senior mortgage and mezzanine debt which was to mature in January 2012, and which bore a blended interest rate of 3-month LIBOR plus 115 basis points. We refinanced this debt in October 2011 with a new \$180.0 million non-recourse mortgage which matures in October 2018, and bears interest at a floating rate of 3-month LIBOR plus 325 basis points. We funded the remainder of the repayment of the prior loan with approximately \$90.0 million of our unrestricted cash. The hotel was encumbered by an additional \$30.0 million mezzanine loan that was owned by the Company, and, therefore, eliminated in consolidation on our balance sheets until the mezzanine loan was satisfied in conjunction with our refinancing of the debt secured by the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square in October 2011. In conjunction with the purchase of the outside 62.0% equity interests in our Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square joint venture, we recognized a gain of \$30.1 million on the remeasurement of our equity interest in this joint venture to its fair market value, and a gain of \$30.4 million on the remeasurement of our investment in the \$30.0 million mezzanine loan, which we purchased in April 2010 for \$3.45 million, to its fair market value.

In February 2011, we purchased the 494-room JW Marriott New Orleans located in New Orleans, Louisiana for approximately \$51.6 million in cash and the assumption of a \$42.2 million floating-rate, non-recourse senior mortgage. The mortgage, which matures in September 2015, has been swapped to a fixed rate of 5.45%, and is subject to a 25-year amortization schedule. Subsequent to this acquisition, we added two additional rooms to this hotel, increasing the room count to 496.

In April 2011, we paid \$182.8 million to acquire a 75.0% majority interest in the joint venture that owns the 1,190-room Hilton San Diego Bayfront hotel located in San Diego, California, which implied a gross value of approximately \$475.0 million. Concurrent with the acquisition, the joint venture entered into a new \$240.0 million mortgage financing secured by the hotel. The mortgage bears a floating rate of interest of 3-month LIBOR plus 325 basis points, matures in April 2016 and is subject to a 30-year amortization schedule.

In June 2010, we reacquired the 347-room Renaissance Westchester in White Plains, New York. In 2009, we transferred possession and control of the hotel to a court-appointed receiver pursuant to our 2009 secured debt restructuring program. In connection with this transfer, we deconsolidated this hotel and reclassified the assets and liabilities, including the \$25.2 million hotel net asset and the hotel s \$29.2 million 4.98% non-recourse mortgage, to discontinued operations on our balance sheets. Additionally, we reclassified the Renaissance Westchester s results of operations and cash flows to discontinued operations on our statements of operations and cash flows. We reacquired the Renaissance Westchester in June 2010 for \$26.0 million, including \$1.2 million of restricted cash and related costs for a net purchase price of \$24.8 million. In connection with the repurchase of the Renaissance Westchester, the \$29.2 million non-recourse mortgage was cancelled. We recorded a \$6.7 million gain on extinguishment of debt to discontinued operations in June 2010.

In August 2010, we used available cash on hand to acquire the Royal Palm hotel in Miami Beach, Florida at a foreclosure auction for a gross purchase price of \$126.1 million excluding transaction costs. Prior to the auction, we purchased a portion of the hotel s outstanding debt at a discount to par resulting in a net purchase price of the Royal Palm hotel of approximately \$117.6 million.

Table of Contents

In addition to the above noted hotels, we deployed a portion of our excess cash in 2011 and 2010 towards alternative investments. Each of these alternative investments is discussed below.

In January 2011, we purchased the outside 50.0% equity interest in our BuyEfficient joint venture for a gross purchase price of \$9.0 million. As a result, we are now the sole owner of BuyEfficient. In conjunction with this purchase, we recognized a gain of \$8.7 million on the remeasurement of our equity interest in this joint venture to its fair market value.

In April 2010, we purchased two hotel loans with a combined principal amount of \$32.5 million for a total purchase price of \$3.7 million. The loans included (i) a \$30.0 million, 8.5% mezzanine loan maturing in January 2017 secured by the equity interests in our Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square joint venture, and (ii) one-half of a \$5.0 million, 8.075% subordinate note maturing in November 2010 secured by the 101-room boutique hotel known as Twelve Atlantic Station in Atlanta, Georgia. We purchased the mezzanine loan for \$3.45 million and half of the subordinate note for \$250,000. After our acquisition of the remaining interests in the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square joint venture in January 2011, the mezzanine loan was eliminated in consolidation on our balance sheet until the mezzanine loan was satisfied in conjunction with our refinancing of the debt secured by the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square in October 2011.

In November 2010, we purchased the remaining half of the Twelve Atlantic Station subordinate note for an additional \$250,000. In November 2010, the subordinate note was modified to provide for monthly interest only payments of 3.5%, with the remaining interest due at maturity, and the maturity date was extended to November 2012. As the subordinate note was in default, the borrower was required to bring the subordinate note current. As of December 31, 2011, the subordinate note secured by the Twelve Atlantic Station was not in default, however, we are accounting for the Twelve Atlantic Station loan using the cost recovery method until such time as the expected cash flows from the loan are reasonably probable and estimable.

Our acquisition program is aimed at generating attractive risk-adjusted returns on our investment dollars, and therefore we may target lodging assets outside of the typical branded, urban, upper upscale profile represented by our existing portfolio in order to capitalize on opportunities which may arise. We intend to select the brands and operators for our hotels that we believe will lead to the highest returns. Additionally, the scope of our acquisitions program may include large hotel portfolios or hotel loans. Future acquisitions may be funded by our issuance of additional debt or equity securities, including our common and preferred OP units, or by draws on our \$150.0 million senior corporate credit facility entered into in November 2010. However, in light of our current balance sheet objectives, we expect to fund any near term acquisitions, assuming acquisition opportunities generate returns that exceed our cost of capital, with a greater proportion of equity capital than debt capital.

Dispositions. The following table sets forth the hotels we have sold or disposed of since January 1, 2009:

Hotels	Rooms	Disposition Date
2011:		
Royal Palm Miami Beach, Miami Beach, Florida	409	April 8, 2011
Valley River Inn, Eugene, Oregon	257	October 26, 2011
2010:		
Renaissance Westchester, White Plains, New York (1) (2)	347	June 14, 2010
W Hotel, San Diego, California (1)	258	July 2, 2010
Marriott, Ontario Airport, California (1)	299	August 12, 2010
Courtyard by Marriott, San Diego (Old Town), California (1)	176	November 1, 2010

Hilton, Huntington, New York (1)	302	November 1, 2010
Holiday Inn Downtown, San Diego, California (1)	220	November 1, 2010
Holiday Inn Express, San Diego (Old Town), California (1)	125	November 1, 2010
Marriott, Provo, Utah (1)	330	November 1, 2010
Marriott, Salt Lake City (University Park), Utah (1)	218	November 1, 2010
Renaissance Atlanta Concourse, Atlanta, Georgia (1)	387	November 1, 2010
Residence Inn by Marriott, Manhattan Beach, California (1)	176	November 1, 2010
2009:		
Marriott, Napa, California	274	May 20, 2009
Marriott, Riverside, California	292	June 18, 2009
Hyatt Suites Atlanta Northwest, Marietta, Georgia	202	July 31, 2009
Total January 1, 2009 to December 31, 2011	4,272	•

⁽¹⁾ Hotels deeded back to the lenders, or sold by the receiver, pursuant to our 2009 secured debt restructuring program.

(2) Hotel reacquired by the Company on June 14, 2010.

Table of Contents

The aggregate net sale proceeds for the two hotels sold in 2011 and the three hotels sold in 2009 was \$207.2 million, including the Royal Palm note, or \$145,000 per room. The 11 hotels disposed of in 2010 pursuant to our secured debt restructuring program eliminated \$282.7 million of debt from our balance sheet. The results of operations of all of the hotels identified above and the gains or losses on dispositions and extinguishments of debt through December 31, 2011 are included in discontinued operations for all periods presented through the time of sale. The cash proceeds from the sales are included in our cash flows from investing activities for the respective periods. Each of these dispositions is discussed below.

We sold two hotels in 2011. In April 2011, we sold the Royal Palm Miami Beach for net proceeds of \$129.8 million, including \$40.0 million in cash and the \$90.0 million Royal Palm note, and recognized a gain on the sale of \$14.0 million. We sold the Royal Palm note in October 2011 for net proceeds of approximately \$79.2 million. In anticipation of this sale, we recorded an impairment loss of \$10.9 million in September 2011. We retained an earn-out right on the Royal Palm hotel which will enable us to receive future payments of up to \$20.0 million in the event that the hotel achieves certain return hurdles. In October 2011, we sold the Valley River Inn located in Eugene, Oregon for net proceeds of \$16.1 million, including the assumption of the existing mortgage secured by the hotel which totaled \$11.5 million on the date of sale, and recognized a gain on the sale of \$0.9 million.

We did not sell any hotels during 2010. We did, however, complete the disposal of 11 hotels pursuant to our secured debt restructuring program, which we initiated in 2009. In June 2010, we disposed of the Renaissance Westchester, and subsequently reacquired the hotel from the lender during the same month. We completed the deed back of the W San Diego in July 2010, and title to the hotel was transferred to the lender. In August 2010, the Marriott Ontario Airport was sold by the receiver, and title to the hotel was transferred to the third party purchaser. In November 2010, we completed the deed back of the Mass Mutual eight hotels, and titles to the hotels were transferred to the lender. As of December 31, 2010, five of the Mass Mutual eight hotels remained subject to franchise agreements which contained corporate guaranties. If the franchise agreements on these five hotels were to be terminated, we were potentially liable for up to \$19.6 million in termination fees. In June 2011, we paid termination fees of \$1.5 million related to one of these five hotels, and the franchise agreements on the remaining four hotels were transferred to new owners, resulting in our recording \$18.1 million to gain on extinguishment of debt in June 2011, which is included in discontinued operations.

We sold three hotels in 2009. In May 2009, we sold the Marriott Napa Valley for net proceeds of \$34.8 million, and recognized a loss on the sale of \$13.7 million. In June 2009, we sold the Marriott Riverside for net proceeds of \$18.7 million and recognized a gain on the sale of \$2.9 million. In July 2009, we sold the Hyatt Suites Atlanta Northwest for net proceeds of \$7.8 million and recognized a net gain on the sale of \$18,000, after having recorded an impairment loss in June 2009 of \$4.9 million in order to reduce the carrying value of this hotel on our balance sheet to its fair value.

The following table summarizes our portfolio and room data from January 1, 2009 through December 31, 2011, adjusted for the hotels acquired, reacquired, disposed through non-sale disposition and sold during the respective periods.

	2011	2010	2009
Portfolio Data Hotels			
Number of hotels beginning of period	31	40	43
Add: Acquisitions	3	1	
Add: Reacquisitions		1	
Less: Dispositions	(2)		(3)
Less: Non-sale dispositions		(11)	
Number of hotels end of period	32	31	40

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

	2011	2010	2009
Portfolio Data Rooms			
Number of rooms beginning of period	11,722	13,804	14,569
Add: Acquisitions	2,144	409	
Add: Reacquisitions		347	
Add: Room expansions	8		3
Less: Dispositions	(666)		(768)
Less: Non-sale dispositions		(2,838)	
Number of rooms end of period	13,208	11,722	13,804
Average rooms per hotel end of period	413	378	345

Table of Contents

In addition to the above noted hotel dispositions, in July 2011, we sold our commercial laundry facility located in Salt Lake City, Utah for net proceeds of \$0.1 million, and recognized a loss on the sale of \$0.1 million. In anticipation of this sale, we recorded an impairment loss of \$1.5 million in June 2011, which is included in discontinued operations.

Renovations. During 2011, we invested \$100.4 million in capital improvements to our hotel and other real estate portfolio. Consistent with our cycle-appropriate strategy, this investment in capital improvements to our portfolio was \$43.4 million more than the amount we invested in 2010 and \$56.3 million more than the amount we invested in 2009.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Historical. During the periods presented, our sources of cash included our operating activities, working capital, sales of hotel properties and other assets, distributions received from our unconsolidated joint ventures, proceeds from issuance of notes payable and our credit facility, and proceeds from our offerings of common and preferred stock. Our primary uses of cash were for acquisitions of hotel properties and other assets, capital expenditures for hotels, operating expenses, purchases of notes receivable, repayment of notes payable (including repurchases of Senior Notes) and our credit facility, repurchases of our common stock, and dividends on our preferred stock. We cannot be certain that traditional sources of funds will be available in the future.

Operating activities. Our cash provided by or used in operating activities fluctuates primarily as a result of changes in RevPAR and operating cash flow through of our hotels. Our net cash provided by or used in operating activities may also be affected by changes in our portfolio resulting from hotel acquisitions, dispositions or renovations. Net cash provided by operating activities was \$156.4 million for 2011 compared to \$43.6 million for 2010, and \$64.8 million for 2009. The increase in 2011 as compared to 2010 was primarily due to our acquisitions of the new hotels, combined with increased earnings at our existing hotels. The decrease in 2010 as compared to 2009 was primarily due to an increase in restricted cash during 2010.

Investing activities. Our cash provided by or used in investing activities fluctuates primarily as a result of acquisitions, dispositions and renovations of hotels. Net cash used in investing activities in 2011 and 2010 and net cash provided by investing activities in 2009 was as follows (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31, 2011 Year Ended December 31, 2010				Year Ended December 31, 2009
Proceeds from sales of hotel properties and other					
assets	\$ 44,576	\$	63	\$	64,073
Cash received from unconsolidated joint venture			900		500
Restricted cash replacement reserve	(8,143)		(931)		(1,823)
Acquisitions of notes receivable			(3,950)		
Proceeds received from sale of note receivable	79,194				
Acquisitions of hotel properties and other assets	(263,264)		(142,410)		
Renovations and additions to hotel properties and					
other real estate	(100,400)		(56,984)		(44,105)
Payments for interest rate derivatives	(1,082)				

Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities \$ (249,119) \$ (203,312) \$ 18,645

Net cash used in investing activities was \$249.1 million in 2011, as compared to net cash used of \$203.3 million in 2010, and net cash provided of \$18.6 million in 2009. During 2011, we received net proceeds of \$39.8 million from our sale of the Royal Palm Miami Beach, \$0.1 million from our sale of the commercial laundry facility located in Salt Lake City, Utah, \$16.1 million from our sale of the Valley River Inn partially offset by \$11.5 million of debt assigned to the buyer of the hotel and an additional \$0.1 million from the sale of surplus FF&E, for a total cash inflow of \$44.6 million. In addition, during 2011, we received net proceeds of \$79.2 million from the sale of the Royal Palm note. These cash inflows were offset as we increased the balance in our restricted cash replacement reserve accounts by \$8.1 million, paid cash of \$263.3 million to acquire hotel properties and other assets, paid cash of \$100.4 million for renovations and additions to our portfolio, and paid cash of \$1.1 million for interest rate derivative agreements. The \$263.3 million total cash paid for acquisitions during 2011 is comprised of the following: \$37.5 million for the outside 62.0% equity interests in our Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square, partially offset by \$13.0 million of unrestricted cash acquired upon acquisition; \$51.6 million for the JW Marriott New Orleans; \$182.8 million for the 75.0% majority interest in the entity that owns the Hilton San Diego Bayfront, partially offset by \$3.7 million of unrestricted cash acquired upon acquisition; and \$9.0 million for the outside 50.0% equity interest in our BuyEfficient joint venture, partially offset by \$0.9 million of unrestricted cash acquired upon acquisition.

During 2010, we paid \$117.6 million to acquire the Royal Palm Miami Beach and \$24.8 million to reacquire the Renaissance Westchester, for a total cash outlay of \$142.4 million. In addition, we increased the balance in our restricted cash replacement reserve accounts by \$0.9 million, and we paid \$4.0 million for the purchase of two notes receivable and \$57.0 million for renovations and additions to our portfolio. These cash outflows were partially offset by \$0.1 million of proceeds received from the sale of surplus FF&E at several of our hotels and our corporate office and distributions of \$0.9 million received from our BuyEfficient joint venture.

Table of Contents

During 2009, we received \$64.1 million from the sale of hotel properties and other assets, which included \$61.3 million from the sale of three hotels, a \$2.0 million payment on a note receivable from the buyer of a hotel we sold in December 2008, \$0.4 million from the sale of certain excess FF&E located in two of our hotels, and \$0.4 million from the sales of two vacant parcels of land. In addition, we received \$0.5 million from our BuyEfficient joint venture, increased the balance in our restricted cash replacement reserve accounts by \$1.8 million, and paid cash of \$44.1 million for renovations and additions to our portfolio.

Financing activities. Our cash provided by or used in financing activities fluctuates primarily as a result of our issuance and repayment of notes payable, including the repurchase of Senior Notes, issuance and repayments on our credit facility and the issuance and repurchase of other forms of capital, including preferred equity and common stock. Net cash used in financing activities was \$32.8 million in 2011, as compared to net cash provided of \$82.6 million in 2010, and net cash provided of \$93.8 million in 2009. Net cash used in financing activities for 2011 consisted of \$568.3 million in principal payments on notes payable and our credit facility, including \$233.8 million to repay an existing mortgage upon the acquisition of our Hilton San Diego Bayfront joint venture, \$270.0 million to repay non-recourse senior mortgage and mezzanine debt upon our refinancing of the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square, \$40.0 million to repay a draw on our credit facility and \$24.5 million of principal payments on our notes payable. In addition, we paid \$9.0 million in deferred financing costs related to our assumptions of debt on the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square and the JW Marriott New Orleans in connection with the acquisitions of these two hotels, the issuance of a note payable to our Hilton San Diego Bayfront joint venture, the refinancing of debt secured by the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square, as well as costs related to our credit facility. We also paid dividends totaling \$25.0 million to our stockholders and distributions totaling \$1.3 million to partners in our joint ventures. These cash outflows were partially offset during 2011 by the receipt of \$110.9 million in net proceeds from the issuance of our Series D preferred stock, and \$460.0 million in proceeds from the issuance of notes payable and a draw on our credit facility. The \$460.0 million includes \$240.0 million received from the issuance of a note payable to our Hilton San Diego Bayfront joint venture, \$180.0 million received from the refinancing of debt on our Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square and \$40.0 million received from a draw on our credit facility.

Net cash provided by financing activities for 2010 consisted primarily of \$190.6 million in net proceeds received from the issuance of common stock and \$92.5 million in proceeds received from the new loan on the Hilton Times Square. These cash inflows were partially offset by \$175.2 million of principal payments on our notes payable, including \$83.0 million paid to release three hotels from the Mass Mutual loan, \$81.0 million to pay off the loan on the Hilton Times Square in connection with the refinance of the loan and \$11.2 million of principal amortization. In addition, net cash provided by financing activities for 2010 includes \$20.5 million of dividends paid to our stockholders, and \$4.8 million in deferred financing costs paid in connection with our new credit facility and the refinancing of the Hilton Times Square loan.

Net cash provided by financing activities in 2009 consisted primarily of \$257.1 million in net proceeds received from the issuance of common stock and \$60.0 million in proceeds received from our credit facility. These cash inflows were partially offset by \$117.5 million used to repurchase a portion of our Senior Notes including related costs, \$74.4 million of principal payments on our notes payable and credit facility, \$27.9 million of dividends paid to our stockholders, and \$3.5 million in deferred financing fees paid in connection with amendments to our Senior Notes indenture, our credit facility and our loan secured by the Renaissance Baltimore.

Future. We expect our primary uses of cash to be for acquisitions of hotels, including possibly hotel portfolios, capital investments in our hotels, operating expenses, repayment of principal on our notes payable and credit facility, interest expense and dividends. We expect our primary sources of cash will continue to be our operating activities, working capital, notes payable, sales of hotel properties, and proceeds from public and private offerings of debt securities and common and preferred stock. Our ability to incur additional debt depends on a number of factors, including our leverage, the value of our unencumbered assets and borrowing restrictions imposed by lenders under our existing notes payable, as well as other factors affecting the general willingness or ability of lenders to provide loans. In addition, our financial objectives include the measured improvement of our credit ratios, maintenance of appropriate levels of liquidity, and a gradual reduction in our financial leverage. In the near-term, we expect to fund acquisitions largely through the issuance of equity in order to grow the company and reduce leverage. Our ability to raise funds through the issuance of equity securities depends on, among other things, general market conditions for hotel companies and REITs and market perceptions about us. We will continue to analyze alternate sources of capital in an effort to minimize our capital costs

and maximize our financial flexibility. However, when needed, the capital markets may not be available to us on favorable terms or at all.

We believe that our current cash balance, our cash flow from operations, our access to capital markets and our unencumbered properties will provide us with sufficient liquidity to meet our current operating expenses and other expenses directly associated with our business (including payment of dividends on our capital stock, if declared) for the foreseeable future, and in any event for at least the next 12 months.

Table of Contents

Debt. In connection with our purchase of the outside 62.0% equity interests in our Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square in January 2011, we assumed \$270.0 million of non-recourse senior mortgage and mezzanine debt which was scheduled to mature in January 2012, and which bore a blended interest rate of 3-month LIBOR plus 115 basis points. We refinanced this debt in October 2011 with a new \$180.0 million non-recourse mortgage which matures in October 2018, and bears interest at a floating rate of 3-month LIBOR plus 325 basis points. The new mortgage requires payments of interest only for the first 24 months of the term, and is subject to a 30-year amortization schedule. In conjunction with this refinancing, we entered into an interest rate protection agreement which caps the 3-month LIBOR rate on the new mortgage at 4.0% until October 2015. We funded the remainder of the repayment of the prior loan with approximately \$90.0 million of our unrestricted cash.

Our purchase of the JW Marriott New Orleans in February 2011 included the assumption of a \$42.2 million floating-rate, non-recourse senior mortgage. The mortgage, which matures in September 2015, has been swapped to a fixed rate of 5.45%, and is subject to a 25-year amortization schedule.

Concurrent with our acquisition in April 2011 of a 75.0% majority interest in the joint venture that owns the Hilton San Diego Bayfront, the joint venture entered into a new \$240.0 million mortgage secured by the hotel. The mortgage bears a floating rate of interest of 3-month LIBOR plus 325 basis points, matures in April 2016 and is subject to a 30-year amortization schedule.

In February 2012, we repurchased \$4.5 million of our Senior Notes for a price of \$4.57 million plus accrued interest of approximately \$13,000.

In February 2010, we elected to terminate our existing \$80.0 million credit facility, and we wrote off \$1.5 million in related deferred financing costs. The termination of the facility eliminated approximately \$0.6 million in fees and associated costs per annum. In November 2010, we entered into a new \$150.0 million senior corporate credit facility (the new credit facility). The interest rate for the new credit facility ranges from 325 to 425 basis points over LIBOR, depending on our overall leverage. The initial term of the new credit facility is three years with an option to extend for an additional one year. Subject to approval by the lender group, the new credit facility may be increased to \$250.0 million. The new credit facility contains customary events of default relating to payments and breaches of representations and warranties, and is secured by pledges of the equity interests in subsidiaries holding 11 of our unencumbered hotels (Courtyard by Marriott Los Angeles, Fairmont Newport Beach, Hyatt Regency Newport Beach, Kahler Inn & Suites, Marriott Quincy, Marriott Portland, Marriott Rochester, Renaissance Los Angeles Airport, Renaissance Westchester, Residence Inn by Marriott Rochester and Sheraton Cerritos).

In November 2010, we entered into a new \$92.5 million non-recourse mortgage on our Hilton Times Square. The new mortgage matures in 2020 and bears a fixed interest rate of 4.97%, with scheduled monthly principal and interest amounts based on a 30-year amortization. The proceeds from the new mortgage were used in part to repay the maturing \$81.0 million mortgage on our Hilton Times Square, which bore an interest rate of 5.915%. Excess proceeds were retained for general corporate purposes. The new mortgage contains customary events of default relating to payments and breaches of representations and warranties.

As of December 31, 2011, we had \$1.6 billion of debt, \$218.4 million of cash and cash equivalents, including restricted cash, and total assets of \$3.1 billion. We believe that by controlling debt levels, staggering maturity dates and maintaining a highly flexible capital structure, we can maintain lower capital costs than more highly leveraged companies, or companies with limited flexibility due to restrictive corporate-level financial covenants.

As of December 31, 2011, all of our outstanding debt had fixed interest rates, except the \$237.8 million non-recourse mortgage on the Hilton San Diego Bayfront and the \$180.0 million non-recourse mortgage on the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square, both of which are subject to interest rate cap agreements. The interest rate cap agreement on the Hilton San Diego Bayfront mortgage matures in April 2013, and caps the 3-month LIBOR rate at 3.75%. The interest rate cap agreement on the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square mortgage matures in October 2015, and caps the 3-month LIBOR rate at 4.0%. The majority of our mortgage debt is in the form of single asset loans. We currently believe this structure is appropriate for the operating characteristics of our business and provides flexibility for assets to be sold subject to the existing debt, and as evidenced by our 2009 secured debt restructuring program, in instances where asset values have declined to levels below the principal amount of the associated mortgage, non-recourse single asset mortgages may limit the degradation in value experienced by our stockholders by shifting a portion of asset risk to our secured lenders.

As of December 31, 2011, the weighted average term to maturity of our debt is approximately 6 years, and 73.4% of our debt is fixed rate with a weighted average interest rate of 5.5%. The weighted average interest rate on all of our debt, which includes the effect of our interest rate derivative agreements based on the variable rates at December 31, 2011, is 5.0%.

Table of Contents

Financial Covenants. We are subject to compliance with various covenants under the Series C preferred stock and the Senior Notes. With respect to our Series C preferred stock, if we fail to meet certain financial ratios for four consecutive quarters, a financial ratio violation will occur. During the continuation of a financial ratio violation, among other things, we would be restricted from paying dividends on our common stock, and may incur a 50 basis point per quarter dividend increase on the Series C preferred stock. Additionally, the Series C preferred stockholders would gain the right to appoint one board member. We do not currently expect to incur a financial ratio violation.

With respect to our Senior Notes, if the maturity dates of more than \$300.0 million of our indebtedness were to be accelerated as the result of uncured defaults, either the trustee or the holders of not less than 25% in principal amount of the outstanding Senior Notes would have the right to declare the Senior Notes and any unpaid interest immediately due and payable. As of February 28, 2012, none of the maturity dates have been accelerated for any of our indebtedness.

Additionally, we may be successful in obtaining mortgages on one or all of our 11 unencumbered hotels which are currently held by subsidiaries whose interests are pledged to our credit facility at December 31, 2011: Courtyard by Marriott Los Angeles, Fairmont Newport Beach, Hyatt Regency Newport Beach, Kahler Inn & Suites, Marriott Quincy, Marriott Portland, Marriott Rochester, Renaissance Los Angeles Airport, Renaissance Westchester, Residence Inn by Marriott Rochester and Sheraton Cerritos. These 11 hotels had an aggregate of 3,357 rooms as of December 31, 2011, and generated \$174.5 million in revenue during 2011. Should we obtain secured financing on any or all of our 11 unencumbered hotels, the amount of capital available through our credit facility may be reduced.

Cash Balance. We currently maintain higher than historical cash balances. By minimizing our need to access external capital by maintaining higher than typical cash balances, our financial security and flexibility are meaningfully enhanced because we are able to fund our business needs and debt maturities partially with our cash on hand. As we believe the lodging cycle has now entered a recovery phase, we expect to deploy a portion of our excess cash balance in 2012 towards debt repayments and repurchases, selective acquisitions and capital investments in our portfolio. During 2011, we selectively deployed a portion of our excess cash balance towards the following acquisitions: the outside 62.0% equity interests in our Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square joint venture; the outside 50.0% equity interest in our BuyEfficient joint venture; the JW Marriott New Orleans; and a 75.0% majority interest in the entity that owns the Hilton San Diego Bayfront. Our acquisition program is aimed at generating attractive risk-adjusted returns on our investment dollars, and therefore we may target lodging assets outside of the typical branded, urban, upper upscale profile represented by our existing portfolio in order to capitalize on opportunities which may arise. Additionally, the scope of our acquisitions program may include large hotel portfolios or hotel loans.

Contractual Obligations

The following table summarizes our payment obligations and commitments as of December 31, 2011 (in thousands):

	Payment due by period									
			Less than 1 to 3 years (in thousands)				3 to 5	More than 5		
		Total				3			years	
Notes payable	\$	1,571,612	\$	53,935	\$	117,096	\$	732,035	\$	668,546
Interest obligations on notes payable (1)		399,558		76,645		146,875		105,581		70,457
Operating lease obligations		436,622		8,624		17,454		17,691		392,853
Construction commitments		25,263		25,263						
Employment obligations		2,533		1,525		1,008				

Total	\$ 2,435,588	\$ 165,992	\$ 282,433	\$ 855,307	\$ 1,131,856

(1) Interest on variable-rate debt obligations is calculated based on the variable rates at December 31, 2011 and includes the effect of our interest rate derivative agreements.

Capital Expenditures and Reserve Funds

We believe we maintain each of our hotels in good repair and condition and in general conformity with applicable franchise and management agreements, ground and air leases, laws and regulations. Our capital expenditures primarily relate to the ongoing maintenance of our hotels and are budgeted in the reserve accounts described in the following paragraph. We also incur capital expenditures for renovation and development. We invested \$100.4 million in our portfolio during 2011. Our renovation budget for 2012 includes \$25.3 million of contractual construction commitments. If we acquire, renovate or develop additional hotels in the future, our capital expenditures will increase.

With respect to our hotels that are operated under management or franchise agreements with major national hotel brands and for all of our hotels subject to first mortgage liens, we are obligated to maintain an FF&E reserve account for future planned and emergency-related capital expenditures at these hotels. The amount funded into each of these reserve accounts is determined pursuant

Table of Contents

to the management, franchise and loan agreements for each of the respective hotels, ranging between 4.0% and 5.0% of the respective hotel s total annual revenue. As of December 31, 2011, \$36.4 million was held in FF&E reserve accounts for future capital expenditures at the 32 hotels. According to the respective loan agreements, the reserve funds are to be held by the lenders or managers in restricted cash accounts, and we are not required to spend the entire amount in the FF&E reserve accounts each year.

Seasonality and Volatility

As is typical of the lodging industry, we experience some seasonality in our business as indicated in the table below. Revenue for certain of our hotels is generally affected by seasonal business patterns (*e.g.*, the first quarter is strong in Orlando, the second quarter is strong for the Mid-Atlantic business hotels, and the fourth quarter is strong for New York City). Quarterly revenue also may be adversely affected by renovations, our managers—effectiveness in generating business and by events beyond our control, such as extreme weather conditions, terrorist attacks or alerts, public health concerns, airline strikes or reduced airline capacity, economic factors, natural disasters and other considerations affecting travel. Revenues for our 32 hotel Comparable Portfolio by quarter for 2009, 2010 and 2011 were as follows (dollars in thousands):

Revenues	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter		Fourth Quarter		Total
2009 Comparable Portfolio (32 Hotels) (1)	\$ 181,421 \$	195,847	\$ 186,7	15 \$	212,115	\$	776,098
2009 Revenues as a percentage of total	23.4%	25.2%	24	1.1%	27.3%)	100.0%
2010 Comparable Portfolio (32 Hotels) (1)	\$ 180,750 \$	204,804	\$ 195,2	20 \$	228,094	\$	808,868
2010 Revenues as a percentage of total	22.4%	25.3%	24	1.1%	28.2%)	100.0%
2011 Comparable Portfolio (32 Hotels) (1)	\$ 189,650 \$	217,626	\$ 207,4	92 \$	240,362	\$	855,130
2011 Revenues as a percentage of total	22.2%	25.4%	24	1.3%	28.1%)	100.0%

⁽¹⁾ Includes all 32 hotels in which the Company has interests as of December 31, 2011. Includes prior ownership results for the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square, the JW Marriott New Orleans and the Hilton San Diego Bayfront for all periods presented, as well as operating results for the Renaissance Westchester while it was held in receivership and reclassified to discontinued operations, prior to the Company s reacquisition in June 2010.

Inflation

Inflation may affect our expenses, including, without limitation, by increasing such costs as labor, food, taxes, property and casualty insurance and utilities.

Critical Accounting Policies

Our discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations is based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (GAAP). The preparation of these financial

statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities.

We evaluate our estimates on an ongoing basis. We base our estimates on historical experience, information that is currently available to us and on various other assumptions that we believe are reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. We believe the following critical accounting policies affect the most significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements.

• Impairment of long-lived assets and goodwill. We periodically review each property and any related goodwill for possible impairment. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of an asset to future undiscounted net cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. If such assets are considered to be impaired, the impairment recognized is measured by the amount by which the carrying amount of the assets exceeds the estimated fair value of the assets. We perform a Level 3 analysis of fair value, using a discounted cash flow analysis to estimate the fair value of our properties taking into account each property s expected cash flow from operations, holding period and proceeds from the disposition of the property. The factors addressed in determining estimated proceeds from disposition include anticipated operating cash flow in the year of disposition and terminal capitalization rate. Our judgment is required in determining the discount rate applied to estimated cash flows, growth rate of the properties, operating income of the properties, the need for capital expenditures, as well as specific market and economic conditions.

Table of Contents

We account for goodwill in accordance with the Intangibles Goodwill and Other Topic of the FASB ASC, which states that goodwill has an indefinite useful life that should not be amortized but should be reviewed annually for impairment, or more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate that goodwill might be impaired, as well as the Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures Topic of the FASB ASC for financial and nonfinancial assets and liabilities, which establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosures about fair value measurements by establishing a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value. The review of any potential goodwill impairment requires estimates of fair value for our properties that have goodwill arising from unallocated acquisition costs. These estimates of fair value are prepared using Level 3 measurements.

- Acquisition related assets and liabilities. Accounting for the acquisition of a hotel property as a purchase transaction requires an allocation of the purchase price to the assets acquired and the liabilities assumed in the transaction at their respective estimated fair values. The most difficult estimations of individual fair values are those involving long-lived assets, such as property and equipment and intangible assets. During 2011, we used all available information to make these fair value determinations, and engaged an independent valuation specialist to assist in the fair value determination of the long-lived assets acquired in our purchases of the outside 62.0% equity interests in the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square joint venture, the outside 50.0% equity interests in the BuyEfficient joint venture, the JW Marriott New Orleans and the purchase of the 75.0% majority interest in the entity that owns the Hilton San Diego Bayfront. Due to inherent subjectivity in determining the estimated fair value of long-lived assets, we believe that the recording of acquired assets and liabilities is a critical accounting policy.
- Depreciation and amortization expense. Depreciation expense is based on the estimated useful life of our assets. The life of the assets is based on a number of assumptions, including the cost and timing of capital expenditures to maintain and refurbish our hotels, as well as specific market and economic conditions. Hotel properties and other completed real estate investments are depreciated using the straight-line method over estimated useful lives ranging from five to 35 years for buildings and improvements and three to 12 years for furniture, fixtures and equipment. While we believe our estimates are reasonable, a change in the estimated lives could affect depreciation expense and net income or the gain or loss on the sale of any of our hotels. We have not changed the estimated useful lives of any of our assets during the periods discussed.

New Accounting Standards and Accounting Changes

Certain provisions of Accounting Standards Update No. 2010-06, Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820): Improving Disclosures About Fair Value Measurements, (ASU No. 2010-06) became effective during our 2011 first quarter. Those provisions, which amended Subtopic 820-10, require us to present as separate line items all purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements of financial instruments valued using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) in the reconciliation of fair value measurements, in contrast to the previous aggregate presentation as a single line item. The adoption did not have a material impact on our financial statements or disclosures.

In July 2010, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2010-20, *Disclosures about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses*, (ASU No. 2010-20). ASU 2010-20 requires entities to provide extensive new disclosures in their financial statements about their financing receivables, including credit risk exposures and the allowance for credit losses. Entities with financing receivables are required to disclose, among other things: a rollforward of the allowance for credit losses; credit quality information such as credit risk scores or external credit agency ratings; impaired loan information; modification information; and nonaccrual and past due information. The disclosures as of the end of a reporting period were effective for interim and annual reporting periods ending on or after December 15, 2010. The disclosures about activity that occurs during a reporting period were effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning on or after December 15, 2010. The disclosures regarding troubled debt restructurings were effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning on or after June 15, 2011. Our adoptions of the various components of ASU 2010-20 did not have a material impact on our financial statements or disclosures.

In May 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-04, Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820): Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs, (ASU No. 2011-04). ASU No. 2011-04 generally provides a uniform framework for fair value measurements and related disclosures between GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS). Additional disclosure requirements in the update include: (1) for Level 3 fair value measurements, quantitative information about unobservable inputs used, a description of the valuation processes used by the entity, and a qualitative discussion about the sensitivity of the measurements to changes in the unobservable inputs; (2) for an entity suse of a nonfinancial asset that is different from the asset s highest and best use, the reason for the difference; (3) for financial instruments not measured at fair value but for which disclosure of fair value is required, the fair value hierarchy level in which the fair value measurements were determined; and (4) the disclosure of all transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. ASU 2011-04 will be effective for interim and annual periods beginning on or after December 15, 2011. We are currently evaluating the impact ASU 2011-04 will have on our financial statements.

Table of Contents

In June 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-05, Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Presentation of Comprehensive Income, (ASU No. 2011-05ASU No. 2011-05 amends existing guidance by allowing only two options for presenting the components of net income and other comprehensive income: (1) in a single continuous financial statement, statement of comprehensive income or (2) in two separate but consecutive financial statements, consisting of an income statement followed by a separate statement of other comprehensive income. Also, items that are reclassified from other comprehensive income to net income must be presented on the face of the financial statements. In December 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-12, Comprehensive Income (Topic 220), Deferral of the Effective Date for Amendments to the Presentation of Reclassifications of Items Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income in Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-05, (ASU No. 2011-12). ASU No. 2011-12 defers the ASU No. 2011-05 requirement that companies display reclassification adjustments for each component of other comprehensive income in both net income and other comprehensive income on the face of the financial statements. Companies are still required to present reclassifications out of other comprehensive income on the face of the financial statements or disclose those amounts in the notes to the financial statements. ASU No. 2011-12 also defers the requirement to report reclassification adjustments in interim periods. Both ASU No. 2011-05 and ASU No. 2011-12 require retrospective application, and are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011, with early adoption permitted. We do not believe that the adoptions of either ASU No. 2011-05 or ASU No. 2011-12 will have a material impact on our financial statements.

In September 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-08, *Intangibles Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): Testing Goodwill for Impairment*, (ASU No. 2011-08ASU No. 2011-08 simplifies how entities test goodwill for impairment. ASU 2011-08 allows entities to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. If a greater than 50 percent likelihood exists that the fair value is less than the carrying amount then a two-step goodwill impairment test as described in Topic 350 must be performed. ASU No. 2011-08 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011, with early adoption permitted. We do not believe that the adoption of ASU No. 2011-08 will have a material impact on our financial statements. Should we perform a qualitative assessment on our goodwill in the future, however, additional disclosures will be required.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

To the extent that we incur debt with variable interest rates, our future income, cash flows and fair values relevant to financial instruments are dependent upon prevailing market interest rates. Market risk refers to the risk of loss from adverse changes in market prices and interest rates. We have no derivative financial instruments held for trading purposes. We use derivative financial instruments to manage, or hedge, interest rate risks.

Our interest payments on 73.4% of our debt are fixed in nature, which largely mitigates the effect of changes in interest rates on our cash interest payments. If market rates of interest on our variable rate debt increase or decrease by 100 basis points, interest expense would increase or decrease, respectively, our future earnings and cash flows by approximately \$4.3 million based on the variable rates at December 31, 2011.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

See index to financial statements included in this report.

Item 9.	Changes in and Disagreements with A	ccountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure
---------	-------------------------------------	---

None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

(a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Based upon an evaluation of the effectiveness of disclosure controls and procedures, our Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and Chief Financial Officer (CFO) have concluded that as of the end of the period covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) or 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act) were effective to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed in our Exchange Act reports is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified by the rules and forms of the SEC and is accumulated and communicated to management, including the CEO and CFO, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Table of Contents

(b) Management s Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act) to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of our financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

Due to its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate due to changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our CEO and CFO, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting using the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission in Internal Control Integrated Framework. Based on its evaluation, our management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2011.

Ernst & Young LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, has audited the Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K and, as part of its audit, has issued its report, included herein at page 53, on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting.

(c) Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the most recently completed fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders

Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc.

We have audited Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011, based on criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management s Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company s internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, and the related consolidated statements of operations, equity, and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2011 of Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. and our report

dated February 28, 2012 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Irvine, California February 28, 2012

55

Table of Contents				
Item 9B.	Other Information	on		
None.				
		PA	RT III	
Item 10.	Directors, Executive	Officers and Corporate G	overnance	
		t forth under the caption Eunder the Exchange Act and		r definitive Proxy Statement, which will be filed reference.
Item 11.	Executive Compensa	tion		
		t forth under the caption Eulation 14A under the Excha		ation in our definitive Proxy Statement, which d herein by reference.
Item 12.	Security Ownership	of Certain Beneficial Own	ers and Management and	Related Stockholder Matters
Officers and Five P the Exchange Act a	ercent Stockholders in nd is incorporated here	our definitive Proxy Staten	nent, which will be filed wing table sets forth certain in	curity Ownership by Directors, Executive ith the SEC pursuant to Regulation 14A under aformation with respect to securities authorized
		Equity Compensat	tion Plan Information	
		Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding awards (a)	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding awards (b)	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under the Long-term Incentive Plan (excluding securities reflected in column a)

			(c)
Equity compensation plans approved by			
the Company s stockholders:			
- 2004 Long-Term Incentive Plan	200,000	\$ 17.71(1)	2,775,769

⁽¹⁾ The weighted-average exercise price is for the 200,000 options outstanding as of December 31, 2011.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

The information required by this Item is set forth under the caption Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Corporate Governance in our definitive Proxy Statement, which will be filed with the SEC pursuant to Regulation 14A under the Exchange Act and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

The information required by this Item is set forth under the caption Ratification of the Audit Committee s Appointment of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm in our definitive Proxy Statement, which will be filed with the SEC pursuant to Regulation 14A under the Exchange Act and is incorporated herein by reference.

PART IV

Item 15.	Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules
(a)(1)	Financial Statements. See Index to Financial Statements and Schedules on page F-1.
(a)(2)	Financial Statement Schedules. See Index to Financial Statements and Schedules on page F-1.
(a)(3)	Exhibits. The following exhibits are filed (or incorporated by reference herein) as a part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K:
Exhibit	
Number	Description
3.1	Articles of Amendment and Restatement of Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the registration statement on Form S-11 (File No. 333-117141) filed by the Company).
3.2	Amended and Restated Bylaws of Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Form 10-Q filed by the Company on August 5, 2008).
3.3	Articles Supplementary for Series A preferred stock (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.3 to Form 10-K filed by the Company on February 12, 2009).
3.4	Form of Articles Supplementary for Series C preferred stock (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3 to Form 8-K filed by the Company on July 13, 2005).
3.5	Articles Supplementary increasing the authorized number of shares of Series A preferred stock (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed by the Company on April 11, 2006).
3.6	Form of Articles Supplementary for Series D preferred stock (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.3 to the registration statement on Form 8-A filed by the Company on April 6, 2011).
4.1	Specimen Certificate of Common Stock of Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the registration statement on Form S-11 (File No. 333-117141) filed by the Company).
4.2	Letter furnished to Securities and Exchange Commission agreeing to furnish certain debt instruments (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the registration statement on Form S-11 (File No. 333-117141) filed by the Company).
4.3	Form of Specimen Certificate of Series A preferred stock of Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the registration statement on Form S-11 (File No. 333-123102) filed by the Company).
4.4	Form of Specimen Certificate of Series C preferred stock of Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.5 to Form 10-Q filed by the Company on June 30, 2005).
4.5	Indenture, dated as of June 18, 2007, among Sunstone Hotel Partnership, LLC, Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc., certain subsidiaries of Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Form 10-Q filed by the Company on August 8, 2007).
4.6	First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of June 18, 2007, among Sunstone Hotel Partnership, LLC, Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc., certain subsidiaries of Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to Form 10-Q filed by the Company on August 8, 2007).

- 4.7 Second Supplemental Indenture, dated as of June 27, 2007, among Sunstone Hotel Partnership, LLC, Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc., certain subsidiaries of Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to Form 10-Q filed by the Company on August 8, 2007).
- 4.8 Third Supplemental Indenture, dated as of July 29, 2008, among Sunstone Hotel Partnership, LLC, Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc., certain subsidiaries of Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Form 10-Q filed by the Company on May 7, 2009).
- 4.9 Fourth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of May 20, 2009, among Sunstone Hotel Partnership, LLC, Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc., certain subsidiaries of Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Form 8-K filed by the Company on May 22, 2009).
- 10.1 Registration Rights Agreement between Security Capital Preferred Growth Incorporated and Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc., dated June 28, 2005 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.23 to the registration statement on Form S-11 (File No. 333-127975) filed by the Company).

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Description
10.2	Form of Master Agreement with Management Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the registration statement on Form S-11 (File No. 333-117141) filed by the Company).
10.3	Form of Hotel Management Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the registration statement on Form S-11 (File No. 333-117141) filed by the Company).
10.3.1	Management Agreement Amendment dated as of July 1, 2005 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10.1 to Form 10-K filed by the Company on February 15, 2006).
10.3.2	Management Agreement Amendment dated as of January 1, 2006 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3.2 to Form 10-K filed by the Company on February 12, 2009).
10.3.3	Management Agreement Letter Amendment dated as of June 1, 2006 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3.3 to Form 10-K filed by the Company on February 23, 2010).
10.4	Amended and Restated Loan Agreement, dated January 31, 2003, between the borrowers named therein and Bear Stearns Commercial Mortgage, Inc., as Lender (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the registration statement on Form S-11 (File No. 333-117141) filed by the Company).
10.4.1	First Amendment to Amended and Restated Loan Agreement, dated February 25, 2003, between the borrowers named therein and LaSalle Bank National Association, as Trustee, in trust for the Holders of Bear Stearns Commercial Mortgage Securities Inc. Commercial Mortgage Pass-Through Certificates, Series 2003-West, as Lender (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5.1 to the registration statement on Form S-11 (File No. 333-117141) filed by the Company).
10.5	2004 Long-Term Incentive Plan of Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc., as amended effective May 5, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to Form S-8 filed by the Company on November 4, 2010).
10.5.1	Amendment to the 2004 Long-Term Incentive Plan of Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc., effective as of December 31, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6.1 to Form 10-K filed by the Company on February 12, 2009).
10.5.2	Second Amendment to the 2004 Long-Term Incentive Plan of Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc., effective as of May 5, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 8-K filed by the Company on May 7, 2010).
10.6	Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. Executive Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Form 10-Q filed by the Company on August 5, 2008).
10.7	Form of Restricted Stock Award Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 8-K filed by the Company on February 23, 2005).
10.8	Form of Restricted Stock Award Certificate (Directors) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Form 8-K filed by the Company on February 23, 2005).
10.9	Form of TRS Lease (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 to the registration statement on Form S-11 (File No. 333-117141) filed by the Company).
10.10	Credit Agreement, dated November 1, 2010, among Sunstone Hotel Partnership, LLC, Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc., each lender from time to time party thereto and Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent and L/C issuer (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 8-K filed by the Company on November 5, 2010).
10.11	Form of Senior Management Incentive Plan of Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.14 to the registration statement on Form S-11 (File No. 333-117141) filed by the Company).
10.12	Form of Employment Agreement with Robert A. Alter (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.15 to the registration statement on Form S-11 (File No. 333-117141) filed by the Company).

- Amendment to Employment Arrangements, dated as of March 19, 2007, between Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. and Robert A. Alter (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Form 10-Q filed by the Company on May 2, 2007).
- Amendment No. 2 to Employment Agreement, effective as of July 21, 2008, between Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc., Sunstone Hotel Partnership, LLC and Robert A. Alter (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 10-Q filed by the Company on August 5, 2008).

58

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Description
10.12.3	Amendment No. 3 to Employment Agreement, effective as of December 31, 2008, between Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc., Sunstone Hotel Partnership, LLC and Robert A. Alter (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.13.3 to Form 10-K filed by the Company on February 12, 2009).
10.12.4	Retirement Benefit Agreement, dated November 15, 1997, by and between Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. and Robert A. Alter (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 10-Q filed by the Company on November 5, 2008).
10.12.5	Amendment No. 1 to Retirement Benefit Agreement, dated December 27, 2006, by and between Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. and Robert A. Alter (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Form 10-Q filed by the Company on November 5, 2008).
10.12.6	Amendment No. 2 to Retirement Benefit Agreement, dated July 31, 2008, by and between Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. and Robert A. Alter (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Form 10-Q filed by the Company on November 5, 2008).
10.12.7	Amendment No. 3 to Retirement Benefit Agreement, effective as of December 31, 2008, by and between Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. and Robert A. Alter (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.13.17 to Form 10-K filed by the Company on February 12, 2009).
10.12.8	Waiver Agreement, dated as of February 19, 2010, between Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc., Sunstone Hotel Partnership, LLC and Robert A. Alter (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.13.8 to Form 10-K filed by the Company on February 23, 2010).
10.12.9	Trust Under Retirement Benefit Agreement, dated July 31, 2008, Related to The Retirement Benefit Agreement with Robert A. Alter (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to Form 10-Q filed by the Company on November 5, 2008).
10.12.10	Split-Dollar Agreement, dated November 15, 1997, by and between Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. and Robert A. Alter (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to Form 10-Q filed by the Company on November 5, 2008).
10.12.11	Stock Option Award Agreement, dated as of April 28, 2008, between Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. and Robert A. Alter (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 10-Q filed by the Company on May 8, 2008).
10.12.12	Amendment No. 4 to Employment Agreement, dated March 22, 2011, between Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc., Sunstone Hotel Partnership, LLC and Robert A. Alter (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 8-K filed by the Company on March 25, 2011).
10.12.13	Separation Agreement and General Release of All Claims, dated November 7, 2011, by and between Robert A. Alter, Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. and Sunstone Hotel Partnership, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 8-K filed by the Company on November 7, 2011).
10.13	Second Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of Sunstone Hotel Partnership, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10 to Form 8-K filed by the Company on July 17, 2005).
10.13.1	Third Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of Sunstone Hotel Partnership, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to Form 8-K filed by the Company on April 6, 2011).
10.14	Employment Agreement (including the Indemnification Agreement), dated as of August 4, 2010, by and between Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc., Sunstone Hotel Partnership, LLC and Kenneth E. Cruse (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Form 10-Q filed by the Company on August 6, 2010).
10.14.1	Amendment No. 1 to Employment Agreement, dated March 22, 2011, between Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc., Sunstone Hotel Partnership, LLC and Kenneth E. Cruse (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Form 8-K filed by the Company on March 25, 2011).
10.14.2	Amendment No. 2 to Employment Agreement, dated November 7, 2011, between Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc., Sunstone Hotel Partnership, LLC and Kenneth E. Cruse (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Form 8-K filed by the Company on November 7, 2011).

Form of Indemnification Agreement for Directors (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.23 to Form 10-K filed by the Company on February 15, 2006).

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Description
10.15.1	Form of Indemnification Agreement for Executive Officers (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.24 to Form 10-K filed by the Company on February 15, 2006).
10.16	Employment Agreement (including the Indemnification Agreement), dated as of August 4, 2010, by and between Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc., Sunstone Hotel Partnership, LLC and Marc A. Hoffman (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Form 10-Q filed by the Company on August 6, 2010).
10.17	Employment Agreement (including the Indemnification Agreement), dated as of February 14, 2011, by and between Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc., Sunstone Hotel Partnership, LLC and John Arabia (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.18 to Form 10-K filed by the Company on February 17, 2011).
10.18	Employment Offer Letter to Robert Springer, dated as of April 14, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 10-Q filed by the Company on May 6, 2011).
10.18.1	Termination and Change in Control Agreement, dated as of April 14, 2011, between Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. and Robert Springer (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Form 10-Q filed by the Company on May 6, 2011).
10.19	Loan Agreement, dated as of April 15, 2011, among One Park Boulevard, LLC as Borrower, Sunstone Park Lessee, LLC as Operating Lessee, Aareal Capital Corporation as Agent for the Lenders, and Aareal Capital Corporation as Lender (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Form 10-Q filed by the Company on May 6, 2011).
10.20	Loan Agreement, dated as of October 7, 2011, among Times Square Hotel Owner, LLC (as Borrower), Times Square Hotel Operating Lessee, LLC (as Operating Lessee), Eurohypo AG, New York Branch (as Agent for the Lenders), and Eurohypo AG, New York Branch and Aareal Capital Corporation (as Lenders) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 10-Q filed by the Company on November 9, 2011).
12	Computation of Ratios of Earnings to Fixed Charges and Preferred Stock Dividends.
14.1	Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. Code of Business Conduct and Ethics (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 14.1 of Form 8-K filed by the Company on November 10, 2008).
21.1	List of subsidiaries.
23.1	Consent of Ernst & Young LLP.
31.1	Certification of Principal Executive Officer (Section 302 Certification).
31.2	Certification of Principal Financial Officer (Section 302 Certification).
32.1	Certification of Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer (Section 906 Certification).
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document *
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document *
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document *
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document *
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document *
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document *

Attached as Exhibit 101 to this Annual Report on Form 10-K are the following materials, formatted in XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language): (i) the Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010; (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009; (iii) the Consolidated Statements of Equity for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 (iv) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009; and (v) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements that have been detail tagged.

The XBRL related information in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, Exhibit 101, is not deemed filed for purposes of Section 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act), or Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), or otherwise subject to the liabilities of those sections, and is not part of any registration statement to which it may relate, and is not incorporated by reference into any registration statement or other document filed under the Securities Act or the Exchange Act, except as is expressly set forth by specific reference in such filing or document.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc.

Date: February 28, 2012

/S/ John V. Arabia John V. Arabia Chief Financial Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the date indicated.

Signature	Title	Date
/S/ ROBERT A. ALTER Robert A. Alter	Chairman Emeritus and Founder	February 28, 2012
/S/ KEITH M. LOCKER Keith M. Locker	Non-Executive Chairman	February 28, 2012
/S/ KENNETH E. CRUSE Kenneth E. Cruse	Director and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)	February 28, 2012
/S/ ANDREW BATINOVICH Andrew Batinovich	Director	February 28, 2012
/S/ Z. JAMIE BEHAR Z. Jamie Behar	Director	February 28, 2012
/S/ THOMAS A. LEWIS, JR. Thomas A. Lewis, Jr.	Director	February 28, 2012
/S/ DOUGLAS M. PASQUALE Douglas M. Pasquale	Director	February 28, 2012
/S/ KEITH P. RUSSELL Keith P. Russell	Director	February 28, 2012
/S/ LEWIS N. WOLFF Lewis N. Wolff	Director	February 28, 2012

Table of Contents

INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SCHEDULES

Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc.:	Page
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	F-2
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2011 and 2010	F-3
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009	F-4
Consolidated Statements of Equity for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009	F-5
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009	F-7
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-9
Schedule II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts	F-38
Schedule III Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation	F-39
F-1	

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders

Sunctone Hotel Investors Inc

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Substone Hotel Investors, Inc.						

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, and the related consolidated statements of operations, equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2011. Our audits also included the financial statement schedules listed in the Index at Item 15. These financial statements and schedules are the responsibility of the Company s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and schedules based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. at December 31, 2011 and 2010, and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2011, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedules, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, present fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated February 28, 2012 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Irvine, California February 28, 2012

SUNSTONE HOTEL INVESTORS, INC.

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(In thousands, except share data)

	December 31, 2011		December 31, 2010
ASSETS			
Current assets:		_	
Cash and cash equivalents (\$1,438 and \$1,365 related to VIEs)	\$ 150,533	\$	276,034
Restricted cash (\$4,833 and \$3,581 related to VIEs)	67,898		54,954
Accounts receivable, net (\$3,001 and \$1,885 related to VIEs) Due from affiliates	32,536		17,285 44
Inventories (\$205 and \$159 related to VIEs)	2,608		2,101
Prepaid expenses	10,272		7,808
Investment in hotel properties of discontinued operations, net	10,272		131,404
Investment in other real estate of discontinued operations, net			896
Other current assets of discontinued operations, net			5,128
Total current assets	263,853		495,654
Investment in hotel properties, net	2,777,826		1,902,819
Other real estate, net	11,859		11,116
Investments in unconsolidated joint ventures			246
Deferred financing fees, net	14,651		8,855
Interest rate cap derivative agreements	386		
Goodwill	13,088		4,673
Other assets, net (\$0 and \$3 related to VIEs)	19,577	_	12,743
Total assets	\$ 3,101,240	\$	2,436,106
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY			
Current liabilities:			
Accounts payable and accrued expenses (\$791 and \$713 related to VIEs)	\$ 26,854	\$	20,889
Accrued payroll and employee benefits (\$1,205 and \$1,123 related to VIEs)	 20,863		12,674
Due to Third-Party Managers	9,227		7,573
Dividends payable	7,437		5,137
Other current liabilities (\$3,408 and \$1,439 related to VIEs)	28,465		16,907
Current portion of notes payable	53,935		16,196
Note payable of discontinued operations			11,773
Other current liabilities of discontinued operations, net			21,600
Total current liabilities	146,781		112,749
Notes payable, less current portion	1,516,542		1,115,334
Interest rate swap derivative agreement	1,567		0.724
Other liabilities (\$0 and \$30 related to VIEs)	11,056		8,724 1,236,807
Total liabilities Commitments and contingencies (<i>Note 15</i>)	1,675,946		1,230,807
Preferred stock, Series C Cumulative Convertible Redeemable Preferred Stock, \$0.01			
par value, 4,102,564 shares authorized, issued and outstanding at December 31,			
2011 and 2010, liquidation preference of \$24.375 per share	100,000		100,000
Equity:	100,000		100,000
Stockholders equity:			
Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value, 100,000,000 shares authorized.			
8.0% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, 7,050,000 shares issued and			
outstanding at December 31, 2011 and 2010, stated at liquidation preference of \$25.00			
per share	176,250		176,250

8.0% Series D Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, 4,600,000 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2011 and zero issued and outstanding at December 31,						
2010, stated at liquidation preference of \$25.00 per share						
Common stock, \$0.01 par value, 500,000,000 shares authorized, 117,265,090						
shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2011 and 116,950,504 shares issued and						
outstanding at December 31, 2010		1,173	1,170			
Additional paid in capital		1,312,566	1,313,498			
Retained earnings		110,580	29,593			
Cumulative dividends		(445,396)	(418,075)			
Accumulated other comprehensive loss		(4,916)	(3,137)			
Total stockholders equity		1,265,257	1,099,299			
Non-controlling interest in consolidated joint ventures		60,037				
Total equity		1,325,294	1,099,299			
Total liabilities and equity	\$	3,101,240 \$	2,436,106			

The abbreviation VIEs above refers to Variable Interest Entities.

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

SUNSTONE HOTEL INVESTORS, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(In thousands, except per share data)

	ear Ended	Year Ended December 31, 2010	Year Ended December 31, 2009
REVENUES			
Room	\$ 572,289	\$ 418,943	\$ 401,920
Food and beverage	196,524	159,365	157,219
Other operating	65,916	46,236	50,173
Total revenues	834,729	624,544	609,312
OPERATING EXPENSES			
Room	144,334	107,788	100,578
Food and beverage	143,120	116,856	115,246
Other operating	26,092	23,265	23,579
Advertising and promotion	41,952	32,225	31,545
Repairs and maintenance	33,766	27,161	26,819
Utilities	31,014	24,527	24,429
Franchise costs	29,115	21,474	20,658
Property tax, ground lease and insurance	63,423	40,980	42,820
Property general and administrative	98,642	74,535	71,019
Corporate overhead	25,746	21,971	25,227
Depreciation and amortization	127,945	92,374	92,457
Impairment loss	10,862	1,943	30,852
Total operating expenses	776,011	585,099	605,229
Operating income	58,718	39,445	4,083
Equity in net earnings (losses) of unconsolidated joint ventures	21	555	(27,801)
Interest and other income	3,118	111	1,388
Interest expense	(82,965)	(70,174)	(75,869)
Gain on remeasurement of equity interests	69,230		
Gain on extinguishment of debt			54,506
Income (loss) from continuing operations	48,122	(30,063)	(43,693)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	33,177	68,605	(225,915)
NET INCOME (LOSS)	81,299	38,542	(269,608)
Income from consolidated joint venture attributable to			
non-controlling interest	(312)		
Distributions to non-controlling interest	(30)		
Dividends paid on unvested restricted stock compensation			(447)
Preferred stock dividends and accretion	(27,321)	(20,652)	(20,749)
Undistributed income allocated to unvested restricted stock			
compensation	(636)	(102)	
INCOME AVAILABLE (LOSS ATTRIBUTABLE) TO			
COMMON STOCKHOLDERS	\$ 53,000	\$ 17,788	\$ (290,804)
Basic per share amounts:			
Income (loss) from continuing operations available (attributable)			
to common stockholders	\$ 0.17	\$ (0.51)	\$ (0.93)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	0.28	0.69	(3.24)
Basic income available (loss attributable) to common			
stockholders per common share	\$ 0.45	\$ 0.18	\$ (4.17)
Diluted per share amounts:			
Income (loss) from continuing operations available (attributable)			
to common stockholders	\$ 0.17	\$ (0.51)	\$ (0.93)

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

Income (loss) from discontinued operations	0.28	0.69	(3.24)
Diluted income available (loss attributable) to common			
stockholders per common share	\$ 0.45	\$ 0.18	\$ (4.17)
Weighted average common shares outstanding:			
Basic	117,206	99,709	69,820
Diluted	117,206	99,709	69,820

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

SUNSTONE HOTEL INVESTORS, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EQUITY

(In thousands, except per share data)

Balance at				_			
December 31, 2008	7,050,000 \$ 176,250	\$ 47,864,654	\$ 479 \$	829,274	\$ 260,659 \$ (347,922)\$	(3,928)\$	\$ 914,812
Net proceeds from							
sale of		42.700.000	407	256 620			257.075
common stock		43,700,000	437	256,638			257,075
Vesting of restricted common stock		290,264	3	4,287			4,290
Common dividends		270,201	3	1,207			1,270
at \$0.60 per share		5,049,157	50	29,006	(29,056)		
Series A preferred							
dividends							
and dividends							
payable at \$2.00 per share					(14,100)		(14,100)
Series C preferred					(14,100)		(14,100)
dividends							
and dividends							
payable at							
\$1.572 per share					(6,449)		(6,449)
Accretion of							
discount on							
Series C preferred stock				(200)			(200)
Net loss				(200)	(269,608)		(269,608)
Pension liability					· //		(11)1 10)
adjustment						947	947
Comprehensive loss							(268,661)

Balance at												
December 31,	7.050.000.0	t 176 050		†	06.004.075.0	060.6	t 1 110 005 ft	(0.040)	ф (207 , 527) (t (2.001)	. ф	006.767
2009 Net proceeds	7,050,000 \$	\$ 176,230		\$	96,904,075 \$	969 3	\$ 1,119,005 \$	(8,949)	\$ (397,527)	\$ (2,981))	886,767
from sale of												
common stock					19,500,000	195	190,447					190,642
Vesting of												
restricted												
common stock					546,429	6	4,150					4,156
Series A preferred												
dividends and												
dividends												
payable at \$2.00												
per share									(14,100)			(14,100)
Series C												
preferred												
dividends and dividends												
payable at												
\$1.572 per												
share									(6,448)			(6,448)
Accretion of												
discount on												
Series C							(104)					(104)
preferred stock Net income							(104)	38,542				(104) 38,542
Pension liability								30,312				30,312
adjustment										(156)		(156)
Comprehensive												
income												38,386
Balance at												
December 31, 2010	7,050,000	176,250			116,950,504	1 170	1 313 408	29,593	(418,075)	(3.137)		1,099,299
Net proceeds	7,050,000	170,230			110,930,304	1,170	1,313,490	29,393	(+10,073)	(3,137)		1,099,299
from sale of												
preferred stock			4,600,000	115,000			(4,052)					110,948
Vesting of												
restricted					214.506	2	2.120					2 122
common stock Non-controlling					314,586	3	3,120					3,123
interest												
assumed at												
acquisition											61,067	61,067
Distributions to												
non-controlling											(1.242)	(1.2.42)
interests Series A									(14,100)		(1,342)	(1,342) (14,100)
preferred									(17,100)			(14,100)
dividends and												
dividends												
payable at \$2.00												

per share									
Series C									
preferred									
dividends and									
dividends									
payable at									
\$1.572 per									
share						(6,448)			(6,448)
Series D									
preferred									
dividends and									
dividends									
payable at									
\$1.472222 per									
share						(6,773)			(6,773)
Net income					80,987			312	81,299
Pension liability									
adjustment							(1,779)		(1,779)
Comprehensive									
income									79,520
Balance at									
December 31,									
2011	7,050,000 \$ 176,250	4,600,000 \$ 115,000	117,265,090 \$ 1,173	\$ 1,312,566 \$	110,580 \$ ((445,396)\$	5 (4,916)\$ 6	0,037 \$	1,325,294

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

SUNSTONE HOTEL INVESTORS, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(In thousands)

December 31, 2011 December 31, 2010 December 3 CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES	
	269,608)
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided	, ,
by operating activities:	
Bad debt expense 630 89	5,976
(Gain) loss on sales of hotel properties and other assets, net (14,995) 382	12,677
Gain on remeasurement of equity interests (69,230)	,
Gain on extinguishment of debt (18,145) (86,235)	(54,506)
Loss on derivatives 2,655	
Depreciation 118,834 100,670	110,642
Amortization of franchise fees and other intangibles 15,069 543	418
Amortization and write-off of deferred financing fees 3,305 3,623	2,673
Amortization of loan discounts 1,062 996	1,813
Amortization of deferred stock compensation 2,745 3,942	4,055
Impairment loss 12,357 1,943	226,145
Equity in net (earnings) losses of unconsolidated joint ventures (21) (555)	27,801
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:	
Restricted cash 13,322 (19,234)	(879)
Accounts receivable (4,161) 4,269	9,903
Due from affiliates 38 18	47
Inventories (316) (163)	290
Prepaid expenses and other assets 2,558 (4,494)	2,008
Accounts payable and other liabilities 5,422 (1,301)	(4,537)
Accrued payroll and employee benefits 2,424 3,829	712
Due to Third-Party Managers (404) (2,449)	(3,942)
Discontinued operations 1,942 (820)	(6,875)
Net cash provided by operating activities 156,390 43,595	64,813
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES	
Proceeds from sales of hotel properties and other assets 44,576 63	64,073
Cash received from unconsolidated joint venture 900	500
Restricted cash replacement reserve (8,143) (931)	(1,823)
Acquisitions of notes receivable (3,950)	
Proceeds received from sale of note receivable 79,194	
Acquisitions of hotel properties and other assets (263,264) (142,410)	
Renovations and additions to hotel properties and other real estate (100,400) (56,984)	(44,105)
Payments for interest rate derivatives (1,082)	
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities (249,119) (203,312)	18,645
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES	
Proceeds from preferred stock offering 115,000	
Payment of preferred stock offering costs (4,052)	
	269,100
Payment of common stock offering costs (9,233)	(12,025)
Proceeds from notes payable and credit facility 460,000 92,500	60,000
Payments on notes payable and credit facility (568,308) (175,175)	(74,406)
	117,450)
Payments of deferred financing costs (9,049) (4,788)	(3,540)

Dividends paid	(25,021)	(20,548)	(27,911)
Distributions to non-controlling interests	(1,342)		
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(32,772)	82,631	93,768
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(125,501)	(77,086)	177,226
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	276,034	353,120	175,894
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	\$ 150,533 \$	276,034 \$	353,120

SUNSTONE HOTEL INVESTORS, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Continued)

(In thousands)

	Year Ended ember 31, 2011	De	Year Ended ecember 31, 2010	D	Year Ended December 31, 2009
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOW					
INFORMATION					
Cash paid for interest	\$ 76,015	\$	65,780	\$	91,407
NONCASH INVESTING ACTIVITY					
Issuance of note receivable	\$ 90,000	\$		\$	
Accounts payable related to renovations and additions to hotel					
properties and other real estate	\$ 8,304	\$	8,739	\$	1,712
Deconsolidation of assets of hotels placed into receivership	\$	\$		\$	60,770
Deconsolidation of liabilities of hotels placed into receivership	\$	\$		\$	101,221
Amortization of deferred stock compensation construction activities	\$ 376	\$	182	\$	188
Amortization of deferred stock compensation unconsolidated					
joint venture	\$ 2	\$	32	\$	47
Forgiveness of interest on note receivable	\$	\$		\$	(1,050)
NONCASH FINANCING ACTIVITY					
Assumption of debt in connection with acquisitions of hotel properties	\$ 545,952	\$		\$	
Assignment of debt in connection with disposition of hotel property	\$ (11,532)	\$		\$	
Issuance of stock dividend	\$	\$		\$	29,056
Dividends payable	\$ 7,437	\$	5,137	\$	5,137

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

SUNSTONE HOTEL INVESTORS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. Organization and Description of Business

Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. (the Company) was incorporated in Maryland on June 28, 2004 in anticipation of an initial public offering of common stock, which was consummated on October 26, 2004. The Company, through its 100% controlling interest in Sunstone Hotel Partnership, LLC (the Operating Partnership), of which the Company is the sole managing member, and the subsidiaries of the Operating Partnership, including Sunstone Hotel TRS Lessee, Inc. (the TRS Lessee) and its subsidiaries, is currently engaged in acquiring, owning, asset managing and renovating hotel properties. The Company may also sell certain hotel properties from time to time. The Company operates as a real estate investment trust (REIT) for federal income tax purposes.

As a REIT, certain tax laws limit the amount of non-qualifying income the Company can earn, including income derived directly from the operation of hotels. As a result, the Company leases all of its hotels to its TRS Lessee, which in turn enters into long-term management agreements with third parties to manage the operations of the Company's hotels. As of December 31, 2011, the Company had interests in 32 hotels (the 32 hotels). The Company's third-party managers included subsidiaries of Marriott International, Inc. or Marriott Hotel Services, Inc. (collectively, Marriott), managers of 13 of the Company s 32 hotels; a subsidiary of Interstate Hotels & Resorts, Inc., manager of 11 of the Company s 32 hotels; Highgate Hotels, manager of two of the Company s 32 hotels; Hilton Worldwide, manager of two of the Company s 32 hotels; and Davidson Hotels & Resorts, Fairmont Hotels & Resorts (U.S.), Hyatt Corporation and Sage Hospitality Resources, each managers of one of the Company s 32 hotels. In addition, as of January 2011, the Company owns 100% of BuyEfficient, LLC (BuyEfficient), an electronic purchasing platform that allows members to procure food, operating supplies, furniture, fixtures and equipment.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, and for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, include the accounts of the Company, the Operating Partnership, the TRS Lessee and their subsidiaries. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated. Non-controlling interests at December 31, 2011 represent the outside equity interests in various consolidated affiliates of the Company.

Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified in the consolidated financial statements in order to conform to the current year presentation.

The Company has evaluated subsequent events through the date of issuance of these financial statements.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (GAAP) requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ materially from those estimates.

Reporting Periods

The results the Company reports in its consolidated statements of operations are based on results reported to the Company by its hotel managers. These hotel managers use different reporting periods. Marriott uses a fiscal year ending on the Friday closest to December 31, and reports twelve weeks of operations each for the first three quarters of the year, and sixteen or seventeen weeks of operations for the fourth quarter of the year. The Company s other hotel managers report operations on a standard monthly calendar. The Company has elected to adopt quarterly close periods of March 31, June 30 and September 30, and an annual year end of December 31. As a result, the Company s 2011 results of operations for the Marriott-managed hotels include results from January 1 through March 25 for the first quarter, March 26 through June 17 for the second quarter, June 18 through September 9 for the third quarter, and September 10 through December 30 for the fourth quarter. The Company s 2010 results of operations for the Marriott-managed hotels include results from January 2 through March 26 for the first quarter, March 27 through June 18 for the second quarter, June 19 through September 10 for the third quarter, and September 11 through December 31 for the fourth quarter. The Company s 2009 results of operations for the Marriott-managed hotels include results from January 3 through March 27 for the first quarter, March 28 through June 19 for the second quarter, June 20 through September 11 for the third quarter, and September 12 through January 1 for the fourth quarter.

Table of Contents

Due to the one less day included in Marriott s 2011 results of operations, the Company estimates it recorded \$1.1 million less in revenue and approximately \$0.3 million less in net income based on the average daily revenues and income generated by its Marriott managed hotels during 2011. Due to the one less day included in Marriott s 2010 results of operations, the Company estimates it recorded \$0.5 million less in revenue and approximately \$0.1 million less in net income based on the average daily revenues and income generated by its Marriott managed hotels during 2010. Due to the one less day included in Marriott s 2009 results of operations, the Company estimates it recorded \$0.2 million less in revenue and approximately \$41,000 less in net income based on the average daily revenues and income generated by its Marriott managed hotels during 2009.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents are defined as cash on hand and in various bank accounts plus all short-term investments with an original maturity of three months or less.

The Company maintains cash and cash equivalents and certain other financial instruments with various financial institutions. These financial institutions are located throughout the country and the Company s policy is designed to limit exposure to any one institution. The Company performs periodic evaluations of the relative credit standing of those financial institutions that are considered in the Company s investment strategy. At December 31, 2011 and 2010, the Company had amounts in banks that were in excess of federally insured amounts.

Restricted Cash

Restricted cash is comprised of reserve accounts for debt service, interest reserves, capital replacements, ground leases, and property taxes. These restricted funds are subject to supervision and disbursement approval by certain of the Company s lenders and/or hotel managers.

Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivable primarily represents receivables from hotel guests who occupy hotel rooms and utilize hotel services. Accounts receivable also includes, among other things, receivables from customers who utilize the Company s commercial laundry facility located in Rochester, Minnesota, receivables from customers who utilize purchase volume rebates through BuyEfficient, as well as tenants who lease space in the Company s hotels. The Company maintains an allowance for doubtful accounts sufficient to cover potential credit losses. The Company s accounts receivable includes an allowance for doubtful accounts of \$0.2 million and \$0.1 million at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Inventories

Inventories, consisting primarily of food and beverages at the hotels, are stated at the lower of cost or market, with cost determined on a method that approximates first-in, first-out basis. In addition, inventories include linens leased to customers of our commercial laundry facilities, which are carried at their historical cost basis, less accumulated amortization.

Acquisitions of Hotel Properties and Other Entities

Accounting for the acquisition of a hotel property as a purchase transaction requires an allocation of the purchase price to the assets acquired and the liabilities assumed in the transaction at their respective estimated fair values. The most difficult estimations of individual fair values are those involving long-lived assets, such as property and equipment and intangible assets. During 2011 and 2010, the Company used all available information to make these fair value determinations, and engaged an independent valuation specialist to assist in the fair value determination of the long-lived assets acquired in the Company s purchases of the outside 62.0% equity interests in the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square joint venture, the outside 50.0% equity interests in the BuyEfficient joint venture, the JW Marriott New Orleans, the 75.0% majority interest in the entity that owns the Hilton San Diego Bayfront, and the purchase of the Royal Palm Miami Beach. Due to inherent subjectivity in determining the estimated fair value of long-lived assets, the Company believes that the recording of acquired assets and liabilities is a critical accounting policy.

Investments In Hotel Properties and Other Real Estate

Hotel properties and other completed real estate investments are depreciated using the straight-line method over estimated useful lives ranging from five to 35 years for buildings and improvements and three to 12 years for furniture, fixtures and equipment.

As of December 31, 2011 and 2010, intangible assets included in the Company s investment in hotel properties, net consisted of the following (in thousands):

	2011	2010
Contractual advance hotel bookings (1)	\$ 26,110 \$	
Easement agreements (2)	12,421	12,421
Ground/air lease agreements (3)	121,850	21,660
In-place lease agreements (4)	4,580	
	164,961	34,081
Accumulated amortization	(15,719)	(1,425)
	\$ 149,242 \$	32,656

Amortization expense on these intangible assets for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 consisted of the following (in thousands):

	2011	2010		2009
Contractual advance hotel bookings (1)	\$ 9,988	\$	\$	
Easement agreements (2)	29		26	37
Ground/air lease agreements (3)	3,978		255	255
In-place lease agreements (4)	299			
	\$ 14,294	\$	281 \$	292

⁽¹⁾ Contractual advance hotel bookings consist of advance deposits related to the purchases of the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square, the JW Marriott New Orleans & the 75.0% majority interest in the entity that owns the Hilton San Diego Bayfront. The contractual advance hotel bookings are recorded at a discounted present value based on estimated collectability, and are amortized using the straight-line method based over the periods the amounts are expected to be collected through April 2013.

⁽²⁾ Easement agreements at the Marriott Del Mar and the Hilton Times Square are valued at fair value at the date of acquisition. The Marriott Del Mar easement agreement is amortized using the straight-line method over the remaining non-cancelable term of the related agreement, which is 87 years as of December 31, 2011. The Hilton Times Square easement agreement has an indefinite useful life, and, therefore, is not amortized. This non-amortizable intangible asset is reviewed annually for impairment and more frequently if events or circumstances indicate that the asset may be impaired. If a non-amortizable intangible asset is subsequently determined to have a finite useful life, the intangible asset will be written down to the lower of its fair value or carrying amount and then amortized prospectively, based on the remaining useful life of the intangible asset.

⁽³⁾ Ground/air lease agreements at the Hilton Times Square, the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square and the JW Marriott New Orleans are valued at fair value at the date of acquisition. The agreements are amortized using the straight-line method over the remaining non-cancelable terms of the related agreements, which range from between 25 and 79 years as of December 31, 2011.

⁽⁴⁾ In-place lease agreements at the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square and the Hilton San Diego Bayfront are valued at fair value at the date of acquisition. The agreements are amortized using the straight-line method over the remaining non-cancelable terms of the related

agreements, which range from between seven and 16 years as of December 31, 2011.

For the next five years, amortization expense for the intangible assets noted above will be \$17.3 million in 2012, \$7.8 million in 2013 and \$4.5 million in 2014 through 2016.

The Company s investment in hotel properties, net also includes initial franchise fees which are recorded at cost and amortized using the straight-line method over the lives of the franchise agreements ranging from six to 20 years. All other franchise fees that are based on the Company s results of operations are expensed as incurred.

The Company follows the requirements of the Property, Plant and Equipment Topic of the FASB ASC, which requires impairment losses to be recorded on long-lived assets to be held and used by the Company when indicators of impairment are present and the future undiscounted net cash flows expected to be generated by those assets are less than the assets carrying amount. If such assets are considered to be impaired, the related assets are adjusted to their estimated fair value and an impairment is recognized. The impairment recognized is measured by the amount by which the carrying amount of the assets exceeds the estimated fair value of the assets. In computing fair value, the Company uses a discounted cash flow analysis to estimate the fair value of its hotel properties,

F-11

Table of Contents

taking into account each property s expected cash flow from operations, holding period and estimated proceeds from the disposition of the property. The factors addressed in determining estimated proceeds from disposition include anticipated operating cash flow in the year of disposition and terminal capitalization rate. In 2011, the Company did not recognize any impairments on its hotel properties, but did recognize an impairment of \$1.5 million to discontinued operations in June 2011 related to its sale in July 2011 of a commercial laundry facility located in Salt Lake City, Utah. In 2010, the Company recognized an impairment of \$1.9 million to impairment loss on an office building and land adjacent to one of its hotels based on estimated proceeds expected to be received from the possible sale of this property. In conjunction with its 2009 review, the Company recorded hotel property impairments totaling \$217.7 million, including \$25.4 million to impairment loss and \$192.3 million to discontinued operations to reduce the carrying values of 11 hotels on its balance sheet to their fair values. In addition, in 2009 the Company recorded an impairment of \$1.4 million to impairment loss related to the write-off of deferred costs associated with a potential time share development, and an impairment of \$0.1 million to impairment loss related to a parcel of land adjacent to one of its hotels, which was sold in June 2009. Based on the Company s review, management believes that there were no other impairments on its long-lived assets, and that the carrying values of its hotel properties and other real estate are recoverable at December 31, 2011.

When an impairment loss is required for assets held for sale, the related assets are adjusted to their estimated fair values, less costs to sell. Operating results of any long-lived assets with their own identifiable cash flows that are disposed of or held for sale are removed from income from continuing operations and reported as discontinued operations. Depreciation ceases when a property is held for sale. The operating results for any such assets for any prior periods presented must also be reclassified as discontinued operations.

Fair value represents the amount at which an asset could be bought or sold in a current transaction between willing parties, that is, other than a forced or liquidation sale. The estimation process involved in determining if assets have been impaired and in the determination of fair value is inherently uncertain because it requires estimates of current market yields as well as future events and conditions. Such future events and conditions include economic and market conditions, as well as the availability of suitable financing. The realization of the Company s investment in hotel properties and other real estate is dependent upon future uncertain events and conditions and, accordingly, the actual timing and amounts realized by the Company may be materially different from their estimated fair values.

Deferred Financing Fees

Deferred financing fees consist of loan fees and other financing costs related to the Company s outstanding indebtedness and are amortized to interest expense over the terms of the related debt. Upon repayment or refinancing of the underlying debt, any related unamortized deferred financing fee is charged to interest expense. Upon any loan modification, any related unamortized deferred financing fee is amortized over the remaining terms of the modified loan.

During 2011, approximately \$9.0 million of deferred financing fees were incurred and paid related to the Company s assumptions of debt on the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square and the JW Marriott New Orleans in connection with the acquisitions of these two hotels, the issuance of a note payable to the Company s Hilton San Diego Bayfront joint venture, the refinancing of debt secured by the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square, as well as costs related to the Company s credit facility. During 2010, approximately \$4.8 million of deferred financing fees were incurred and paid related to new debt and debt refinancing at the Hilton Times Square and Renaissance Baltimore, as well as to the Company s line of credit. Such costs are being amortized over the related terms of the loans.

Total amortization and write-off of deferred financing fees for 2011, 2010 and 2009 was as follows (in thousands):

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

	2	2011	2010	2009	
Continuing operations:					
Amortization of deferred financing fees	\$	3,232	\$ 1,585	\$	1,811
Write-off of deferred financing fees (1)		21	1,585		284
Total deferred financing fees continuing operations		3,253	3,170		2,095
Discontinued operations:					
Amortization of deferred financing fees		10	453		578
Write-off of deferred financing fees (1)		42			
Total deferred financing fees discontinued					
operations		52	453		578
Total amortization and write-off of deferred					
financing fees	\$	3,305	\$ 3,623	\$	2,673

Write-off of deferred financing fees during 2011 includes \$21,000 written off to continuing operations related to the refinancing of debt secured by the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square, and \$42,000 written off to discontinued operations related to the buyer s assumption of debt in connection with the sale of the Valley River Inn. Write-off of deferred financing fees during 2010 includes \$1.5 million written off due to the termination of the Company s credit facility, and \$0.1 million written off related to the release of three hotels from the Mass Mutual loan. Write-off of deferred financing fees during 2009 includes \$0.3 million written off in association with the amendment of the Company s credit facility.

Goodwill

The Company follows the requirements of the Intangibles Goodwill and Other Topic of the FASB ASC, which states that goodwill and intangible assets deemed to have indefinite lives are subject to annual impairment tests. As a result, the carrying value of goodwill allocated to the hotel properties and other assets is reviewed at least annually for impairment. In addition, when facts and circumstances suggest that the Company s goodwill may be impaired, an interim evaluation of goodwill is prepared. Such review entails comparing the carrying value of the individual hotel property or other asset (the reporting unit) including the allocated goodwill to the fair value determined for that reporting unit (see Fair Value of Financial Instruments for detail on the Company s valuation methodology). If the aggregate carrying value of the reporting unit exceeds the fair value, the goodwill of the reporting unit is impaired to the extent of the difference between the fair value and the aggregate carrying value, not to exceed the carrying amount of the allocated goodwill. The Company s annual impairment evaluation is performed each year as of December 31.

During 2011, the Company recorded additional goodwill of \$8.4 million related to its purchase of the outside 50.0% equity interest in its BuyEfficient joint venture.

Based on its annual impairment evaluations for both 2011 and 2010, the Company determined that no adjustments to its goodwill were required. During 2009, in light of the continuing decline in the economic environment, the Company determined that the goodwill associated with six of its hotels was impaired, and, accordingly, the Company recorded impairment losses of \$6.9 million in 2009, of which \$3.9 million is included in impairment loss and \$3.0 million is included in discontinued operations.

As of December 31, 2011 and 2010, goodwill consisted of the following (in thousands):

	2011	2010
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 4,673	\$ 4,673
Purchase of outside 50.0% equity interest in		
BuyEfficient	8,415	
Balance at end of year	\$ 13,088	\$ 4,673

Property, Equipment and BuyEfficient Intangibles

Property and equipment is stated on the cost basis and includes computer equipment and other corporate office equipment and furniture. Property and equipment is depreciated on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful lives ranging from three to 12 years. The cost basis of property and equipment amounted to \$9.4 million at December 31, 2011, and \$8.2 million at December 31, 2010. Accumulated depreciation amounted to \$7.1 million at December 31, 2011, and \$6.5 million at December 31, 2010. Property and equipment net of related accumulated depreciation is included in other assets, net in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

Due to the purchase of the outside 50.0% equity interest in its BuyEfficient joint venture (see Footnote 6), the Company s other assets, net as of December 31, 2011 includes BuyEfficient s intangible assets whose cost basis totaled \$9.0 million related to certain trademarks, customer and

supplier relationships and intellectual property related to internally developed software. These intangibles are amortized using the straight-line method over the remaining useful lives of between seven to 20 years. Accumulated amortization totaled \$0.6 million at December 31, 2011. Amortization expense totaled \$0.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, and will total \$0.6 million for each of the next five years.

Investments in Unconsolidated Joint Ventures

In December 2006, the Company entered into a joint venture agreement to obtain a 38.0% interest in the 460-room Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square in New York City, New York. In December 2007, the Company entered into a joint venture agreement with Strategic Hotels & Resorts, Inc. (Strategic) to own and operate BuyEfficient. Under the terms of the BuyEfficient agreement, Strategic acquired a 50.0% interest in BuyEfficient from the Company. The Company accounted for both of these ownership interests using the equity method until January 2011 when the Company purchased the outside 62.0% equity interest in the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square joint venture and the outside 50.0% equity interest in the BuyEfficient joint venture. Subsequent to these acquisitions, the Company has consolidated the results of operations of both the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square and BuyEfficient with its continuing operations.

Table of Contents

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

As of December 31, 2011 and 2010, the carrying amount of certain financial instruments, including cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash, accounts receivable, accounts payable, and accrued expenses were representative of their fair values due to the short-term maturity of these instruments.

The Company follows the requirements of the Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures Topic of the FASB ASC, which establishes a framework for measuring fair value and disclosing fair value measurements by establishing a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1 measurements) and lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurements). The three levels of the fair value hierarchy are described below:

Level 1 Observable inputs that reflect quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets.

Level 2 Inputs reflect quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in markets that are not active; quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets; inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or the liability; or inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data by correlation or other means.

Level 3 Unobservable inputs reflecting the Company s own assumptions incorporated in valuation techniques used to determine fair value. These assumptions are required to be consistent with market participant assumptions that are reasonably available.

As discussed in Note 7, during 2011, the Company entered into interest rate protection agreements to manage, or hedge, interest rate risks in conjunction with its acquisitions of the outside 62.0% equity interests in the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square and the JW Marriott New Orleans, the acquisition of a 75.0% majority interest in the entity that owns the Hilton San Diego Bayfront and the refinancing of the debt secured by the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square. The Company records interest rate protection agreements on the balance sheet at their fair value. Changes in the fair value of derivatives are recorded each period in the consolidated statements of operations as they are not designated as hedges. In accordance with the Fair Value Measurements and Disclosure Topic of the FASB ASC, the Company estimates the fair value of its interest rate protection agreements based on quotes obtained from the counterparties, which are based upon the consideration that would be required to terminate the agreements. The Company has valued the derivative interest rate cap agreements related to the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square and the Hilton San Diego Bayfront using Level 2 measurements as an asset of \$0.4 million as of December 31, 2011. The Company has valued the derivative interest rate swap agreement related to the JW Marriott New Orleans using Level 2 measurements as a liability of \$1.6 million as of December 31, 2011.

The Company is currently responsible for paying the premiums for a \$5,000,000 split life insurance policy for its former Chief Executive Officer and current Chairman Emeritus and Founder, Robert A. Alter. The Company has valued this policy using Level 2 measurements at \$1.9 million as of both December 31, 2011 and 2010. These amounts are included in other assets, net in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

The Company also has a Retirement Benefit Agreement with Mr. Alter. The Company has valued this agreement using Level 2 measurements at \$1.7 million as of December 31, 2011 and \$1.9 million as of December 31, 2010. The agreement calls for 10 annual installments to be paid out to Mr. Alter, beginning in 2011. As such, the Company paid Mr. Alter \$0.2 million in 2011, which will be reimbursed to the Company in 2012 using funds from the split life insurance policy. These amounts are included in accrued payroll and employee benefits in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

On an annual basis and periodically when indicators of impairment exist, the Company has analyzed the carrying values of its hotel properties and other assets using Level 3 measurements, including a discounted cash flow analysis to estimate the fair value of its hotel properties and other assets taking into account each property s expected cash flow from operations, holding period and estimated proceeds from the disposition of the property. The factors addressed in determining estimated proceeds from disposition included anticipated operating cash flow in the year of disposition and terminal capitalization rate. In 2011, the Company recognized an impairment of \$1.5 million to discontinued operations on its commercial laundry facility located in Salt Lake City, Utah based on proceeds received from its sale in July 2011. Also in 2011, the Company recognized an impairment of \$10.9 million to impairment loss in order to reduce the carrying value of a \$90.0 million note receivable from the purchaser of the Royal Palm Miami Beach (the Royal Palm note) to its fair value based on proceeds received from the sale of the Royal Palm note in October 2011. In 2010, the Company recognized an impairment of \$1.9 million to impairment loss on an office building and land adjacent to one of its hotels based on estimated proceeds expected to be received from the potential sale of this property. When indicators of impairment existed in 2009 and the undiscounted cash flows were less than the carrying value of the asset, the Company used terminal capitalization rates in its 2009 analyses ranging between 8.1% and 9.6%, based on the Company s weighted average cost of capital, a hurdle rate assigned to each hotel to account for a hotel s individual characteristics including, but not limited to, size, age and market supply, and an estimated average annual growth rate.

On an annual basis and periodically when indicators of impairment exist, the Company has analyzed the carrying value of its goodwill using Level 3 measurements, including a discounted cash flow analysis to estimate the fair value of its reporting units. In 2011 and 2010, the Company did not identify any properties with indicators of goodwill impairment. When indicators of goodwill impairment existed in 2009 and the discounted cash flows were less than the carrying value of the reporting unit, the Company used discount rates ranging between 13.0% and 13.8% in its 2009 analyses, taking into account each related reporting unit s expected cash flow from operations, holding period and proceeds from the potential disposition of the property. The factors addressed in determining estimated proceeds from disposition include anticipated operating cash flow in the year of potential disposition and terminal capitalization rate. The Company used terminal capitalization rates in its 2009 analyses ranging between 8.1% and 9.6%, based on the Company s weighted average cost of capital, a hurdle rate assigned to each hotel to account for a hotel s individual characteristics including, but not limited to, size, age and market supply, and an estimated average annual growth rate. The Company s judgment is required in determining the discount rate applied to estimated cash flows, the terminal capitalization rate, the growth rate of each property s projected revenues and expenses, the need for capital expenditures, as well as specific market and economic conditions.

As of December 31, 2011 and 2010, 73.4% and 100%, respectively, of the Company s outstanding debt had fixed interest rates, including the effect of an interest rate swap agreement. The Company s carrying value of its debt secured by properties not classified as discontinued operations totaled \$1.6 billion and \$1.1 billion as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Using Level 3 measurements, including the Company s weighted average cost of debt ranging between 6.0% and 7.0%, the Company estimates that the fair market value of its debt as of December 31, 2011 and 2010 totaled \$1.5 billion and \$1.1 billion, respectively.

The following table presents our assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring and non-recurring basis at December 31, 2011 (in thousands):

	Total December 31, Fa			ir Value Measurements at Reporting			
	2011	Level 1	L	evel 2		Level 3	
Assets:							
Interest rate cap derivative							
agreements	\$ 386	\$	\$	386	\$		
Life insurance policy	1,877			1,877			
Total assets	\$ 2,263	\$	\$	2,263	\$		
Liabilities:							
Retirement benefit agreement	\$ 1,687	\$	\$	1,687	\$		
Interest rate swap derivative							
agreement	1,567			1,567			
Total liabilities	\$ 3,254	\$	\$	3,254	\$		

The following table presents our assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring and non-recurring basis at December 31, 2010 (in thousands):

	Total ember 31,	Foir V	Value Messure	ments at Repor	rting Date	
	2010	Level 1		evel 2	0	Level 3
Assets:						
Other real estate, net (1)	\$ 2,506 \$		\$		\$	2,506
Life insurance policy	1,868			1,868		
Total assets	\$ 4,374 \$		\$	1,868	\$	2,506

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

Liabilities:			
Retirement benefit agreement	\$ 1,868 \$	\$ 1,868	\$
Total liabilities	\$ 1,868 \$	\$ 1,868	\$

⁽¹⁾ Includes the office building and land adjacent to one of the Company s hotels that was impaired and recorded at fair value in June 2010.

Table of Contents

The following table presents the activity recorded for assets measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis using Level 3 inputs during the reporting period (in thousands):

	G	oodwill
Balance at December 31, 2010	\$	4,673
Purchase of outside 50.0% equity interest in		
BuyEfficient		8,415
Balance at December 31, 2011	\$	13,088

The following table presents the gains and impairment charges included in earnings as a result of applying Level 3 measurements for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 (in thousands):

2	011	2010	2009
\$	69,230 \$	\$	
			(25,488)
			(192,286)
			(3,948)
		(1,943)	
	(1,495)		
	(10,862)		(1,416)
			(26,007)
			(3,007)
	(12,357)	(1,943)	(252,152)
\$	56,873 \$	(1,943) \$	(252,152)
	\$	(1,495) (10,862) (12,357)	\$ 69,230 \$ \$ (1,943) (1,495) (10,862) (12,357) (1,943)

⁽¹⁾ Includes the gains recorded by the Company on the remeasurements of the Company s equity interests in its Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square and BuyEfficient joint ventures.

Revenue Recognition

Room revenue and food and beverage revenue are recognized as earned, which is generally defined as the date upon which a guest occupies a room and/or utilizes the hotel s services. Additionally, some of the Company s hotel rooms are booked through independent internet travel intermediaries. Revenue for these rooms is booked at the price the Company sold the room to the independent internet travel intermediary less any discount or commission paid.

⁽²⁾ Includes goodwill impairment losses recorded on discontinued operations.

Other operating revenue consists of revenue derived from incidental hotel services such as concessions, movie rentals, retail sales, fitness services, internet access, telephone, and sublease revenues relating to the restaurants and retail shops, along with any performance guaranties. During 2009, the Company recognized \$2.5 million of a \$6.0 million performance guaranty received from Fairmont. As of December 31, 2009, the Company had fully utilized the \$6.0 million performance guaranty. Other operating revenue also includes revenue generated by the Company s commercial laundry facility located in Rochester, Minnesota, which provides laundry services to the Company s hotels and other third parties in the area, as well as revenue generated by BuyEfficient subsequent to the Company s acquisition of the outside 50.0% equity interest in BuyEfficient from Strategic in January 2011. Revenues from incidental hotel services, performance guaranties (if any), laundry services and BuyEfficient are recognized in the period the related services are provided or the revenue is earned.

Advertising and Promotion Costs

Advertising and promotion costs are expensed when incurred. Advertising and promotion costs represent the expense for advertising and reservation systems under the terms of the hotel franchise and brand management agreements and general and administrative expenses that are directly attributable to advertising and promotions.

F-16

Table of Contents

Income Taxes

The Company has elected to be treated as a REIT pursuant to the Internal Revenue Code, as amended (the Code). Management believes that the Company has qualified and intends to continue to qualify as a REIT. Therefore, the Company is permitted to deduct distributions paid to our stockholders, eliminating the federal taxation of income represented by such distributions at the company level. REITs are subject to a number of organizational and operational requirements. If the Company fails to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, the Company will be subject to federal income tax (including any applicable alternative minimum tax) on taxable income at regular corporate tax rates.

With respect to taxable subsidiaries, the Company accounts for income taxes in accordance with the Income Taxes Topic of the FASB ASC. Accordingly, deferred tax liabilities and assets are determined based on the difference between the financial statement and tax bases of assets and liabilities, using enacted tax rates in effect for the year in which the differences are expected to reverse.

Dividends

The Company currently pays quarterly dividends to its Series A Cumulative Redeemable, Series D Cumulative Redeemable and Series C Cumulative Convertible Redeemable preferred stockholders as declared by the Board of Directors. The Company may also pay dividends on its common stock to the extent declared by the Board of Directors. The Company s ability to pay dividends is dependent on the receipt of distributions from the Operating Partnership.

Earnings Per Share

The Company applies the two-class method when computing its earnings per share as required by the Earnings Per Share Topic of the FASB ASC, which requires the net income per share for each class of stock (common stock and convertible preferred stock) to be calculated assuming 100% of the Company s net income is distributed as dividends to each class of stock based on their contractual rights. To the extent the Company has undistributed earnings in any calendar quarter, the Company will follow the two-class method of computing earnings per share.

The Company follows the requirements of the Earnings Per Share Topic of the FASB ASC, which states that unvested share-based payment awards that contain non-forfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents (whether paid or unpaid) are participating securities and shall be included in the computation of earnings per share pursuant to the two-class method. Distributed earnings representing nonforfeitable dividends of \$0.4 million were allocated to the participating securities for the year ended December 31, 2009. No distributed earnings representing nonforfeitable dividends were allocated to the participating securities for either of the years ended December 31, 2011 or 2010. Undistributed earnings representing nonforfeitable dividends of \$0.6 million, \$0.1 million and zero were allocated to the participating securities for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

In accordance with the Earnings Per Share Topic of the FASB ASC, basic earnings available (loss attributable) to common stockholders per common share is computed based on the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during each period. Diluted earnings available (loss attributable) to common stockholders per common share is computed based on the weighted average number of shares of

common stock outstanding during each period, plus potential common shares considered outstanding during the period, as long as the inclusion of such awards is not anti-dilutive. Potential common shares consist of unvested restricted stock awards, the incremental common shares issuable upon the exercise of stock options and the conversion of the Company s Series C Cumulative Convertible Redeemable Preferred Stock (Series C preferred stock), using the more dilutive of either the two-class method or the treasury stock method.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings (loss) per common share (in thousands, except per share data):

	Year Ended December 31, 2011		Year Ended December 31, 2010		Year Ended December 31, 2009
Numerator:					
Net income (loss)	\$ 81,299	\$	38,542	\$	(269,608)
Income from consolidated joint venture					
attributable to non-controlling interest	(312)				
Distributions to non-controlling interest	(30)				
Dividends paid on unvested restricted stock					
compensation					(447)
Preferred stock dividends and accretion	(27,321)		(20,652)		(20,749)
Undistributed income allocated to unvested					
restricted stock compensation	(636)		(102)		
Numerator for basic and diluted earnings					
available (loss attributable) to common					
stockholders	\$ 53,000	\$	17,788	\$	(290,804)
Denominator:					
Weighted average basic and diluted common					
shares outstanding	117,206		99,709		69,820
Basic and diluted earnings available (loss					
attributable) to common stockholders per					
common share	\$ 0.45	\$	0.18	\$	(4.17)

The Company s shares of Series C preferred stock issuable upon conversion, unvested restricted shares associated with its long-term incentive plan and shares associated with common stock options have been excluded from the above calculation of earnings (loss) per share for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, as their inclusion would have been anti-dilutive.

Segment Reporting

The Company reports its consolidated financial statements in accordance with the Segment Reporting Topic of the FASB ASC. Currently, the Company operates in one segment, operations held for investment. Previously, the Company operated in an additional segment, operations held for non-sale disposition. As a result of deed backs and title transfers, the Company has disposed of all assets and liabilities from its operations held for non-sale disposition segment. Accordingly, all assets, liabilities and the operations from its non-sale disposition segment have been reclassified to discontinued operations.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Certain provisions of Accounting Standards Update No. 2010-06, Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820): Improving Disclosures About Fair Value Measurements, (ASU No. 2010-06) became effective during the Company s 2011 first quarter. Those provisions, which amended Subtopic 820-10, require the Company to present as separate line items all purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements of financial instruments valued using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) in the reconciliation of fair value measurements, in contrast to the previous aggregate

presentation as a single line item. The adoption did not have a material impact on the Company s financial statements or disclosures.

In July 2010, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2010-20, *Disclosures about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses* (ASU No. 2010-20). ASU 2010-20 requires entities to provide extensive new disclosures in their financial statements about their financing receivables, including credit risk exposures and the allowance for credit losses. Entities with financing receivables are required to disclose, among other things: a rollforward of the allowance for credit losses; credit quality information such as credit risk scores or external credit agency ratings; impaired loan information; modification information; and nonaccrual and past due information. The disclosures as of the end of a reporting period were effective for interim and annual reporting periods ending on or after December 15, 2010. The disclosures about activity that occurs during a reporting period were effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning on or after December 15, 2010. The disclosures regarding troubled debt restructurings were effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning on or after June 15, 2011. The Company s adoptions of the various components of ASU 2010-20 did not have a material impact on its financial statements or disclosures.

In May 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-04, Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820): Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs, (ASU No. 2011-04). ASU No. 2011-04 generally provides a uniform framework for fair value measurements and related disclosures between GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS). Additional disclosure requirements in the update include: (1) for Level 3 fair value measurements, quantitative information about unobservable inputs used, a description of the valuation processes used by the

F-18

entity, and a qualitative discussion about the sensitivity of the measurements to changes in the unobservable inputs; (2) for an entity s use of a nonfinancial asset that is different from the asset s highest and best use, the reason for the difference; (3) for financial instruments not measured at fair value but for which disclosure of fair value is required, the fair value hierarchy level in which the fair value measurements were determined; and (4) the disclosure of all transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. ASU 2011-04 will be effective for interim and annual periods beginning on or after December 15, 2011. The Company is currently evaluating the impact ASU 2011-04 will have on its financial statements.

In June 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-05, Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Presentation of Comprehensive Income, (ASU No. 2011-05ASU No. 2011-05 amends existing guidance by allowing only two options for presenting the components of net income and other comprehensive income: (1) in a single continuous financial statement, statement of comprehensive income or (2) in two separate but consecutive financial statements, consisting of an income statement followed by a separate statement of other comprehensive income. Also, items that are reclassified from other comprehensive income to net income must be presented on the face of the financial statements. In December 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-12, Comprehensive Income (Topic 220), Deferral of the Effective Date for Amendments to the Presentation of Reclassifications of Items Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income in Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-05, (ASU No. 2011-12). ASU No. 2011-12 defers the ASU No. 2011-05 requirement that companies display reclassification adjustments for each component of other comprehensive income in both net income and other comprehensive income on the face of the financial statements. Companies are still required to present reclassifications out of other comprehensive income on the face of the financial statements or disclose those amounts in the notes to the financial statements. ASU No. 2011-12 also defers the requirement to report reclassification adjustments in interim periods. Both ASU No. 2011-05 and ASU No. 2011-12 require retrospective application, and are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011, with early adoption permitted. The Company does not believe that the adoptions of either ASU No. 2011-05 or ASU No. 2011-12 will have a material impact on its financial statements.

In September 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-08, *Intangibles Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): Testing Goodwill for Impairment*, (ASU No. 2011-08ASU No. 2011-08 simplifies how entities test goodwill for impairment. ASU 2011-08 allows entities to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. If a greater than 50 percent likelihood exists that the fair value is less than the carrying amount then a two-step goodwill impairment test as described in Topic 350 must be performed. ASU No. 2011-08 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011, with early adoption permitted. The Company does not believe that the adoption of ASU No. 2011-08 will have a material impact on its financial statements. Should the Company perform a qualitative assessment on its goodwill in the future, however, additional disclosures will be required.

3. Investment in Hotel Properties

Investment in hotel properties, net consisted of the following (in thousands):

	December 31,				
		2011		2010	
Land	\$	265,108	\$	237,758	
Buildings and improvements		2,639,867		1,867,786	
Furniture, fixtures and equipment		342,880		251,743	
Intangibles		164,961		34,081	
Franchise fees		1,068		983	
Construction in process		21,562		38,135	
		3,435,446		2,430,486	

Accumulated depreciation and amortization	(657,620)	(527,667)
	\$ 2,777,826	\$ 1,902,819

In January 2011, the Company purchased the outside 62.0% equity interests in its Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square joint venture for \$37.5 million and, as a result, became the sole owner of the entity that owns the 460-room Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square hotel located in New York City, New York. The purchase price included \$13.0 million of unrestricted cash held on the partnership s balance sheet. The Company recorded the acquisition at fair value using an independent third-party analysis, with the purchase price allocated to investment in hotel properties, notes payable and hotel working capital assets and liabilities. The Company recognized acquisition-related costs of \$2.5 million during the year ended December 31, 2011, which are included in corporate overhead on the Company s consolidated statements of operations from the acquisition date of January 14, 2011 through the year ended December 31, 2011. Preferred dividends earned by investors from an entity that owns the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square, less administrative fees, totaled \$30,000 during the year ended December 31, 2011, and are included in distributions to non-controlling interest on the Company s consolidated statements of operations.

In February 2011, the Company purchased the 494-room JW Marriott New Orleans located in New Orleans, Louisiana for approximately \$51.6 million in cash and the assumption of a \$42.2 million floating-rate, non-recourse senior mortgage. The Company recorded the acquisition at fair value using an independent third-party analysis, with the purchase price allocated to investment in hotel properties, notes payable and hotel working capital assets. The Company recognized acquisition-related costs of \$0.4 million during the year ended December 31, 2011, which are included in corporate overhead on the Company s consolidated statements of operations. The results of operations for the JW Marriott New Orleans have been included in the Company s consolidated statements of operations from the acquisition date of February 15, 2011 through Marriott s year ended December 30, 2011.

In April 2011, the Company paid \$182.8 million to acquire a 75.0% majority interest in the joint venture that owns the 1,190-room Hilton San Diego Bayfront hotel located in San Diego, California, which implied a gross value of approximately \$475.0 million. The purchase price included \$3.7 million of unrestricted cash held on the joint venture s balance sheet. The Company recorded the acquisition at fair value using an independent third-party analysis, with the purchase price allocated to investment in hotel properties, notes payable and hotel working capital assets and liabilities. The Company recognized acquisition-related costs of \$0.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, which are included in corporate overhead on the Company s consolidated statements of operations. The results of operations for the Hilton San Diego Bayfront have been included in the Company s consolidated statements of operations from the acquisition date of April 15, 2011 through the year ended December 31, 2011. The remaining 25.0% interest in the joint venture continues to be owned by Hilton Worldwide, and is included in non-controlling interest in consolidated joint ventures on the Company s consolidated balance sheets and in income from consolidated joint venture attributable to non-controlling interest in the Company s consolidated statements of operations.

The fair values of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed at the dates of acquisition for the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square, the JW Marriott New Orleans and the 75.0% majority interest in the entity that owns the Hilton San Diego Bayfront were consistent with the purchase prices of these three acquisitions and were allocated based on independent third-party analyses. The following table summarizes the fair values of assets acquired and liabilities assumed in these three acquisitions (in thousands):

Assets:	
Investment in hotel properties (1)	\$ 907,654
Cash	16,680
Restricted cash	17,105
Accounts receivable	10,060
Other assets	7,473
Total assets acquired	958,972
Liabilities:	
Notes payable	545,952
Accounts payable and other current liabilities	19,558
Total liabilities acquired	565,510
Less:	
Non-controlling interest	61,067
Gain on remeasurement of equity interest (2)	60,501
Total cash paid for acquisitions	\$ 271,894

⁽¹⁾ Investment in hotel properties was allocated to land (\$27.4 million), buildings and improvements (\$700.1 million), furniture, fixtures and equipment (\$49.3 million) and intangibles (\$130.9 million).

(2) Gain on remeasurement of equity interests includes a gain of \$30.1 million recognized on the remeasurement of the Company s equity interest in its Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square joint venture to its fair market value, and a gain of \$30.4 million recognized on the remeasurement of the Company s investment in a \$30.0 million, 8.5% mezzanine loan secured by the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square to its fair market value in connection with the Company s purchase of the outside 62.0% equity interests in the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square joint venture.

F-20

In August 2009, pursuant to the 2009 secured debt restructuring program, the Company elected to cease the subsidization of debt service on the \$29.2 million non-recourse mortgage secured by the 347-room Renaissance Westchester. In December 2009, a stipulation for the appointment of a receiver for the entity that owns the Renaissance Westchester was filed in the New York Superior Court, County of Westchester, and the Company transferred possession and control of the Renaissance Westchester to a receiver, who was operating the property for the benefit of the lender of the non-recourse loan. As such, and in conjunction with the Consolidation Topic of the FASB ASC, the Company effectively transferred control of the asset to the receiver in December 2009, and accordingly deconsolidated the Renaissance Westchester. The Company had no rights to the profit or loss associated with the Renaissance Westchester upon the hotel s appointment to the receiver; however, since the Company was still not released from the non-recourse mortgage, the net liability was still recorded on the Company s balance sheet. On June 14, 2010, the Company reacquired the Renaissance Westchester for \$26.0 million, including \$1.2 million of restricted cash and related costs for a net purchase price of \$24.8 million. In connection with the repurchase of the Renaissance Westchester, the \$29.2 million non-recourse mortgage was cancelled. The Company recorded a \$6.7 million gain on the extinguishment of this debt, which is included in discontinued operations. Operating results for the Renaissance Westchester for 2009, therefore, are included in discontinued operations, whereas operating results from June 14, 2010 forward are included in the Company s continuing operations.

In March 2009, the Company recorded an impairment of \$0.1 million to impairment loss on a parcel of land adjacent to one of its hotels which was sold in June 2009. The Company received net proceeds of \$0.1 million, and recorded a net loss of \$0.1 million on this sale, which is included in interest and other income on the consolidated statements of operations.

In June 2009, the Company performed a review of each property for possible impairment in accordance with the Property, Plant and Equipment Topic of the FASB ASC. In conjunction with this review, the Company recorded an impairment on its Marriott Del Mar of \$25.4 million, which is included in impairment loss on the Company s consolidated statements of operations.

Acquired properties are included in the Company s results of operations from the date of acquisition. The following unaudited pro forma results of operations reflect the Company s results as if the acquisitions of the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square in January 2011, the JW Marriott New Orleans in February 2011, and the 75.0% majority interest in the entity that owns the Hilton San Diego Bayfront in April 2011, along with the reacquisition of the Renaissance Westchester in June 2010, had all occurred on January 1, 2009. In the Company s opinion, all significant adjustments necessary to reflect the effects of the acquisitions have been made (in thousands, except per share data):

	2011 (unaudited)	2010 (unaudited)	2009 (unaudited)
Revenues	\$ 873,505	\$ 821,354	\$ 791,063
Income available (loss attributable) to common stockholders			
from continuing operations	\$ 51,210	\$ (17,292)	\$ (45,138)
Income (loss) per diluted share available (attributable) to			
common stockholders from continuing operations	\$ 0.20	\$ (0.38)	\$ (0.95)

For the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company has included \$169.1 million of revenues, and a net loss of \$0.5 million in its consolidated statements of operations related to the Company s 2011 acquisitions.

4. Discontinued Operations

In April 2011, the Company sold the Royal Palm Miami Beach hotel for net proceeds of \$129.8 million, including \$39.8 million in cash and the \$90.0 million Royal Palm note, and recognized a gain on the sale of \$14.0 million. The Company reclassified the hotel s results of operations for the first four months of 2011, as well as for the period that it owned the hotel during 2010, to discontinued operations on its consolidated statements of operations. The Company retained an earn-out right on the Royal Palm hotel which will enable it to receive future payments of up to \$20.0 million in the event the hotel achieves certain return hurdles.

In July 2011, the Company sold its commercial laundry facility located in Salt Lake City, Utah for net proceeds of \$0.1 million, and recognized a loss on the sale of \$0.1 million. In anticipation of this sale, the Company recorded an impairment loss of \$1.5 million to discontinued operations in June 2011. The Company reclassified the laundry s results of operations for the first seven months of 2011, as well as for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 to discontinued operations on its consolidated statements of operations.

In October 2011, the Company sold the Valley River Inn located in Eugene, Oregon for net proceeds of \$16.1 million, including the assumption of the existing mortgage secured by the hotel which totaled \$11.5 million on the date of sale, and recognized a gain on the sale of \$0.9 million. The Company reclassified the hotel s results of operations for the first 10 months of 2011, as well as for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 to discontinued operations on its consolidated statements of operations.

The Company did not sell any hotels during 2010, but did, however, complete the disposal of 11 hotels pursuant to its secured debt restructuring program, which the Company initiated in 2009: W San Diego; Renaissance Westchester; Marriott Ontario Airport; and the Mass Mutual eight (Renaissance Atlanta Concourse, Hilton Huntington, Residence Inn by Marriott Manhattan Beach, Marriott Provo, Courtyard by Marriott San Diego (Old Town), Holiday Inn Downtown San Diego, Holiday Inn Express San Diego (Old Town), and Marriott Salt Lake City (University Park)). The Company reclassified the results of operations for all 11 of these hotels beginning in 2009 to discontinued operations on its consolidated statements of operations. In addition, in conjunction with its quarterly impairment analyses, the Company recorded \$190.4 million in impairment losses to discontinued operations in 2009 to reduce the carrying values of these hotels to their book values. In June 2010, the Company deeded back the Renaissance Westchester, and subsequently reacquired the hotel from the lender during the same month. The \$29.2 million non-recourse mortgage secured by the Renaissance Westchester was cancelled, and the Company recorded a gain on extinguishment of debt of \$6.7 million to discontinued operations in June 2010. The Company completed the deed back of the W San Diego in July 2010, and title to the hotel was transferred to the lender. In connection with this deed back, the \$65.0 million non-recourse mortgage secured by the W Hotel was cancelled. The Company recorded a gain on extinguishment of debt of \$35.4 million to discontinued operations in July 2010, and removed the hotel s net assets and liabilities from its 2010 consolidated balance sheet. In August 2010, the Marriott Ontario Airport was sold by the receiver, and title to the hotel was transferred to the third party purchaser. In connection with this sale, the \$25.5 million non-recourse mortgage secured by the Marriott Ontario Airport was cancelled. The Company recorded a \$5.1 million gain on extinguishment of debt to discontinued operations in August 2010, and removed the net assets and liabilities from its 2010 consolidated balance sheet. In November 2010, the Company completed the deed back of the Mass Mutual eight hotels, and titles to the hotels were transferred to the lender. In connection with this deed back, the \$163.0 million non-recourse mortgage secured by the Mass Mutual eight hotels was cancelled. The Company recorded a gain on extinguishment of debt of \$39.0 million to discontinued operations in November 2010, and removed the net assets and liabilities from its 2010 consolidated balance sheet. Additional gain of \$19.6 million was deferred until all significant contingencies were resolved. As of December 31, 2010, five of the Mass Mutual eight hotels remained subject to franchise agreements which contained corporate guaranties. If the franchise agreements on these five hotels were to be terminated, the Company was potentially liable for up to \$19.6 million in termination fees. In June 2011, the Company paid termination fees of \$1.5 million related to one of these five hotels, and the franchise agreements on the remaining four hotels were transferred to new owners, resulting in the Company s recording \$18.1 million to gain on extinguishment of debt in June 2011, which is included in discontinued operations.

The Company sold the Marriott Napa Valley and the Marriott Riverside during the second quarter of 2009, and the Hyatt Suites Atlanta Northwest during the third quarter of 2009. The sales of the Marriott Napa Valley and the Marriott Riverside during the second quarter of 2009 generated net proceeds of \$53.5 million and a net loss of \$10.8 million. During the second quarter of 2009, the Company also recorded a net loss of \$2.3 million due to additional expenses incurred related to hotels sold in prior years, including \$1.5 million accrued by the Company in regards to a lawsuit brought against the Company by the buyer of 13 hotels sold by the Company in 2006, and \$0.8 million accrued for various tax audits covering prior years. The Company recorded an impairment loss of \$4.9 million in June 2009 in anticipation of the Hyatt Suites Atlanta Northwest sale in the third quarter of 2009. The sale of the Hyatt Suites Atlanta Northwest generated net proceeds of \$7.8 million and a net gain of \$18,000 during the third quarter of 2009.

The following sets forth the discontinued operations for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 for the two hotel properties and the commercial laundry facility sold in 2011, the 10 hotel properties deeded back to lenders or sold by the receiver during 2010, the Renaissance Westchester held in receivership until its reacquisition by the Company in June 2010, and the three hotel properties sold in 2009, which all met the held for sale and discontinued operations criteria in accordance with the Property, Plant and Equipment Topic of the FASB ASC (in thousands):

	2011	2010	2009
Operating revenues	\$ 18,059 \$	89,652 \$	151,322
Operating expenses	(13,926)	(80,896)	(126,921)
Interest expense	(567)	(17,828)	(23,368)
Depreciation and amortization expense	(1,951)	(8,558)	(18,603)
Impairment loss	(1,495)		(195,293)
Gain on extinguishment of debt	18,145	86,235	
Gain (loss) on sale of hotels and other assets, net	14,912		(13,052)

Income (loss) from discontinued operations \$ 33,177 \$ 68,605 \$ (225,915)

F-22

5. Other Real Estate

Other real estate, net consisted of the following (in thousands):

	December 31,				
		2011		2010	
Land	\$	2,768	\$	2,768	
Buildings and improvements		9,481		9,297	
Furniture, fixtures and equipment		5,904		4,532	
Construction in progress		62		97	
		18,215		16,694	
Accumulated depreciation		(6,544)		(5,766)	
		11,671		10,928	
Land held for investment		188		188	
	\$	11,859	\$	11,116	

In June 2010, the Company recorded an impairment loss of \$1.9 million on an office building and land adjacent to one of its hotels in anticipation of a possible sale.

As of December 31, 2011, other real estate, net included a commercial laundry facility, an office building and a vacant parcel of land.

6. Investments in Unconsolidated Joint Ventures

In December 2006, the Company entered into a joint venture agreement to obtain a 38.0% interest in the 460-room Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square located in New York City, New York. The Company accounted for its ownership interest in the hotel using the equity method, and its accounting policies were consistent with those of the unconsolidated joint venture. During the fourth quarter of 2009, the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square recorded an impairment loss in accordance with the Property, Plant and Equipment Topic of the FASB ASC, reducing the partners equity in the joint venture to a deficit. The Company had no guaranteed obligations to fund any losses of the partnership; therefore, in accordance with the Investments Equity Method and Joint Ventures Topic of the FASB ASC, the Company s impairment loss was limited to its remaining \$26.0 million investment in the partnership. The impairment charge was taken against equity in net earnings (losses) of unconsolidated joint ventures, effectively reducing the Company s investment in the partnership to zero on its balance sheet as of December 31, 2009.

In January 2011, the Company purchased the outside 62.0% equity interests in its Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square joint venture for \$37.5 million, and, as a result, became the sole owner of the entity that owns the hotel. In conjunction with this purchase, the Company recognized a gain of \$30.1 million on the remeasurement of the Company s equity interest in this joint venture to its fair market value, and a gain of \$30.4 million on the remeasurement of the Company s investment in a \$30.0 million, 8.5% mezzanine loan secured by the hotel which it purchased in April 2010 for \$3.45 million to its fair market value. Subsequent to this acquisition, the Company has consolidated the results of operations of the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square with its continuing operations.

In December 2007, the Company entered into a joint venture agreement with Strategic to own and operate BuyEfficient. Under the terms of the agreement, Strategic acquired a 50.0% interest in BuyEfficient from the Company. The Company accounted for its ownership interest in BuyEfficient using the equity method, and its accounting policies were consistent with those of the unconsolidated joint venture. As part of the Company s agreement with Strategic, the cost of BuyEfficient s participation in the Company s Long-Term Incentive Plan continued to be borne solely by the Company. In accordance with the Investments Equity Method and Joint Ventures Topic of the FASB ASC, the Company expensed the cost of stock-based compensation granted to employees of BuyEfficient as incurred to the extent the Company s claim on BuyEfficient s book value had not increased. The Company recognized this stock-based compensation expense based on fair value in accordance with the Compensation Stock Compensation Topic and the Equity Topic of the FASB ASC. The Company recognized stock-based compensation expense for BuyEfficient for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, all of which was included in equity in net earnings (losses) of unconsolidated joint ventures, as follows (in thousands):

	2011		2010		2009	
Stock-based compensation expense	\$ 4	4	\$	49	\$	74

The Company received no distributions from its BuyEfficient joint venture in 2011, \$0.9 million in distributions in 2010, and \$0.5 million in distributions in 2009.

Table of Contents

In January 2011, the Company repurchased Strategic s 50.0% share in BuyEfficient for \$9.0 million. The Company recorded the acquisition at fair value using an independent third-party analysis, with the purchase price allocated to intangibles (which are included in other assets, net on the Company s consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2011), goodwill and other working capital assets and liabilities. In conjunction with this purchase, the Company recognized a gain of \$8.7 million on the remeasurement of the Company s equity interest in this joint venture to its fair market value. Subsequent to this acquisition, the Company is now the sole owner of BuyEfficient, and has consolidated BuyEfficient s results of operations with its continuing operations.

7. Interest Rate Derivative Agreements

At December 31, 2011, the Company held two interest rate cap agreements and one interest rate swap agreement to manage its exposure to the interest rate risks related to its floating rate debt. The first interest rate cap agreement was purchased in connection with the Company's acquisition of the 75.0% majority interest in the entity that owns the Hilton San Diego Bayfront. Concurrent with the acquisition, the joint venture replaced the hotel is \$233.8 million construction loan (which was scheduled to mature in April 2011) with a new \$240.0 million mortgage secured by the hotel which bears a floating rate of interest of 3-month LIBOR plus 325 basis points. The Company paid \$0.1 million for this interest rate cap agreement. The notional amount of the related debt totaled \$120.0 million at December 31, 2011. The interest rate cap strike rate is 3.75%, and the maturity date is in April 2013. The second interest rate cap agreement was acquired in connection with the Company is refinancing of debt secured by the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square. The Company is purchase of the outside 62.0% equity interests in its Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square joint venture in January 2011 included the assumption of \$270.0 million of non-recourse senior mortgage and mezzanine debt with a blended interest rate of 3-month LIBOR plus 115 basis points, along with an interest rate cap agreement which the Company valued at \$0.1 million at the acquisition date. The Company refinanced this debt in October 2011 with a new \$180.0 million non-recourse mortgage which matures in October 2018, and bears interest at a floating rate of 3-month LIBOR plus 325 basis points. In conjunction with this refinancing, the Company entered into an interest rate protection agreement which caps the 3-month LIBOR rate on the new mortgage at 4.0% until October 2015. The Company paid \$0.9 million for this interest rate cap agreement. The notional amount of the related debt totaled \$180.0 million at December 31, 2011.

The interest rate swap agreement was acquired in connection with the Company s purchase of the JW Marriott New Orleans, which included the assumption of \$42.2 million of floating rate debt which was swapped to a fixed rate of 5.45%. The Company valued this interest rate swap agreement at \$0.3 million at the acquisition date. The notional amount of the related debt totaled \$41.5 million as of December 31, 2011. The interest rate swap agreement caps the LIBOR interest rate on the underlying debt at a total interest rate of 5.45%, and the maturity date is in September 2015.

None of the interest rate derivative agreements qualify for effective hedge accounting treatment. Accordingly, changes in the fair value of the Company's interest rate derivative agreements resulted in a loss of \$2.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, which has been reflected as an increase in interest expense. As of December 31, 2011, the fair values of the interest rate cap agreements totaled an asset of \$0.4 million, and the fair value of the interest rate swap agreement was a liability of \$1.6 million.

8. Other Assets

Other assets, net consisted of the following (in thousands):

	December 31,					
		2011		2010		
Property and equipment, net	\$	2,318	\$	1,758		
Intangibles, net		8,476				
Notes receivable		394		3,950		
Other receivables		4,950		4,403		
Other		3,439		2,632		
	\$	19,577	\$	12,743		

Due to the purchase of the outside 50.0% equity interest in its BuyEfficient joint venture (see Footnote 6), the Company s other assets, net as of December 31, 2011, includes BuyEfficient s intangible assets totaling \$8.5 million net of accumulated amortization related to certain trademarks, customer and supplier relationships and intellectual property related to internally developed software. These intangibles are amortized using the straight-line method over the remaining useful lives of between seven to 20 years. Accumulated amortization totaled \$0.6 million at December 31, 2011, and amortization expense totaled \$0.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2011.

In April 2011, the Company sold the Royal Palm Miami Beach hotel for net proceeds of \$129.8 million, including \$39.8 million in cash and the \$90.0 million Royal Palm note. The Royal Palm note was included in other assets, net on the Company s consolidated balance sheet until the Company sold the note in October 2011 for net proceeds of approximately \$79.2 million. In anticipation of this sale, the Company recorded an impairment loss of \$10.9 million in September 2011.

Table of Contents

In April 2010, the Company purchased two hotel loans with a combined principal amount of \$32.5 million for a total purchase price of \$3.7 million. The loans included (i) a \$30.0 million, 8.5% mezzanine loan maturing in January 2017 secured by the equity interests in the Company s Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square joint venture (see Footnote 6), and (ii) one-half of a \$5.0 million, 8.075% subordinate note maturing in November 2010 secured by the 101-room boutique hotel known as Twelve Atlantic Station in Atlanta, Georgia. The Company purchased the mezzanine loan for \$3.45 million and the subordinate note for \$250,000. In January 2011, the Company purchased the outside 62.0% equity interests in the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square joint venture for \$37.5 million and, as a result, became the sole owner of the entity that owns the hotel. In conjunction with this purchase, the Company recognized a gain of \$30.4 million on the remeasurement of its investment in the mezzanine loan to its fair market value. After this acquisition, the mezzanine loan was eliminated in consolidation on the Company s balance sheet until the mezzanine loan was satisfied in conjunction with the Company s refinancing of the debt secured by the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square in October 2011.

In November 2010, the Company purchased the remaining half of the Twelve Atlantic Station subordinate note for an additional \$250,000. In November 2010, the subordinate note was modified to provide for monthly interest only payments of 3.5%, with the remaining interest due at maturity, and the maturity date was extended to November 2012. As the subordinate note was in default, the borrower was required to bring the subordinate note current. As of December 31, 2011, the subordinate note secured by the Twelve Atlantic Station was not in default, however, the Company is accounting for the Twelve Atlantic Station loan using the cost recovery method until such time as the expected cash flows from the loan are reasonably probable and estimable. In 2011, the Company received \$0.1 million from the loan servicer, which was applied to the subordinate note s principal balance in accordance with the cost recovery method. No amounts were received for the subordinate note during 2010.

9. Due to Third-Party Managers and Other Current Liabilities

Due to Third-Party Managers

IHR manages 11 of the Company s 32 hotels as of December 31, 2011. The following amounts make up the net liability owed to IHR in regards to these 11 hotels (in thousands):

	December 31,					
		2011		2010		
Accrued payroll and employee benefits	\$	4,281	\$	3,760		
Workers compensation		928		1,280		
Accrued pension liability		3,810		2,315		
Management and accounting fees payable		208		239		
Reimbursements from IHR				(21)		
	\$	9,227	\$	7,573		

Other current liabilities of discontinued operations, net includes zero and \$0.3 million due to IHR as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Other Current Liabilities

Other current liabilities consisted of the following (in thousands):

	December 31,				
		2011		2010	
Property, sales, and use taxes payable	\$	8,985	\$	6,241	
Accrued interest		5,611		5,261	
Advanced deposits		5,132		2,710	
Management fees payable		4,654		1,025	
Other		4,083		1,670	
	¢	28.465	Φ.	16 007	

10. Notes Payable

Notes payable consisted of the following at December 31 (in thousands):

	2011	2010
Notes payable requiring payments of interest and principal, with fixed rates ranging from		
4.97% to 9.88%; maturing at dates ranging from July 2012 through May 2021. The notes are		
collateralized by first deeds of trust on 19 hotel properties and one commercial laundry facility at December 31, 2011, and 18 hotel properties and one commercial laundry facility at		
December 31, 2010.	\$ 1,091,306 \$	1,071,227
Note payable requiring payments of interest and principal, bearing a blended rate of 3-month		
LIBOR plus 325 basis points; maturing in April 2016. The note is collateralized by a first		
deed of trust on one hotel property.	237,806	
Note payable requiring payments of interest only through October 2013, and interest and		
principal thereafter, with a blended interest rate of 3-month LIBOR plus 325 basis points;		
maturing in October 2018. The note is collateralized by a first deed of trust on one hotel		
property.	180,000	
Senior Notes, with a fixed interest rate of 4.60%, maturing in July 2027. The notes are		
guaranteed by the Company and certain of its subsidiaries.	62,500	62,500
	1,571,612	1,133,727
Less: discount on Senior Notes	(1,135)	(2,197)
	1,570,477	1,131,530
Less: current portion	(53,935)	(16,196)
	\$ 1,516,542 \$	1,115,334

In February 2011, the Company purchased the JW Marriott New Orleans for approximately \$93.8 million. The acquisition included the assumption of a \$42.2 million floating-rate, non-recourse senior mortgage. The mortgage, which matures in September 2015, has been swapped to a fixed rate of 5.45%, and is subject to a 25-year amortization schedule.

In April 2011, the Company paid \$182.8 million to acquire a 75.0% majority interest in the joint venture that owns the Hilton San Diego Bayfront. Concurrent with the acquisition, the joint venture replaced the hotel s \$233.8 million construction loan (which was scheduled to mature in April 2011) with a new \$240.0 million mortgage secured by the hotel. The new mortgage bears a floating interest rate of 3-month LIBOR plus 325 basis points, matures in April 2016, and is subject to a 30-year amortization schedule.

In October 2011, the Company refinanced the \$270.0 million non-recourse senior mortgage and mezzanine debt which the Company assumed in connection with its acquisition of the outside 62.0% equity interests in its Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square joint venture in January 2011. The \$270.0 million non-recourse senior mortgage and mezzanine debt was scheduled to mature in January 2012, and bore a blended rate of 3-month LIBOR plus 115 basis points. The Company refinanced this debt in October 2011 with a new \$180.0 million non-recourse mortgage which matures in October 2018, and bears a floating interest rate of 3-month LIBOR plus 325 basis points. The new mortgage requires payments of interest only for the first 24 months of the term, and is subject to a 30-year amortization schedule. The Company funded the remainder of the repayment of the prior loan with approximately \$90.0 million of its unrestricted cash.

As of December 31, 2011 and 2010, the Company has \$62.5 million in outstanding Senior Notes, which have a maturity date of July 2027 and a stated interest rate of 4.60%. The Company follows the requirements of the Debt Topic of the FASB ASC which states that the liability and equity components of convertible debt instruments that may be settled in cash upon conversion (including partial cash settlement) be separately accounted for in a manner that reflects an issuer s non-convertible debt borrowing rate at the time of issuance. As a result, the liability component is recorded at a discount reflecting its below market interest rate. The liability component is subsequently accreted to its par value over its expected life based on a rate of interest that reflects the issuer s non-convertible debt borrowing rate at the time of issuance, and is reflected in the results of operations as interest expense. Under the guidelines of the Debt Topic of the FASB ASC, the implicit interest rate for the Senior Notes is 6.5% based on the Company s non-convertible debt borrowing rate at the time of issuance. Interest expense for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 includes \$1.1 million, \$1.0 million and \$1.8 million, respectively, in accretion of the Senior Notes. Interest on the notes is payable semi-annually in arrears on January 15 and July 15 of each year. The notes, subject to specified events and other conditions, are exchangeable into, at the Company s option, cash, the Company s common stock, or a combination of cash and the Company s common stock. The initial exchange rate for each \$1,000 principal amount of notes was 28.9855 shares of the Company s common stock, representing an exchange price of approximately \$34.50 per common share. The initial exchange rate is subject to adjustment under certain circumstances, and was adjusted in 2008 as a result of the Company s modified Dutch Auction tender offer, as well as its 2008 year-end dividend consisting of both cash and stock. Currently, the exchange rate for each \$1,000 principal amount of notes is 32.9179 shares of the Company s common stock, representing an exchange price of approximately \$30.38 per common share. The

Table of Contents

Operating Partnership does not have the right to redeem the notes, except to preserve the Company s REIT status, before January 20, 2013, and may redeem the notes, in whole or in part, thereafter at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the notes to be redeemed, plus any accrued and unpaid interest. Upon specified change in control events as well as on specified dates, holders of the notes may require the Operating Partnership to repurchase their notes, in whole or in part, for cash equal to 100% of the principal amount of the notes to be repurchased, plus any accrued and unpaid interest. The notes are the senior unsecured obligations of the Operating Partnership. The Company and several of its subsidiaries have guaranteed the Operating Partnership s obligations under the notes. The notes do not qualify as a derivative or an equity instrument.

In November 2010, the Company entered into a new \$150.0 million senior corporate credit facility. The interest rate for the facility ranges from 325 to 425 basis points over LIBOR, depending on the overall leverage of the Company. The initial term of the facility is three years with an option to extend for an additional one year. Subject to approval by the lender group, the facility may be increased to up to \$250.0 million. The facility contains customary events of default relating to payments and breaches of representations and warranties. The Company drew down \$40.0 million on the credit facility in October 2011 for general corporate purposes, repaying the full amount in the same month. As of December 31, 2011, the Company had no outstanding indebtedness under its credit facility.

In November 2010, the Company entered into a new \$92.5 million non-recourse mortgage on its Hilton Times Square. The mortgage matures in 2020 and bears a fixed interest rate of 4.97%, with scheduled monthly principal and interest amounts based on a 30-year amortization. The proceeds from the mortgage were used in part to repay the maturing \$81.0 million mortgage secured by the Company s Hilton Times Square, which bore an interest rate of 5.915%. Excess proceeds were retained for general corporate purposes. The mortgage contains customary events of default relating to payments and breaches of representations and warranties.

Total interest incurred and expensed on the notes payable is as follows (in thousands):

	2011	2010		20	009
Interest expense	\$ 75,995	\$	64,813	\$	71,282
Interest expense default rate (1)			884		472
Loss on derivatives	2,655				
Accretion of Senior Notes	1,062		996		1,813
Amortization of deferred financing fees	3,232		1,585		1,811
Write-off of deferred financing fees	21		1,585		284
Loan penalties and fees (1)			311		207
	\$ 82,965	\$	70,174	\$	75,869

⁽¹⁾ The default rate interest expense and the loan penalties and fees were incurred due to the Company s elective default on the Mass Mutual loan.

Aggregate future principal maturities and amortization of notes payable at December 31, 2011, are as follows (in thousands):

2012	\$ 53,935
2013	86,649

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

2014	30,447
2015	259,146
2016	472,889
Thereafter	668,546
Total	\$ 1,571,612

11. Income Taxes

The Company has elected to be taxed as a REIT under the Code. As a REIT the Company generally will not be subject to corporate level federal income taxes on net income it distributes to its stockholders. The Company may be subject to certain state and local taxes on its income and property and to federal income and excise taxes on its undistributed taxable income. Taxable income from non-REIT activities managed through taxable REIT subsidiaries is subject to federal, state and local taxes.

The Company leases its hotels to wholly owned TRSs that are subject to federal and state income taxes. The Company accounts for income taxes in accordance with the provisions of the Income Taxes Topic of the FASB ASC, which requires the Company to account for income taxes using the asset and liability method, under which deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between GAAP carrying amounts and their respective tax bases.

Table of Contents

The income tax benefit (provision) included in the consolidated financial statements is as follows (in thousands):

	Dece	r Ended mber 31, 2011	Year Ended December 31, 2010	Year Ended December 31, 2009
Current:				
Federal	\$	\$	\$	3
State				
Deferred:				
Federal		345	4,187	5,177
State		96	1,069	1,317
		441	5,256	6,494
Valuation allowance		(441)	(5,256)	(6,494)
Provision for income taxes	\$	\$	\$	3

The tax effects of temporary differences giving rise to the deferred tax assets (liabilities) are as follows (in thousands):

	December 31,				
		2011		2010	
NOL carryover	\$	39,097	\$	36,636	
Other reserves		3,035		1,848	
State taxes and other		(1,725)		1,582	
Deferred tax asset before valuation allowance		40,407		40,066	
Depreciation		(50)		(151)	
Deferred tax liability before valuation allowance		(50)		(151)	
Deferred tax assets (liabilities), net		40,357		39,915	
Valuation allowance		(40,357)		(39,915)	
	\$		\$		

The Company has provided a valuation allowance against its deferred tax asset at December 31, 2011 and 2010. The valuation allowance is due to the uncertainty of realizing the Company s historical operating losses. Accordingly, no provision or benefit for income taxes is reflected in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

At December 31, 2011 and 2010, net operating loss carryforwards for federal income tax purposes total approximately \$96.1 million and \$93.0 million, respectively. These losses, which begin to expire in 2019, are available to offset future income through 2030.

Characterization of Distributions

For income tax purposes, distributions paid consist of ordinary income, capital gains, return of capital or a combination thereof. For the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, distributions paid per share were characterized as follows (unaudited):

		2011	~		2010	~	2009	~
Camara Starla		Amount	%		Amount	%	Amount	%
Common Stock:	Ф		C)	т		α¢		%
Ordinary income	\$		%	\$		%\$		%
Capital gain								
Return of capital	Φ.			<i>,</i>		or di		~
Total	\$		%	6 \$		%\$		%
5 6 16 1 6 1								
Preferred Stock Series A	_							
Ordinary income	\$	2.500	100.000%	\$	1.010	67.321% \$	2.000	100.000%
Capital gain								
Return of capital					0.490	32.679		
Total	\$	2.500	100.000%	\$	1.500	100.000% \$	2.000	100.000%
Preferred Stock Series C								
Ordinary income	\$	1.965	100.000%	\$	0.794	67.321% \$	1.572	100.000%
Capital gain								
Return of capital					0.385	32.679		
Total	\$	1.965	100.000%	\$	1.179	100.000% \$	1.572	100.000%
	·							
Preferred Stock Series D								
Ordinary income	\$	1.472	100.000%	\$		%\$		%
Capital gain				_		7- 4		
Return of capital								
Total	\$	1.472	100.000%	\$		%\$		%
1 Otal	Ψ	1.7/2	100.000 /0	Ψ		/υ φ		70

12. Series C Cumulative Convertible Redeemable Preferred Stock

In July 2005, the Company sold 4,102,564 shares of Series C preferred stock with a liquidation preference of \$24.375 per share to Security Capital Preferred Growth, Incorporated, an investment vehicle advised by Security Capital Research & Management Incorporated, for gross proceeds of \$99.0 million, or \$24.13 per share, which included a 1% discount to the conversion price/liquidation preference. Other costs of the offering totaled \$130,000. The net proceeds were used to partially finance the Company s acquisition of six Renaissance hotels. As a result of the Company s stock dividend paid in January 2009, the Series C conversion price was adjusted to \$22.23 per share. Each share of the Series C preferred stock is convertible into 1.096 shares of the Company s common stock at the option of the holder, subject to customary antidilution provisions, including stock splits, stock dividends, non-cash distributions and above-market issuer self-tender or exchange offers. As of July 8, 2010, the Series C preferred stock is redeemable at the Company s option, in whole or in part, at any time or from time to time, for cash at a redemption price of \$24.375 per share, plus accrued and unpaid dividends up to and including the redemption date. The holders of the Series C preferred stock have the right to require the Company to redeem the Series C preferred stock in the event of any of the following: (1) a change in control of the Company, if certain conditions are not met; (2) a REIT termination event; or, (3) a termination of the Company s listing on either the New York Stock Exchange or NASDAQ. In general, holders of Series C preferred stock vote on an as-converted basis as a single class with holders of the Company s common stock. The quarterly dividend on the Series C preferred stock is currently \$0.393 per share. The holders are eligible to receive a participating dividend to the extent the Company s dividend on its common stock exceeds \$0.339 per share. The holders are eligible to receive a participating dividend to the

Series C preferred stock. During the continuation of a financial ratio violation, among other things, the Company would be restricted from paying dividends on its common stock, and may incur a 50 basis point per quarter dividend increase on the Series C preferred stock. Additionally, the Series C preferred stockholders would gain the right to appoint one board member. The Company currently does not expect to incur a financial ratio violation as it expects to meet its covenants. The Series C preferred stock has no maturity date and, except as set forth above, the Company is not required to redeem the Series C preferred stock at any time. As the Series C preferred stockholders may redeem their shares in certain circumstances outside of the control of the Company, the Series C preferred stock has not been classified as permanent equity.

The initial carrying value of the Series C preferred stock was recorded at its sales price less costs to issue on the date of issuance. This carrying value was periodically adjusted so that the carrying value equals the redemption value on the redemption date, which is the earliest date available for the Company to redeem the Series C preferred stock. The carrying value may also be periodically adjusted for any accrued and unpaid dividends. The initial carrying value of the Series C preferred stock was fully accreted to its redemption value during the third quarter of 2010, resulting in a carrying value of \$100.0 million at both December 31, 2011 and 2010.

Table of Contents

13. Stockholders Equity

Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock

In March 2005, the Company sold an aggregate of 4,850,000 shares of 8.0% Series A and B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock (Series A preferred stock and Series B preferred stock, respectively) with a liquidation preference of \$25.00 per share for gross proceeds of \$121.3 million. Underwriting and other costs of the offering totaled \$3.8 million. Net proceeds of \$117.5 million were contributed to the Operating Partnership in exchange for preferred membership units with economic terms substantially identical to the Series A and B preferred stock. Subsequent to this offering, the shares of Series B preferred stock were exchanged for an equivalent number of shares of Series A preferred stock. The net proceeds were used to reduce borrowings under the Company's credit facility in existence at the time and for acquisitions. As of March 17, 2010, the Series A preferred stock is redeemable at the Company's option, in whole or in part, at any time or from time to time, for cash at a redemption price of \$25.00 per share, plus accrued and unpaid dividends up to and including the redemption date. Holders of Series A preferred stock generally have no voting rights. However, if the Company is in arrears on dividends on the Series A preferred stock for six or more quarterly periods, whether or not consecutive, holders of the Series A preferred stock will be entitled to vote at its next annual meeting and each subsequent annual meeting of stockholders for the election of two additional directors to serve on the Company's board of directors until all unpaid dividends and the dividend for the then-current period with respect to the Series A preferred stock have been paid or declared and a sum sufficient for the payment thereof set aside for payment. As of December 31, 2011, the Company is not required to redeem the Series A preferred stock at any time.

In April 2006, the Company sold an additional 2,200,000 shares of Series A preferred stock with a liquidation preference of \$25.00 per share for gross proceeds of \$55.0 million. The proceeds to the Company, net of offering costs, were \$54.2 million, and were used together with proceeds of certain debt refinancings to repay the Company s term loan facility.

Series D Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock

In April 2011, the Company sold an aggregate of 4,600,000 shares, including the full exercise of the underwriters overallotment option, of 8.0% Series D Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock (Series D preferred stock) with a liquidation preference of \$25.00 per share for gross proceeds of \$115.0 million. Underwriting and other costs of the offering totaled \$4.1 million. Net proceeds of \$110.9 million were contributed to the Operating Partnership in exchange for preferred membership units with economic terms substantially identical to the Series D preferred stock. The proceeds were used to partially fund the Company s acquisition of the 75.0% majority interest in the entity that owns the Hilton San Diego Bayfront. On or after April 6, 2016, the Series D preferred stock will be redeemable at the Company's option, in whole or in part, at any time or from time to time, for cash at a redemption price of \$25.00 per share, plus accrued and unpaid dividends up to, but not including, the redemption date. Upon the occurrence of a change of control of the Company, (i) the Company may, at its option, redeem the Series D preferred stock in whole or in part and within 120 days after the first date on which such change of control occurred, by paying \$25.00 per share, plus any accrued and unpaid dividends to, but not including, the redemption date, and (ii) holders of Series D preferred stock will have the right (unless, prior to the change of control conversion date, the Company has provided or provides notice of its election to redeem the Series D preferred stock) to convert some or all of their shares of Series D preferred stock into shares of the Company s common stock. Holders of Series D preferred stock generally have no voting rights. However, if the Company is in arrears on dividends on the Series D preferred stock for six or more quarterly periods, whether or not consecutive, holders of the Series D preferred stock will be entitled to vote at its next annual meeting and each subsequent annual meeting of stockholders for the election of two additional directors to serve on the Company s board of directors until all unpaid dividends and the dividend for the then-current period with respect to the Series D preferred stock have been paid or declared and a sum sufficient for the payment thereof set aside for payment. The Series D preferred stock has no maturity date and the Company is not required to redeem the Series D preferred stock at any time, unless the Company decides, at its option, to exercise its redemption right or, under

circumstances where the holders of Series D preferred stock decide to convert the Series D preferred stock. If the Company does not exercise its right to redeem the Series D preferred stock upon a change of control, holders of the Series D preferred stock have the right to convert some or all of their shares into a number of the Company s common shares based on a defined formula subject to a cap of 22,571,280 common shares.

Common Stock

In May 2009, the Company issued 20,700,000 shares of its common stock, including the underwriters—over-allotment of 2,700,000 shares, for net proceeds of \$98.7 million. The Company used the net proceeds from this equity offering for working capital and other general corporate purposes, including the replacement of cash used in the repurchase of the Senior Notes and the repayment of \$60.0 million outstanding on its credit facility in existence at the time.

In October 2009, the Company issued 23,000,000 shares of its common stock, including the underwriters over-allotment of 3,000,000 shares, for net proceeds of approximately \$158.4 million. The Company used a portion of the net proceeds from this equity offering for working capital and other general corporate purposes, as well as the reacquisition of the Renaissance Westchester in June 2010 and the acquisition of the Royal Palm Miami Beach in August 2010.

F-30

Table of Contents

In November 2010, the Company issued 19,500,000 shares of its common stock for net proceeds of approximately \$190.6 million. The Company used a portion of these proceeds to fund the purchase of the outside 62.0% interests in its Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square joint venture in January 2011, the purchase of the outside 50.0% equity interest in its BuyEfficient joint venture in January 2011, the purchase of the JW Marriott New Orleans in February 2011, the purchase of a 75.0% majority interest in the entity that owns the Hilton San Diego Bayfront in April 2011, and for growth capital expenditures and other general corporate purposes, including working capital.

Dividends

The Company declared dividends per share on its Series A preferred stock, Series D preferred stock and common stock during 2011, 2010 and 2009 as follows:

	2011	2010	20	09
Series A preferred stock	\$ 2.000	\$ 2.000	\$	2.000
Series D preferred stock	1.472			
Common stock - cash				
Common stock - stock				
	\$ 3.472	\$ 2.000	\$	2.000

2009 Repurchase Program and Senior Notes Tender Offer

On December 11, 2008, the Company s board of directors authorized a \$200.0 million program (the 2009 Repurchase Program) to repurchase common stock, Series A preferred stock, Series C preferred stock, or Senior Notes, or to repay secured debt on or prior to December 31, 2009. As of the expiration of the 2009 Repurchase Program on December 31, 2009, the Company had repurchased \$187.5 million in aggregate principal amount of the Senior Notes for \$119.8 million through open market purchases and the Senior Notes Tender Offer, including \$2.4 million in interest, \$1.9 million in related consents and \$0.6 million in fees and costs of the Senior Notes Tender Offer and consent solicitation discussed above.

Operating Partnership Units

As of December 31, 2011, the Operating Partnership had 117,265,090 units outstanding, all of which are held by the Company.

14. Long-Term Incentive Plan

Stock Grants

The Company has a Long-Term Incentive Plan (LTIP) which provides for the granting to directors, officers and eligible employees of incentive or nonqualified share options, restricted shares, deferred shares, share purchase rights and share appreciation rights in tandem with options, or any combination thereof. The Company has reserved 6,050,000 common shares for issuance under the LTIP, and 2,775,769 shares remain available for future issuance as of December 31, 2011.

Restricted shares and restricted share units granted pursuant to the Company s Long-Term Incentive Plan generally vest over periods from one to five years from the date of grant. In August 2011, the Company granted both time-based and performance-based shares to Kenneth E. Cruse upon Mr. Cruse s appointment as the Company s Chief Executive Officer. The time-based shares, representing 60.0% of the total shares granted, will vest on a pro-rata basis commencing on the third anniversary of the grant date, and will vest in equal amounts on each of the third, fourth and fifth anniversary of the grant date. The remaining 40.0% of the total shares granted to Mr. Cruse are subject to performance- or market-based, cliff vesting on the fifth anniversary of the grant date, depending on the satisfaction of three measures: the Company s total stockholder return (TSR); the Company s TSR relative to companies in the NAREIT Equity Index; and the ratio of the Company s total net debt to the Company s adjusted EBITDA.

Compensation expense related to awards of restricted shares, restricted share units and performance shares are measured at fair value on the date of grant and amortized over the relevant requisite service period or derived service period.

The Company s compensation expense and forfeitures related to these restricted shares, restricted share units and performance awards for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 were as follows (in thousands):

	2011	2010	2009
Compensation expense	\$ 4,135	\$ 5,811	\$ 5,621
Forfeiture expense (credit) adjustments	\$ 134	\$ (48)	\$ 43

Table of Contents

In December 2010, the Company recorded \$1.7 million in stock compensation and amortization expense related to the departure of its former Chief Executive Officer, Arthur L. Buser.

The following is a summary of non-vested stock grant activity:

	20	011	Weighted	2	2010	Weighted	2	009	Weighted
			Average			Average			Average
	Shares		Price	Shares		Price	Shares		Price
Outstanding at beginning of									
year	668,934	\$	7.70	1,301,451	\$	7.68	692,767	\$	17.93
Granted	1,260,845		9.10	438,665		9.06	1,073,084		4.58
Vested	(428,935)		8.85	(767,052)		8.84	(400,647)		17.15
Forfeited	(93,692)		8.43	(304,130)		6.71	(63,753)		7.36
Outstanding at end of year	1,407,152		8.55	668,934		7.70	1,301,451		7.68

At December 31, 2011, there were no deferred shares, share purchase rights, or share appreciation rights issued or outstanding under the LTIP.

Stock Options

In April 2008, the Compensation Committee of the Company s board of directors approved a grant of 200,000 non-qualified stock options (the Options) to Robert A. Alter, the Company s former Chief Executive Officer and current Chairman Emeritus and Founder. The Options fully vested in April 2009, and will expire in April 2018. The exercise price of the Options is \$17.71 per share.

The initial fair value of the Options was \$0.7 million, and was estimated using a binomial option pricing model with the following assumptions:

Expected dividend yield	7.90%
Risk-free interest rate	3.29%
Expected volatility	26.90%
Expected life (in years)	5.5

The expected life was calculated using the simplified method as outlined in the Securities and Exchange Commission s Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 107.

15. Commitments and Contingencies

Management Agreements

Management agreements with the Company s third-party hotel managers require the Company to pay between 2% and 3.5% of total revenue of the managed hotels to the third-party managers each month as a basic management fee. Total basic management fees incurred by the Company during the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 were included in the Company s consolidated statements of operations as follows (in thousands):

	20	11	2010	2009
Continuing operations property general				
and administrative expense, and corporate				
overhead expense	\$	21,902	\$ 15,707	\$ 15,160
Discontinued operations		290	2,011	3,608
	\$	22,192	\$ 17,718	\$ 18,768

In addition to basic management fees, provided that certain operating thresholds are met, the Company may also be required to pay certain of its third-party managers incentive management fees. Total incentive management fees incurred by the Company were \$3.6 million, \$3.0 million and \$2.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively, all of which were included in property general and administrative expense.

License and Franchise Agreements

The Company has entered into license and franchise agreements related to certain of its hotel properties. The license and franchise agreements require the Company to, among other things, pay monthly fees that are calculated based on specified percentages of certain revenues. The license and franchise agreements generally contain specific standards for, and restrictions and limitations on, the operation and maintenance of the hotels which are established by the franchisors to maintain uniformity in the system created by each such franchisor. Such standards generally regulate the appearance of the hotel, quality and type of goods and services offered, signage and protection of trademarks. Compliance with such standards may from time to time require the Company to make significant expenditures for capital improvements.

Total license and franchise costs incurred by the Company during the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 were \$29.1 million, \$26.1 million, and \$28.7 million, respectively, of which royalties totaled \$8.8 million, \$9.2 million, and \$10.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The remaining costs included advertising, reservation and priority club assessments. Total license and franchise costs incurred by the Company during the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 were included in the Company s consolidated statements of operations as follows (in thousands):

	2011	2010	2009
Continuing operations franchise costs	\$ 29,115	\$ 21,474	\$ 20,658
Discontinued operations		4,665	7,995
	\$ 29,115	\$ 26,139	\$ 28,653

Several of the Company s franchise agreements contain corporate guaranties. In the event of a default under any of these franchise agreements, the Company may be liable for termination fees. Upon deed back of the Mass Mutual eight hotels in November 2010 pursuant to the 2009 secured debt restructuring program, five of the Mass Mutual eight hotels remained subject to franchise agreements which contained corporate guaranties. If the franchise agreements on these five hotels were to be terminated, the Company was potentially liable for up to \$19.6 million in termination fees. In June 2011, the Company paid \$1.5 million in termination fees on the Residence Inn by Marriott Manhattan Beach. All contingencies relating to the remaining \$18.1 million in termination fees were resolved in June 2011, and the Company recorded a gain on extinguishment of debt of \$18.1 million to discontinued operations in June 2011. The Company no longer has any financial liabilities related to the Mass Mutual debt restructuring.

Renovation and Construction Commitments

At December 31, 2011, the Company had various contracts outstanding with third parties in connection with the renovation of certain of its hotel properties aimed at maintaining the appearance and quality of its hotels. The remaining commitments under these contracts at December 31, 2011 totaled \$25.3 million.

Ground and Operating Leases

At December 31, 2011, nine of the Company s 32 hotels were obligated to unaffiliated parties under the terms of eight ground leases and three air leases, which mature from dates ranging from 2037 through 2096, excluding renewal options. One of the air leases requires a payment of \$1.00 annually, which the Company has paid in full for the life of the lease. At both December 31, 2010 and 2009, six of the Company s 32 hotels were obligated to unaffiliated parties under the terms of five ground leases and one air lease. Total rent expense incurred pursuant to ground and air lease agreements for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 was included in the Company s consolidated statements of operations as follows (in thousands):

	2011	2010		2	2009
Continuing operations property tax, ground lease					
and insurance (1)	\$ 14,817	\$	5,209	\$	4,615
Discontinued operations	22		528		608
	\$ 14,839	\$	5,737	\$	5,223

⁽¹⁾ Beginning in 2010, ground lease expense also includes amortization of lease intangibles on a ground lease and an easement at two of the Company s 32 hotels in 2010, and on three ground leases and an easement at four of the Company s 32 hotels in 2011.

At December 31, 2011, the Company was obligated to an unaffiliated party under the terms of a sublease on the corporate facility, which matures in 2018. Rent expense incurred pursuant to leases on the corporate facility totaled \$0.3 million for both of the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, and \$0.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, and was included in corporate overhead expense.

Table of Contents

Future minimum payments under the terms of the eight ground leases, three air leases and the sublease on the corporate facility in effect at December 31, 2011 are as follows (in thousands):

2012	\$ 8,624
2013	8,699
2014	8,755
2015	8,815
2016	8,876
Thereafter	392,853
Total	\$ 436,622

Employment Agreements

As of December 31, 2011, the Company has employment agreements with certain executive employees, which expire through April 2014. The terms of the agreements stipulate payments of base salaries and bonuses.

Approximate minimum future obligations under employment agreements are as follows as of December 31, 2011 (in thousands):

2012	\$ 1,525
2013	914
2014	94
	\$ 2,533

Litigation

During the third quarter of 2011, the Company accrued \$1.6 million in settlement costs related to litigation involving three separate claims by certain employees at four of its hotels: Marriott Del Mar; Marriott Quincy; Renaissance Los Angeles Airport; and Renaissance Long Beach. The Company had previously estimated that the ultimate liability for these lawsuits would range from between zero and \$0.1 million, and, accordingly, the Company recorded a liability of \$0.1 million in November 2010 in accordance with the Contingencies Topic of the FASB Accounting Standards Codification, which requires a liability be recorded based on the Company s estimate of the probable cost of the resolution of a contingency. The Company and certain other defendants reached tentative settlements regarding two of the lawsuits comprising \$1.0 million of the total \$1.7 million accrual, which settlements are subject to final approval by the Superior Court of California, Los Angeles County and the Superior Court of California, Orange County. The Company is still in negotiations regarding the third claim, however the Company expects to incur a maximum of \$0.7 million in related settlement or judgment costs and expenses.

Collective Bargaining Agreements

The Company is subject to exposure to collective bargaining agreements at certain hotels operated by its management companies. At December 31, 2011, approximately 23.8% of workers employed by the Company s third-party managers were covered by such collective bargaining agreements.

Defined Benefit Retirement Plan Obligation

In connection with the Company s formation and structuring transactions, certain predecessor companies sold their property management company to IHR, who assumed certain liabilities of the property management company including the defined benefit retirement plan. In accordance with the management agreement with IHR, the Company is still responsible for the costs of the defined benefit retirement plan.

The benefits expected to be paid each year through 2021 as of December 31, 2011 are as follows (in thousands):

2012	\$ 398
2013	403
2014	420
2015	438
2016	457
Thereafter	2,656
	\$ 4,772

F-34

Table of Contents

401(k) Savings and Retirement Plan

The Company s employees may participate, subject to eligibility, in the Company s 401(k) Savings and Retirement Plan (the 401(k) Plan). Employees are eligible to participate in the 401(k) Plan after attaining 21 years of age and after the first of the month following the performance of six months of service. Three percent of eligible employee annual base earnings is contributed by the Company as a Safe Harbor elective contribution. Safe Harbor contributions made by the Company totaled \$0.2 million for each of the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, and were included in corporate overhead expense.

The Company is also responsible for funding various retirement plans at certain hotels operated by its management companies. Matching contributions into these various retirement plans totaled \$0.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 and \$0.9 million for both the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, all of which was included in property general and administrative expense.

Concentration of Risk

As of December 31, 2011, 10 of the Company s 32 hotels were located in California, the largest concentration of the Company s hotels in any state, representing approximately 32% of the Company s rooms and approximately 32% of the revenue generated by the Company s 32 hotels during the year ended December 31, 2011. In addition, as of December 31, 2011, three of the Company s 32 hotels were located in New York, representing approximately 10% of the Company s rooms and approximately 16% of the revenue generated by the Company s 32 hotels during the year ended December 31, 2011. The concentration of the Company s hotels in California and New York exposes the Company s business to natural disasters, economic conditions, competition and real and personal property tax rates unique to California and New York.

Other

The Company has provided unsecured environmental indemnities to certain lenders. The Company has performed due diligence on the potential environmental risks, including obtaining an independent environmental review from outside environmental consultants. These indemnities obligate the Company to reimburse the indemnified parties for damages related to certain environmental matters. There is no term or damage limitation on these indemnities; however, if an environmental matter arises, the Company could have recourse against other previous owners or a claim against its environmental insurance policies.

At December 31, 2011, the Company had \$1.5 million of outstanding irrevocable letters of credit to guaranty the Company s financial obligations related to workers compensation insurance programs from prior policy years. The beneficiaries of these letters of credit may draw upon these letters of credit in the event of a contractual default by the Company relating to each respective obligation. No draws have been made through December 31, 2011.

16. Transactions With Affiliates

Other Reimbursements

From time to time, the Company pays for certain expenses such as payroll, insurance and other costs on behalf of certain affiliates. The affiliates generally reimburse such amounts on a monthly basis. At December 31, 2011 and 2010, amounts owed to the Company by its affiliates amounted to \$6,000 and \$44,000, respectively, and are included in due from affiliates in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

17. Variable Interest Entities

The Company adopted Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2009-17 codified in the Consolidation Topic of the FASB ASC on January 1, 2010, which changes the consolidation guidance applicable to variable interest entities (VIEs). It also amends the guidance governing the determination of whether an enterprise is the primary beneficiary of a VIE, and is, therefore, required to consolidate an entity, by requiring a qualitative analysis rather than a quantitative analysis. The qualitative analysis includes, among other things, consideration of who has the power to direct the activities of the entity that most significantly impact the entity s economic performance and who has the obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits of the VIE that could potentially be significant to the VIE. This topic also requires continuous reassessments of whether an enterprise is the primary beneficiary of a VIE only when specific events had occurred. The topic also requires additional disclosures about an enterprise s involvement with a VIE.

Upon adoption of ASU No. 2009-17, the Company evaluated its variable interests, including the management agreements it has with its third-party hotel managers and its interest in the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square joint venture, to determine whether or not these variable interests are VIEs. The Company s analysis included both quantitative and qualitative reviews. In arriving at the VIE determination for its third-party management agreements, the Company followed the guidance in ASC 810-10-55-37, Fees Paid to Decision Makers or Service Providers, which states that fees paid to a legal entity s decision makers or service providers are not variable interests if six conditions are met: 1) the fees are compensation for services provided and are commensurate with the level of effort required to provide those services; 2) substantially all of the fees are at or above the same level of seniority as other operating liabilities of the VIE that arise in the normal course of the VIE s activities, such as trade payables; 3) the decision maker or service provider does not hold other interests in the VIE that individually, or in the aggregate, would absorb more than an insignificant amount of the VIE s expected losses or receive more than an insignificant amount of the VIE s expected residual returns; 4) the service arrangement includes only terms, conditions, or amounts that are customarily present in arrangements for similar services negotiated at arm s length; 5) the total amount of anticipated fees are insignificant relative to the total amount of the VIE s anticipated economic performance; and 6) the anticipated fees are expected to absorb an insignificant amount of the variability associated with the VIE s anticipated economic performance, which includes any carried interests, promotes or incentive fees. Based on the six conditions stated in ASC 810-10-55-37, the Company has determined that the management agreements for all but three of its hotels are not variable interest entities. The three hotels do not meet conditions (5) and (6) as each of the three hotel s incentive management fees are significant relative to the total amount of each hotel s economic performance, and these fees are expected to absorb a significant amount of the variability associated with each hotel s anticipated economic performance. The Company has determined that it is the primary beneficiary of these three VIEs because it has the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the hotels economic performance, such as developing budgets and renovation programs as well as making the decision to sell the hotels, and it is obligated to absorb the losses or to receive the benefits from the hotels that could potentially be significant to the hotels. The Company has, therefore, consolidated these three VIEs.

Prior to its purchase of the outside 62.0% interests in its Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square joint venture in January 2011, the Company concluded its interest in the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square joint venture was a VIE, but that it was not the primary beneficiary because it did not have the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square. The Company, therefore, accounted for this investment using the equity method. Subsequent to its purchase of the outside 62.0% equity interests in the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square joint venture in January 2011, the Company consolidates the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square with its continuing operations, however, based on the six conditions stated in ASC 810-10-55-37, the Company has determined that the management agreement for the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square is not a variable interest entity.

In conjunction with the Company s purchase of the 75.0% majority interest in the entity that owns the Hilton San Diego Bayfront, the Company concluded that its interest in this joint venture is not a variable interest entity. The Company, therefore, consolidates the Hilton San Diego Bayfront with its continuing operations based on voting control. Based on the six conditions stated in ASC 810-10-55-37, the Company has determined that the management agreement for the Hilton San Diego Bayfront does not cause the entity to be a variable interest entity.

18. Quarterly Operating Results (Unaudited)

The consolidated quarterly results for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, of the Company are as follows (in thousands):

		First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
Revenues	Continuing Operations				
2011		\$ 159,058	\$ 218,254	\$ 212,334	\$ 245,083
2010		\$ 137,786	\$ 157,393	\$ 152,475	\$ 176,890

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

2		
3,671	\$	28,626
8,943	\$	14,977
(16,553)	\$	7,588
23,653	\$	35,672
(0.20)	\$	0.00
0.19	\$	0.28
(0.20)	\$	0.00
0.19	\$	0.28
	(16,553) 23,653 (0.20) 0.19	8,943 \$ (16,553) \$ 23,653 \$ (0.20) \$ 0.19 \$

Table of Contents

Income available (loss attributable) to common stockholders per share is computed independently for each of the quarters presented and therefore may not sum to the annual amount for the year. Previously reported revenues and operating income have been adjusted to account for current discontinued operations in accordance with the Property, Plant and Equipment Topic of the FASB ASC.

19. Subsequent Events

On February 8, 2012, the Company repurchased \$4.5 million of its Senior Notes for a price of \$4.57 million plus accrued interest of approximately \$13,000. Subsequent to the repurchase, the Company has \$58.0 million in outstanding Senior Notes.

F-37

SUNSTONE HOTEL INVESTORS, INC.

SCHEDULE II VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS

DECEMBER 31, 2011

(In Thousands)

	1	Balance at Beginning of Year (1)	Additions Charged to Operations (1)	Uncollectible Accounts Written Off (1)	Balance at End of Year (1)
Year ended December 31, 2011					
Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$	114	\$ 129	\$ (21)	\$ 222
Year ended December 31, 2010					
Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$	116	\$ 83	\$ (85)	\$ 114
Reserve for loan losses	\$	5,557	\$	\$ (5,557)	\$
Year ended December 31, 2009					
Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$	256	\$ 132	\$ (272)	\$ 116
Reserve for loan losses	\$		\$ 5,557	\$	\$ 5,557

⁽¹⁾ Includes all hotel properties owned on December 31, 2011.

SUNSTONE HOTEL INVESTORS, INC.

SCHEDULE III REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION

DECEMBER 31, 2011

(In Thousands)

		Initi	al costs Su		Capitalized		Amount at r 31, 2011 (1)				
			Bldg. and	-	Bldg. and		Bldg. and		Accum.	Date	Depr.
Courtyard by Marriott	Encmbr	Land	Impr	Land	Impr.	Land	Impr.	Totals	Depr.	Acq./Constr.	Life
Los Angeles	(1	b)\$	\$ 8,446	\$	\$ 12,240	•	\$ 20,686 \$	5 20,686	\$ 6,960	1999	5-35
Doubletree Guest	(1	IJφ	φ 0, 11 0	φ	φ 12,2 4 0	φ	\$ 20,080 4	20,000	\$ 0,900	1999	3-33
Suites Minneapolis	(:	a) 1,150	9,953		7,903	1,150	17,856	19,006	4,313	2002	5-35
Doubletree Guest	(•	1,150	,,,,,,		7,703	1,130	17,030	17,000	1,515	2002	3 33
Suites Times Square	\$ 180,000	27,351	201,660		219	27,351	201,879	229,230	4,636	2011	5-35
Embassy Suites	+ 200,000							,	1,000		
Chicago	73,892	79	46,886	6,348	14,679	6,427	61,565	67,992	17,144	2002	5-35
Embassy Suites La	, , , , ,		-,	-,-	,		,, ,,	,	.,		
Jolla	69,558	27,900	70,450		7,511	27,900	77,961	105,861	15,402	2006	5-35
Fairmont Newport	·	,	·		,	· ·	ŕ	,	,		
Beach	(1	b)	65,769		31,757		97,526	97,526	20,840	2005	5-35
Hilton Del Mar	(a	a) 4,106	22,353		6,842	4,106	29,195	33,301	8,542	2002	5-35
Hilton North Houston	32,800	6,184	35,628		21,137	6,184	56,765	62,949	13,847	2002	5-35
Hilton San Diego											
Bayfront	237,806		424,992		79		425,071	425,071	5,480	2011	5-35
Hilton Times Square	90,970		221,488		9,108		230,596	230,596	47,551	2006	5-35
Hyatt Regency											
Newport Beach	(1	b)	30,549		13,687		44,236	44,236	12,170	2002	5-35
JW Marriott New											
Orleans	41,441		73,420		150		73,570	73,570	1,840	2011	5-35
Kahler Grand	(a	a) 3,411	45,349		22,746	3,411	68,095	71,506	22,613	1999	5-35
Kahler Inn & Suites	(l	b) 1,666	21,582	(173)	5,280	1,493	26,862	28,355	9,498	1999	5-35
Marriott Boston Long											
Wharf	176,000	51,598	170,238		31,824	51,598	202,062	253,660	32,242	2007	5-35
Marriott Del Mar	47,460	5,125	58,548	(2,026)	(18,893)	3,099	39,655	42,754	10,611	2006	5-35
Marriott Houston	(8	a) 4,167	19,155		12,085	4,167	31,240	35,407	7,386	2002	5-35
Marriott Park City		a) 2,260	17,778		8,997	2,260	26,775	29,035	8,949	1999	5-35
Marriott Philadelphia		a) 3,297	29,710		7,072	3,297	36,782	40,079	10,634	2002	5-35
Marriott Portland		b) 5,341	20,705			5,341	24,235	29,576	8,837	2000	5-35
Marriott Quincy		b)14,375	97,875			14,375	102,063	116,438	16,904	2007	5-35
Marriott Rochester		b) 1,851	39,714		8,463	1,851	48,177	50,028	17,990	1999	5-35
Marriott Troy	(a	a) 2,701	45,814		8,293	2,701	54,107	56,808	16,013	2002	5-35
Marriott Tysons											
Corner	(a	a) 3,897	43,528	(250)	13,723	3,647	57,251	60,898	16,118	2002	5-35
Renaissance											
Harborplace	98,583	25,085	102,707		17,283	25,085	119,990	145,075	26,200	2005	5-35
Renaissance Los											
Angeles Airport	(l	b) 7,800	52,506		1,821	7,800	54,327	62,127	9,755	2007	5-35

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

Renaissance Long	22.461	10 427	27.200		11.070	10.427	40.070	50.716	10.520	2005	5.25
Beach	32,461	10,437	37,300		11,979	10,437	49,279	59,716	10,520	2005	5-35
Renaissance Orlando											
at SeaWorld ®	82,108		119,733 30	0,716	27,872	30,716	147,605	178,321	31,532	2005	5-35
Renaissance											
Washington DC	130,465	14,563	132,800		19,074	14,563	151,874	166,437	32,532	2005	5-35
Renaissance											
Westchester (2)	(l	5,751	17,069		3,335	5,751	20,404	26,155	1,133	2010	5-35
Residence Inn by											
Marriott Rochester	(1	225	9,652	173	1,040	398	10,692	11,090	2,819	2004	5-35
Sheraton Cerritos											