SINCLAIR BROADCAST GROUP INC Form 10-K February 29, 2008

X

0

# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

# **FORM 10-K**

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2007

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 FOR THE TRANSITION PERIOD FROM TO .

**COMMISSION FILE NUMBER: 000-26076** 

# SINCLAIR BROADCAST GROUP, INC.

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland 52-1494660

(State or other jurisdiction of

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

incorporation or organization)

10706 Beaver Dam Road

Hunt Valley, MD 21030

(Address of principal executive offices)

(410) 568-1500

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

COMMISSION FILE NUMBER: 000-26076

1

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

**Title of each class**Class A Common Stock, par value \$ 0.01 per share

Name of each exchange on which registered The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes o No x

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes o No x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant s knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer x

Accelerated filer o

Non-accelerated filer o (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes o No x

Based on the closing sales price of \$14.22 per share as of June 29, 2007, the aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity of the Registrant held by non-affiliates was approximately \$728.4 million.

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the registrant s classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Number of shares outstanding as of

Title of each class Class A Common Stock Class B Common Stock February 21, 2008 52,845,474 34,453,859

Documents Incorporated by Reference - Portions of our definitive Proxy Statement relating to our 2008 Annual Meeting of Shareholders are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Form 10-K. We anticipate that our Proxy Statement will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after the end of our fiscal year ended December 31, 2007.

#### SINCLAIR BROADCAST GROUP, INC.

#### FORM 10-K FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2007

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

<u>ITEM</u> <u>RISK FACTORS</u>

<u>1A.</u>

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY

**HOLDERS** 

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT S COMMON EQUITY,

RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER

**PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES** 

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF

FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF

**OPERATIONS** 

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES

ABOUT MARKET RISK

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY

**DATA** 

<u>ITEM 9.</u> <u>CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH</u>

ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL

DISCLOSURE

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

**PART III** 

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND

MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND

DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

**SIGNATURES** 

#### FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This report includes or incorporates forward-looking statements within the meaning of the U.S. Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. We have based these forward-looking statements on our current expectations and projections about future events. These forward-looking statements are subject to risks, uncertainties and assumptions about us, including, among other things, the following risks:

#### General risks

- the impact of changes in national and regional economies;
- the activities of our competitors;
- terrorist acts of violence or war and other geopolitical events;

#### Industry risks

- the business conditions of our advertisers:
- competition with other broadcast television stations, radio stations, multi-channel video programming distributors (MVPDs) and internet and broadband content providers serving in the same markets;
- labor disputes and other union activity;
- availability and cost of programming;
- the effects of governmental regulation of broadcasting or changes in those regulations and court actions interpreting those regulations, including ownership regulations, indecency regulations, retransmission regulations and political advertising restrictions and regulations;
- the continued viability of networks and syndicators that provide us with programming content;
- the February 17, 2009 mandatory transition from analog to digital over-the-air broadcasting including the impact the transition will have on television ratings;
- the broadcasting community s ability to adopt and to accept a viable mobile digital television strategy and platform;
- competition related to the potential implementation of regulations requiring MVPDs to carry low power television stations programming;

#### Risks specific to us

- the effectiveness of our management;
- our ability to attract and maintain local and national advertising;
- our ability to successfully renegotiate retransmission consent agreements;
- our ability to service our outstanding debt;
- our ability to renew our FCC licenses;
- our ability to maintain our affiliation agreements with our networks;
- the popularity of syndicated programming we purchase and network programming that we air;
- successful integration of outsourcing and news share agreements;
- the strength of ratings for our local news broadcasts including our news sharing arrangements;
- changes in the makeup of the population in the areas where our stations are located;
- the success of our multi-channel broadcasting initiatives strategy execution including mobile digital television; and
- the results of prior year tax audits by taxing authorities.

Other matters set forth in this report and other reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, including the *Risk Factors* set forth in Item 1A of this report may also cause actual results in the future to differ materially from those described in the forward-looking statements. We undertake no obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. In light of these risks, uncertainties and assumptions, the forward-looking statements discussed in this report might not occur.

2

Risks specific to us 7

#### PART I

#### ITEM 1. BUSINESS

We are a diversified television broadcasting company that owns or provides certain programming, operating or sales services to more television stations than most other commercial broadcasting groups in the United States. We currently own, provide programming and operating services pursuant to local marketing agreements (LMAs) or provide (or are provided) sales services pursuant to outsourcing agreements to 58 television stations in 35 markets. For the purpose of this report, these 58 stations are referred to as our stations.

We have a mid-size market focus and 43 of our 58 stations are located in television designated market areas (DMAs) that rank between the 13th and 75th largest in the United States. Our television station group is diverse in network affiliation: FOX (20 stations); MyNetworkTV (17 stations); ABC (9 stations); The CW (9 stations); CBS (2 stations) and NBC (1 station). Refer to our *Markets and Stations* table later in this section for more information.

We broadcast free over-the-air programming to television viewing audiences in the communities we serve through our local television stations. The programming that we provide consists of network provided programs, news produced locally, local sporting events and syndicated entertainment programs. We provide network produced programming, which we broadcast pursuant to our agreements with the network with which the stations are affiliated. We produce news at 17 stations in 12 markets, including two stations where we produce news pursuant to a local news sharing arrangement with a competitive station in that market. We have 14 stations which have local news sharing arrangements with a competitive station in that market that produces the news aired on our station. We provide live local sporting events on many of our stations by acquiring the local television broadcast rights for these events. Additionally, we purchase and barter for popular syndicated programming from third parties. See *Operating Strategy* later in this Item for more information regarding the programming we provide.

Our primary source of revenue is the sale of commercial inventory on our television stations to our advertising customers. Our objective is to meet the needs of our advertising customers by delivering significant audiences in key demographics. Our strategy is to achieve this objective by providing quality local news programming and popular network and syndicated programs to our viewing audience. We attract our national television advertisers through a single national marketing representation firm which has offices in New York City, Los Angeles, Chicago and Atlanta. Our local television advertisers are attracted through the use of a local sales force at each of our television stations, which is comprised of over 350 account executives company-wide.

Our operating results are subject to seasonal fluctuations. The second and fourth quarter operating results are typically higher than the first and third quarters primarily because advertising expenditures are increased in anticipation of consumer spending on summer related items such as home improvements, lawn care and travel plans. The fourth quarter operating results are typically higher than first and third quarters due to anticipation of holiday season spending by consumers. Our operating results are usually subject to cyclical fluctuations from political advertising. In the past, political spending has been significantly higher in the even-number years due to the cyclicality of political advertising. In addition, every four years, political spending is elevated further due to the advertising revenue preceding the presidential election. Because of this cyclicality, there has been a significant difference in our operating results when comparing even-numbered years performance to the odd-numbered years performance. We believe political advertising will continue to be a strong advertising category in our industry. We believe 2008 will be an exceptional year for television broadcasting. According to TNS Media Intelligence s January 2008 report titled, TNS Media Intelligence forecasts 4.2% increase in U.S. advertising spending in 2008, in 2008 spot TV advertising is expected to grow 9.9% over 2007. The report indicates that overall advertising spending in all mediums, will be driven predominately by the powerful combination of summer Olympics and record-setting levels of political advertising.

Over the last few years, we have been earning revenue from our retransmission consent agreements through payments from the MVPDs in our markets. The MVPDs are local cable companies, satellite television and local telecommunication video providers. The revenues primarily represent payments from the MVPDs for access to our signal so they may rebroadcast directly to and charge their subscribers. We have seen this revenue category grow significantly in 2007 as we successfully negotiated favorable payment terms in our retransmission consent agreements with the MVPDs. Certain agreements are set to expire in 2008 and therefore, contractual negotiations are expected. We expect to continue to generate revenues from retransmission consent agreements at terms as favorable as or more favorable than our existing agreements upon the expiration of those agreements. Our retransmission consent agreements include automatic annual fee escalators. Additionally, as mobile television develops, we may be able to generate additional revenue streams through agreements with portable device service providers.

Mobile digital television holds tremendous potential for the television broadcasting industry. In February 2009, over-the-air television broadcasting in the U.S. is scheduled to complete the transition from high power analog transmissions to digital transmissions. We are enthusiastic about the potential uses of our digital spectrum. With the right technology, digital transmissions will allow us to broadcast our signals to portable devices such as cell phones, laptop computers, car televisions and other hand-held devices. According to BIA Financial Network s January 2008 report titled, Study of the impact of multiple systems for mobile/handheld digital television, BIAfn estimates that broadcast television could reap an additional \$2 billion in annual revenue by 2012 delivering content to mobile and handheld devices if an industry standard is adopted and technology deployed quickly. We believe that mobile television will be available to the public as early as the first half of 2009.

We are a Maryland corporation formed in 1986. Our principal offices are located at 10706 Beaver Dam Road, Hunt Valley, Maryland 21030. Our telephone number is (410) 568-1500 and our website address is www.sbgi.net.

4

# TELEVISION BROADCASTING

#### Markets and Stations

We own and operate, provide programming services to, provide sales services to or have agreed to acquire the following television stations:

<b></b>	Market Rank	G, d	St. ( a)	A 66*** (* )	Station Rank	Expiration Date of FCC
Market	(a)	Stations	Status (b)	Affiliation (c)	in Market (d)	License
Tampa, Florida Minneapolis/St. Paul,	13	WTTA	LMA(e)	MNT	6 of 8	02/01/13
Minnesota	15	WUCW	O&O	CW	7 of 7	04/01/06(f)
St. Louis, Missouri	21	KDNL	0&0	ABC	4 of 8	02/01/14
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania	22	KDNL	0&0	FOX	4 of 8	02/01/14
r itisourgii, r chinsyrvaniu	22	WPGH	040	1021	1010	08/01/15
		WPMY	0&0	MNT	6 of 8	08/01/07(g)
Baltimore, Maryland	24	VV 1 IVI 1	0&0	FOX	4 of 6	(f)
Bartimore, Maryrand	24	WDEE	0&0	TOX	4010	
		WBFF	I MA (b)	CW	5 of 6	10/01/04
Raleigh/Durham, North	28	WNUV	LMA(h) O&O	CW CW	5 of 6	10/01/12
Carolina	26	WI FI	0&0	CW	3 01 0	12/01/04 (6)
Caronna		WLFL	0.00	MANTE	6.66	12/01/04 (f)
Nachaille Tanasas	30	WRDC	0&0	MNT FOX	6 of 6 4 of 8	12/01/04(f)
Nashville, Tennessee	30		0&0	FUX	4 01 8	
			0.00	1 O.M.	7 CO	
		WZTV	0&0	MNT	5 of 8	08/01/13
		WUXP				08/01/05 (f)
		WNAB	OSA(i)	CW	6 of 8	08/01/05(i)
Columbus, Ohio	32		0&0	ABC	3 of 6	
		WSYX				10/01/13
		WTTE	LMA(h)	FOX	4 of 6	10/01/05(f)
Cincinnati, Ohio	33	WSTR	0&0	MNT	5 of 7	10/01/05(f)
Milwaukee, Wisconsin	34		0&0	MNT	5 of 9	
		WCGV				12/01/05(f)
		WVTV	0&0	CW	6 of 9	12/01/05(f)
Asheville, North Carolina/	36		0&0	ABC	3 of 7	(f)
Greenville/Spartanburg/		WLOS				12/01/04
Anderson, South Carolina		WMYA	LMA(h)	MNT	6 of 7	12/01/04(f)
San Antonio, Texas	37		0&0	FOX	4 of 7	
		KABB				08/01/14
		KMYS	0&0	MNT	5 of 7	08/01/14
Birmingham, Alabama	40		0&0	CW	5 of 9	(f)
		WTTO	O&O	MNT	6 of 9	04/01/05
		WABM				04/01/13
		WDBB	LMA	CW	5 of 9(j)	04/01/13
Norfolk, Virginia	42	WTVZ	O&O	MNT	6 of 8	10/01/12
Las Vegas, Nevada	43		0&0	MNT	5 of 7	
		KVMY				10/01/14
		KVCW	0&0	CW	6 of 7	10/01/14
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma	45	KOKH	0&0	FOX	4 of 9	06/01/14
		KOCB				06/01/14

Edgar Filing: SINCLAIR BROADCAST GROUP INC - Form 10-K

			0&0	CW	5 of 9	
Greensboro/Winston-Salem/	46		0&0	ABC	4 of 7	
Highpoint, North Carolina		WXLV				12/01/04(f)
		WMYV	0&0	MNT	6 of 7	12/01/04(f)
Buffalo, New York	50		0&0	FOX	4 of 8	(g)
		WUTV				06/01/07
		WNYO	0&0	MNT	6 of 8	06/01/15
Richmond, Virginia	59	WRLH	0&0	FOX	4 of 6	10/01/12
Mobile, Alabama/Pensacola,	61	WILLII	0&0	ABC	2 of 8	10/01/12
Florida	01	WEAR	<b>040</b>	7120	2 01 0	02/01/13
1101144		WFGX	0&0	MNT	not rated	02/01/13
Dayton, Ohio	62	WFUA	0&0	ABC	2 of 6	02/01/13
Dayton, Onlo	02	WWEE	O&O	ABC	2 01 0	10/01/12
		WKEF	T. N. T. A. (1.)	FOX	4 66	10/01/13
I ' 4 IV 4 I	(1	WRGT	LMA(h)	FOX	4 of 6	10/01/05(f)
Lexington, Kentucky	64	WDKY	0&0	FOX	4 of 6	08/01/13
Charleston/Huntington, West	65		0&0	ABC	2 of 7	
Virginia		WCHS				10/01/12
		WVAH	LMA(h)	FOX	4 of 7	10/01/04(f)
Flint/Saginaw/Bay City,	66					
Michigan		WSMH	0&0	FOX	3 of 7	10/01/13
Des Moines, Iowa	71	KDSM	0&0	FOX	4 of 5	02/01/14
Portland, Maine	76	WGME	0&0	CBS	2 of 6	04/01/07(g)
Rochester, New York	78	WUHF	O&O(k)	FOX	4 of 6	06/01/07(g)
Cape Girardeau, Missouri/	79	KBSI	0&0	FOX	4 of 7	
Paducah, Kentucky						02/01/14
		WDKA	LMA	MNT	5 of 7	08/01/13
Syracuse, New York	80		O&O	FOX	4 of 6	(g)
		WSYT				06/01/07
		WNYS	LMA	MNT	5 of 6	06/01/15
Springfield/Champaign,	84	WICS	O&O	ABC	2 of 6	(f)
Illinois						12/01/05
		WICD	0&0	ABC	2 of 6(1)	12/01/05(f)
Madison, Wisconsin	85	WMSN	0&0	FOX	3 of 6	12/01/05(f)
Cedar Rapids, Iowa	87		0&0	CBS	3 of 6	(f)
•		KGAN				02/01/06
		KFXA	OSA(m)	FOX	4 of 6	02/01/06
Charleston, South Carolina	100		LMA(h)	FOX	4 of 6	(f)
Charleston, South Caronna	100	WTAT	21/11/1(11)	1011	. 01 0	12/01/04
		WMMP	0&0	MNT	5 of 6	12/01/04 12/01/04(f)
Tallahassee, Florida	108	WTWC	0&0	NBC	3 of 6	02/01/04(1)
Peoria/Bloomington, Illinois	117	WYZZ	O&O(k)	FOX	4 of 6	12/01/05(f)
r corra/bioonington, minols	11/	WILL	$O\alpha O(K)$	ΓUΛ	4 01 0	12/01/03(1)

- a) Rankings are based on the relative size of a station s designated market area (DMA) among the 210 generally recognized DMAs in the United States as estimated by Nielsen as of November 2007.
- b) O & O refers to stations that we own and operate. LMA refers to stations to which we provide programming services pursuant to a local marketing agreement. OSA refers to stations to which we provide or receive sales services pursuant to an outsourcing agreement.
- c) When we negotiate the terms of our affiliation agreements with each network, we negotiate on behalf of all of our stations affiliated with that network simultaneously. This results in substantially similar terms for our stations, including the expiration date of the affiliation agreement. A summary of these expiration dates is as follows:

Affiliate	Expiration Date
FOX	19 of 20 agreements expire on March 6, 2012, except KFXA, which expires on June 30, 2010
MNT	All 17 agreements expire on September 4, 2011
ABC	All 9 agreements expire on December 31, 2009
CW	All 9 agreements expire on August 31, 2010
CBS	Both agreements expire on December 31, 2012
NBC	Agreement expires on December 31, 2016

- d) The first number represents the rank of each station in its market and is based upon the November 2007 Nielsen estimates of the percentage of persons tuned into each station in the market from 6:00 a.m. to 2:00 a.m., Monday through Sunday. The second number represents the estimated number of television stations designated by Nielsen as local to the DMA, excluding public television stations and stations that do not meet the minimum Nielsen reporting standards (weekly cumulative audience of at least 0.1%) for the Monday through Sunday 6:00 a.m. to 2:00 a.m. time period as of November 2007. This information is provided to us in a summary report by Katz Television Group.
- e) The license assets for this station are currently owned by Bay Television, Inc., a related party. See *Note 12. Related Person Transactions*, in the Notes to our Consolidated Financial Statements for more information.
- f) We, or subsidiaries of Cunningham Broadcasting Company (Cunningham), timely filed applications for renewal of these licenses with the FCC. Unrelated third parties have filed petitions to deny or informal objections against such applications. We opposed the petitions to deny and the informal objections and those applications are currently pending. See *Note 11. Commitments and Contingencies*, in the Notes to our Consolidated Financial Statements for more information.
- g) We timely filed applications for renewal of these licenses with the FCC. FCC staff have informed us that the applications have not yet been granted because unrelated third parties have filed informal objections against the stations based on alleged violations of either the FCC s sponsorship identification or indecency rules.
- h) The license assets for these stations are currently owned by a subsidiary of Cunningham.

i) non-prog FCC app	We have entered into an outsourcing agreement with the unrelated third party owner of WNAB-TV to provide certain ramming related sales, operational and administrative services to WNAB-TV. Our application to acquire this FCC license is pending roval.
j) applies to	WDBB-TV simulcasts the programming broadcast on WTTO-TV pursuant to a programming services agreement. The station rank of the combined viewership of these stations.
	We have entered into outsourcing agreements with unrelated third parties, under which the unrelated third parties provide certain ramming related sales, operational and managerial services to these stations. We continue to own all of the assets of these stations and mand control each station s operations.
l) broadcas	WICD-TV, a satellite of WICS-TV under FCC rules, simulcasts all of the programming aired on WICS-TV except the news ts. WICD-TV airs its own news broadcasts. The station rank applies to the combined viewership of these stations.
	On February 1, 2008, we entered into an outsourcing agreement with the unrelated third party owner of KFXA-TV to provide certain ramming related sales, operational and administrative services to KFXA-TV.
	6

#### **Operating Strategy**

Our operating strategy includes the following elements:

*Programming to Attract Viewership.* We seek to target our programming offerings to attract viewership, to meet the needs of the communities in which we serve and to meet the needs of our advertising customers. In pursuit of this strategy, we seek to obtain, at attractive prices, popular syndicated programming that is complementary to each station s network programming. We also seek to broadcast live local and national sporting events that would appeal to a large segment of the local community. Moreover, we produce news at 17 stations in 12 markets, including two stations which have a local news sharing agreement with a competitive station in that market. We have 14 stations which have local news sharing arrangements with a competitive station in that market, which produces the news aired on our station.

Attract and Retain High Quality Management. We believe that much of our success is due to our ability to attract and retain highly skilled and motivated managers at both the corporate and local station levels. We provide a combination of base salary, long-term incentive compensation and, where appropriate, cash bonus pay designed to be competitive with comparable employers in the television broadcast industry. A significant portion of the compensation available to our Chief Operating Officer, sales vice presidents, group managers, general managers, sales managers and other station managers is based on their exceeding certain operating results. We also provide some of our corporate and station managers with deferred compensation plans and equity awards.

Developing Local Franchises. We believe the greatest opportunity for a sustainable and growing customer base lies within our local communities. Therefore, we have focused on developing a strong local sales force at each of our television stations, which is comprised of over 350 account executives company-wide. Excluding political advertising revenue, 66.3% of our net time sales were local for the year ended December 31, 2007, compared to 64.7% in 2006. Our goal is to grow our local revenues by increasing our market share and by developing new business opportunities.

Local News. We believe that the production and broadcasting of local news is an important link to the community and an aid to a station s efforts to expand its viewership. In addition, local news programming can provide access to advertising sources targeted specifically to local news viewers. We assess the anticipated benefits and costs of producing local news prior to the introduction of local news at our stations because a significant investment in capital equipment is required and substantial operating expenses are incurred in introducing, developing and producing local news programming. We also continuously review the performance of our existing news operations to make sure they are economically viable. In 2008, we expect to provide high definition newscasts in four of our key competitive news markets. In addition, we plan to provide high definition newscasts in an additional four markets in each of 2009 and 2010.

Our local news initiatives are an important part of our strategy that has resulted in our entering into 16 local news sharing arrangements with other television broadcasters. We are the provider of news services in two instances; however, in 14 of our news share arrangements, we are the recipient of services. We believe news share arrangements generally provide both higher viewer ratings and revenues for the station receiving the news and generate a profit for the news share provider. Generally, both parties and the local community are beneficiaries of these arrangements.

*Developing New Business*. We are always striving to develop new business models to complement or enhance our existing television broadcast business. During the past few years, we have built a profitable direct mail business at many of our stations using, for the most part, our existing sales force. With the success of our direct mail program, which generated \$5.9 million in revenues in 2007, we have developed other initiatives that will give us an additional competitive advantage. We continue to explore new opportunities and plan to implement new initiatives in 2008.

Retransmission Consent Agreements. We have retransmission consent agreements with MVPDs, such as cable, satellite and telecommunications operators in our markets. MVPDs compensate us for the right to retransmit our broadcast signals. Our successful negotiations with MVPDs have created agreements that now produce meaningful sustainable revenue streams. During 2007, our retransmission consent agreements, including the advertising component, generated \$58.9 million in total broadcast revenues. This represents a 135% increase over 2006 results. This growth trend will not continue at this rate since most of the MVPDs that we conduct business with our now under contract. Certain agreements are set to expire in 2008 and therefore, contractual negotiations are expected. We expect to continue to generate revenues from retransmission consent agreements at terms as favorable as or more favorable than our existing agreements upon the expiration of those agreements. Our retransmission consent agreements include automatic annual fee escalators. Additionally, as mobile television develops, we may be able to generate additional revenue streams through agreements with portable device service providers.

Ownership Duopolies and Utilization of Local Marketing Agreements. We have sought to increase our revenues and improve our margins through the ownership of two stations in a single market, called a duopoly, and by providing programming services pursuant to a LMA to a second station in eight DMAs where we already own one station. Duopolies and LMAs allow us to realize significant economies of scale in marketing, programming, overhead and capital expenditures. We also believe these arrangements

enable us to air popular programming and contribute to the diversity of programming within each DMA. Although under the FCC ownership rules released in June 2003, we would be allowed to continue to program most of the stations with which we have a LMA, in the absence of a waiver, the 2003 rules would require us to terminate or modify three of our LMAs. Although there can be no assurances, we have studied the application of the 2003 rules to our markets and believe we are qualified for waivers. Under the ownership rules established in 2008, we may be required to terminate or modify three of our LMAs that we executed after November 5, 1996. We also may be required to terminate or modify three other LMAs that we executed prior to November 5, 1996, if the FCC subsequently initiates a case-by-case review of those LMAs and determines not to extend the grandfathering period. For additional information, refer to *Risk Factors - Changes in Rules on Television Ownership*, and *Risk Factors - The FCC s multiple ownership rules limit our ability to operate multiple television stations in some markets and may result in a reduction in our revenue or prevent us from reducing costs. Changes in these rules may threaten our existing strategic approach to certain television markets.* 

Use of Outsourcing Agreements. In addition to our LMAs, we currently operate under four (and may seek opportunities for additional) outsourcing agreements in which our stations provide or are provided various non-programming related services such as sales, operational and managerial services to or by other stations. Pursuant to these agreements, our stations in Nashville, Tennessee and Cedar Rapids, Iowa currently provide services to another station in the market and another party provides services to our stations in Peoria/Bloomington, Illinois and Rochester, New York. We believe the outsourcing structure allows stations to achieve operational efficiencies and economies of scale, which should otherwise improve broadcast cash flow and competitive positions. While television joint sales agreements (JSAs) are not currently attributable, as that term is defined by the FCC, on August 2, 2004, the FCC released a notice of proposed rulemaking seeking comments on its tentative conclusion that television joint sales agreements should be attributable. We cannot predict the outcome of this proceeding, nor can we predict how many changes, together with possible changes to ownership rules, would apply to our existing outsourcing agreements. See the Local Marketing Agreements section below.

Multi-Channel Digital Broadcasting. FCC rules allow broadcasters to transmit additional digital channels within the spectrum allocated to each FCC license holder. This provides viewers with additional programming alternatives at no additional cost to them. Four of our television stations are experimenting with broadcasting a second digital channel in accordance with these rules, airing various alternative programming formats. In Baltimore, where our corporate offices are located, we are airing a secondary digital channel comprised of classic television programming, religious programming and simulcasting of our primary digital channel. In the three other markets, we have a similar format along with MyNetwork TV programming. In addition, as noted below, we believe mobile digital television will serve as a tremendous use of our additional digital spectrum.

We may consider other alternative programming formats that we could air using our multi-channel digital spectrum space with the goal towards achieving higher profits and community service.

Mobile Digital Television. We are a member of the Open Mobile Video Coalition, which is a voluntary association of television broadcasters whose mission is to accelerate the development of mobile digital broadcast television. We believe mobile digital television will become a viable use of our local stations programming. We believe that in the near future it will be possible to broadcast digital television to numerous mobile devices including cell phones, laptop computers, video screens in vehicles, portable video players and other portable devices. We believe that the technical ability to receive our television broadcast content on mobile devices will be attractive to individuals. We believe this technology, if successfully implemented, could create an entirely new revenue stream for television broadcasters. Due to the complexity of the technology, the disparate competitive interests in developing a technology standardization, the availability of other competing technologies and the number of stakeholders involved including cellular network operators, there are risks associated with successfully monetizing this platform. Although the technology and business plan are in process, indications are that mobile digital television could be broadcasted as early as 2009.

Control of Operating and Programming Costs. By employing a disciplined approach to managing programming acquisition and other costs, we have been able to achieve operating margins that we believe are very competitive within the television broadcast industry. We believe our national reach of approximately 22% of the country provides us with a strong position to negotiate with programming providers and, as a result,

the opportunity to purchase high quality programming at more favorable prices. Moreover, we emphasize control of each of our station s programming and operating costs through program-specific profit analysis, detailed budgeting, regionalization of staff and detailed long-term planning models.

Popular Sporting Events. Our CW and MyNetworkTV affiliated stations generally face fewer preemption restrictions on broadcasting live local sporting events compared with FOX, ABC, CBS and NBC affiliates, which are required to broadcast a greater number of hours of programming supplied by the networks. At some of our stations, we have been able to acquire local television broadcast rights for certain sporting events, including NBA basketball, Major League Baseball, NFL football, NHL hockey, ACC basketball and both Big Ten and SEC football and basketball and high school sports. We seek to expand our sports broadcasting in DMAs only as profitable opportunities arise. In addition, our stations that are affiliated with FOX, ABC, CBS and NBC broadcast certain NBA basketball, Major League Baseball games, NFL football games, NHL hockey games and NASCAR races, as well as other popular sporting events.

Strategic Realignment of Station Portfolio. We continue to examine our television station group portfolio in light of the FCC s broadcast ownership rules adopted in 2003. For a summary of these rules, refer to Ownership Matters, discussed in the Federal Regulation of Television Broadcasting. Our objective is to build our local franchises in the markets we deem strategic. We routinely review and conduct investigations of potential television station acquisitions, dispositions and station swaps. At any given time, we may be in discussions with one or more television station owners. For more information related to station sales, see Note 13. Discontinued Operations, in the Notes to our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Investments. As in the past, we continue to seek more ways to diversify our business and return additional value to our shareholders. We carry investments in various companies from many different industries including sign design and fabrication, security alarm monitoring and bulk acquisition, software development, information technology staffing and consulting and television transmitter manufacturing. In addition, we invest in various real estate ventures including developmental land and apartment and shopping complexes. We also invest in private equity and structure debt and mezzanine financing investment funds. Currently our investment activity represents a small portion of our overall operating results. We expect to continue to make investments in non-broadcast assets as sound economic opportunities appear.

#### FEDERAL REGULATION OF TELEVISION BROADCASTING

The ownership, operation and sale of television stations are subject to the jurisdiction of the FCC, which acts under the authority granted by the Communications Act of 1934, as amended (Communications Act). Among other things, the FCC assigns frequency bands for broadcasting; determines the particular frequencies, locations and operating power of stations; issues, renews, revokes and modifies station licenses; regulates equipment used by stations; adopts and implements regulations and policies that directly or indirectly affect the ownership, operation and employment practices of stations; and has the power to impose penalties for violations of its rules or the Communications Act.

The following is a brief summary of certain provisions of the Communications Act, the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (the 1996 Act) and specific FCC regulations and policies. Reference should be made to the Communications Act, the 1996 Act, FCC rules and the public notices and rulings of the FCC for further information concerning the nature and extent of federal regulation of broadcast stations.

#### License Grant and Renewal

Television stations operate pursuant to broadcasting licenses that are granted by the FCC for maximum terms of eight years and are subject to renewal upon application to the FCC. During certain periods when renewal applications are pending, petitions to deny license renewals can be filed by interested parties, including members of the public. The FCC will generally grant a renewal application if it finds:

- that the station has served the public interest, convenience and necessity;
- that there have been no serious violations by the licensee of the Communications Act or the rules and regulations of the FCC; and

• that there have been no other violations by the licensee of the Communications Act or the rules and regulations of the FCC that, when taken together, would constitute a pattern of misconduct.

All of the stations that we currently own and operate or provide programming services or sales services to, pursuant to LMAs or other agreements, are presently operating under regular licenses, which expire as to each station on the dates set forth under *Television Broadcasting* above. Although renewal of a license is granted in the vast majority of cases even when petitions to deny are filed, there can be no assurance that the license of any station will be renewed.

In 2004, we filed with the FCC an application for the license renewal of WBFF-TV in Baltimore, Maryland. Subsequently, an individual named Richard D Amato filed a petition to deny the application. In 2004, we also filed with the FCC applications for the license renewal of television stations: WXLV-TV, Winston-Salem, North Carolina; WMYV-TV, Greensboro, North Carolina; WLFL-TV, Raleigh/Durham, North Carolina; WRDC-TV, Raleigh/Durham, North Carolina; WLOS-TV, Asheville, North Carolina and WMMP-TV, Charleston, South Carolina. An organization calling itself Free Press filed a petition to deny the renewal applications of these stations and also the renewal applications of two other stations in those markets, which we program pursuant to LMAs: WTAT-TV, Charleston, South Carolina and WMYA-TV, Anderson, South Carolina. Several individuals and an organization named Sinclair Media Watch also filed informal objections to the license renewal applications of WLOS-TV and WMYA-TV, raising essentially the same arguments presented in the Free Press petition. The FCC is currently in the process of considering these renewal applications and we believe the objections have no merit.

On October 12, 2004, the FCC issued a Notice of Apparent Liability for Forfeiture (NAL) in the amount of \$7,000 per station to virtually every FOX station, including the 15 FOX affiliates presently licensed to us and the four FOX affiliates programmed by us and one FOX affiliate we sold in 2005. The NAL alleged that the stations broadcast indecent material contained in an episode of a FOX network program that aired on April 7, 2003. We, as well as other parties including the FOX network, filed oppositions to the NAL. That proceeding is still pending. Although we cannot predict the outcome of that proceeding or the effect of any adverse outcome on the stations license renewal applications, the FOX network has agreed to indemnify its affiliates for the full amount of this liability.

On July 21, 2005, we filed with the FCC an application to acquire the license and non-license television broadcast assets of WNAB-TV in Nashville, Tennessee. The Rainbow/PUSH Coalition (Rainbow/PUSH) filed a petition to deny that application and also requested that the FCC initiate a hearing to investigate whether WNAB-TV was improperly operated with WZTV-TV and WUXP-TV, two of our stations located in the same market as WNAB-TV. The FCC is currently in the process of considering the transfer of the broadcast license and we believe the Rainbow/PUSH petition has no merit.

On August 1, 2005, we filed applications with the FCC requesting renewal of the broadcast licenses for WICS-TV and WICD-TV in Springfield/Champaign, Illinois. Subsequently, various viewers filed informal objections requesting that the FCC deny these renewal applications. On September 30, 2005, we filed an application with the FCC for the renewal of the broadcast license for KGAN-TV in Cedar Rapids, Iowa. On December 28, 2005, an organization calling itself—Iowans for Better Local Television—filed a petition to deny that application. The FCC is currently in the process of considering these renewal applications and we believe the objections and petitions requesting denial have no merit.

On August 1, 2005, we filed applications with the FCC requesting renewal of the broadcast licenses for WCGV-TV and WVTV-TV in Milwaukee, Wisconsin. On November 1, 2005, the Milwaukee Public Interest Media Coalition filed a petition with the FCC to deny these renewal applications. On June 13, 2007, the Video Division of the FCC denied the petition to deny, and subsequently, the Milwaukee Public Interest Media Coalition filed a petition for reconsideration of that decision, which we opposed. The petition for reconsideration and the renewal applications are currently pending.

On February 27, 2006, an individual named James Pennino purportedly filed a petition to deny the license renewal application of WUCW-TV in Minneapolis, Minnesota. Despite not having found any official record of the filing, we opposed the petition and the renewal application is currently pending.

Action on many license renewal applications, including those we have filed, has been delayed because of the pendency of complaints that programming aired by the various networks contained indecent material and complaints regarding alleged violations of sponsorship identification rules. We cannot predict when the FCC will address these complaints and act on the renewal applications.

Recent actions by the FCC have also made it difficult for us to predict the impact on our license renewals from allegations related to the airing of indecent material that may arise in the ordinary course of our business. For example, on Veterans Day in November 2004, we preempted (did not air) Saving Private Ryan, a program that was aired during ABC s network programming time. We were concerned that since the program contained the use of the F word (indecent material as defined by the FCC) airing the programming could result in a fine or other negative consequences for one or more of our ABC stations. In February 2005, the FCC dismissed all complaints filed against ABC stations regarding this program. The FCC s decision justified what some may consider indecent material as appropriate in the context of the program. Although this ruling has expanded the programming opportunities of our stations, it still leaves us at risk because what might be determined as legitimate context by us may not be deemed so by the FCC and the FCC will not rule beforehand as this may be considered a restriction of free speech. For example, in September 2006, we preempted a CBS network documentary on the events that happened on September 11, 2001 because the program contained what some have argued is indecent material and the FCC would not provide, in advance of the airing of the documentary, any

guidance on whether that material was appropriate in the context of the program. The result of this is that we only know that Saving Private Ryan and Schindler's List are allowed to be aired in their unedited entirety under current FCC rulings.

#### Ownership Matters

*General*. The Communications Act prohibits the assignment of a broadcast license or the transfer of control of a broadcast license without the prior approval of the FCC. In determining whether to permit the assignment or transfer of control of, or the grant or renewal of, a broadcast license, the FCC considers a number of factors pertaining to the licensee, including compliance with various rules limiting common ownership of media properties, the character of the licensee and those persons holding attributable interests in that licensee and compliance with the Communications Act s limitations on alien ownership.

To obtain the FCC s prior consent to assign a broadcast license or transfer control of a broadcast license, appropriate applications must be filed with the FCC. If the application involves a substantial change in ownership or control, the application must be placed on public notice for a period of approximately 30 days during which petitions to deny the application may be filed by interested parties, including members of the public. If the application does not involve a substantial change in ownership or control, it is a pro forma application. The pro forma application is not subject to petitions to deny or a mandatory waiting period, but is nevertheless subject to having informal objections filed against it. If the FCC grants an assignment or transfer application, interested parties have approximately 30 days from public notice of the grant to seek reconsideration or review of the grant. Generally, parties that do not file initial petitions to deny, or informal objections against the application, face difficulty in seeking reconsideration or review of the grant. The FCC normally has an additional ten days to set aside such grant on its own motion. When passing on an assignment or transfer application, the FCC is prohibited from considering whether the public interest might be served by an assignment or transfer to any party other than the assignee or transferee specified in the application.

The FCC generally applies its ownership limits to attributable interests held by an individual, corporation, partnership or other association. In the case of corporations holding, or through subsidiaries controlling, broadcast licenses, the interests of officers, directors and those who, directly or indirectly, have the right to vote 5% or more of the corporation s stock (or 20% or more of such stock in the case of insurance companies, investment companies and bank trust departments that are passive investors) are generally attributable. In August 1999, the FCC revised its attribution and multiple ownership rules and adopted the equity-debt-plus rule that causes certain creditors or investors to be attributable owners of a station. Under this rule, a major programming supplier (any programming supplier that provides more than 15% of the station s weekly programming hours) or same-market media entity will be an attributable owner of a station if the supplier or same-market media entity holds debt or equity, or both, in the station that is greater than 33% of the value of the station s total debt plus equity. For the purposes of this rule, equity includes all stock, whether voting or non-voting, and equity held by insulated limited partners in partnerships. Debt includes all liabilities whether long-term or short-term. In addition, LMAs are attributable where a licensee owns a television station and programs more than 15% of another television station in the same market.

The Communications Act prohibits the issuance of a broadcast license to, or the holding of a broadcast license by, any corporation of which more than 20% of the capital stock is owned of record or voted by non-U. S. citizens or their representatives or by a foreign government or a representative thereof, or by any corporation organized under the laws of a foreign country (collectively, aliens). The Communications Act also authorizes the FCC, if the FCC determines that it would be in the public interest, to prohibit the issuance of a broadcast license to, or the holding of a broadcast license by, any corporation directly or indirectly controlled by any other corporation of which more than 25% of the capital stock is owned of record or voted by aliens. The FCC has issued interpretations of existing law under which these restrictions in modified form apply to other forms of business organizations, including partnerships.

As a result of these provisions, the licenses granted to our subsidiaries by the FCC could be revoked if, among other restrictions imposed by the FCC, more than 25% of our stock were directly or indirectly owned or voted by aliens. Sinclair and its subsidiaries are domestic corporations, and the members of the Smith family (who together hold approximately 82% of the common voting rights of Sinclair) are all United States citizens. Our amended and restated Articles of Incorporation (the Amended Certificate) contain limitations on alien ownership and control that are substantially similar to those contained in the Communications Act. Pursuant to the Amended Certificate, we have the right to repurchase alien-owned shares at their fair market value to the extent necessary, in the judgment of the Board of Directors, to comply with the alien ownership restrictions.

Ownership Matters 23

In January 2008, the FCC released a Report and Order that, with the exception of the newspaper/broadcast cross-ownership rule, essentially re-adopts the ownership rules the FCC originally introduced in 1999 and has enforced since then.

The relevant 2008 ownership rules are as follows:

Radio/Television Cross-Ownership Rule. The FCC s radio/television cross-ownership rule (the one to a market rule) generally permits a party to own a combination of up to two television stations and six radio stations in the same market, depending on the number of independent media voices in the market.

Newspaper/Broadcast Cross-Ownership Rule. The FCC s rule generally prohibits the common ownership of a radio or television broadcast station and a daily newspaper in the same market. However, the FCC will presume that, in the top 20 DMAs, it is not inconsistent with the public interest for one entity to own a daily newspaper and a radio station or, under the following circumstances, a daily newspaper and a television station: if (1) the television station is not ranked among the top-four stations in the DMA and (2) at least eight independent major media voices remain in the DMA. The FCC will presume that all other newspaper/broadcast mergers are not in the public interest, but it will allow applicants to seek a waiver and rebut this presumption by clear and convincing evidence that, post-merger, the merged entity will increase the diversity of independent news outlets and increase competition among independent news sources in the relevant market.

*Dual Network Rule*. The four major television networks, FOX, ABC, CBS and NBC, are prohibited, absent a waiver, from merging with each other. In May 2001, the FCC amended its dual network rule to permit the four major television networks to own, operate, maintain or control other television networks, such as The CW or MyNetworkTV.

National Ownership Rule. As of 2004, by statute, the national television viewing audience reach cap is 39%. Under this rule, where an individual or entity has an attributable interest in more than one television station in a market, the percentage of the national television viewing audience encompassed within that market is only counted once. Additionally, since historically, VHF stations (channels 2 through 13) have shared a larger portion of the market than UHF stations (channels 14 through 69), only half of the households in the market area of any UHF station are included when calculating an entity s national television viewing audience (commonly referred to as the UHF discount ). The FCC has initiated a proceeding to assess whether it has authority to alter the UHF Discount.

All but seven of the stations we own and operate, or to which we provide programming services, are UHF. We reach approximately 22% of U. S. television households or 12.3% taking into account the FCC s UHF discount.

Local Television (Duopoly) Rule. A party may own television stations in adjoining markets, even if there is Grade B (discussed below) overlap between the two stations analog signals and generally may own two stations in the same market:

- if there is no Grade B overlap between the stations; or
- if the market containing both the stations will contain at least eight independently owned full-power television stations post-merger (the eight voices test ) and not more than one station is among the top-four ranked stations in the market.

In addition, a party may request a waiver of the rule to acquire a second or third station in the market if the station to be acquired is economically distressed or not yet constructed and there is no party who does not own a local television station who would purchase the station for a reasonable price.

There are three grades of service for traditional analog television broadcasts, City (strongest), Grade A and Grade B (least strong); and the signal decreases in strength the further away the viewer is from the broadcast antenna tower. Generally, it is not as easy for viewers with properly installed outdoor antennas to receive a Grade B signal, as it is to receive a Grade A or City Grade signal.

Antitrust Regulation. The Department of Justice (DOJ) and the Federal Trade Commission have increased their scrutiny of the television industry since the adoption of the 1996 Act and have reviewed matters related to the concentration of ownership within markets (including LMAs) even when ownership or the LMA in question is permitted under the laws administered by the FCC or by FCC rules and regulations. The DOJ takes the position that an LMA entered into in anticipation of a station s acquisition with the proposed buyer of the station constitutes a change in beneficial ownership of the station which, if subject to filing under the Hart-Scott-Rodino Anti Trust Improvements Act, cannot be implemented until the waiting period required by that statute has ended or been terminated.

Expansion of our broadcast operations on both a local and national level will continue to be subject to the FCC s ownership rules and any changes the FCC or Congress may adopt. At the same time, any further relaxation of the FCC s ownership rules, which could occur if the rules adopted in 2008 are declared unenforceable, may increase the level of competition in one or more markets in which our stations are located, more specifically to the extent that any of our competitors may have greater resources and thereby be in a superior position to take advantage of such changes.

#### **Local Marketing Agreements**

Certain of our stations have entered into what have commonly been referred to as local marketing agreements or LMAs. One typical type of LMA is a programming agreement between two separately owned television stations serving the same market, whereby the licensee of one station programs substantial portions of the broadcast day and sells advertising time during such programming segments on the other licensee s station subject to the ultimate editorial and other controls being exercised by the latter licensee. We believe these arrangements allow us to reduce our operating expenses and enhance profitability.

In 1999, the FCC established a new local television ownership rule and decided to attribute LMAs for ownership purposes. It grandfathered our LMAs that were entered into prior to November 5, 1996, permitting the applicable stations to continue operations pursuant to the LMAs until the conclusion of the FCC s 2004 biennial review. The FCC stated it would conduct a case-by-case review of grandfathered LMAs and assess the appropriateness of extending the grandfathering periods. Subsequently, the FCC invited comments as to whether, instead of beginning the review of the grandfathered LMAs in 2004, it should do so in 2006. The FCC did not initiate any review of grandfathered LMAs in 2004 or as part of its 2006 quadrennial review. We do not know when, or if, the FCC will conduct any such review of grandfathered LMAs. With respect to LMAs executed on or after November 5, 1996, the FCC required that parties come into compliance with the 1999 local television ownership rule by August 6, 2001. We challenged the 1999 local television ownership rule in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit, and that court stayed the enforcement of the divestiture of the post-November 5, 1996 LMAs. In 2002, the D.C. Circuit ruled in *Sinclair Broadcast Group, Inc. v. F.C.C.*, 284 F.3d 114 (D.C. Cir. 2002) that the 1999 local television ownership rule was arbitrary and capricious and remanded the rule to the Commission.

In 2003, the FCC revised its ownership rules, including the local television ownership rule. The effective date of the 2003 ownership rules was stayed by the U. S. Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit and the rules were remanded to the FCC. Because the effective date of the 2003 ownership rules had been stayed and, in connection with the adoption of those rules, the FCC concluded the 1999 rules could not be justified as necessary in the public interest, we took the position that an issue exists regarding whether the FCC has any current legal right to enforce any rules prohibiting the acquisition of television stations. Several parties, including us, filed petitions with the Supreme Court of the United States seeking review of the Third Circuit decision, but the Supreme Court denied the petitions in June 2005.

In July 2006, as part of the FCC s statutorily required quadrennial review of its media ownership rules, the FCC released a Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making seeking comment on how to address the issues raised by the Third Circuit s decision, among other things, remanding the local television ownership rule. In January 2008, the FCC released an order containing its current ownership rules, which re-adopted its 1999 local television ownership rule. On February 8, 2008, we filed a petition with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit requesting that the Court direct the FCC to cease its use of the 1999 local television ownership rule that it re-adopted as the permanent rule in 2008.

On November 15, 1999, we entered into a plan and agreement of merger to acquire through merger WMYA-TV (formerly WBSC-TV) in Anderson, South Carolina from Cunningham Broadcasting Corporation (Cunningham), but that transaction was denied by the FCC. In light of the change in the 2003 ownership rules, we filed a petition for reconsideration with the FCC and amended our application to acquire the license of WMYA-TV. We also filed applications in November 2003 to acquire the license assets of the remaining five Cunningham stations: WRGT-TV, Dayton, Ohio; WTAT-TV, Charleston, South Carolina; WVAH-TV, Charleston, West Virginia; WNUV-TV, Baltimore, Maryland; and WTTE-TV, Columbus, Ohio. Rainbow/PUSH filed a petition to deny these five applications and to revoke all of our licenses. The FCC dismissed our applications in light of the stay of the 2003 ownership rules and also denied the Rainbow/PUSH petition. Rainbow/PUSH filed a petition for reconsideration of that denial and we filed an application for review of the dismissal. In 2005, we filed a petition with the U. S. Court of Appeals for the D. C. Circuit requesting that the Court direct the FCC to take final action on our applications, but that petition was dismissed. On January 6, 2006, we submitted a motion to the FCC requesting that it take final action on our applications. On February 8, 2008, we filed a petition with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit requesting that the Court direct the FCC to act on our assignment applications and to cease its use of the 1999 local television ownership rule that it re-adopted as the permanent rule in 2008. The applications and the associated petition to deny are still pending. We believe the Rainbow/PUSH petition is without merit.

The Satellite Home Viewer Act (SHVA), The Satellite Home Viewer Improvement Act (SHVIA) and the Satellite Home Viewer Extension and Reauthorization Act (SHVERA)

In 1988, Congress enacted the Satellite Home Viewer Act (SHVA), which enabled satellite carriers to provide broadcast programming to those satellite subscribers who were unable to obtain broadcast network programming over-the-air. SHVA did not permit satellite carriers to retransmit local broadcast television signals directly to their subscribers. The Satellite Home Viewer Improvement Act of 1999 (SHVIA) revised SHVA to reflect changes in the satellite and broadcasting industry. This legislation allowed satellite carriers, until December 31, 2004, to provide local television signals by satellite within a station market, and effective January 1, 2002, required satellite carriers to carry all local signals in any market where they carry any local signals. On or before July 1, 2001, SHVIA required all television stations to elect to exercise certain must carry or retransmission consent rights in connection with their carriage by satellite carriers. We have entered into compensation agreements granting the two primary satellite carriers retransmission consent to carry all our stations. In December 2004, President Bush signed into law the Satellite Home Viewer Extension and Reauthorization Act (SHVERA). SHVERA extended, until December 31, 2009, the rights of broadcasters and satellite carriers under SHVIA to retransmit local television signals by satellite. SHVERA also authorized satellite delivery of distant network signals, significantly viewed signals and local low-power television station signals into local markets under defined circumstances. With respect to digital signals, SHVERA established a process to allow satellite carriers to retransmit distant network signals and significantly viewed signals to subscribers under certain circumstances. In November 2005, the FCC completed a rulemaking proceeding enabling the satellite carriage of significantly viewed signals. In December 2005, the FCC concluded a study, as required by SHVERA, regarding the applicable technical standards for determining when a subscriber may receive a distant digital network signal. The carriage of programming from two network stations to a local market on the same satellite system could result in a decline in viewership of the local network station, adversely impacting the revenues of our affected owned and programmed stations.

#### Must Carry/Retransmission Consent

Pursuant to the Cable Act of 1992, television broadcasters are required to make triennial elections to exercise either certain must-carry or retransmission consent rights in connection with their carriage by cable systems in each broadcaster's local market. Our next election date is October 1, 2008, and the election will cover the period January 1, 2009 through December 31, 2011. By electing the must-carry rights, a broadcaster demands carriage on a specific channel on cable systems within its DMA, in general, as defined by the Nielsen DMA Market and Demographic Rank Report of the prior year. These must-carry rights are not absolute and their exercise is dependent on variables such as:

- the number of activated channels on a cable system;
- the location and size of a cable system; and
- the amount of programming on a broadcast station that duplicates the programming of another broadcast station carried by the cable system.

Therefore, under certain circumstances, a cable system may decline to carry a given station. Alternatively, if a broadcaster chooses to exercise retransmission consent rights, it can prohibit cable systems from carrying its signal or grant the appropriate cable system the authority to retransmit the broadcast signal for a fee or other consideration. In October 2005, we elected retransmission consent with respect to all of our stations. Some of these retransmission consent agreements had been negotiated for cable carriage of our analog and/or digital signal and are short-term and subject to month-to-month extensions.

In February 2005, the FCC adopted an order stating that cable television systems are not required to carry both a station s analog and digital signals during the digital transition period. Thus, only television stations operating solely with digital signals are entitled to mandatory carriage of their digital signal by cable companies. In addition, it is technically possible for a television station to broadcast more than one channel of programming using its digital signal. The same FCC order clarified that cable systems need only carry a broadcast station s primary video stream and not any of the station s other programming streams in those situations where a station chooses to transmit multiple programming streams.

Many of the viewers of our television stations receive the signal of the stations via MVPDs. MVPDs generally transmit our signals pursuant to permission granted by us in retransmission consent agreements. A portion of these retransmission consent agreements will expire in 2008. Most agreements expire in 2009. There can be no assurance that future negotiations of these agreements will be advantageous to us or that we or the MVPDs might not determine to terminate some or all of these agreements. A termination of our retransmission consent agreements would make it more difficult for our viewers to watch our programming and could result in lower ratings and a negative financial impact on us. There can be no assurances that we will be able to negotiate mutually acceptable retransmission consent agreements in the future relating to the carriage of our digital signals. However, we believe that as these agreements expire we will be able to negotiate terms as favorable as or more favorable than the existing agreements.

#### Syndicated Exclusivity/Territorial Exclusivity

The FCC s syndicated exclusivity rules allow local broadcast television stations to demand that cable operators black out syndicated non-network programming carried on distant signals (i.e. signals of broadcast stations, including so-called superstations , which serve areas substantially removed from the cable systems local community). The FCC s network non-duplication rules allow local broadcast, network affiliated stations to require that cable operators black out duplicate network programming carried on distant signals. However, in a number of markets in which we own or program stations affiliated with a network, a station that is affiliated with the same network in a nearby market is carried on cable systems in our markets. This is not necessarily a violation of the FCC s network non-duplication rules. However, the carriage of two network stations on the same cable system could result in a decline of viewership, adversely affecting the revenues of our owned or programmed stations.

#### Digital Television

The FCC has taken a number of steps to implement digital television (DTV) broadcasting services. The FCC has adopted an allotment table that provides all authorized television stations with a second channel on which to broadcast a DTV signal. The FCC has attempted to provide DTV coverage areas that are comparable to stations—existing service areas. The FCC has ruled that television broadcast licensees may use their digital channels for a wide variety of services such as high-definition television, multiple standard definition television programming, audio, data and other types of communications, subject to the requirement that each broadcaster provide at least one free video channel equal in quality to the current technical standard and further subject to the requirement that broadcasters pay a fee of 5% of gross revenues from any DTV ancillary or supplementary service for which there is a subscription fee or for which the licensee receives a fee from a third party.

DTV channels are generally located in the range of channels from channel 2 through channel 51. All commercial stations were required to begin digital broadcasting on May 1, 2002. Under the FCC s rules, all DTV stations are required to operate at all times in which their analog stations are operating. In September 2004, the FCC eliminated its requirement that a digital station simulcast a certain percentage of the programming transmitted on its associated analog station.

As of December 31, 2004, DTV stations were required to meet a certain signal strength standard for the digital signal coverage in their communities of license. By July 2005, a DTV licensee affiliated with a top four network (i.e, FOX, ABC, CBS or NBC) that is located in one of the top 100 markets was required to meet a higher replication standard or lose interference protection for those areas not covered by the digital signal. For a station subject to this deadline which had not yet received a construction permit, the FCC required that such station build a checklist facility by August 2005. For all other commercial DTV licensees, as well as non-commercial DTV licensees, that have received construction permits, the deadline for meeting a higher replication standard was July 2006. All of our stations filed a DTV status report with the FCC, as required, by the February 19, 2008 deadline. In August 2007 we filed a request for WSMH-DT for an extension and/or waiver of the FCC s rule permitting satellite subscribers to qualify, under a digital signal strength test, for satellite retransmission of distant digital network signals. The FCC granted the request in October 2007. We will need to seek further extension and waiver of the extended deadline for WSMH-DT by March 18, 2008, and there is no guarantee that such extension request will be granted. If the request is not granted, the station could lose viewers which could adversely impact revenues for that station.

We operate our television stations at different power levels pursuant to our FCC licenses, applicable permits or special temporary authority granted by the FCC. The following table is a summary of our operating status as of January 31, 2008:

**DTV Operating Status** 

# of Station

Operating with approved digital license, at full power	21
Operating at full power, pending license approval	23
Operating at low power with special temporary authority	2
Applications pending for construction permits	1
LMA/JSA stations operating with approved digital license, at full power	5
LMA/JSA stations operating at full power, pending license approval	4
LMA/JSA stations operating at low power with special temporary authority	2
	58

In April 2003, the FCC adopted a policy of graduated sanctions to be imposed upon licensees who do not meet the FCC s DTV build-out schedule. Under the policy, the stations could face monetary fines and possible loss of any digital construction permits for non-compliance with the build-out schedule. After completion of the transition period, the FCC will reclaim the non-digital channels. Congress passed legislation establishing a hard deadline of February 17, 2009 by which broadcasters must cease using their analog channel. There can be no assurance that the stations we own or program will be fully transitioned to digital broadcasts by this deadline. A station s failure to meet the deadline could result in a loss of interference protection or the applicable FCC license, adversely impacting the revenues of stations we own or to which we provide services.

On December 31, 2007, the FCC released an order discussing the progress of the transition to digital television and imposing new reporting obligations and pre-transition construction deadlines on digital television station licensees and permittees. As of February 22, 2008 all but 10 of the television stations we own or to which we provide services are operating on their authorized post-transition digital channels and have certified to the FCC that they are doing so in compliance with the new interim construction deadlines. As a result of the order, the remaining 10 television stations, which are not operating on their post-transition DTV channels, are required to comply with the new pre-transition construction deadlines, and file construction permit applications, construction permit extension applications, or tolling requests, as appropriate. There can be no assurance that the FCC will grant applications filed for these stations or that the stations will meet the FCC pre-transition deadlines or be fully transitioned to digital broadcasts by February 17, 2009.

On August 7, 2007, the FCC released an order which adopted the **final DTV table of allotments** establishing the post-transition digital channels for all full-power television stations in the country. On October 26, 2007, we filed petitions for reconsideration of the FCC s final DTV table for stations WTVZ-DT, WMMP-DT, and WVTV-DT requesting that the Commission correct the FCC antenna identification numbers and power levels for the stations set forth in the DTV table. There is no guarantee that the FCC will make the requested corrections and a failure of the FCC to do so might result in decreased service to viewers of those stations.

Implementation of digital television has imposed substantial additional costs on television stations because of the need to replace equipment and because some stations will need to operate at higher utility costs. There can be no assurance that our television stations will be able to increase revenue to offset such costs. In addition, the FCC has proposed imposing new public interest requirements on television licensees in exchange for their receipt of DTV channels.

We believe that the following developments regarding the FCC s digital regulations may have effects on us:

Reclamation of analog channels. Analog broadcasters are required to cease operation on their assigned analog spectrum by February 17, 2009. At that time, the FCC will reclaim this spectrum from broadcasters and make it available to the entities that have been assigned the spectrum through FCC auctions. The FCC envisions that the reclaimed band will be used for a variety of broadcast-type applications including two-way interactive services and services using Coded Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing technology. We cannot predict how the development of this spectrum will affect our television operations.

Digital must-carry. In February 2005, the FCC adopted an order stating that cable television systems are not required to carry both a must-carry station s analog and digital signals during the digital transition. The same order also clarified that a cable system must only carry a must-carry station s primary video stream but is not required to carry any of the station s other programming streams in those situations where a station chooses to transmit multiple programming streams. On September 11, 2007, the FCC adopted an order requiring, after the digital transition, all cable operators to make the primary digital stream of must-carry television stations viewable by all cable subscribers, regardless of whether they are using analog or digital television equipment. The FCC indicated that it would consider requests for a waiver of this requirement by small cable system operators, where compliance with that requirement would be unduly burdensome. Grant of any such waiver to a small cable system operator in a market in which we operate could result in a loss of viewers for our station(s) in that market, which could negatively impact station revenues.

Multi-Channel Digital Broadcasting. FCC rules allow broadcasters to transmit additional digital signals within the spectrum allocated to each FCC license holder. We are currently broadcasting a single digital signal for all but four of our television stations. During 2006, we began broadcasting a second digital signal in Baltimore, Maryland. In 2006, we also entered into agreements with MyNetworkTV to air prime-time programming on the second digital signal in Columbus, Ohio, Dayton, Ohio and Richmond, Virginia. During non-prime-time hours these stations air religious, paid-programming, classic syndicated programming and simulcasting of our primary digital channel.

Capital and operating costs. We have incurred and will continue to incur costs to replace equipment in our stations in order to provide digital television. Some of our stations will also incur increased utilities costs as a result of broadcasting both analog and digital signals during the transition period.

Children s programming. In 2004, the FCC established children s educational and informational programming obligations for digital multicast broadcasters and placed restrictions on the increasing commercialization of children s programming on both analog and digital broadcast and cable television systems. In addition to imposing its limit as to the amount of commercial matter in children s programming (10.5 minutes per hour on weekends and 12 minutes per hour on weekdays) on all digital or video programming, free or pay, directed to children 12 years old and younger, the FCC also mandated that digital broadcasters air an additional half hour of core children s programming for every 28-hour block of free video programming provided in addition to the main DTV program stream. The additional core children s programming requirement for digital broadcasters took effect on January 2, 2007.

Emergency Alert System. In November 2005, the FCC adopted an order requiring that digital broadcasters comply with the FCC s present Emergency Alert System (EAS) rules. It also issued a further notice of proposed rulemaking seeking comments on what actions the FCC should take to expedite the development of a digitally based public alert and warning system. On July 12, 2007, the Commission adopted an order allowing mandatory use of EAS by state governments and requiring that all EAS participants, including television broadcasters, be able to receive messages formatted pursuant to a procedure to be adopted by the Federal Emergency Management Agency. In a further notice, the FCC invited comments on, among other things, how the EAS rules could be modified to ensure that non-English speakers and persons with disabilities are reached by EAS messages and whether local, county, tribal, or other state governmental entities should be allowed to initiate mandatory state and local alerts. Any additional EAS requirements on digital broadcasters could increase our costs.

#### Restrictions on Broadcast Programming

Advertising of cigarettes and certain other tobacco products on broadcast stations has been banned for many years. Various states also restrict the advertising of alcoholic beverages and, from time to time, certain members of Congress have contemplated legislation to place restrictions on the advertisement of such alcoholic beverages. FCC rules also restrict the amount and type of advertising which can appear in a program broadcast primarily for an audience of children 12 years old and younger. In addition, the Federal Trade Commission issued guidelines in December 2003 and continues to provide advice to help media outlets voluntarily screen out weight loss product advertisements that are misleading.

The Communications Act and FCC rules also place restrictions on the broadcasting of advertisements by legally qualified candidates for elective office. Those restrictions state that:

- stations must provide reasonable access for the purchase of time by legally qualified candidates for federal office;
- stations must provide equal opportunities for the purchase of equivalent amounts of comparable broadcast time by opposing candidates for the same elective office; and
- during the 45 days preceding a primary or primary run-off election and during the 60 days preceding a general or special election, legally qualified candidates for elective office may be charged no more than the station s lowest unit charge for the same class and amount of time for the same period.

It is a violation of federal law and FCC regulations to broadcast obscene or indecent programming. FCC licensees are, in general, responsible for the content of their broadcast programming, including that supplied by television networks. Accordingly, there is a risk of being fined as a result of our broadcast programming, including network programming. As a result of legislation passed in June 2006, the maximum forfeiture amount for the broadcast of indecent or obscene material was increased to \$325,000 from \$32,500 for each violation. In July 2007, a bill was introduced in Congress that would require the FCC, in enforcing its regulations concerning the broadcast of indecent programming, to maintain a policy that a single word or image may be considered indecent.

#### **Programming and Operation**

General. The Communications Act requires broadcasters to serve the public interest. The FCC has relaxed or eliminated many of the more formalized procedures it had developed in the past to promote the broadcast of certain types of programming responsive to the needs of a station s community of license. FCC licensees continue to be required, however, to present programming that is responsive to the needs and interests of their communities and to maintain certain records demonstrating such responsiveness. Complaints from viewers concerning a station s programming may be considered by the FCC when it evaluates renewal applications of a licensee, although such complaints may be filed at any time and generally may be considered by the FCC at any time. Stations also must pay regulatory and application fees and follow various rules promulgated under the Communications Act that regulate, among other things, political advertising, sponsorship identifications, obscene and indecent broadcasts and technical operations, including limits on radio frequency radiation.

In 2000, the FCC initiated a rulemaking proceeding to determine whether its requirements pertaining to television stations public inspection files were sufficient to ensure that the public had adequate access to information on how stations were serving their communities. In January 2008, the FCC released an order adopting a standardized form for the quarterly reporting of programming aired in response to issues facing a station s community and imposed a requirement that portions of each station s public inspection file be placed on the Internet. We cannot predict how these requirements will impact our business.

Equal Employment Opportunity. On November 20, 2002, the FCC adopted rules, effective March 10, 2003, requiring licensees to create equal employment opportunity outreach programs and maintain records and make filings with the FCC evidencing such

efforts. The FCC simultaneously released a notice of proposed rulemaking seeking comments on whether and how to apply these rules and policies to part-time positions, defined as less than 30 hours per week. That rulemaking is still pending.

Children s Television Programming. Television stations are required to broadcast a minimum of three hours per week of core children s educational programming, which the FCC defines as programming that:

- has the significant purpose of serving the educational and informational needs of children 16 years of age and under;
- is regularly scheduled weekly and at least 30 minutes in duration; and
- is aired between the hours of 7:00 a.m. and 10:00 p.m. local time.

In addition, the FCC concluded that starting on January 2, 2007, a digital broadcaster must air an additional half hour of core children s programming per every increment of 1 to 28 hours of free video programming provided in addition to the main DTV program stream. Furthermore, core children s educational programs, in order to qualify as such, are required to be identified as educational and informational programs over-the-air at the time they are broadcast and are required to be identified in the children s programming reports, which are required to be placed quarterly in stations public inspection files and filed quarterly with the FCC.

On April 17, 2007, the FCC requested comments on the status of children s television programming and compliance with the Children s Television Act and the FCC s rules. That proceeding is still pending.

Violent Programming. In 2004, the FCC initiated a notice of inquiry seeking comments on issues relating to the presentation of violent programming on television and its impact on children. On April 25, 2007, the FCC released a report concluding that there is strong evidence that exposure to violence in the media can increase aggressive behavior in children, at least in the short term. Accordingly, the FCC concluded that it would be in the public interest to regulate such programming and Congress could do so consistent with the First Amendment. As possible solutions, the FCC suggested, among other things, a voluntary industry initiative to reduce the amount of excessively violent programming viewed by children and also proposed several viewer-initiated blocking proposals, such as the provision of video channels by multi-channel video programming distributors on family tiers or on an a la carte basis. We cannot predict what rules the FCC will adopt or how they will affect our business.

Television Program Content. The television industry has developed an FCC approved ratings system that is designed to provide parents with information regarding the content of the programming being aired. Furthermore, the FCC requires certain television sets to include the so-called V-chip, a computer chip that allows the blocking of rated programming. It is a violation of federal law and FCC regulations to broadcast obscene or indecent programming. FCC licensees are, in general, responsible for the content of their broadcast programming, including that supplied by television networks. Accordingly, there is a risk of being fined as a result of our broadcast programming, including network programming.

Localism. In 2004, the FCC initiated a notice of inquiry seeking comments on what actions, if any, it should take to ensure that licensees air programming that is responsive to the interests and needs of their communities. In January 2008, the FCC released a notice of proposed rulemaking proposing, among other things, to require licensees to establish permanent advisory boards and to modify the FCC s renewal application processing guidelines to ensure that all broadcasters provide some locally oriented programming. Additionally, the FCC proposed to grant Class A status to additional low-power television stations, providing such stations additional interference protection from full-power television stations and requiring such stations to provide local programming. We cannot predict what rules the FCC will adopt and how it will affect our business.

Closed Captioning. Effective January 1, 2006, all new nonexempt analog and digital English language programming was required to be captioned. Additionally, the FCC, in July 2005, initiated a rulemaking to determine whether any revisions should be made to enhance the effectiveness of its closed captioning rules, including monitoring compliance and the establishment of a base forfeiture amount for noncompliance.

#### **Pending Matters**

Congress and the FCC have under consideration and in the future may consider and adopt, new laws, regulations and policies regarding a wide variety of matters that could affect, directly or indirectly, the operation, ownership and profitability of our broadcast stations, result in the loss of audience share and advertising revenues for our broadcast stations and affect our ability to acquire additional broadcast stations or finance such acquisitions.

Other matters that could affect our broadcast properties include technological innovations and developments generally affecting competition in the mass communications industry, such as direct television broadcast satellite service, Class A television service, the continued establishment of wireless cable systems and low power television stations, digital television technologies, the internet and mobility and portability of our broadcast signal to hand-held devices.

18

Pending Matters 38

For example, in October 2006, the FCC adopted an order taking the initial steps toward allowing new low power devices to operate in the broadcast television spectrum at locations where channels in that spectrum are not in use. Initial FCC tests of prototype devices failed to show that the tested devices could predictably sense the presence of television broadcast signals and thus could result in interference to broadcast stations. In October 2007, the FCC announced that it would be conducting further laboratory and field testing of prototype devices. The operation of such devices could cause harmful interference to our broadcast signals adversely affecting the operation and profitability of our stations.

In December 2006, the FCC adopted an order prohibiting franchising authorities from unreasonably refusing to award competitive franchises for the provision of cable services. The order could facilitate the provision of such services by telephone companies, increasing competition to our stations.

#### Other Considerations

The preceding summary is not a complete discussion of all provisions of the Communications Act, the 1996 Act or other congressional acts or of the regulations and policies of the FCC. For further information, reference should be made to the Communications Act, the 1996 Act, other congressional acts and regulations and public notices circulated from time to time by the FCC. There are additional regulations and policies of the FCC and other federal agencies that govern political broadcasts, advertising, equal employment opportunity and other matters affecting our business and operations.

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATION**

Prior to our ownership or operation of our facilities, substances or waste that are, or might be considered, hazardous under applicable environmental laws may have been generated, used, stored or disposed of at certain of those facilities. In addition, environmental conditions relating to the soil and groundwater at or under our facilities may be affected by the proximity of nearby properties that have generated, used, stored or disposed of hazardous substances. As a result, it is possible that we could become subject to environmental liabilities in the future in connection with these facilities under applicable environmental laws and regulations. Although we believe that we are in substantial compliance with such environmental requirements and have not in the past been required to incur significant costs in connection therewith, there can be no assurance that our costs to comply with such requirements will not increase in the future. We presently believe that none of our properties have any condition that is likely to have a material adverse effect on our consolidated balance sheets, consolidated statements of operations or consolidated statements of cash flows.

#### **COMPETITION**

Our television stations compete for audience share and advertising revenue with other television stations in their respective designated market areas (DMAs), as well as with other advertising media such as radio, newspapers, magazines, outdoor advertising, transit advertising, telecommunications providers, internet, yellow page directories, direct mail, MVPDs and wireless video. Some competitors are part of larger organizations with substantially greater financial, technical and other resources than we have. Other factors that are material to a television station s competitive position include signal coverage, local program acceptance, network affiliation, audience characteristics and assigned broadcast frequency.

*Television Competition*. Competition in the television broadcasting industry occurs primarily in individual DMAs. Generally, a television broadcasting station in one DMA does not compete with stations in other DMAs. Our television stations are located in highly competitive DMAs. In addition, certain of our DMAs are overlapped by over-the-air and MVPDs of stations in adjacent DMAs, which tends to spread viewership and advertising expenditures over a larger number of television stations.

Broadcast television stations compete for advertising revenues primarily with other broadcast television stations, radio stations, cable channels, MVPDs serving the same market, as well as with newspapers, the internet, yellow page directories, direct mail, outdoor advertising operators and transit advertisers. Television stations compete for audience share primarily on the basis of program popularity, which has a direct effect on advertising rates. Our network affiliated stations are largely dependent upon the performance of network provided programs in order to attract viewers. Non-network time periods are programmed by the station primarily with syndicated programs purchased for cash, cash and barter or barter-only, as well as through self-produced news, public affairs programs, live local sporting events, paid-programming and other entertainment programming.

Television advertising rates are based upon factors which include the size of the DMA in which the station operates, a program s popularity among the viewers that an advertiser wishes to attract, the number of advertisers competing for the available time, the demographic makeup of the DMA served by the station, the availability of alternative advertising media in the DMA including radio, MVPDs, internet, newspapers and yellow page directories, direct mail, the aggressiveness and knowledge of the sales forces in the DMA and development of projects, features and programs that tie advertiser messages to programming. We believe that our sales and programming strategies allow us to compete effectively for advertising revenues within our DMAs.

The broadcasting industry is continuously faced with technical changes and innovations, competing entertainment and communications media, changes in labor conditions and governmental restrictions or actions of federal regulatory bodies, including the FCC, any of which could possibly have a material affect on a television station s operations and profits. For instance, the FCC has established Class A television service for qualifying low power television stations. This Class A designation provides low power television stations, which ordinarily have no broadcast frequency rights when the low power signal conflicts with a signal from any full power stations, some additional frequency rights. These rights may allow low power stations to compete more effectively with full power stations. We cannot predict the effect of increased competition from Class A television stations in markets where we have full power television stations.

There are sources of video service other than conventional television stations, the most common being cable television, which can increase competition for a broadcast television station by bringing into its market additional program channels. These narrow program channels serve as low rated, expensive programs to local advertisers. Other principal sources of competition include home video exhibition and Direct Broadcast Satellite (DBS) services and Broadband Radio Service (BRS). DBS and cable operators, in particular, compete aggressively for advertising revenues.

Moreover, technology advances and regulatory changes affecting programming delivery though fiber optic telephone lines and video compression could lower entry barriers for new video channels and encourage the further development of increasingly specialized niche programming. Telephone companies are permitted to provide video distribution services via radio communication, on a common carrier basis, as cable systems or as open video systems, each pursuant to different regulatory schemes. Additionally, in January 2004, the FCC concluded an auction for licenses operating in the 12 GHz band that can be used to provide multi-channel video programming distribution. Those licenses were granted in July 2004. We are unable to predict what other video technologies might be considered in the future or the effect that technological and regulatory changes will have on the broadcast television industry and on the future profitability and value of a particular broadcast television station.

DTV broadcasts are currently available in virtually all viewing markets. The transition of our viewers from the current analog broadcast format to a digital format is scheduled to occur on February 17, 2009. We are currently exploring whether or not television broadcasting will be enhanced significantly by the development and increased availability of DTV technology. This technology has the potential to permit us to provide viewers multiple channels of digital television over each of our existing standard digital channels, to provide certain programming in high definition television format and to deliver other channels of information in the forms of data and programming to the internet, to PCs and mobile devices. These additional capabilities may provide us with additional sources of revenue, as well as additional competition.

We also compete for programming, which involves negotiating with national program distributors or syndicators that sell first-run and rerun packages of programming. Our stations compete for exclusive access to those programs against in-market broadcast station competitors for syndicated products and with national cable networks. Public broadcasting stations generally compete with commercial broadcasters for viewers, but not for advertising dollars.

We believe we compete favorably against other television stations because of our management skill and experience, our ability historically to generate revenue share greater than our audience share, our network affiliations and our local program acceptance. In addition, we believe that

we benefit from the operation of multiple broadcast properties, affording us certain non-quantifiable economies of scale and competitive advantages in the purchase of programming.

#### **EMPLOYEES**

As of February 21, 2008, we had approximately 2,760 employees. Approximately 100 employees are represented by labor unions under certain collective bargaining agreements. We have not experienced any significant labor problems and consider our overall labor relations to be good.

20

EMPLOYEES 42

#### AVAILABLE INFORMATION

Our internet address is: www.sbgi.net. We make available, free of charge through our website, our annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Exchange Act of 1934 as soon as reasonably practicable after such documents are electronically submitted to the SEC. In addition, a replay of each of our quarterly earnings conference calls is available on our website until the subsequent quarter s earnings call.

#### ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

You should carefully consider the risks described below before investing in our securities. Our business is also subject to the risks that affect many other companies such as general economic conditions, geopolitical events, competition, technological obsolescence and employee relations. The risks described below, along with risks not currently known to us or that we currently believe are immaterial, may impair our business operations and our liquidity in an adverse way.

Our advertising revenue can vary substantially from period to period based on many factors beyond our control. This volatility affects our operating results and may reduce our ability to repay indebtedness or reduce the market value of our securities.

We rely on sales of advertising time for most of our revenues and, as a result, our operating results are sensitive to the amount of advertising revenue we generate. If we generate less revenue, it may be more difficult for us to repay our indebtedness and the value of our business may decline. Our ability to sell advertising time depends on:

- the levels of automobile advertising, which generally represents about one fifth of our advertising revenue;
- the health of the economy in the area where our television stations are located and in the nation as a whole;
- the popularity of our programming;
- changes in the makeup of the population in the areas where our stations are located;
- the activities of our competitors, including increased competition from other forms of advertising-based mediums, such as other broadcast television stations, radio stations, MVPDs and internet and broadband content providers serving in the same markets; and

• other factors that may be beyond our control.
Our substantial indebtedness could adversely affect our financial condition and prevent us from fulfilling our debt obligations.
We have a high level of debt, totaling \$1.3 billion at December 31, 2007, compared to the book value of shareholders equity of \$252.8 million on the same date. Our relatively high level of debt poses the following risks, particularly in periods of declining revenues:
• we use a significant portion of our cash flow to pay principal and interest on our outstanding debt, limiting the amount available for working capital, capital expenditures, dividends and other general corporate purposes;
• our lenders may not be as willing to lend additional amounts to us for future working capital needs, additional acquisitions or other purposes;
• if our cash flow were inadequate to make interest and principal payments, we might have to refinance our indebtedness or sell one of more of our stations to reduce debt service obligations;
21

• our ability to finance working capital needs and general corporate purposes for the public and private markets, as well as the associated cost of funding is dependent, in part, by our credit ratings. As of December 31, 2007, our credit ratings, as assigned by Moody s Investor Services (Moody s) and Standard & Poor s Ratings Services (S&P) were:

	Moody s	S&P
Senior Secured Credit Facilities	Baa3	BB+
Corporate Credit	Ba3	BB-
Senior Subordinated Notes	Ba3	В
4.875% and 3.0% Convertible Senior Notes	B1	В

The credit ratings previously stated are not a recommendation to buy, sell or hold securities and may be subject to revision or withdrawal by the assigning rating organization. Each rating should be evaluated independently of any other rating.

- we may be more vulnerable to adverse economic conditions than less leveraged competitors and thus, less able to withstand competitive pressures; and
- of the \$1.3 billion of total debt outstanding, \$0.3 billion relates to our Bank Credit Agreement. The interest rate under our Bank Credit Agreement is a floating rate and will increase if interest rates increase. This will reduce the funds available to repay our obligations and for operations and future business opportunities and will make us more vulnerable to the consequences of our leveraged capital structure.

Any of these events could reduce our ability to generate cash available for investment, debt repayment, capital improvements or to respond to events that would enhance profitability.

We may be able to incur significantly more debt in the future, which will increase each of the foregoing risks related to our indebtedness.

At December 31, 2007, we had \$175.0 million available (subject to certain borrowing conditions) for additional borrowings under the Bank Credit Agreement, all of which was available under our current borrowing capacity. In addition, under the terms of our debt instruments, we may be able to incur substantial additional indebtedness in the future, including additional senior debt and in some cases, secured debt. Provided we meet certain financial and other covenants, the terms of the indentures governing our outstanding notes do not prohibit us from incurring such additional indebtedness. If we incur additional indebtedness, the risks described above relating to having substantial debt could intensify.

We must purchase television programming in advance based on expectations about future revenues. Actual revenues may be lower than our expectations. If this happens, we could experience losses that may make our securities less valuable.

One of our most significant costs is television programming. Our ability to generate revenue to cover this cost may affect the value of our securities. If a particular program is not popular in relation to its costs, we may not be able to sell enough advertising time to cover the costs of

the program. Since we generally purchase programming content from others rather than produce it ourselves, we have limited control over the costs of the programming. We usually must purchase programming several years in advance and may have to commit to purchase more than one year s worth of programming. Finally, we may replace programs that are doing poorly before we have recaptured any significant portion of the costs we incurred or before we have fully amortized the costs. Any of these factors could reduce our revenues or otherwise cause our costs to escalate relative to revenues. These factors are exacerbated during a weak advertising market. Additionally, our business is subject to the popularity of the programs provided by the networks with which we have network affiliation agreements or which provide us programming.

Commitments we have made to our lenders limit our ability to take actions that could increase the value of our securities or may require us to take actions that decrease the value of our securities.

Our existing financing agreements prevent us from taking certain actions and require us to meet certain tests. These restrictions and tests may require us to conduct our business in ways that make it more difficult for us to repay our indebtedness or decrease the value of our business. These restrictions and tests include the following:

- restrictions on additional debt;
- restrictions on our ability to pledge our assets as security for our indebtedness;

22

•	restrictions on payment of dividends, the repurchase of stock and other payments relating to capital stock;
•	restrictions on some sales of assets and the use of proceeds from asset sales;
• of acquisitions without	restrictions on mergers and other acquisitions, satisfaction of conditions for acquisitions and a limit on the total amount the consent of bank lenders;
•	restrictions on the type of business we and our subsidiaries may operate in; and
adjusted (adjusted EBI) operating company independent of the company i	financial ratio and condition tests including the ratio of earnings before interest, tax, depreciation and amortization, as fDA) to certain of our fixed expenses, the ratio of adjusted EBITDA to senior indebtedness and adjusted EBITDA to ebtedness.
business strategies, prev our securities. In additi to soften and thereby re obligations or foreclose	ements may contain additional restrictions and tests. All of these restrictive covenants may limit our ability to pursue our vent us from taking action that could increase the value of our securities or may require actions that decrease the value of on, we may fail to meet the tests and thereby default on one or more of our obligations (particularly if the economy were duce our advertising revenues). If we default on our obligations, creditors could require immediate payment of the on collateral. If this happens, we could be forced to sell assets or take other actions that could significantly reduce the and we may not have sufficient assets or funds to pay our debt obligations.
We may lose a large at reduce revenue.	mount of programming if a network terminates its affiliation with us, which could increase our costs and/or
The networks produce a	ns that we own and operate, or to which we provide programming services or sales services, are affiliated with networks. and distribute programming in exchange for each station s commitment to air the programming at specified times and for the during programming. The amount and quality of programming provided by each network varies.
relevant network. This may not be as attractive we would be required to remaining value of the time, we cannot predict	mination of any of our network affiliation agreements would prevent us from being able to carry programming of the loss of programming would require us to obtain replacement programming, which may involve higher costs and which to our target audiences, resulting in reduced revenues. Upon the termination of any of the above affiliation agreements, o establish a new affiliation agreement with another network or operate as an independent station. At such time, the network affiliation asset could become impaired and we would be required to write down the value of the asset. At this the final outcome of future negotiations and what impact, if any, they may have on our consolidated balance sheets, so of operations or consolidated statements of cash flows. See <i>Item 1. Business, Television Broadcasting</i> table for further

information regarding our affiliation agreements.

A change in a critical accounting estimate that affects the accounting treatment of goodwill and FCC licenses could cause material future losses due to asset impairment.

In June 2001, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) approved SFAS No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets* (SFAS 142). SFAS 142 requires companies to cease amortizing goodwill and certain other intangible assets including FCC licenses. SFAS 142 also establishes a method of testing goodwill and FCC licenses for impairment on an annual basis, or on an interim basis if an event occurs that would reduce the fair value of a reporting unit below its carrying value.

We test our goodwill and FCC licenses for impairment. To perform this test, we estimate the fair values of our station assets and liabilities using a combination of observed prices paid for similar assets and liabilities, discounted cash flow models and appraisals. We make certain critical estimates about the future revenue growth rates within each of our markets as well as the discount rates that would be used by market participants in an arms-length transaction. If these growth rates decline or if the discount rate increases, our goodwill and/or FCC licenses could be impaired. An impairment of some or all of the value of these assets could result in a material effect on the consolidated statements of operations.

Key officers and directors have financial interests that are different and sometimes opposite our own and we may engage in transactions with these officers and directors that may benefit them to the detriment of other securityholders.

Some of our officers, directors and majority shareholders own stock or partnership interests in businesses that engage in television broadcasting, do business with us or otherwise do business that conflicts with our interests. They may transact some business with us upon approval by the independent members of our Board of Directors even if there is a conflict of interest or they may engage in business competitive to our business and those transactions may benefit the officers, directors or majority shareholders to the detriment of our securityholders. David D. Smith, Frederick G. Smith, and J. Duncan Smith are each an officer and director of Sinclair and Robert E. Smith is a director of Sinclair. Together, the Smiths hold shares of our common stock that control the outcome of most matters submitted to a vote of shareholders. The Smiths own a controlling interest in a television station which we program pursuant to an LMA. The Smiths also own businesses that lease real property and tower space to us and engage in other transactions with us. David D. Smith, Frederick G. Smith, J. Duncan Smith, Robert E. Smith and David B. Amy, our Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, together own less than 2.8% of Allegiance Capital Limited Partnership, a limited partnership in which we hold a 96.7% interest. Also, David D. Smith, Frederick G. Smith, J. Duncan Smith and Robert E. Smith together own less than 1.0% of the stock of G1440, a company of which we own approximately 94.0% and David D. Smith owns less than 0.1% of Acrodyne Communications, Inc., a company of which we own approximately 82.3%. We can give no assurance that these transactions or any transactions that we may enter into in the future with our officers, directors or majority shareholders, have been, or will be, negotiated on terms as favorable to us as we would obtain from unrelated parties.

Maryland law and our financing agreements limit the extent to which our officers, directors and majority shareholders may transact business with us and pursue business opportunities that we might pursue. These limitations do not, however, prohibit all such transactions.

For additional information regarding our related person transactions, see *Note 12. Related Person Transactions*, in the Notes to our Consolidated Financial Statements.

The Smiths exercise control over most matters submitted to a shareholder vote and may have interests that differ from yours. They may, therefore, take actions that are not in the interests of other securityholders.

David D. Smith, Frederick G. Smith, J. Duncan Smith and Robert E. Smith hold shares representing approximately 85% of the common stock voting rights and, therefore, control the outcome of most matters submitted to a vote of shareholders, including, but not limited to, electing directors, adopting amendments to our certificate of incorporation and approving corporate transactions. The Smiths hold substantially all of the Class B Common Stock, which have ten votes per share. Our Class A Common Stock has only one vote per share. In addition, the Smiths hold half our board of directors—seats and, therefore, have the power to exert significant influence over our corporate management and policies. The Smiths have entered into a stockholders—agreement pursuant to which they have agreed to vote for each other as candidates for election to the board of directors until June 13, 2015.

Circumstances may occur in which the interests of the Smiths, as the controlling security holders, could be in conflict with the interests of other securityholders and the Smiths would have the ability to cause us to take actions in their interest. In addition, the Smiths could pursue acquisitions, divestitures or other transactions that, in their judgment, could enhance their equity investment, even though such transactions might involve risks to our other securityholders. (See *Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters* and *Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions*, which will be included as part of our Proxy Statement for our 2008 Annual Meeting.)

Certain features of our capital structure that discourage others from attempting to acquire our company may prevent our securityholders from receiving a premium on their securities or result in a lower price for our securities.

The control the Smiths have over shareholder votes may discourage other parties from trying to acquire us. Anyone trying to acquire us would likely offer to pay more for shares of Class A Common Stock than the amount those shares were trading for in the open market at the time of the offer. If the voting rights of the Smiths discourage such takeover attempts, shareholders may be denied the opportunity to receive such a premium. The general level of prices for Class A Common Stock might also be lower than it would otherwise be if these deterrents to takeovers did not exist.

Federal regulation of the broadcasting industry limits our operating flexibility, which may affect our ability to generate revenue or reduce our costs.

The FCC regulates our business, just as it does all other companies in the broadcasting industry. We must ask the FCC s approval whenever we need a new license, seek to renew, assign or modify a license, purchase a new station, sell an

existing station or transfer the control of one of our subsidiaries that holds a license. Our FCC licenses and those of the stations we program pursuant to LMAs are critical to our operations; we cannot operate without them. We cannot be certain that the FCC will renew these licenses in the future or approve new acquisitions. If licenses are not renewed or acquisitions approved, we may lose revenue that we otherwise could have earned.

In addition, Congress and the FCC may, in the future, adopt new laws, regulations and policies regarding a wide variety of matters (including technological changes) that could, directly or indirectly, materially and adversely affect the operation and ownership of our broadcast properties. (See *Item 1. Business.*)

The FCC s multiple ownership rules limit our ability to operate multiple television stations in some markets and may result in a reduction in our revenue or prevent us from reducing costs. Changes in these rules may threaten our existing strategic approach to certain television markets.

#### Changes in Rules on Television Ownership

Congress passed a bill requiring the FCC to establish a national audience reach cap of 39% and President Bush signed the bill into law on January 23, 2004. This law permits broadcast television owners to own more television stations nationally, potentially affecting our competitive position.

In June 2003, the FCC adopted new multiple ownership rules. In July 2004, the Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit issued a decision which upheld a portion of such rules and remanded the matter to the FCC for further justification of the rules. The court also issued a stay of the 2003 rules pending the remand. Several parties, including us, filed petitions with the Supreme Court of the United States seeking review of the Third Circuit decision, but the Supreme Court denied the petitions in June 2005. In July 2006, as part of the FCC s statutorily required quadrennial review of its media ownership rules, the FCC released a Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making seeking comment on how to address the issues raised by the Third Circuit s decision, among other things, remanding the local television ownership rule. In January 2008, the FCC released an order containing its current ownership rules, which re-adopted its 1999 local television ownership rule. On February 8, 2008, we filed a petition with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit requesting that the Court direct the FCC to cease its use of the 1999 local television ownership rule that it re-adopted as the permanent rule in 2008. We cannot predict the outcome of that proceeding, which could significantly impact our business.

#### Changes in Rules on Local Marketing Agreements

Certain of our stations have entered into what have commonly been referred to as local marketing agreements or LMAs. One typical type of LMA is a programming agreement between two separately owned television stations serving the same market, whereby the licensee of one station programs substantial portions of the broadcast day and sells advertising time during such programming segments on the other licensee s station subject to the ultimate editorial and other controls being exercised by the latter licensee. We believe these arrangements allow us to reduce our operating expenses and enhance profitability.

Under the FCC ownership rules adopted in 2003, we would be allowed to continue to program most of the stations with which we have an LMA. In the absence of a waiver, the 2003 ownership rules would require us to terminate or modify three of our LMAs in markets where both the station we own and the station with which we have an LMA are ranked among the top four stations in their particular designated market area. The FCC s 2003 ownership rules include specific provisions permitting waivers of this top four restriction. Although there can be no assurances, we have studied the application of the 2003 ownership rules to our markets and believe we are qualified for waivers.

When the FCC decided to attribute LMAs for ownership purposes in 1999, it grandfathered our LMAs that were entered into prior to November 5, 1996, permitting the applicable stations to continue operations pursuant to the LMAs until the conclusion of the FCC s 2004 biennial review. The FCC stated it would conduct a case-by-case review of grandfathered LMAs and assess the appropriateness of extending the grandfathering periods. Subsequently, the FCC invited comments as to whether, instead of beginning the review of the grandfathered LMAs in 2004, it should do so in 2006. The FCC did not initiate any such review of grandfathered LMAs in 2004 and has not indicated it would do so as part of its 2006 quadrennial review. We do not know when, or if, the FCC will conduct any such review of grandfathered LMAs.

Because the effective date of the 2003 ownership rules has been stayed and, in connection with the adoption of those rules, the FCC concluded the old rules could not be justified as necessary to the public interest, we have taken the position that an issue exists regarding whether the FCC has any current legal right to enforce any rules prohibiting the acquisition of television stations. The FCC, however, dismissed our applications to acquire certain LMA stations. On November 15, 1999, we entered into a plan and agreement of merger to acquire through merger WMYA-TV (formerly WBSC-TV) in Anderson, South Carolina from Cunningham Broadcasting Corporation (Cunningham), but that transaction was denied by the FCC. In light of the change in the 2003 ownership rules, we have filed a petition

for reconsideration with the FCC and amended our application to acquire the license of WMYA-TV. We also filed applications in November 2003 to acquire the license assets of the remaining five Cunningham stations: WRGT-TV, Dayton, Ohio; WTAT-TV, Charleston, South Carolina; WVAH-TV, Charleston, West Virginia; WNUV-TV, Baltimore, Maryland; and WTTE-TV, Columbus, Ohio. Rainbow/PUSH filed a petition to deny these five applications and to revoke all of our licenses. The FCC dismissed our applications in light of the stay of the 2003 rules and also denied the Rainbow/PUSH petition. Rainbow/PUSH filed a petition for reconsideration of that denial and we filed an application for review of the dismissal, which may be impacted by the remand of the FCC s 2003 ownership rules. In 2005, we filed a petition with the U. S. Court of Appeals for the D. C. Circuit requesting that the Court direct the FCC to take final action on our applications, but that request is pending. On February 8, 2008, we filed a petition with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit requesting that the Court direct the FCC to act on our assignment applications and to cease its use of the 1999 local television ownership rule that it re-adopted as the permanent rule in 2008. The applications and the associated petition to deny are still pending. We believe the Rainbow/PUSH petition is without merit.

If we are required to terminate or modify our LMAs, our business could be affected in the following ways:

Losses on investments. As part of our LMA arrangements, we own the non-license assets used by the stations with which we have LMAs. If certain of these LMA arrangements are no longer permitted, we would be forced to sell these assets, restructure our agreements or find another use for them. If this happens, the market for such assets may not be as good as when we purchased them and, therefore, we cannot be certain of a favorable return on our original investments.

*Termination penalties.* If the FCC requires us to modify or terminate existing LMAs before the terms of the LMAs expire, or under certain circumstances, we elect not to extend the terms of the LMAs, we may be forced to pay termination penalties under the terms of some of our LMAs. Any such termination penalties could be material.

#### Use of outsourcing agreements

In addition to our LMAs, we have entered into four (and may seek opportunities for additional) outsourcing agreements in which our stations provide or are provided various non-programming related services such as sales, operational and managerial services to or by other stations. Pursuant to these agreements, one of our stations in Nashville, Tennessee and Cedar Rapids, Iowa currently provides services to another station in the market and another party provides services to our stations in Peoria/Bloomington, Illinois and Rochester, New York. We believe this structure allows stations to achieve operational efficiencies and economies of scale, which should otherwise improve broadcast cash flow and competitive positions. While television joint sales agreements (JSAs) are not currently attributable, on August 2, 2004, the FCC released a notice of proposed rulemaking seeking comments on its tentative conclusion that television joint sales agreements should be attributable. We cannot predict the outcome of this proceeding, nor can we predict how any changes, together with possible changes to the ownership rules, would apply to our existing outsourcing agreements.

#### Failure of owner/licensee to exercise control

The FCC requires the owner/licensee of a station to maintain independent control over the programming and operations of the station. As a result, the owners/licensees of those stations with which we have LMAs or outsourcing agreements can exert their control in ways that may be counter to our interests, including the right to preempt or terminate programming in certain instances. The preemption and termination rights cause some uncertainty as to whether we will be able to air all of the programming that we have purchased under our LMAs and therefore, uncertainty about the advertising revenue that we will receive from such programming. In addition, if the FCC determines that the

owner/licensee is not exercising sufficient control, it may penalize the owner licensee by a fine, revocation of the license for the station or a denial of the renewal of that license. Any one of these scenarios might result in a reduction of our cash flow and an increase in our operating costs or margins, especially the revocation of or denial of renewal of a license. In addition, penalties might also affect our qualifications to hold FCC licenses, putting our own licenses at risk.

Competition from other broadcasters or other content providers and changes in technology may cause a reduction in our advertising revenues and/or an increase in our operating costs.

The television industry is highly competitive and this competition can draw viewers and advertisers from our stations, which reduces our revenue or requires us to pay more for programming, which increases our costs. We face intense competition from the following:

#### New Technology and the subdivision of markets

Cable providers, direct broadcast satellite companies and telecommunication companies are developing new

technology that allows them to transmit more channels on their existing equipment to highly targeted audiences, reducing the cost of creating channels and potentially leading to the division of the television industry into ever more specialized niche markets. Competitors who target programming to such sharply defined markets may gain an advantage over us for television advertising revenues. The decreased cost of creating channels may also encourage new competitors to enter our markets and compete with us for advertising revenue. In addition, emerging technologies that will allow viewers to digitally record, store and play back television programming may decrease viewership of commercials as recorded by media measurement services such as Neilsen Media Research and, as a result, lower our advertising revenues. The broadcast and advertising industries have agreed on a ratings standard that includes live viewing plus viewers who watch a program within 72 hours of its original appearance.

#### **Types of competitors**

We also face	competition	from rivals	that may	have greater r	esources than	we have.	These include:
--------------	-------------	-------------	----------	----------------	---------------	----------	----------------

- other local free over-the-air broadcast television and radio stations;
- telecommunication companies;
- cable and satellite system operators;
- print media providers such as newspapers, direct mail and periodicals;
- internet providers; and
- competition from other emerging technologies.

#### **Deregulation**

The Telecommunications Act of 1996 and subsequent actions by the FCC have removed some limits on station ownership, allowing telephone, cable and some other companies to provide video services in competition with us. In addition, the FCC has reallocated a portion of the spectrum for new services including fixed and mobile wireless services and digital broadcast services. As a result of these changes, new companies are able to enter our markets and compete with us.

We could be adversely affected by labor disputes and other union activity.

The cost of producing and distributing entertainment programming has increased substantially in recent years due to, among other things, the increasing demands of creative talent and industry-wide collective bargaining agreements. Although we generally purchase programming content from others rather than produce it ourselves, our program suppliers engage the services of writers, directors, actors and on-air and other talent, trade employees and others, some of whom are subject to these collective bargaining agreements. If our program suppliers are unable to renew expiring collective bargaining agreements, it is possible that the affected unions could take action in the form of strikes or work stoppages, as exemplified by the recently concluded Writers Guild of America strike. Failure to renew these agreements, higher costs in connection with these agreements or a significant labor dispute could adversely affect our business by causing delays in production that lead to declining viewers, and reductions in the profit margins of our programming and the amounts we can charge advertisers for time.

The commencement of the Iraq War resulted in a decline in advertising revenues and negatively impacted our operating results. Future conflicts may have a similar effect.

The commencement of the war in Iraq in 2002 resulted in a reduction of advertising revenues as a result of uninterrupted news coverage and general economic uncertainty. During the first quarter of 2003, we experienced \$2.2 million in advertiser cancellations and preemptions, which resulted in lower earnings than we would have experienced without this disruption. If the United States becomes engaged in similar conflicts in the future, there may be a similar adverse effect on our results of operations.

Unrelated third parties may claim that we infringe on their rights based on the nature and content of information posted on websites maintained by us.

We host internet services that enable individuals to exchange information, generate content, comment on our content, and engage in various online activities. The law relating to the liability of providers of these online services for activities of their users is currently unsettled both within the United States and internationally. While we monitor postings to such websites, claims may be brought against us for defamation, negligence, copyright or trademark infringement, unlawful activity, tort, including personal injury, fraud, or other theories based on the nature and content of information that may be posted online or generated by our users. Our defense of such actions could be costly and involve significant time and attention of our management and other resources.

#### ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

Deregulation 56

None.

27

#### ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

Generally, each of our stations has facilities consisting of offices, studios and tower sites. Transmitter and tower sites are located to provide maximum signal coverage of our stations markets. We believe that all of our properties, both owned and leased, are generally in good operating condition, subject to normal wear and tear and are suitable and adequate for our current business operations. The following is a summary of our principal owned and leased real properties. All properties that are owned are included in the broadcast segment. All properties that are leased with the exception of our corporate headquarters are also included in the broadcast segment. Approximately 74,000 square feet of the leased office and studio building below related to our corporate facilities. We believe that no one property represents a material amount of the total properties owned or leased. See *Item 1. Business*, for a listing of our station locations.

	OWNED	LEASED
Office and Studio Buildings	502,134 square feet	415,832 square feet
Office and Studio Land	161 acres	4 acres
Transmitter Building Sites	83,526 square feet	74,992 square feet
Transmitter and Tower Land	1.130 acres	1.437 acres

#### ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We are a party to lawsuits and claims from time to time in the ordinary course of business. Actions currently pending are in various preliminary stages and no judgments or decisions have been rendered by hearing boards or courts in connection with such actions. After reviewing developments to date with legal counsel, our management is of the opinion that the outcome of our pending and threatened matters will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated balance sheets, consolidated statements of operations or consolidated statements of cash flows.

#### ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

No matters were submitted to a vote of our shareholders during the fourth quarter of 2007.

28

PROPERTIES 58

#### **PART II**

# ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Our Class A Common Stock is listed for trading on the NASDAQ stock market under the symbol SBGI. Our Class B Common Stock is not traded on a market. The following tables set forth for the periods indicated the high and low closing sales prices on the NASDAQ stock market.

2007		High		Low
First Quarter	\$	15.65	\$	10.73
Second Quarter	\$	17.50	\$	14.15
Third Quarter	\$	15.07	\$	11.44
Fourth Quarter	\$	13.18	\$	8.21
2006		High		Low
2006 First Quarter	\$	High 9.56	\$	<b>Low</b> 7.19
	\$ \$		\$	
First Quarter		9.56	- 1	7.19

As of February 21, 2008, there were approximately 86 shareholders of record of our common stock. This number does not include beneficial owners holding shares through nominee names.

On February 5, 2008, our Board of Directors renewed its authorization to repurchase up to \$150.0 million of the Class A Common Stock on the open market or through private transactions. We did not repurchase any Class A Common Stock during 2007.

#### **Dividend Policy**

Future dividends on our common shares, if any, will be at the discretion of our Board of Directors and will depend on several factors including our results of operations, cash requirements and surplus, financial condition, covenant restrictions and other factors that the Board of Directors may deem relevant. Our Bank Credit Agreement and some of our subordinated debt instruments have general restrictions on the amount of dividends that may be paid. Under the indentures governing our 8.0% Senior Subordinated Notes, due 2012, we are restricted from paying dividends on our common stock unless certain specified conditions are satisfied, including that:

- no event of default then exists under the indenture or certain other specified agreements relating to our indebtedness; and
- after taking account of the dividend, we are within certain restricted payment requirements contained in the indenture.

In addition, under certain of our senior unsecured debt, the payment of dividends is not permissible during a default thereunder.

Our current dividend of 20 cents per share per quarter is not in excess of any applicable restrictions or conditions contained within the indentures of our various senior subordinated notes and our Bank Credit Agreement. We expect to continue to pay a dividend in the foreseeable future.

29

During 2007, the Board of Directors voted to increase the dividend twice. On February 14, 2007, we announced that our Board of Directors approved an increase to our annual dividend to 60 cents per share from 50 cents per share. On October 31, 2007, we announced that our Board of Directors approved an increase to our annual dividend to 70 cents per share from 60 cents per share. We began paying this dividend rate in the first quarter 2008. On February 6, 2008, we announced that our Board of Directors approved an increase to our annual dividend to 80 cents per share from 70 cents per share. We intend to declare dividend distributions in each future quarter. The 2007 and 2006 dividends declared were as follows:

	Quart	erly Dividend			
For the quarter ended	P	er Share	Per Share		Date dividends were paid
March 31, 2007	\$	0.150	\$	0.600	April 13, 2007
June 30, 2007	\$	0.150	\$	0.600	July 12, 2007
September 30, 2007	\$	0.150	\$	0.600	October 12, 2007
December 31, 2007	\$	0.175	\$	0.700	January 14, 2008
	Quarter	ly Dividend Per			
For the quarter ended	Quarter	ly Dividend Per Share	Annual Dividend Pe	r Share	Date dividends were paid
For the quarter ended March 31, 2006	Quarter \$	Share	Annual Dividend Pe	r Share 0.400	Date dividends were paid April 13, 2006
•		Share 0.100			*
March 31, 2006	\$	Share 0.100 0.100	\$	0.400	April 13, 2006

#### Convertible Note Repurchases

On January 22, 2007, we used the proceeds from our \$225.0 million Term Loan A-1 along with \$59.4 million of cash on hand additional borrowings of \$23.0 million under our Revolving Credit Facility to redeem the aggregate principal amount of our \$307.4 million 8.75% Senior Subordinated Notes, due 2011 (the 8.75% Notes). The redemption was effected in accordance with the terms of the indenture governing the 8.75% Notes at a redemption price of 104.375% of the principal amount of the 8.75% Notes plus accrued and unpaid interest.

On June 11, 2007 and June 18, 2007, we partially redeemed \$300.0 million and \$45.0 million, respectively, of our existing 8.0% Senior Subordinated Notes, due 2012 (the 8.0% Notes) at a redemption price of 104% of the principal amount of the 8.0% Notes plus accrued and unpaid interest with net proceeds from the offering of the 3.0% Convertible Senior Notes, due 2027 (the 3.0% Notes) and cash on hand.

In addition to the partial redemption noted above, during 2007 we repurchased, in the open market, \$9.9 million face value of the 8.0% Notes. During January 2008, we repurchased, in the open market, \$6.9 million face value of the 8.0% Notes.

#### ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The selected consolidated financial data for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006, 2005, 2004 and 2003 have been derived from our audited consolidated financial statements. The consolidated financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 are included elsewhere in this report.

The information below should be read in conjunction with *Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations* and the consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report.

#### STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS DATA

# (In thousands, except per share data)

					rs En	ded December 3	31,			
		2007		2006		2005		2004		2003
Statements of Operations Data:	Φ.	600 640	Φ.	625.055	Φ.	606.450	Φ.	(25,202	Φ.	601.200
Net broadcast revenues (a)	\$	622,643	\$	627,075	\$	606,450	\$	625,303	\$	601,299
Revenues realized from station barter arrangements		61,790		54,537		54,908		57,713		58,733
Other operating divisions revenues		33,667		24,610		22,597		13,054		14,568
Total revenues		718,100		706,222		683,955		696,070		674,600
Station production expenses		148,707		144,236		149,033		151,783		145,604
Station selling, general and administrative expenses		140,026		137,995		135,870		143,357		127,930
Expenses recognized from station barter										
arrangements		55,662		49,358		50,334		53,258		53,993
Depreciation and amortization (b)		157,178		153,399		136,916		154,212		159,045
Other operating divisions expenses		33,023		24,193		20,944		14,932		16,375
Corporate general and administrative expenses		24,334		22,795		21,220		21,496		19,745
Impairment of intangibles		,		15,589		,		,		,
Operating income		159,170		158,657		169,638		157,032		151,908
7				,		,		,		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Interest expense and amortization of debt discount										
and deferred financing cost		(95,866)		(115,217)		(120,002)		(120,400)		(121,165)
Subsidiary trust minority interest expense (c)		(52,000)		(110,217)		(120,002)		(120,100)		(11,246)
Interest income		2,228		2,008		650		191		560
(Loss) gain from sale of assets		(21)		143		(80)		(44)		(448)
Loss from extinguishment of debt		(30,716)		(904)		(1,937)		(2,453)		(15,187)
Gain from derivative instrument		2,592		2,907		21,778		29,388		17,354
Income (loss) from equity and cost investees		601		6,338		(1,426)		1,100		1,193
Gain on insurance settlement				2,223		1,193		3,341		2,272
Other income		1,227		1,159		721		894		1,189
Income from continuing operations before income		,		,						,
taxes		39,215		55,091		70,535		69,049		24,158
Income tax provision		(18,800)		(6,589)		(36,027)		(27,959)		(9,780)
Net income from continuing operations		20,415		48,502		34,508		41,090		14,378
Discontinued operations:										
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of										
related income taxes		1,219		3,701		5,400		(17,068)		10,014
Gain on sale of discontinued operations, net of		1,219		3,701		3,400		(17,000)		10,014
related income taxes		1.065		1,774		146,024				
Net income	\$	22,699	\$	53,977	\$	185,932	\$	24,022	\$	24,392
Net income available to common shareholders	\$	22,699	\$	53,977	\$	207,129	\$	13,842	\$	14,042
The media available to common shareholders	Ψ	22,099	Ψ	33,911	Ψ	201,129	Ψ	13,072	Ψ	17,072

Years Ended December 31,									
	2007		2006		2005		2004		2003
\$	0.23	\$	0.57	\$	0.65	\$	0.36	\$	0.05
m									
\$	0.03	\$	0.06	\$	1.77	\$	(0.20)	\$	0.12
\$	0.26	\$	0.63	\$	2.43	\$	0.16	\$	0.16
\$	0.625	\$	0.450	\$	0.030	\$	0.075	\$	
\$	20,980	\$	67,408	\$	9,655	\$	10,491	\$	28,730
\$	2,224,655	\$	2,271,580	\$	2,280,641	\$	2,465,663	\$	2,567,106
\$	1,344,349	\$	1,413,623	\$	1,450,738	\$	1,639,615	\$	1,729,921
\$	252,774	\$	266,645	\$	249,722	\$	226,551	\$	229,005
	m	\$ 0.23 m \$ 0.03 \$ 0.26 \$ 0.625 \$ 20,980 \$ 2,224,655 \$ 1,344,349	\$ 0.23 \$ m \$ 0.03 \$ \$ 0.26 \$ \$ 0.625 \$ \$ \$ 20,980 \$ \$ 2,224,655 \$ \$ 1,344,349 \$	\$ 0.23 \$ 0.57 m \$ 0.03 \$ 0.06 \$ 0.26 \$ 0.63 \$ 0.625 \$ 0.450 \$ 20,980 \$ 67,408 \$ 2,224,655 \$ 2,271,580 \$ 1,344,349 \$ 1,413,623	\$ 0.23 \$ 0.57 \$  m \$ 0.03 \$ 0.06 \$  \$ 0.26 \$ 0.63 \$  \$ 0.625 \$ 0.450 \$  \$ 20,980 \$ 67,408 \$  \$ 2,224,655 \$ 2,271,580 \$  \$ 1,344,349 \$ 1,413,623 \$	\$ 0.23 \$ 0.57 \$ 0.65 m \$ 0.03 \$ 0.06 \$ 1.77 \$ 0.26 \$ 0.63 \$ 2.43 \$ 0.625 \$ 0.450 \$ 0.030 \$ 20,980 \$ 67,408 \$ 9,655 \$ 2,224,655 \$ 2,271,580 \$ 2,280,641 \$ 1,344,349 \$ 1,413,623 \$ 1,450,738	\$ 0.23 \$ 0.57 \$ 0.65 \$  \$ 0.03 \$ 0.06 \$ 1.77 \$  \$ 0.26 \$ 0.63 \$ 2.43 \$  \$ 0.625 \$ 0.450 \$ 0.030 \$  \$ 20,980 \$ 67,408 \$ 9,655 \$  \$ 2,224,655 \$ 2,271,580 \$ 2,280,641 \$  \$ 1,344,349 \$ 1,413,623 \$ 1,450,738 \$	\$ 0.23 \$ 0.57 \$ 0.65 \$ 0.36 m \$ 0.06 \$ 0.65 \$ 0.36 m \$ 0.26 \$ 0.63 \$ 0.63 \$ 0.16 \$ 0.625 \$ 0.450 \$ 0.030 \$ 0.075 \$ 0.65 \$ 0.450 \$ 0.63 \$ 0.63 \$ 0.625 \$ 0.450 \$ 0.63 \$ 0.63 \$ 0.625 \$ 0.625 \$ 0.450 \$ 0.630 \$ 0.625 \$ 0.625 \$ 0.450 \$ 0.625 \$	\$ 0.23 \$ 0.57 \$ 0.65 \$ 0.36 \$ m \$ 0.03 \$ 0.06 \$ 1.77 \$ (0.20) \$ \$ 0.65 \$ 0.36 \$ \$ 0.26 \$ 0.63 \$ 2.43 \$ 0.16 \$ \$ 0.625 \$ 0.450 \$ 0.030 \$ 0.075 \$ \$ \$ 2,224,655 \$ 2,271,580 \$ 2,280,641 \$ 2,465,663 \$ \$ 1,344,349 \$ 1,413,623 \$ 1,450,738 \$ 1,639,615 \$

<sup>(</sup>a) Net broadcast revenues is defined as broadcast revenues, net of agency commissions.

- (b) Depreciation and amortization includes amortization of program contract costs and net realizable value adjustments, depreciation and amortization of property and equipment and amortization of definite-lived intangible broadcasting assets, other assets and costs related to excess syndicated programming.
- (c) Subsidiary trust minority expense represents the distributions on the HYTOPS and amortization of deferred finance costs.
- (d) Total debt is defined as notes payable, capital leases and commercial bank financing, including the current and long-term portions. Total debt does not include our preferred stock, in applicable years related balances were outstanding including 2004 and 2003.

#### ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following Management s Discussion and Analysis provides qualitative and quantitative information about our financial performance and condition and should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and the accompanying notes to those statements. This discussion consists of the following sections:

<u>Executive Overview</u> a description of our business, financial highlights from 2007, information about industry trends and sources of revenues and operating costs;

<u>Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates</u> a discussion of the accounting policies that are most important in understanding the assumptions and judgments incorporated in the consolidated financial statements and a summary of recent accounting pronouncements;

The following Management s Discussion and Analysis provides qualitative and quantitative information a65ut our fi

<u>Results of Operations</u> a summary of the components of our revenues by category and by network affiliation, a summary of other operating data and an analysis of our revenues and expenses for 2007, 2006 and 2005, including comparisons between years and expectations for 2008; and

<u>Liquidity and Capital Resources</u> a discussion of our primary sources of liquidity, an analysis of our cash flows from or used in operating activities, investing activities and financing activities, a discussion of our dividend policy and a summary of our contractual cash obligations and off-balance sheet arrangements.

#### **EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW**

We believe that we are one of the largest and most diversified television broadcasting companies in the United States. We currently own, provide programming and operating services pursuant to local marketing agreements (LMAs) or provide, or are provided, sales services pursuant to outsourcing agreements to 58 television stations in 35 markets. For the purpose of this report, these 58 stations are referred to as our stations.

32

We believe that owning duopolies and operating stations under LMAs or providing sales and related services under outsourcing agreements enables us to accomplish two very important strategic business objectives: increasing our share of revenues available in each market and operating television stations more efficiently by minimizing costs. We constantly monitor revenue share and cost efficiencies and we aggressively pursue opportunities to improve both by using new technology and by sharing best practices among our station groups.

Sinclair Television Group, Inc. (STG), a wholly owned subsidiary of Sinclair Broadcast Group, Inc. (SBG), is the primary obligor under our existing Bank Credit Agreement, as amended and the 8.0% Senior Subordinated Notes, due 2012. Our Class A Common Stock, Class B Common Stock, the 6.0% Convertible Debentures, due 2012, the 4.875% Convertible Senior Notes, due 2018 and the 3.0% Convertible Senior Notes, due 2027 remain obligations or securities of SBG and are not obligations or securities of STG.

#### 2007 Highlights

- In January 2007, we entered into a three-year retransmission consent agreement with Time Warner Cable for the carriage of the analog and digital signals of 35 stations in 22 markets, representing approximately 6.0 million of Time Warner s subscribers;
- In January 2007, we redeemed in full, our 8.75% Senior Subordinated Notes, due 2011, which resulted in a \$15.7 million loss on extinguishment of debt;
- In February 2007, we entered into a three-year retransmission consent agreement with Mediacom Communications Corporation for the carriage of the analog and digital signals of 24 stations in 16 markets, representing approximately 0.7 million of Mediacom subscribers;
- In March 2007, we entered into a four-year renewal of our retransmission consent agreement with Comcast Corporation for the carriage of the analog and digital signals of 37 stations in 23 markets, representing approximately 3.4 million of Comcast s cable subscribers;
- In April 2007, we entered into a retransmission consent agreement with Charter Communications, Inc. for the carriage of the analog and digital signals of 28 stations in 19 markets, representing approximately 1.9 million subscribers;
- In April 2007, our FOX affiliate, KOKH-TV in Oklahoma City, Oklahoma expanded its Monday through Friday news offering through the addition of a three-hour morning newscast;
- In April 2007, we joined the Open Mobile Video Coalition, a voluntary association of television broadcasters whose mission it is to accelerate the development of mobile digital broadcast television;
- In May 2007, we acquired Triangle Sign & Service, Inc., a Baltimore-based company whose primary business is to design and fabricate commercial signs for retailers, sports complexes and other commercial businesses for \$15.9 million, net of cash acquired;
- In May 2007, we completed an offering of \$345.0 million aggregate principal amount of 3.0% Convertible Senior Notes, due 2027, and used the proceeds to partially redeem our 8.0% Senior Subordinated Notes, due 2012 generating a \$15.0 million loss on extinguishment of debt:
- In June 2007, we entered into a retransmission consent agreement with COX Communications, Inc. for the carriage of the analog and digital signals of nine stations in six markets, representing approximately 1.3 million subscribers;
- In July 2007, we acquired FBP Holding Company, LLC (FBP Holding), which holds an investment in a commercial warehouse property located in Baltimore, Maryland, for \$8.3 million including \$7.1 million in the assumption of debt. Debt assumed in conjunction with this acquisition is non-recourse to us;

- In July 2007, we entered into an agreement to sell the assets of WGGB-TV in Springfield, Massachusetts, including the FCC license to an unrelated third party for \$21.2 million in cash. The sale closed on November 1, 2007;
- In September 2007, we acquired Bagby Investors, LLC (Bagby), which holds an investment in a commercial office building in Baltimore, Maryland, for \$16.9 million, net of cash acquired;
- In September 2007, we entered into a news share agreement in which WFLA-TV will produce a newscast to air on WTTA, bringing more local news coverage to the Tampa Bay area;
- In November 2007, we entered into agreements with CBS for the renewal of our two CBS television station affiliations. The agreements, which cover WGME-TV in Portland, Maine and KGAN-TV in Cedar Rapids, Iowa, have five-year terms that terminate on December 31, 2012;
- In November 2007, we acquired Alarm Funding, a regional security alarm operating and bulk acquisitions company for \$4.9 million, net of cash acquired;
- In December 2007, we expanded the news on WEAR-TV in Pensacola, Florida by adding a one-hour, four p.m. news program;
- During 2007, we invested \$17.0 million in various real estate ventures including developmental land and apartment and shopping complexes;

- We increased our quarterly dividend rate from 12.5 cents per share to 15 cents per share beginning with the March 2007 dividend payment. The quarterly dividend rate per share increased again to 17.5 cents per share on October 31, 2007;
- Our retransmission consent agreements, including the advertising component, generated \$58.9 million in total net broadcast revenues during the year ended December 31, 2007 compared to \$25.1 million during the same period in 2006;
- Excluding political, local revenues increased 2.6% and national revenues decreased 4.6% during the year ended December 31, 2007 versus the same period in 2006;
- In 2007, interest expense decreased 16.8%, primarily due to the full redemption of our 8.75% Notes and the partial redemption of our 8.0% Notes during 2007; and
- Market share survey results reflect that our stations share of the television advertising market in 2007 increased to 17.4%, from 16.8% in 2006.

#### Other Highlights

- In January 2008, we repurchased in the open market \$6.9 million face value of our 8.0% Senior Subordinated Notes, due 2012;
- On February 1, 2008, we purchased the non-license assets of KFXA-TV in Cedar Rapids, Iowa for \$17.1 million in cash and the right to purchase licensed assets, pending FCC approval, for \$1.9 million. Our CBS affiliate, KGAN-TV in Cedar Rapids, Iowa, will provide sales and other non-programming related services to KFXA-TV pursuant to a joint sales agreement;
- In February 2008, we increased our quarterly dividend rate to 20 cents per share; and
- In 2008, we have invested \$4.5 million primarily in developmental land and \$3.0 million in the Patriot Capital II fund, which provides financing to small businesses.

#### **Industry Trends**

- Political advertising increases in even-numbered years, such as 2006, due to the advertising expenditures from candidates running in local and national elections. In every fourth year, such as 2008, political advertising is elevated further due to the presidential election;
- The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) has mandated that beginning February 17, 2009, all broadcast television stations must broadcast using only a digital signal and will no longer be able to broadcast using an analog signal;
- The FCC has permitted broadcast television stations to use their digital spectrum for a wide variety of services including multi-channel broadcasts. The FCC must carry rules only apply to a station s primary digital stream;
- A number of other broadcasters, including Sinclair, have joined together in what is known as the Open Mobile Video Coalition to promote the development of mobile digital broadcasting applications. We believe there is potential for broadcasters to garner payment for retransmission of their signals to mobile devices;

- Retransmission consent rules provide a mechanism for broadcasters to seek payment from multi-channel video programming distributors (MVPDs) who carry broadcasters—signals. Recognition of the value provided by broadcasters, including digital and high definition signals and popular network programming, in addition to increased competition among video delivery providers, has generated a sustainable, annual payment stream which we expect to continue to grow;
- Automotive-related advertising is a significant portion of our total net revenues in all periods presented and these revenues have been trending downward in recent years;
- Many broadcasters are enhancing/upgrading their websites to use the internet to deliver rich media content, such as newscasts and weather updates, to attract advertisers;
- Seasonal advertising increases in the second and fourth quarters due to the advertising expenditures related to the anticipation of certain seasonal and holiday spending by consumers;
- Advertising revenue related to the Olympics occurs in even numbered years and the Super Bowl is aired on a different network each year. Both of these popularly viewed events can have an impact on our advertising revenues; and
- Compensation from networks to their affiliates in exchange for broadcasting of network programming has significantly declined in recent years and may be eliminated in the future in lieu of alternative network and affiliate relationships.

#### Sources of Revenues and Costs

Most of our revenues are generated from the transactional spot market rather than the traditional up front and scatter markets that networks access. These operating revenues are derived from local and national advertisers and, to a much lesser extent, from political advertisers. During 2007, we began to generate significant new revenues from our retransmission consent agreements. These agreements have helped to produce a new, viable revenue stream that has replaced the steady decline in revenues from television network compensation while also significantly exceeding such previous sources of revenue. While we

expect revenues from our retransmission consent agreements to continue to grow over the next fiscal year and beyond, we do not expect the revenues to significantly increase, such as the increase from 2006 to 2007, since most of our significant MVPDs are now under contract. However, as contracts expire we expect to negotiate favorable terms to grow our revenue stream. In addition, most contracts contain automatic annual fee escalators. Our revenues from local advertisers have continued to trend upward and revenues from national advertisers have continued to trend downward when measured as a percentage of total broadcast revenues. We believe this trend is the result of our focus on increasing local advertising revenues as a percentage of total advertising revenues, combined with a decrease in overall spending by national advertisers and an increase in the number of competitive media outlets providing national advertisers multiple alternatives in which to advertise their goods or services. Our efforts to mitigate the effect of these increasingly competitive media outlets for national advertisers include continuing our efforts to increase local revenues and developing innovative sales and marketing strategies to sell traditional and non-traditional services to our advertisers.

#### CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

This discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations is based on our consolidated financial statements which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amount of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On an on-going basis, we evaluate our estimates including those related to bad debts, program contract costs, intangible assets, income taxes, property and equipment, investments and derivative contracts. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. These estimates have been consistently applied for all years presented in this report and in the past we have not experienced material differences between these estimates and actual results. However, because future events and their effects cannot be determined with certainty, actual results could differ from our estimates and such differences could be material.

We have identified the policies below as critical to our business operations and to the understanding of our results of operations. For a detailed discussion of the application of these and other accounting policies, see *Note 1. Nature of Operations and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies*, in the Notes to our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Revenue Recognition. Advertising revenues, net of agency and national representatives commissions, are recognized in the period during which time spots are aired. All other revenues are recognized as services are provided. The revenues realized from station barter arrangements are recorded as the programs are aired at the estimated fair value of the advertising airtime given in exchange for the program rights.

Our retransmission consent agreements contain both advertising and retransmission consent elements that are paid in cash. We have determined that our agreements are revenue arrangements with multiple deliverables and fall within the scope of EITF Issue No. 00-21, *Revenue Arrangements with Multiple Deliverables* (EITF 00-21). Advertising and retransmission consent deliverables sold under our agreements are separated into different units of accounting based on fair value. Revenue applicable to the advertising element of the arrangement is recognized consistent with the advertising revenue policy noted above. Revenue applicable to the retransmission consent element of the arrangement is recognized ratably over the life of the agreement.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts. We maintain an allowance for doubtful accounts for estimated losses resulting from extending credit to our customers that are unable to make required payments. If the economy and/or the financial condition of our customers were to deteriorate, resulting in an impairment of their ability to make payments, additional allowances may be required. For example, a 10% increase of the balance of our allowance for doubtful accounts as of December 31, 2007, would reduce net income available to common shareholders by approximately \$0.4 million.

*Program Contract Costs.* We have agreements with distributors for the rights to televise programming over contract periods, which generally run from one to seven years. Contract payments are made in installments over terms that are generally equal to or shorter than the contract period. Each contract is recorded as an asset and a liability at an amount equal to its gross cash contractual commitment when the license period begins and the program is available for its first showing. The portion of program contracts which become payable within one year is reflected as a current liability in the consolidated balance sheets.

The programming rights are reflected in the consolidated balance sheets at the lower of unamortized cost or estimated net realizable value (NRV). Estimated NRVs are based on management s expectation of future advertising revenue, net of sales commissions, to be generated by the remaining program material available under the contract terms. In conjunction with our NRV analysis of programming rights reflected in our consolidated balance sheets, we perform similar analysis on future programming rights yet to be reflected in our consolidated balance sheets and establish allowances when future payments exceed the estimated NRV. Amortization of program contract costs is generally computed using a four-year accelerated method or a straight-line method, depending on the length of the contract. Program contract costs estimated by management to be amortized within one year are classified as current assets. Program contract liabilities are typically paid on a scheduled basis and are not reflected by adjustments for amortization or estimated NRV. If our estimate of future advertising revenues declines, then additional write downs to NRV may be required.

Valuation of Goodwill, Long-Lived Assets and Intangible Assets. We periodically evaluate our goodwill, broadcast licenses, long-lived assets and intangible assets for potential impairment indicators. Our judgments regarding the existence of impairment indicators are based on estimated future cash flows, market conditions, operating performance of our stations and legal factors. Future events could cause us to conclude that impairment indicators exist and that the net book value of long-lived assets and intangible assets is impaired. Any resulting impairment loss could have a material adverse impact on our consolidated balance sheets and consolidated statements of operations.

We have determined our broadcast licenses to be indefinite-lived intangible assets under Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*, which requires such assets to be tested for impairment on an annual basis along with our goodwill. We test our broadcast licenses and goodwill by estimating the fair market value of the broadcast licenses, or the net assets for each of our markets in the case of goodwill, using a combination of quoted market prices, observed earnings multiples paid for comparable television stations, discounted cash flow models and appraisals. We then compare the estimated fair market value to the book value of these assets to determine if an impairment exists. Our discounted cash flow model is based on our judgment of future market conditions within each designated marketing area, as well as discount rates that would be used by market participants in an arms-length transaction. Future events could cause us to conclude that market conditions have declined or discount rates have increased to the extent that our broadcast licenses and/or goodwill could be impaired. Any resulting impairment loss could have a material adverse impact on our consolidated balance sheets, consolidated statements of operations and consolidated statements of cash flows.

Income Taxes. We recognize deferred tax assets and liabilities based on the differences between the financial statements carrying amounts and the tax bases of assets and liabilities. We provide a valuation allowance for deferred tax assets relating to various federal and state net operating losses (NOL) that are carried forward. As of December 31, 2007, valuation allowances have been provided for a substantial amount of our available federal and state NOLs. We evaluate the need and extent of a valuation allowance based on the expected timing of the reversals of existing temporary book/tax differences, alternative tax strategies and projected future taxable income. If we are unable to generate sufficient taxable income, if there is a material change in our projected taxable income, or if there is a change in our ability to use NOL carryforwards due to changes in federal and state laws, we will make any necessary adjustments to the valuation allowance. Management periodically performs a comprehensive review of our tax positions and accrues amounts for tax contingencies. Based on these reviews, the status of ongoing audits and the expiration of applicable statute of limitations, accruals are adjusted as necessary in accordance with the recognition provisions of FASB Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes.

### Restructuring Costs

During the year ended December 31, 2006, we incurred costs in our broadcast segment associated with restructuring the news operations at certain of our stations. Specifically, on or before March 31, 2006, we ceased our locally-produced news broadcasts in nine of our markets and, consequently, let go our news employees and cancelled our news-related contracts. We recorded restructuring charges in station production expenses. As of December 31, 2007, there was no remaining unpaid balance related to the restructuring plan.

### Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In February 2007, the Financial Standards Accounting Board (FASB) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities Including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115* (SFAS 159). The fair value option established by this Statement permits all entities to choose to measure eligible items at fair value at specified election dates. Unrealized gains and losses on items for which the fair value option has been elected are to be reported in earnings at each subsequent reporting date. This Statement is effective for our fiscal year beginning January 1, 2008. We currently have no plans to apply the option provisions of SFAS 159 to our consolidated financial statements.

In May 2007, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position (FSP) FIN 48-1, *Definition of Settlement in FASB Interpretation No. 48*. This FSP amends FASB Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes* (FIN 48), to provide guidance on how an enterprise should determine whether a tax position is effectively settled for the purpose of recognizing previously unrecognized tax benefits. The guidance in this FSP should be applied by companies upon the initial adoption of FIN 48. We adopted FIN 48 in 2007, see *Note 10. Income Taxes* for more information. This FSP and FIN 48 did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In June 2007, the Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) issued the consensus on Issue No. 06-11, *Accounting for Income Tax Benefits of Dividends on Share-Based Payment Awards*. The provisions require companies to recognize the tax benefits of dividends on unvested share-based payments in equity and reclassify the tax benefits from additional paid-in capital to the income statement when the related award is forfeited. The provisions are effective prospectively starting January 1, 2008. The impact of this issue will not have a material effect to our consolidated financial statements.

In July 2007, the FASB issued FSP, APB 14-a, an interpretation of APB Opinion No. 14, *Accounting for Convertible Debt and Debt Issued with Stock Purchase Warrants*. This proposed FSP provides clarification on the accounting for convertible debt instruments that may be settled in cash upon conversion, including partial cash settlement. If issued, the guidance could significantly impact the accounting for instruments commonly referred to as Instrument C, which is structured so that upon conversion, the principal amount of the obligation is paid in cash and the conversion spread is settled in cash or shares. This proposed FSP would require the issuer to bifurcate a component of the debt and classify that component in shareholder s equity, then accrete the resulting discount on the debt resulting in interest expense equal to the issuer s nonconvertible debt borrowing rate. The expected effective date is for fiscal years beginning after January 1, 2009 and is required to be retroactively applied. Such a change in the accounting for convertible debt securities could have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In November 2007, the FASB issued FSP FAS 142-f, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*. This proposed FSP amends the factors that should be considered in developing renewal or extension assumptions used to determine the useful life of an intangible asset under FASB Statement No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangibles* (FAS 142). The FSP aims to improve the consistency between the useful life of an intangible asset as determined under FAS 142 and the period of expected cash flows used to measure the fair value of the asset under FASB Statement No. 141, *Business Combinations*, and other applicable accounting literature. As a result of this FSP, entities generally will be able to align the assumptions used for valuing an intangible asset with those used to determine its useful life. This FSP will be effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2008 and interim periods within those fiscal years. We are currently evaluating the effect of this statement on our consolidated financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No 141 (revised 2007), *Business Combinations* (FAS 141(R)). FAS 141(R) requires an acquiring entity to recognize all the assets acquired and liabilities assumed in a transaction at the acquisition-date fair value with limited exceptions. In addition to new disclosure requirements, FAS 141(R) also makes the following significant changes: acquisition costs are expensed as incurred, noncontrolling interests are valued at fair value at the acquisition date, acquired contingencies are recorded at fair value at the acquisition date and subsequently re-measured at either the higher of such amount or the amount determined under existing guidance for non-acquired contingencies, in-process research and development costs are recorded at fair value as an indefinite-lived intangible asset at the acquisition date, restructuring costs are expensed subsequent to the acquisition date and changes in deferred tax asset valuation allowances and income tax uncertainties after the acquisition date generally affect income tax expense. This statement is effective for business combinations in which the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2008 and early adoption is prohibited. We are currently evaluating the effect of this statement on our consolidated financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 160, *Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements, an Amendment of ARB No. 51* (FAS 160). This statement requires the recognition of a noncontrolling interest (minority interest) as equity in the consolidated financial statements and separate from the parent s equity. The amount of net income attributable to the noncontrolling interest will be included in consolidated net income on the face of the income statement. Changes in a parent s ownership interest that result in deconsolidation of a subsidiary will result in the recognition of a gain or loss in net income when the subsidiary is deconsolidated. FAS 160 also includes expanded disclosure requirements regarding the interests of the parent and its noncontrolling interest. The statement is effective for fiscals years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning on or after December 15, 2008. We are currently evaluating the effect of this statement on our consolidated financial statements.

In February 2008, the FASB issued FSP FAS 157-1 and FSP FAS 157-2, *Fair Value Measurements*. FSP FAS 157-1 amends FASB Statement No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements* (FAS 157) to exclude FASB Statement No. 13, *Accounting for Leases* (FAS 13), and its related interpretive accounting pronouncements that address leasing transactions. The FASB decided to exclude leasing transactions covered by FAS 13 (except those arising from a business combination) in order to allow it to more broadly consider the use of fair value measurements for these transactions as part of its project to comprehensively reconsider the accounting for leasing transactions. FAS 157-2 delays the effective date of FAS 157 for all non-financial assets and non-financial liabilities, except those that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis. The FSP states that the application of FAS 157 for non-financial assets and non-financial liabilities will be delayed until fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008 and interim periods within those fiscal years. FAS 157 was issued in September 2006 and defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. This standard is effective for the year ended December 31, 2008. The application of FAS 157 will not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

#### RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

In general, this discussion is related to the results of our continuing operations, except for discussions regarding our cash flows (which also include the results of our discontinued operations). Unless otherwise indicated, references in this discussion to 2007, 2006 and 2005 are to our fiscal years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Additionally, any references to the first, second, third or fourth quarters are to the three months ended March 31, June 30, September 30 and December 31, respectively, for the year being discussed.

### **Broadcast Revenues**

Set forth below are the principal types of broadcast revenues from continuing operations received by our stations for the periods indicated and the percentage contribution of each type to our total gross broadcast revenues (in millions):

		2007		2006			2005	
Local/regional advertising (a)	\$	421.3	59.3%	\$ 410.8	57.0%	\$	407.3	58.5%
National advertising		218.9	30.8%	229.5	31.9%		248.9	35.7%
Political advertising		5.8	0.8%	36.6	5.1%		2.4	0.3%
Network compensation		6.5	0.9%	9.4	1.3%		13.2	1.9%
Retransmission consent		44.4	6.3%	20.4	2.8%		15.1	2.2%
Other station revenues		13.5	1.9%	13.5	1.9%		10.0	1.4%
Gross broadcast revenues		710.4	100.0%	720.2	100.0%		696.9	100.0%
Less: agency commissions		(87.8)		(93.1)			(90.4)	
Net broadcast revenues		622.6		627.1			606.5	
Revenues realized from station barter arrangements		61.8		54.5			54.9	
Other operating divisions revenues		33.7		24.6			22.6	
Total revenues	\$	718.1		\$ 706.2		\$	684.0	

<sup>(</sup>a) In 2007, 2006 and 2005, \$14.5 million, \$4.7 million and \$3.4 million, respectively, in revenues generated from our retransmission consent agreements are categorized as local/regional advertising rather than as retransmission consent revenues pursuant to EITF 00-21.

Our primary types of programming and their approximate percentages of 2007 net broadcast revenues from continuing operations were syndicated programming (40.2%), network programming (21.1%), news (14.7%), direct advertising programming (8.1%), sports programming (5.6%). Additionally, other types of revenue and their approximate percentages of 2007 net broadcast revenues from continuing operations were retransmission consent (7.1%), network compensation (1.0%) and other (2.2%).

The following table presents our time sales revenue from continuing operations, net of agency commissions, by network affiliates for the past three years (in millions):

Percent
of
# of Sales Net Time Sales (a) Percent Change

Edgar Filing: SINCLAIR BROADCAST GROUP INC - Form 10-K

	Stations	2007	2007	2006 (b)		2005		07 vs. 06	06 vs. 05
FOX (c)	20	43.5%	\$ 243.0	\$	235.3	\$	230.4	3.3%	2.1%
MyNetworkTV(d)	17	18.4%	102.9		120.8		123.0	(14.8%)	(1.8%)
ABC (c)	9	21.7%	120.7		136.1		122.9	(11.3%)	10.7%
The CW (d)	9	13.6%	76.0		76.6		77.7	(0.8%)	(1.4%)
CBS	2	2.0%	11.3		10.5		10.7	7.6%	(1.9%)
NBC	1	0.7%	3.6		4.3		3.5	(16.3%)	22.9%
Digital (e)	4	0.1%	0.7		0.4			75.0%	100.0%
Total	62		\$ 558.2	\$	584.0	\$	568.2		

<sup>(</sup>a) During 2006 and 2005, several of our stations switched affiliations. We have reclassified the revenue for those stations in prior years for comparability.

- (b) 2006 includes significantly more political revenue than 2007 and 2005.
- (c) During 2005, we entered into an agreement to sell our FOX station in Tri-Cities, Tennessee. During 2007, we entered into an agreement to sell our ABC station in Springfield, Massachusetts. The time sales from these stations are not included in this table because they are accounted for as time sales from discontinued operations.

- (d) In September 2006, our composition of network affiliates changed as a result of our agreement to air MyNetworkTV programming and the merger of UPN and The WB into a network called The CW. Refer to *Item 1. Markets and Stations* table for additional information.
- (e) Some of our television stations are broadcasting a second digital signal as permitted by FCC rules.

### **Operating Data**

The following table sets forth certain of our operating data from continuing operations for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 (in millions). For definitions of terms, see the footnotes to the table in *Item 6. Selected Financial Data*.

	Years Ended December 31, 2007 2006 2005								
Net broadcast revenues	\$	622.6	\$	627.1	\$	606.5			
Revenues realized from station barter arrangements		61.8		54.5		54.9			
Other operating divisions revenues		33.7		24.6		22.6			
Total revenues		718.1		706.2		684.0			
Station production expenses		148.7		144.2		149.0			
Station selling, general and administrative expenses		140.0		138.0		135.9			
Expenses recognized from station barter arrangements		55.7		49.4		50.5			
Depreciation and amortization		157.2		153.3		136.9			
Other operating divisions expenses		33.0		24.2		20.9			
Corporate general and administrative expenses		24.3		22.8		21.2			
Impairment of intangibles				15.6					
Operating income	\$	159.2	\$	158.7	\$	169.6			
Net income	\$	22.7	\$	54.0	\$	185.9			
Net income available to common shareholders	\$	22.7	\$	54.0	\$	207.1			

### Revenue Discussion and Analysis

The following table presents our revenues from continuing operations, net of agency commissions, for the three years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 (in millions):

						Percent Change						
		2007		2006	2005	07 vs. 06	06 vs. 05					
Local revenues:												
Non-political (a)	\$	366.9	\$	357.6	\$ 354.3	2.6%	0.9%					
Political		1.3		10.0	1.2	(b)	(b)					
Total local		368.2		367.6	355.5	0.2%	3.4%					
National revenues:												
Non-political		186.3		195.3	211.9	(4.6%)	(7.8%)					
Political		3.7		21.1	0.8	(b)	(b)					
Total national		190.0		216.4	212.7	(12.2%)	1.7%					
Other revenues		64.4		43.1	38.3	49.4%	12.5%					

(0.7%)

3.4%

606.5

627.1 \$

622.6 \$

Total net broadcast revenues

- (a) Revenues of \$14.5 million, \$4.7 million and \$3.4 million in 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively, generated from our retransmission consent agreements are categorized as local/regional advertising pursuant to EITF 00-21.
- Political revenue is not comparable from year to year due to the cyclicality of elections. See Political Revenues below for more (b) information.

Our largest categories of advertising and their approximate percentages of 2007 net time sales were automotive (21.2%), professional services (14.6%), schools (6.9%), paid programming (6.6%), fast food (6.4%) and retail (5.6%). No other advertising category accounted for more than 5.0% of our net time sales in 2007. Along with the industry, we have seen softness in the automotive advertising category. We conduct business with thousands of advertisers. No advertiser accounted for more than 1.25% of our consolidated broadcast revenue in 2007.

*Net Broadcast Revenues*. From a revenue category standpoint, the year ended December 31, 2007, when compared to 2006, was impacted by a decrease in advertising revenues from political, retail, fast food, paid programming, movies and soft drinks, offset by increases in medical, media, telecommunications, services, cosmetics and home products. Automotive, our single largest category, representing 21.2% of the year s net time sales, was down 4.6%.

*Political Revenues.* Local and national political revenues were the primary drivers of higher revenue in 2006, compared to 2007 and 2005 because 2006 was an election year. For the year ended December 31, 2007, political revenues decreased by \$26.1 million to \$5.0 million when compared to the same period in 2006. We expect political revenues to increase in 2008 from 2007 levels, because 2008 is a presidential election year.

Local Revenues. Our revenues from local advertisers, excluding political revenues, increased during the last three years. We continue to focus on increasing local advertising revenues through innovative sales and marketing strategies in our markets. We continue to provide an enhanced sales training course for all of our salespeople with a focus on local revenue sales. We expect to continue these efforts in 2008. Local revenues excluding political increased \$9.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2007, compared to the same period in 2006. This increase was primarily due to revenue from our FOX affiliates which were up \$12.9 million in 2007, a 9.3% increase from 2006 offset by a decrease in revenue from our MyNetworkTV affiliates of \$5.1 million in 2007, a 6.5% decrease from 2006.

National Revenues. Our revenues from national advertisers, excluding political revenues, have continued to trend downward over time. We believe this trend represents a shift in the way national advertising dollars are being spent and we believe this trend will continue in the future. Advertisers in major categories like automotive, soft drink and packaged goods have shifted significant portions of their advertising budgets away from spot television into non-traditional media, in-store promotions and product placement in network shows. Automotive decreases are due to automotive companies reducing advertising budgets and shifting advertising dollars to specific Direct Marketing Areas. We had decreases in national revenues, excluding political of \$9.0 million during the year ended December 31, 2007, when compared to the same period in 2006. More specifically in 2007, the primary drivers to our decrease in national revenues were caused by a change in Super Bowl programming advertising revenues were \$2.0 million less than the same period in 2006 due to the shift in network affiliation that aired the Super Bowl from ABC to CBS and weakness from our MyNetworkTV stations.

Other Revenues. Our other revenues consist primarily of revenues from retransmission consent agreements with multi-channel video programming distributors, network compensation, production revenues and revenues from our outsourcing agreements. Our retransmission consent agreements, including the advertising component, generated \$58.9 million in total broadcast revenues during 2007 compared with \$25.1 million in 2006 and \$18.5 million in 2005. This growth trend is the result of our ability to monetize our existing relationships as cable providers struggle with increased competition from alternative video delivery providers and have begun to recognize the value of our digital and high definition signals and network programming. Pursuant to EITF 00-21, during 2007, \$44.4 million of the total \$58.9 million in revenues generated from our retransmission consent agreements are included in other revenues while the remaining \$14.5 million is included in other revenues while the remaining \$4.7 million of the total \$25.1 million in revenues generated from our retransmission consent agreements are included in other revenues while the remaining \$3.4 million is included in net time sales. Certain agreements are set to expire in 2008 and therefore, contractual negotiations are expected. We expect to continue to generate revenues from retransmission consent agreements at terms as favorable as or more favorable than our existing agreements upon the expiration of those agreements. Our retransmission consent agreements include automatic annual fee escalators. Network compensation decreased by \$2.9 million during 2007 and \$3.8 million during 2006. We expect further decreases in revenues from network compensation in 2008.

### Expense and Other Income Discussion and Analysis

The following table presents our significant expense and other income categories for the three years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 (in millions):

				Percent ( (Increase/(I	0
	2007	2006	2005	07 vs. 06	06 vs. 05
Station production expenses	\$ 148.7 \$	144.2	\$ 149.0	3.1%	(3.2%)
Station selling, general and administrative					
expenses	\$ 140.0 \$	138.0	\$ 135.9	1.4%	1.5%
Amortization of program contract costs and					
net realizable value adjustments	\$ 96.4 \$	90.6	\$ 70.5	6.4%	28.5%
Depreciation of property and equipment	\$ 43.1 \$	45.3	\$ 48.9	(4.9%)	(7.4%)
Corporate general and administrative					
expenses	\$ 24.3 \$	22.8	\$ 21.2	6.6%	7.5%
Interest expense	\$ 95.9 \$	115.2	\$ 120.0	(16.8%)	(4.0%)
Loss from extinguishment of debt	\$ 30.7 \$	0.9	\$ 1.9	3,311.1%	(52.6%)
Gain from derivative instruments	\$ 2.6 \$	2.9	\$ 21.8	(10.3%)	(86.7%)
Income (loss) from equity and cost method					
investments	\$ 0.6 \$	6.3	\$ (1.4)	(90.5%)	550.0%
Gain on insurance settlement	\$ \$		\$ 1.2	%	(100.0%)
Impairment of intangibles	\$ \$	15.6	\$	(100.0%)	100.0%
Income tax provision	\$ 18.8 \$	6.6	\$ 36.0	184.8%	(81.7%)

Station production expenses. Station production expenses for 2007 increased compared to 2006 as a result of increases in programming expenses of \$1.7 million, engineering expenses of \$1.0 million, production expenses of \$0.7 million, news expenses of \$0.6 million, promotion expenses of \$0.5 million, rating service fees of \$0.5 million and music license fees of \$0.2 million. These increases were offset by decreases in costs related to LMAs and outsourcing agreements of \$0.6 million and other miscellaneous expenses of \$0.1 million.

Station production expenses for 2006 decreased compared to 2005 as a result of decreases in news expenses of \$3.8 million related to the shutdown of News Central at several stations, rating service fees of \$1.5 million and programming expenses of \$1.7 million. These decreases were offset by increases in costs related to LMAs and outsourcing agreements of \$0.3 million, engineering expenses of \$0.7 million, music license fees of \$0.7 million, promotion expenses of \$0.2 million, production expenses of \$0.2 million and other expenses of \$0.1 million.

Station selling, general and administrative expenses. Station selling, general and administrative expenses for 2007 increased compared to the same period in 2006 as a result of increases in sales expenses of \$1.3 million, national representative commissions costs of \$0.6 million and other general and administrative expenses primarily related to health care costs of \$0.4 million, salary and bonus increases of \$0.4 million, electric expense of \$0.2 million and other expenses of \$0.2 million offset by decreases in personal property taxes of \$0.8 million and non-income based taxes of \$0.3 million.

Station selling, general and administrative expenses for 2006 increased compared to the same period in 2005 as a result of increases in general and administrative expenses primarily related to salary and bonus increases of \$1.1 million, bad debt expense of \$0.7 million, audit and accounting fees of \$0.4 million and insurance, utilities and other net costs of \$1.3 million. In addition, there was an increase in national representative commissions costs of \$0.1 million. These increases were offset by decreases in traffic expense of \$1.4 million and sales expenses of \$0.1 million.

We expect 2008 station production and station selling, general and administrative expenses excluding barter to be up from 2007.

Amortization of program contract costs. The amortization of program contract costs increased during 2007 compared to 2006 primarily due to an increase of \$6.7 million in write-downs of our program contract costs partially offset by a decrease in program amortization of \$0.9 million. The amortization increase during 2006 compared to 2005 was primarily due to significant program additions. We expect program contract amortization expense to decrease in 2008 when compared to 2007.

Depreciation of property and equipment. The depreciation of property and equipment decreased in 2007 compared to 2006 and in 2006 compared to 2005. These decreases are primarily related to assets becoming fully depreciated over time. These decreases are offset by depreciation associated with capital expenditures of \$23.2 million, \$16.9 million and \$16.7 million in 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. We expect depreciation on property and equipment to increase in 2008 when compared to 2007 and capital expenditures are expected to be greater in 2008.

Corporate general and administrative expenses. Corporate general and administrative expenses represent the costs to operate our corporate headquarters location. Such costs include, among other things, corporate departmental salaries, bonuses, fringe benefits and other compensation, directors and officers life insurance, health and other insurance, rent, telephone, consulting fees, legal, accounting, director fees and strategic development initiatives. Corporate departments include executive, treasury, finance and accounting, human resources, technology, corporate relations, legal, sales, engineering, operations and purchasing.

Corporate general and administrative expense for 2007 increased compared to the same period in 2006 due to increases in stock-based compensation expense for stock-settled stock appreciation rights of \$1.0 million, restricted and unrestricted stock awards of \$0.5 million, investment consulting fees of \$0.5 million, the difference in the amount of workers compensation insurance refunds received in 2006 compared to 2007 amounting to \$0.5 million, increases in compensation expense of \$0.3 million, satellite costs of \$0.2 million, property insurance of \$0.2 million, director s fees of \$0.1 million and health care costs of \$0.1 million. These increases were offset by decreases in legal fees of \$0.8 million, costs related to the shutdown of unprofitable local news programming at several stations in 2006 of \$0.7 million, building rent of \$0.2 million, and directors and officers insurance of \$0.2 million.

Corporate general and administrative expense for 2006 increased compared to the same period in 2005 due to increases of \$1.7 million related to the shutdown of News Central at several stations and other strategic development initiatives related to news, salary and bonus expense of \$1.4 million, legal fees of \$0.5 million, restricted and unrestricted stock compensation costs of \$0.1 million and other miscellaneous expenses of \$0.2 million. These increases were offset by property and general insurance reimbursements of \$0.2 million, workers compensation refunds of \$0.4 million, decreases in health care costs of \$1.0 million and audit and accounting fees of \$0.7 million.

We expect corporate overhead expenses to increase in 2008.

*Interest expense.* Interest expense presented in the financial statements is related to continuing operations. Interest expense has been decreasing since 2004, primarily due to refinancings we have undertaken. The decrease during 2007 compared to 2006 is primarily due to the redemption of the 8.75% Senior Subordinated Notes, due 2011 (the 8.75% Notes) on January 22, 2007 and the partial redemption of the 8.0% Senior Subordinated Notes, due 2012 (the 8.0% Notes) on June 11, 2007 and June 18, 2007. These decreases were partially offset by an increase in interest on our Revolving Credit Facility and Term Loan and interest on the 3.0% Convertible Senior Notes, due 2027.

Interest expense for 2006 decreased compared to the same period in 2005 due to the expiration of two interest rate swap agreements, a decrease of interest related to derivative instruments, and the repurchase of the 8.0% Notes. The decrease was offset by increases due to the accretion of a debt discount as a result of the redemption of our Series D Convertible Exchangeable Preferred Stock for Convertible Debentures in the second quarter of 2005 and interest expense related to amended state income tax returns.

We expect interest expense to decrease in 2008 when compared to 2007, assuming no changes in the current interest rate yield curve or changes in our assumption of debt levels for 2008.

Loss from extinguishment of debt. In January 2007 and June 2007, we redeemed and partially redeemed our 8.75% Notes and our 8.0% Notes, respectively. The redemption of the 8.75% Notes resulted in a \$15.7 million loss from extinguishment of debt. The partial redemption of the 8.0% Notes resulted in a \$15.0 million loss from extinguishment of debt. For further information see *Liquidity and Capital Resources*.

Gain from derivative instruments. We record gains and losses related to certain of our derivative instruments not treated as hedges in accordance with SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, as amended. The fair value of our derivative instruments is primarily based on the anticipated future interest rate curves at the end of each period. During 2006, certain instruments expired resulting in a reduction in unrealized gain.

Income (loss) from equity and cost method investments. During 2007, we recorded \$1.6 million in income from certain private investment funds. This income was offset by an impairment of \$1.0 million related to one of our direct investments in a privately held small business. During 2006, we recorded \$7.3 million of income from our investment in a private investment fund. This was a result of the sale and initial public offering of certain of the fund s portfolio companies. This income was partially offset by losses from one of our direct investments in a privately held small business. The loss in 2005 primarily related to the impairment of one of our direct investments in a privately held small business.

*Gain on insurance settlement.* In the first quarter of 2003, one of our towers in Charleston, West Virginia collapsed during a severe ice storm. In 2005, we recognized a gain on insurance settlement of \$1.2 million related to rebuilding the tower and replacing the other assets that were destroyed by the collapse. We did not receive any additional payments or gains related to this claim in 2007 and 2006.

*Impairment of intangibles.* On a periodic basis, we test our goodwill for impairment in accordance with the applicable accounting rules. See *Note 5. Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*, in the Notes to our Consolidated Financial Statements. In 2006, we recorded an impairment of \$11.9 million related to goodwill. In addition, during 2006, we wrote-down a decaying advertiser based definite-lived intangible asset by \$3.7 million.

Income tax provision. We adopted the provisions of FASB Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes (FIN 48) on January 1, 2007. The adoption of FIN 48 did not cause a material change to our contingent liability for unrecognized tax benefits. We decreased the January 1, 2007 balance of our accumulated deficit position by \$0.6 million to apply the cumulative effect of the FIN 48 adoption. As of the date of adoption, we had \$32.9 million of gross unrecognized tax benefits. Of this total, \$17.6 million (net of federal effect on state tax issues) and \$7.8 million (net of federal effect on state tax issues) represent the amounts of unrecognized tax benefits that, if recognized, would favorably affect our effective tax rates from continuing operations and discontinued operations, respectively. At December 31, 2007, we had \$28.0 million of gross unrecognized tax benefits. Of this total, \$15.1 million (net of federal effect on state tax issues) and \$7.1 million (net of federal effect on state tax issues) represent the amounts of unrecognized tax benefits that, if recognized, would favorably affect our effective tax rates from continuing operations and discontinued operations, respectively.

We recognize accrued interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits in income tax expense. We had \$6.7 million and \$0 accrued for interest and penalties, respectively, at January 1, 2007. We recognized \$0.4 million of income tax benefit for interest related to uncertain tax positions during the year ended December 31, 2007.

The 2007 income tax provision for our pre-tax income from continuing operations of \$39.2 million resulted in an effective tax rate of 47.9%. The 2006 income tax provision for our pre-tax income from continuing operations of \$55.1 million resulted in an effective tax rate of 12.0%. The increase in effective tax rate from 2007 to 2006 is primarily attributable to the release of discrete tax and related interest reserves during 2006 as a result of the expiration of the statute of limitations for the federal income tax returns for 1999 through 2002.

As of December 31, 2007, we had a net deferred tax liability of \$305.6 million as compared to a net deferred tax liability of \$274.0 million as of December 31, 2006. The increase primarily relates to an increase in deferred tax liabilities associated with book and tax differences attributable to the amortization of intangible assets.

The 2006 income tax provision for our pre-tax income from continuing operations of \$55.1 million resulted in an effective tax rate of 12.0%. The 2005 income tax provision for our pre-tax income from continuing operations of \$70.5 million resulted in an effective tax rate of 51.1%. The decrease in effective tax rate from 2005 to 2006 is primarily attributable to the release of discrete tax and related interest reserves as a result of the expiration of the statute of limitations for the Federal income tax returns for 1999 through 2002.

As of December 31, 2006, we had a net deferred tax liability of \$274.0 million as compared to a net deferred tax liability of \$266.9 million as of December 31, 2005. The increase in deferred taxes primarily relates to a decrease in deferred tax assets associated with additional valuation allowances recorded to reflect a change in circumstances causing a change in judgment with respect to realizability of state net operating losses.

### Other Operating Divisions Revenue and Expense

The following table presents Other Operating Divisions revenue and expenses related to G1440 Holdings, Inc. (G1440), our software development, information technology staffing and consulting company, Acrodyne Communications, Inc. (Acrodyne), a manufacturer of television transmissions systems and Triangle Signs & Services, Inc. (Triangle), a sign designer and fabricator, for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 (in millions):

	For the	e year	s ended Decem	ber 3	1,	Percent Change					
	2007	7 2006			2005	07 vs. 06	06 vs. 05				
Revenues:											
G1440	\$ 9.4	\$	8.5	\$	9.2	10.6%	(7.6%)				
Acrodyne	\$ 4.4	\$	16.1	\$	13.4	(72.7%)	20.1%				
Triangle	\$ 19.2	\$		\$		100.0%	%				
Other	\$ 0.7	\$		\$		100.0%	%				
Expenses:											
G1440	\$ 9.8	\$	8.6	\$	8.1	14.0%	6.2%				
Acrodyne	\$ 6.3	\$	15.6	\$	12.9	(59.6%)	20.9%				
Triangle	\$ 15.8	\$		\$		100.0%	%				
Other	\$ 1.1	\$		\$		100.0%	%				

Triangle was acquired in May 2007. The decrease in Acrodyne s revenue for the year ended December 31, 2007 compared to the same period in 2006 is due to a decrease in market demand. Other includes partial year results of other operating divisions companies acquired during 2007.

### LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Our financial condition and balance sheet continue to get stronger. As of December 31, 2007, we had \$21.0 million in cash and cash equivalent balances and working capital of approximately \$13.4 million. Cash generated by our operations and availability under our Revolver are used as our primary source of liquidity. We anticipate that in 2008, cash flow from our operations and borrowings under the Revolver will be sufficient to continue paying dividends under our current policy and to satisfy our debt service obligations, capital expenditure requirements plans including those related to the upgrade and expansion of stations high-definition master control systems and certain strategic investment and working capital needs. As of December 31, 2007, we had borrowed \$95.0 million under our Term Loan A. Our ability to draw on our Revolver is based on pro forma trailing cash flow levels as defined in our Bank Credit Agreement. For the year ended December 31, 2007, the entire \$175.0 million of current borrowing capacity was available under our Revolver. Our Term Loan A-1 was drawn in full on January 16, 2007 in order to redeem the 8.75% Senior Subordinated Notes, due 2011, which we redeemed in full on January 22, 2007.

On April 22, 2002, we filed a \$350.0 million universal shelf registration statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission which will permit us to offer and sell various types of securities from time to time. Offered securities may include common stock, debt securities, preferred stock, depository shares or any combination thereof in amounts, prices and on terms to be announced when the securities are offered. As of December 31, 2007, we had \$5.0 million of availability under our universal shelf registration statement filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission that expires on November 30, 2008.

### Sources and Uses of Cash

The following table sets forth our cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 (in millions):

	2	2007	2006		2005
Net cash flows from operating activities	\$	146.2	\$ 155	5.3 \$	54.6
Net cash flows from (used in) investing activities:					
Acquisition of property and equipment	\$	(23.2)	\$ (10	5.9) \$	(16.7)
Payments for acquisition of television stations			()	1.7)	(15.5)
Payments for acquisitions of other operating divisions companies		(39.1)			
Investments in equity and cost method investees		(16.4)	((	).3)	(1.0)
Proceeds from sales of assets		0.7		2.4	0.1
Proceeds from the sale of broadcast assets related to discontinued operations		21.0	1	1.4	295.2
Proceeds from sale of equity investees					21.5
Other		0.6			1.2
Net cash flows (used in) from investing activities	\$	(56.4)	\$ (15	5.1) \$	284.8
Net cash flows (used in) from financing activities:					
Proceeds from notes payable, commercial bank financing and capital leases	\$	751.6	\$ 75	5.0 \$	52.0
Repayments of notes payable, commercial bank financing and capital leases		(840.6)	(114	1.4)	(360.4)
Proceeds from exercise of stock options, including excess tax benefits of \$1.9					
million, \$0.1 million and \$0 million, respectively		13.4	1	l.1	0.1
Dividend paid on Class A and Class B Common Stock		(49.5)	(30	5.1)	(19.2)
Other		(11.2)	(8	3.0)	(12.7)
Net cash flows used in financing activities	\$	(136.3)	\$ (82	2.4) \$	(340.2)

### **Operating Activities**

Net cash flows from operating activities were \$9.1 million lower for the year ended December 31, 2007 compared to the same period in 2006. During 2007, we paid \$27.2 million more for the extinguishment of debt due to the full redemption of the 8.75% Notes and the partial redemption of the 8.0% Notes. Additionally, we received \$4.2 million less in distributions from equity and cost method investees, \$3.8 million less in tax refunds and \$1.4 million less in operating cash flows from stations we sold. Offsetting these amounts, we paid \$11.8 million less in interest payments, \$10.0 million less in program payments, \$4.5 million less in tax payments, and \$1.2 million less in cash receipts from customers, net of cash payments to vendors for operating expenses and other working capital cash activities.

Net cash flows from operating activities were \$100.7 million higher for the year ended December 31, 2006 compared to the same period in 2005. During 2006, cash receipts from customers, net of cash payments to vendors for operating expenses and other working capital cash activities were \$36.7 million higher compared to the same period in 2005. Additionally, we paid \$16.0 million less in program payments, \$10.7 million less in interest payments, \$33.4 million less in tax payments and received \$11.3 million more in tax refunds and \$4.0 million more in distributions from equity and cost method investees in the year ended December 31, 2006. These amounts were offset by \$11.4 million in operating cash flows from stations we sold.

We expect program payments to increase in 2008 compared to 2007.

### **Investing Activities**

Net cash flows used in investing activities increased for the year ended December 31, 2007 compared to the same period in 2006. During the year ended December 31, 2007, we paid \$39.1 million, net of cash acquired related to our acquisitions of Triangle Sign & Service, Inc., FBP Holding Company, LLC, Bagby Investors, LLC and Alarm Funding Associates, LLC. In addition, we made \$16.2 million and \$0.8 million in equity and debt investments, respectively, in real estate ventures. These acquisitions and investments reflect our strategy to maximize value for our shareholders, which includes diversification through investments in non television assets. We had an increase in capital expenditures of \$6.3 million. These outflows were partially offset by an increase of \$19.6 million related to the sale of certain broadcasting assets.

Net cash flows from investing activities were also significantly different for the year ended December 31, 2006 compared to the same period in 2005 primarily because of proceeds from the sale of television stations, offset by cash paid for the acquisition of stations in 2005.

For 2008, we anticipate incurring an increase of capital expenditures when compared to 2007 for our upgrade to high-definition master control systems, build out of high definition news, station maintenance, equipment replacement and consolidation of building and tower needs in some markets. We expect to fund such capital expenditures with cash generated from operating activities and borrowings under our Revolver. In addition, when the opportunity is available to us and it makes economic sense, we may accelerate the timing of capital expenditures from 2009 to 2008 in order to take advantage of recently passed favorable tax legislation related to the recent economic stimulus legislation.

### Financing Activities

Net cash flows used in financing activities increased for the year ended December 31, 2007 compared to the same period in 2006. Our debt repayments to non-affiliates, net of debt issuances, in 2007 was \$89.0 million compared to \$39.4 million in 2006. In addition, we increased the value returned to our shareholders through dividend payments on our common stock that were \$13.4 million higher for the year ended December 31, 2007 compared to the same period in 2006 due to multiple dividend rate increases.

Net cash flows from financing activities were significantly different for the year ended December 31, 2006 compared to the same period in 2005 because we utilized the cash from the sale of television stations to repay debt during 2005. Our debt repayments to non-affiliates, net of debt issuances, in 2006 was \$39.4 million compared to \$308.4 million in 2005. Dividend payments on our common stock were \$16.9 million higher for the year ended December 31, 2006 compared to the same period in 2005 because our dividend rate increased.

On February 6, 2008, we announced that our Board of Directors approved an increase to our annual dividend to 80 cents per share from 70 cents per share. We intend to declare dividend distributions each future quarter. The dividends paid for 2007, 2006 and 2005 are shown below:

For the quarter ended	Quarter dividend per share	Total dividends paid	Payment date
March 31, 2007	\$0.150	\$13.1 million	April 13, 2007
June 30, 2007	\$0.150	\$13.1 million	July 12, 2007
September 30, 2007	\$0.150	\$13.1 million	October 12, 2007
December 31, 2007	\$0.175	\$15.3 million	January 14, 2008
For the quarter ended	Quarter dividend per share	Total dividends paid	Payment date
March 31, 2006	\$0.100	\$ 8.6 million	April 13, 2006
June 30, 2006	\$0.100	\$ 8.6 million	July 13, 2006
September 30, 2006	\$0.125	\$10.7 million	October 12, 2006
December 31, 2006	\$0.125	\$10.7 million	January 12, 2007
For the quarter ended	Quarter dividend per share	Total dividends paid	Payment date
March 31, 2005	\$0.050	\$4.3 million	April 15, 2005
June 30, 2005	\$0.075	\$6.4 million	July 15, 2005
September 30, 2005	\$0.075	\$6.4 million	October 14, 2005
December 31, 2005	\$0.100	\$8.5 million	January 13, 2006

During 2007, we repurchased face values of \$307.4 million and \$354.9 million of our 8.75% Senior Subordinated Notes, due 2011 and 8.0% Senior Subordinated Notes, due 2012 (the 8.0% Notes), respectively. During January 2008, we repurchased, in the open market, \$6.9 million face value of the 8.0% Notes. From time to time, we may repurchase additional outstanding debt on the open market. We expect to fund any repurchases with cash generated from operating activities and borrowings under our Bank Credit Agreement.

On February 5, 2008, our Board of Directors renewed its authorization to repurchase up to \$150.0 million of our Class A Common Stock on the open market or through private transactions. We did not repurchase any Class A Common Stock during 2007.

### Seasonality/Cyclicality

Our operating results are usually subject to seasonal fluctuations. Usually, the second and fourth quarter operating results are higher than the first and third quarters because advertising expenditures are increased in anticipation of certain seasonal and holiday spending by consumers.

Our operating results are usually subject to fluctuations from political advertising. In even numbered years, political spending is usually significantly higher than in odd numbered years due to advertising expenditures preceding local and national elections. Additionally, every four years, political spending is elevated further due to advertising expenditures preceding the presidential election.

### **Contractual Obligations**

We have various contractual obligations which are recorded as liabilities in our consolidated financial statements. Other items, such as certain purchase commitments and other executory contracts are not recognized as liabilities in our consolidated financial statements but are required to be disclosed. For example, we are contractually committed to acquire future programming and make certain minimum lease payments for the use of property under operating lease agreements.

The following table reflects a summary of our contractual cash obligations as of December 31, 2007 and the future periods in which such obligations are expected to be settled in cash (in thousands):

### CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS RELATED TO CONTINUING OPERATIONS (a)

	Total			2008	2	009-2010	2	011-2012	2013 and thereafter (b)		
Notes payable, capital leases and commercial bank											
financing (c)	\$	1,808,436	\$	95,958	\$	176,123	\$	766,156	\$	770,199	
Notes and capital leases payable to affiliates		48,653		6,379		9,580		7,724		24,970	
Operating leases		18,520		3,009		4,910		4,085		6,516	
Employment contracts		15,678		8,841		6,051		666		120	
Film liability active (e)		170,195		90,208		64,604		14,799		584	
Film liability future (d), (e)		117,310		13,072		64,961		36,631		2,646	
Programming services (f)		162,095		38,528		62,788		55,763		5,016	
Maintenance and support		4,998		2,500		2,498					
Network affiliation agreements		5,955		5,955							
Other operating contracts		6,665		515		1,084		804		4,262	
Total contractual cash obligations	\$	2,358,505	\$	264,965	\$	392,599	\$	886,628	\$	814,313	

<sup>(</sup>a) Excluded from this table are \$28.0 million of accrued unrecognized tax benefits. Due to inherent uncertainty, we can not make reasonable estimates of the amount and period payments will be made.

Includes a one-year estimate of \$4.9 million in payments related to contracts that automatically renew. We have not calculated potential nts for years after 2013.
Includes interest on fixed rate debt and capital leases. Estimated interest on our recourse variable rate debt has been excluded. se variable rate debt represents \$320.0 million of our \$1.3 billion total face value of debt as of December 31, 2007.
Future film liabilities reflect a license agreement for program material that is not yet available for its first showing or telecast and is, re, not recorded as an asset or liability on our balance sheet. Pursuant to SFAS No. 63, <i>Financial Reporting for Broadcasters</i> , an asset ability for the rights acquired and obligations incurred under a license agreement are reported on the balance sheet when the cost of each is known or reasonably determinable, the program material has been accepted by the licensee in accordance with the conditions of the agreement and the program is available for its first showing or telecast.
Each future periods film liability includes contractual amounts owed, however, what is contractually owed doesn t necessarily reflect e are expected to pay during that period. While we are legally contractually bound to make the payments reflected in the table during the ed periods, industry protocol typically enables us to make film payments on a three-month lag.
Includes obligations related to rating service fees, music license fees, market research, weather and news services.
47

#### Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

Off balance sheet arrangements as defined by the SEC include the following four items: obligations under certain guarantees or contracts; retained or contingent interest in assets transferred to an unconsolidated entity or similar arrangements; obligations under certain derivative arrangements; and obligations arising out of a material variable interest in an unconsolidated entity. We have entered into arrangements where we have obligations under certain guarantees or contracts because we believe they will help improve shareholder returns.

On May 26, 2005, we entered into a twelve-month limited scope liquidity assurance with Acrodyne Communications, Inc. (Acrodyne), one of our majority-owned subsidiaries. On July 14, 2006, we extended the liquidity assurance for an additional twelve-month period. Pursuant to this agreement, we provided to them sufficient funding to cover any necessary working capital needs through May 25, 2007, when Acrodyne could not provide that funding on its own. In connection with this liquidity assurance, we established a \$0.5 million line of credit for Acrodyne. Interest on any unpaid indebtedness is calculated on a daily basis at LIBOR plus 225 basis points per annum. As of December 31, 2007, Acrodyne had borrowed \$0.5 million under this line of credit. The liquidity assurance was not extended in 2007. We provide no liquidity assurance to any of our other operating division companies or real estate venture investment entities.

The following table reflects a summary of these off balance sheet arrangements, as defined by the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), as of December 31, 2007 and the future periods in which such arrangements may be settled in cash if certain contingent events occur (in thousands):

	Total		2008 2009-2010		2011-2012		3 and reafter	
Letters of credit	\$	440	\$ 336	\$	52	\$	52	\$
Investments and loan commitments (a)		25,624	25,624					
Purchase commitments (b)		190	190					
LMA and outsourcing agreements (c)		17,613	6,634		8,753		1,946	280
Total other commercial commitments	\$	43,867	\$ 32,784	\$	8,805	\$	1,998	\$ 280

- (a) Commitments to contribute capital or provide loans to Allegiance Capital, LP, Sterling Ventures Partners, LP and Patriot Capital II, LP.
- (b) Excludes our 2008 purchase of the non-licensed assets of KFXA-TV in Cedar Rapids, Iowa and obtained the right to purchase the licensed assets, pending FCC approval, for \$1.9 million.
- (c) Certain LMAs require us to reimburse the licensee owner their operating costs. Certain outsourcing agreements require us to pay a fee to another station for providing non-programming services. The amount will vary each month and, accordingly, these amounts were estimated through the date of the agreements expiration, based on historical cost experience.

ITEM 7A.

### **OUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK**

### Interest Rate Risks

We are exposed to market risk from changes in interest rates. We enter into derivative instruments primarily for the purpose of reducing the impact of changing interest rates on our floating rate debt and to reduce the impact of changing fair market values on our fixed rate debt. We account for our derivative instruments under SFAS No. 133 (SFAS 133), *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*, as amended. For additional information on SFAS 133, see *Note 9. Derivative Instruments*, in the Notes to our Consolidated Financial Statements.

On April 20, 2006, we terminated two of our derivative instruments with a cash payment of \$3.8 million, the aggregate fair value of the derivative liabilities on that date. These swap agreements were accounted for as fair value hedges in accordance with SFAS 133 and changes in their fair market values were reflected as adjustments to the carrying value of the underlying debt that was being hedged. Therefore, on the termination date, the carrying value of the underlying debt was adjusted to reflect the \$3.8 million payment and that amount will be treated as a discount on the underlying debt that was being hedged and will be amortized over its remaining life, in accordance with SFAS 133. Amortization of the discount of \$0.4 million was recorded as interest expense for each of the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006.

On June 5, 2006, two of our derivative instruments expired. These expired swap agreements did not qualify for hedge accounting treatment under SFAS 133 and, therefore, the changes in their fair market values were reflected in historical earnings as an unrealized gain from derivative instruments through the expiration date. For the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, we recorded an unrealized gain of \$2.9 million and \$21.8 million, respectively, related to these instruments.

As of December 31, 2007, we had two remaining derivative instruments. Both of these instruments are interest rate swap agreements. One of these swap agreements, with a notional amount of \$180.0 million and expiring March 15, 2012, is accounted for as a fair value hedge; therefore, any changes in its fair market value are reflected as an adjustment to the carrying value of our 8.0% Senior Subordinated Notes, due 2012, which is the underlying debt being hedged. During 2006, the other interest rate swap agreement was undesignated as a fair value hedge due to a reassignment of the counterparty; therefore, any subsequent changes in the fair market value are reflected as an adjustment to income. The notional amount of this swap agreement is \$120.0 million and it expires on March 15, 2012. The interest we pay on the \$180.0 million interest rate swap agreement is floating based on the three-month London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) plus 2.28% and the interest we receive is 8.0%. The \$120.0 million swap is structured identically with the exception of a difference in the interest spread where it is 2.35%. The fair market value of these agreements is estimated by obtaining quotations from the international financial institution which is a party to the contract. This fair value is an estimate of the net amount that we would pay on the balance sheet date if we cancelled the contracts or transferred them to other parties and includes net accrued interest receivable or payable. This amount was a net asset of \$12.8 million and \$5.7 million as of December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. During February 2008, the counterparty to each of these two derivative instruments terminated the agreements.

To determine the sensitivity of these derivative instruments to changes in interest rates, we also obtain quotations from the party to the contract that estimate the pro forma fair market value of the instruments on December 31, 2007 if current interest rates were higher by 1% or lower by 1%. As of December 31, 2007, the fair market value of these instruments would be a liability of \$7.9 million if interest rates were 1% higher and an asset of \$14.0 million if interest rates were 1% lower than current rates.

As of December 31, 2007, when including the effects of the above-mentioned interest rate swaps, \$625.6 million or approximately 47% of the face value of our debt effectively pays interest at a floating rate.

During May 2003, we completed an issuance of \$150.0 million aggregate principal amount of 4.875% Convertible Senior Notes, due 2018 (the 4.875% Notes). During May 2007, we completed an issuance of \$345.0 million aggregate principal of 3.0% Convertible Senior Notes, due 2027 (the 3.0% Notes). Under certain circumstances, we will pay contingent cash interest to the holder of the convertible notes commencing on January 15, 2011 and May 10, 2010 for the 4.875% Notes and 3.0% Notes, respectively. The contingent cash interest feature for both issuances are embedded derivatives which had a negligible fair value as of December 31, 2007. The embedded derivative for the 4.875% Notes had a negligible fair value as of December 31, 2006.

On January 22, 2007, we redeemed in full our 8.75% Senior Subordinated Notes, due 2011 (the 8.75% Notes) using the proceeds from our \$225.0 million Term Loan A-1, borrowings under the Revolver and cash on hand. This transaction increases our risk to increases from interest rates as the Term Loan A-1 and Revolver both accrue interest with a variable rate.

On May 10, 2007, we completed an offering of \$300.0 million aggregate principal amount of Convertible Senior Notes, due 2027 at an interest rate of 3% per year. Upon certain conditions, the 3.0% Notes are convertible into cash and, in certain circumstances, shares of Class A Common Stock prior to maturity at an initial conversion price of \$20.43 per share, subject to adjustment, which is equal to an initial conversion rate of approximately 48.9476 shares of Class A Common Stock per \$1,000 principal amount of notes. Under certain provisions of the indenture we may be required to pay contingent cash interest to the holders of the 3.0% Notes. The 3.0% Notes may not be redeemed prior to May 20, 2010 and may thereafter be redeemed by us at par. On May 18, 2007, the underwriters of the 3.0% Notes exercised their option to purchase up to an additional aggregate \$45.0 million principal amount of the 3.0% Notes. The offering was made pursuant to our universal shelf registration statement previously filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. For additional information, refer to *Note 6. Notes Payable and Commercial Bank Financing*, in the Notes to our Consolidated Financial Statements.

On June 11, 2007 and June 18, 2007, we partially redeemed \$300.0 million and \$45.0 million, respectively, of our existing 8.0% Senior Subordinated Notes, due 2012 (the 8.0% Notes) at a redemption price of 104.0% of the principal amount of the 8.0% Notes plus accrued and unpaid interest with net proceeds from the offering and cash on hand. As a result of the partial redemption, we recorded a loss from extinguishment of debt of \$15.0 million representing the redemption premium and write-off of certain debt acquisition costs, a debt premium and an unamortized derivative asset. In addition to the partial redemption noted above, we repurchased on the open market \$9.9 million of our 8.0% Notes during the year ended December 31, 2007. As of December 31, 2007, the outstanding face amount of the 8.0% Notes was \$263.4 million. During January 2008, we repurchased on the open market \$6.9 million of our 8.0% Notes.

We are exposed to risk from a change in interest rates to the extent we are required to refinance existing fixed rate indebtedness at rates higher than those prevailing at the time the existing indebtedness was incurred. As of December 31, 2007, we had senior subordinated notes totaling \$263.4 million, convertible senior notes totaling \$150.0 million, senior subordinated convertible notes totaling \$153.2 million and convertible senior notes totaling \$345.0 million expiring in the years 2012, 2018, 2012 and 2027, respectively. Based on the quoted market price, the fair value of these notes was \$0.9 billion as of December 31, 2007. Generally, the fair market value of these notes will decrease as interest rates rise and increase as interest rates fall. We estimate that a 1.0% increase from prevailing interest rates would result in a decrease in fair value of these notes by \$66.8 million as of December 31, 2007. The estimates related to the increase or decrease of interest rates are based on assumptions for forecasted future interest rates.

The 2007 transactions noted above decreased the fair value of our notes as of December 31, 2007 as compared to December 31, 2006 since the 8.0% Notes and the 8.75% Notes trade or traded at a premium and the 3.0% Notes trade at a discount. In addition, the fair value of the Term Loan A-1 which replaced a portion of the 8.75% Notes is not included in the fair value of our notes as of December 31, 2007.

### ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

The financial statements and supplementary data required by this item are filed as exhibits to this report, are listed under Item 15(a)(1) and (2) and are incorporated by reference in this report.

# ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

There were no changes in and/or disagreements with accountants on accounting and financial disclosure during the year ended December 31, 2007.

### ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

### Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of December 31, 2007. The term disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act, means controls and other procedures of a company that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by a company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the SEC s rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by a company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to the company s management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving their objectives and management necessarily applies its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures. Based on the evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of December 31, 2007, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of such date, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective at the reasonable assurance level.

### Report of Management on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f).

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, we assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007 based on the criteria set forth in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Based on our assessment, management believes that, as of December 31, 2007, our internal control over financial reporting is effective based on those criteria.

Ernst & Young, LLP, the independent registered public accounting firm who audited our consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, has issued a report on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting, which is included herein.

## Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during or subsequent to the quarter ended December 31, 2007, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

### Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Shareholders of Sinclair Broadcast Group, Inc.

We have audited Sinclair Broadcast Group, Inc. s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007, based on criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). Sinclair Broadcast Group Inc. s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Report of Management on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the company s internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, Sinclair Broadcast Group, Inc. maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007 based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of Sinclair Broadcast Group, Inc. as of December 31, 2007 and 2006, and the related consolidated statements of operations, shareholders equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2007 of Sinclair Broadcast Group, Inc. and our report dated February 28, 2008 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Baltimore, Maryland

February 28, 2008

52

## ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

53

OTHER INFORMATION 106

#### PART III

### ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The information required by this Item will be included in our Proxy Statement for the 2008 Annual Meeting of shareholders under the caption, Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance, which will be filed with the SEC no later than 120 days after the close of the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007 and is incorporated by reference in this report.

### ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information required by this Item will be included in our Proxy Statement for the 2008 Annual Meeting of shareholders under the caption, Executive Compensation, which will be filed with the SEC no later than 120 days after the close of the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007 and is incorporated by reference in this report.

# ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The information required by this Item will be included in our Proxy Statement for the 2008 Annual Meeting of shareholders under the caption, Security Ownership Of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management, which will be filed with the SEC no later than 120 days after the close of the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007 and is incorporated by reference in this report.

### ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

The information required by this Item will be included in our Proxy Statement for the 2008 Annual Meeting of shareholders under the caption, Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence , which will be filed with the SEC no later than 120 days after the close of the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007 and is incorporated by reference in this report.

### ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES

The information required by this Item will be included in our Proxy Statement for the 2008 Annual Meeting of shareholders under the caption, Principal Accountant Fees and Services , which will be filed with the SEC no later than 120 days after the close of the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007 and is incorporated by reference in this report.

#### PART IV

#### ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

(a) (1) Financial Statements

The following financial statements required by this item are submitted in a separate section beginning on page F-1 of this report.

Sinclair Broadcast Group, Inc. Financial Statements:	Page:
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	F-2
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2007 and 2006	F-3
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005	F-4
Consolidated Statements of Shareholders Equity and Other Comprehensive Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2007, 2006	
and 2005	F-5
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005	F-8
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-10

#### (a) (2) Financial Statements Schedules

The following financial statements schedules required by this item are submitted on pages S-1 and S-2 of this Report.

Index to Schedules	S-1
Schedule II - Valuation and Qualifying Accounts	S-2

All other schedules are omitted because they are not applicable or the required information is shown in the Financial Statements or the accompanying notes.

(a) (3) Exhibits

The following exhibits are filed with this report:

EXHIBIT NO.	EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION
3.1	Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation. (Incorporated by reference from Registrant s Report on Form 10-Q for
	the quarter ended June 30, 1998).
3.2	Amended By-Laws of Sinclair Broadcast Group, Inc. as further amended by the First Amendment to the Amended By-Laws
	of Sinclair Broadcast Group, Inc., dated October 30, 2007. (Incorporated by reference from Registrant s Report on
	Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2007).

4.1	First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of July 26, 2002, among Sinclair Broadcast Group, Inc., the Guarantors named therein and Wachovia Bank, National Association (formerly known as First Union National Bank), as trustee. (Incorporated by reference from Registrant s Registration Statement on Form S-4 (333-103681) filed on March 7, 2003).
4.2	Second Supplemental Indenture, dated as of November 8, 2002, among Sinclair Broadcast Group, Inc., the Guarantors named therein and Wachovia Bank, National Association (formerly known as First Union National Bank), as trustee. (Incorporated by reference from Registrant s Registration Statement on Form S-4 (333-103681) filed on March 7, 2003).
4.3	Indenture, dated as of May 20, 2003, between Sinclair Broadcast Group, Inc. and Wachovia Bank, National Association. (Incorporated by reference from Registrant s Registration Statement on Form S-4 (333-107522) filed on July 31, 2003).
4.4	Indenture, dated as of May 10, 2007, between Sinclair Broadcast Group, Inc. and U.S. Bank National Association, as trustee. (Incorporated by reference from Registrant s Report on Form 8-K filed on May 11, 2007).
4.5	First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of May 10, 2007, between Sinclair Broadcast Group, Inc. and U.S. Bank National Association, as trustee. (Incorporated by reference from Registrant s Report on Form 8-K filed on May 11, 2007).

10.1	Lease dated as of September 23, 1993 between Gerstell Development Limited Partnership and WPGH, Inc. (Incorporated by reference from Registrant s Registration Statement on Form S-1 No. 33-90682).
10.2	Amendment No. 1 to the lease dated as of September 23, 1993 between Gerstell Development Limited Partnership and WPGH, Inc. (Incorporated by reference from Registrant s Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004).
10.3	Amendment No. 2 to the lease dated as of September 23, 1993 between Gerstell Development Limited Partnership and WPGH, Inc. (Incorporated by reference from Registrant s Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004).
10.4	Amendment No. 3 to the lease dated as of September 23, 1993 between Gerstell Development Limited Partnership and WPGH, Inc. (Incorporated by reference from Registrant s Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004).
10.5	Lease Agreement dated as of July 1, 1987 between Cunningham Communications, Inc. and Chesapeake Television, Inc. as amended on July 1, 1997 and July 1, 2005. (Incorporated by reference from Registrant s Registration Statement on Form S-1 No. 33-69482).
10.6	Lease Agreement dated as of June 1, 1991 between Cunningham Communications, Inc. and Chesapeake Television, Inc. (Incorporated by reference from Registrant s Registration Statement on Form S-1 No. 33-69482).
10.7	Lease Agreement dated as of April 1, 1992 between Cunningham Communications, Inc. and Chesapeake Television, Inc. (Incorporated by reference from Registrant s Registration Statement on Form S-1 No. 33-69482).
10.8	Lease dated February 1, 1996 by and between Keyser Investment Group, Inc., a Maryland corporation, and Sinclair Broadcast Group, Inc., a Maryland corporation. (Incorporated by reference from Registrant s Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004).
10.9	Common Non-Voting Capital Stock Option between Sinclair Broadcast Group, Inc. and William Richard Schmidt, as trustee. (Incorporated by reference from Registrant s Registration Statement on Form S-1 No. 33-90682).
10.10	Common Non-Voting Capital Stock Option between Sinclair Broadcast Group, Inc. and C. Victoria Woodward, as trustee. (Incorporated by reference from Registrant s Registration Statement on Form S-1 No. 33-90682).
10.11	Common Non-Voting Capital Stock Option between Sinclair Broadcast Group, Inc. and Dyson Ehrhardt, as trustee. (Incorporated by reference from Registrant s Registration Statement on Form S-1 No. 33-90682).
10.12	Common Non-Voting Capital Stock Option between Sinclair Broadcast Group, Inc. and Mark Knobloch, as trustee. (Incorporated by reference from Registrant s Registration Statement on Form S-1 No. 33-90682).
10.13*	First Amendment to Incentive Stock Option Plan for Sinclair Broadcast Group, Inc., adopted April 10, 1996. (Incorporated by reference from Registrant s Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 1996).
10.14*	Second Amendment to Incentive Stock Option Plan for Sinclair Broadcast Group, Inc., adopted May 31, 1996. (Incorporated by reference from Registrant s Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 1996).
10.15*	1996 Long-Term Incentive Plan for Sinclair Broadcast Group, Inc. (Incorporated by reference from Registrant s Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 1996).
10.16*	First Amendment to 1996 Long-Term Incentive Plan for Sinclair Broadcast Group, Inc. (Incorporated by reference from Registrant s Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A for the year ended December 31, 1998).
10.17*	Employment Agreement by and between Sinclair Broadcast Group, Inc. and Frederick G. Smith, dated June 12, 1998. (Incorporated by reference from Registrant s Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 1998).
10.18*	Employment Agreement by and between Sinclair Broadcast Group, Inc. and J. Duncan Smith, dated June 12, 1998. (Incorporated by reference from Registrant s Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 1998).
10.19*	Employment Agreement by and between Sinclair Broadcast Group, Inc, and David B. Amy, dated September 15, 1998. (Incorporated by reference from Registrant s Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 1998).
10.20*	Employment Agreement by and between Sinclair Broadcast Group, Inc. and Steven Marks dated February 21, 1997. (Incorporated by reference from Registrant s Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002).
10.21*	Employment Agreement by and between Sinclair Broadcast Group, Inc. and Barry M. Faber dated August 4, 2004. (Incorporated by reference from Registrant s Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2004).
10.22	Beaver Dam Limited Liability Company Operating Agreement dated as of May 30, 1996 by and among David D. Smith, Frederick G. Smith, J. Duncan Smith, Robert E. Smith and Sinclair Broadcast Group, Inc. (Incorporated by reference from Registrant s Report on Form 8-K filed on December 20, 2004).

10.23	First Amendment to the Operating Agreement and Agreement to Retire dated as of April 18, 1997 by and among Beaver Dam Limited Liability Company, David D. Smith, Frederick G. Smith, J. Duncan Smith, Robert E. Smith and Sinclair Broadcast Group, Inc. (Incorporated by reference from Registrant s Report on Form 8-K filed on December 20, 2004).
10.24	Second Amendment to the Operating Agreement and Agreement to Redeem Membership Rights dated as of May 6, 1998 by and among Beaver Dam Limited Liability Company, David D. Smith, Frederick G. Smith, J. Duncan Smith, Robert E. Smith and Sinclair Broadcast Group, Inc. (Incorporated by reference from Registrant s Report on Form 8-K filed on December 20, 2004).
10.25	Agreement of Lease dated as of December 18, 1998 by and between Beaver Dam Limited Liability Company and Sinclair Communications, Inc. (Incorporated by reference from Registrant s Report on Form 8-K filed on December 20, 2004).
10.26	Agreement of Lease dated as of December 18, 1998 by and between Beaver Dam Limited Liability Company and SBG Group, Inc. (Incorporated by reference from Registrant s Report on Form 8-K filed on December 20, 2004).
10.27	Agreement of Lease dated as of May 25, 2000 by and between Beaver Dam Limited Liability Company and Sinclair Broadcast Group, Inc. (Incorporated by reference from Registrant s Report on Form 8-K filed on December 20, 2004).
10.28	Agreement of Lease dated as of May 25, 2000 by and between Beaver Dam Limited Liability Company and Sinclair Broadcast Group, Inc. (Incorporated by reference from Registrant s Report on Form 8-K filed on December 20, 2004).
10.29	Agreement of Lease dated as of May 14, 2002 by and between Beaver Dam Limited Liability Company and Sinclair Broadcast Group, Inc. (Incorporated by reference from Registrant s Report on Form 8-K filed on December 20, 2004).
10.30*	Director Compensation. (Incorporated by reference from Registrant s Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31 2005).
10.31*	Executive Officer Compensation. (Incorporated by reference from Registrant s Report on Form 8-K filed on March 5, 2005)
10.32*	Employment Agreement by and between Sinclair Broadcast Group, Inc. and Lucy Rutishauser dated March 19, 2001. (Incorporated by reference from Registrant s Report on Form 10-K/A filed on April 29, 2005).
10.33	Asset Purchase Agreement, dated as of May 16, 2005, between Bluestone Television Inc. and Sinclair Properties, LLC. (Incorporated by reference from Registrant s Report on Form 8-K filed on May 20, 2005).
10.34	Asset Purchase Agreement, dated as of May 16, 2005, among Aurora Broadcasting, Inc., Sinclair Properties, LLC, and WEMT Licensee L.P. (Incorporated by reference from Registrant s Report on Form 8-K filed on May 20, 2005).
10.35	Amendment No. 2, dated as of July 1, 2005 and effective July 1, 2005, by and between Cunningham Communications, Inc. (Lessor) and Sinclair Communications, LLC, as successor by merger of Chesapeake Television, Inc. (Lessee) to the Les Agreement (the Agreement) between Lessor and Lessee, effective as of July 1, 1987, as amended July 1, 1997. (Incorporated by reference from Registrant's Report on Form 8-K filed on July 1, 2005).
10.36	Stock Redemption Agreement, dated as of August 2, 2005, by and between Sinclair Broadcast Group, Inc. and Atlantic Automotive Corporation. (Incorporated by reference from Registrant s Report on Form 8-K/A filed on August 8, 2005).
10.37	Release and Settlement agreement dated as of May 2, 2006 by Bay Television, Inc. and between Sinclair Broadcast Group, Inc., Bay Television, Inc, The WB Television Network and UPN. (Incorporated by reference from Registrant s Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2006)
10.38	Form of FOX Broadcasting Company Station Affiliation Agreement dated June 26, 2006. (Incorporated by reference from Registrant s Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2006)
10.39*	Form of Restricted Stock Award Agreement. (Incorporated by reference from Registrant s Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2006)
10.40	Credit Agreement dated as of December 21, 2006, between Sinclair Television Group, Inc. (the Company) and JP Morgan Chase Bank N.A, as Administrative Agent. (Incorporated by reference from Registrant's Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006).
10.41*	Stock Appreciation Right Agreement between Sinclair Broadcast Group, Inc. and David D. Smith dated April 2, 2007. (Incorporated by reference on Registrant's Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2007).

12	Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges.
21	Subsidiaries of the Registrant.
23	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.
24	Power of Attorney; included above registrants signatures of this Form 10-K.
31.1	Certification by David D. Smith, as Chief Executive Officer of Sinclair Broadcast Group, Inc., pursuant to § 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (15 U.S.C. § 7241).
31.2	Certification by David B. Amy, as Chief Financial Officer of Sinclair Broadcast Group, Inc., pursuant to § 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (15 U.S.C. § 7241).
32.1	Certification by David D. Smith, as Chief Executive Officer of Sinclair Broadcast Group, Inc., pursuant to § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (18 U.S.C. § 1350).
32.2	Certification by David B. Amy, as Chief Financial Officer of Sinclair Broadcast Group, Inc., pursuant to § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (18 U.S.C. § 1350).
99	Stockholders Agreement dated April 19, 2005 by and among the Smith Brothers. (Incorporated by reference from Registrant s Report on Form 8-K filed on April 26, 2005).

<sup>\*</sup> Management contracts and compensatory plans or arrangements to be filed as an exhibit pursuant to Item 15(b) of Form 10-K.

### (b) Exhibits

The exhibits required by this Item are listed under Item 15 (a) (3).

#### (c) Financial Statements Schedules

The financial statement schedules required by this Item are listed under Item 15 (a) (2).

#### **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report on Form 10-K to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized on this 29<sup>th</sup> day of February 2008.

#### SINCLAIR BROADCAST GROUP, INC.

By: /s/ David D. Smith
David D. Smith

Chief Executive Officer

#### POWER OF ATTORNEY

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS, that each person whose signature appears below under the heading Signature constitutes and appoints David B. Amy as his true and lawful attorney-in-fact each acting alone, with full power of substitution and resubstitution, for him and in his name, place and stead in any and all capacities to sign any or all amendments to this 10-K and to file the same, with all exhibits thereto, and other documents in connection therewith, with the SEC, granting unto said attorney-in-fact full power and authority to do and perform each and every act and thing requisite and necessary to be done in and about the premises, as fully for all intents and purposes as he might or could do in person, hereby ratifying and confirming all that said attorney-in-fact, or their substitutes, each acting alone, may lawfully do or cause to be done in virtue hereof.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signature	Title	Date
/s/ David D. Smith David D. Smith	Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer	February 29, 2008
/s/ David B. Amy David B. Amy	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	February 29, 2008
/s/ David R. Bochenek David R. Bochenek	Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer	February 29, 2008
/s/ Frederick G. Smith Frederick G. Smith	Director	February 29, 2008
/s/ J. Duncan Smith J. Duncan Smith	Director	February 29, 2008
/s/ Robert E. Smith Robert E. Smith	Director	February 29, 2008

/s/ Basil A. Thomas Basil A. Thomas	Director	February 29, 2008
/s/ Lawrence E. McCanna Lawrence E. McCanna	Director	February 29, 2008
/s/ Daniel C. Keith Daniel C. Keith	Director	February 29, 2008
/s/ Martin R. Leader Martin R. Leader	Director	February 29, 2008

## SINCLAIR BROADCAST GROUP, INC.

## INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	Page
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	F-2
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2007 and 2006	F-3
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005	F-4
Consolidated Statements of Shareholders Equity and Other Comprehensive Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005	F-5
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005	F-8
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-10



F-1

#### REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors and Shareholders of Sinclair Broadcast Group, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Sinclair Broadcast Group, Inc. (a Maryland corporation) as of December 31, 2007 and 2006, and the related consolidated statements of operations, shareholders equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2007. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the Index at Item 15(a). These financial statements and schedule are the responsibility of the Company s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Sinclair Broadcast Group, Inc. at December 31, 2007 and 2006, and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2007, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

As discussed in Notes 1 and 10 of the notes to the consolidated financial statements, the Company adopted the provisions of the Financial Accounting Standards Board s Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109, on January 1, 2007.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), Sinclair Broadcast Group, Inc. s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated February 28, 2008 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Baltimore, Maryland

February 28, 2008



### CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(In thousands, except share and per share data)

ASSETS		As of December 31, 2007 2006		
CURRENT ASSETS:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	20,980	\$	67,408
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$3,882 and \$3,985, respectively		127,891	·	130,227
Affiliate receivable		15		12
Current portion of program contract costs		50,276		65,137
Income taxes receivable		16,228		3,625
Prepaid expenses and other current assets		13,448		12,904
Deferred barter costs		2,026		2,455
Assets held for sale		_,,,_,		21,010
Deferred tax assets		7,752		8,340
Total current assets		238,616		311,118
		200,010		011,110
PROGRAM CONTRACT COSTS, less current portion		32,683		49,187
PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT, net		284,551		269,725
GOODWILL, net		1,010,594		1,007,268
BROADCAST LICENSES, net		401,130		401,130
DEFINITE-LIVED INTANGIBLE ASSETS, net		192,733		198,103
OTHER ASSETS		64,348		35,049
Total assets	\$	2,224,655	\$	2,271,580
1000 0000	Ψ	2,221,033	Ψ	2,271,300
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY				
CURRENT LIABILITIES:				
Accounts payable	\$	3,732	\$	4.849
Accrued liabilities	Ψ	82,374	Ψ	89,685
Current portion notes payable, capital leases and commercial bank financing		42,950		98,265
Current portion of notes and capital leases payable to affiliates		3,839		3,985
Current portion of program contracts payable		90,208		85,497
Deferred barter revenues		2,143		2,327
Liabilities held for sale		2,173		320
Total current liabilities		225,246		284,928
Total Carrent nuolinees		223,210		201,920
LONG-TERM LIABILITIES:				
Notes payable, capital leases and commercial bank financing, less current portion		1,274,386		1,290,899
Notes payable and capital leases to affiliates, less current portion		23,174		20,474
Program contracts payable, less current portion		79,985		97,369
Deferred tax liabilities		313,364		282,317
Other long-term liabilities		52,659		28,263
Total liabilities		1,968,814		2,004,250
Total natifices		1,700,014		2,004,230
MINORITY INTEREST IN CONSOLIDATED ENTITIES		3,067		685
SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY:				
Class A Common Stock, \$.01 par value, 500,000,000 shares authorized, 52,830,025 and 47,552,682				
shares issued and outstanding, respectively		528		476
Class B Common Stock, \$.01 par value, 140,000,000 shares authorized, 34,453,859 and 38,348,331		328		4/0
shares issued and outstanding, respectively, convertible into Class A Common Stock		345		202
· ·				383
Additional paid-in capital		614,156		596,667
Accumulated deficit		(360,324)		(328,406)

Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(1,931)	(2,475)
Total shareholders equity	252,774	266,645
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	\$ 2,224,655	\$ 2,271,580

#### CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

### FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2007, 2006 AND 2005

(In thousands, except per share data)

		2007		2006		2005
REVENUES:						
Station broadcast revenues, net of agency commissions	\$	622,643	\$	627,075	\$	606,450
Revenues realized from station barter arrangements		61,790		54,537		54,908
Other operating divisions revenue		33,667		24,610		22,597
Total revenues		718,100		706,222		683,955
OPERATING EXPENSES:						
Station production expenses		148,707		144,236		149,033
Station selling, general and administrative expenses		140,026		137,995		135,870
Expenses recognized from station barter arrangements		55,662		49,358		50,334
Amortization of program contract costs and net realizable value adjustments		96,436		90,551		70,495
Other operating divisions expenses		33,023		24,193		20,944
Depreciation of property and equipment		43,147		45,319		48,941
Corporate general and administrative expenses		24,334		22,795		21,220
Amortization of definite-lived intangible assets and other assets		17,595		17,529		17,480
Impairment of intangibles				15,589		
Total operating expenses		558,930		547,565		514,317
Operating income		159,170		158,657		169,638
OTHER MICRO IS (TARRENGE)						
OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE):		(05.066)		(115.017)		(120,002)
Interest expense and amortization of debt discount and deferred financing costs		(95,866)		(115,217)		(120,002)
Interest income		2,228		2,008		650
(Loss) gain from sale of assets		(21)		143		(80)
Loss from extinguishment of debt		(30,716)		(904)		(1,937)
Gain from derivative instruments		2,592		2,907		21,778
Income (loss) from equity and cost method investments		601		6,338		(1,426)
Gain on insurance settlement		1 227		1 150		1,193
Other income, net		1,227		1,159		721
Total other expense		(119,955)		(103,566)		(99,103)
Income from continuing operations before income taxes		39,215		55,091		70,535
INCOME TAX PROVISION		(18,800)		(6,589)		(36,027)
Income from continuing operations		20,415		48,502		34,508
DIGGONITINI IED ODED ATIONG						
DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS:						
Income from discontinued operations, net of related income tax benefit (provision) of		1,219		3,701		5,400
\$270, \$3,121 and (\$1,732), respectively		1,219		3,701		3,400
Gain from discontinued operations, net of related income tax provision of \$489, \$885		1.065		1 774		146.024
and \$80,002, respectively NET INCOME		1,065		1,774		146,024
PREFERRED STOCK DIVIDENDS		22,699		53,977		185,932 (5,004)
EXCESS OF PREFERRED STOCK CARRYING VALUE OVER REDEMPTION						(3,004)
VALUE VALUE						26,201
NET INCOME AVAILABLE TO COMMON SHAREHOLDERS	\$	22,699	\$	53,977	\$	207,129
THE INCOME TO THE THE TO COMMON SHAKEHOLDERS	Ψ	22,099	φ	33,711	Ψ	207,129
BASIC AND DILUTED EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE:						

Earnings per share from continuing operations	\$ 0.23	\$ 0.57	\$ 0.65
Earnings per share from discontinued operations	\$ 0.03	\$ 0.06	\$ 1.77
Earnings per share	\$ 0.26	\$ 0.63	\$ 2.43
Weighted average common shares outstanding	86,910	85,680	85,380
Weighted average common and common equivalent shares outstanding	87,015	85,694	85,389
Dividends declared per share	\$ 0.625	\$ 0.450	\$ 0.300

### CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

### AND OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

## FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2007, 2006 AND 2005

(In thousands)

	Series D Preferred Stock	Class A Common Stock	Class B Common Stock	Additional Paid-In Capital	Accumulated Deficit	Total Shareholders Equity
BALANCE, December 31, 2004	\$ 33	\$ 460	\$ 391	\$ 752,130	\$ (526,463)	\$ 226,551
Dividends declared on Class A and Class B Common Stock					(25,474)	(25,474)
Dividends paid on Series D Convertible Exchangeable						
Preferred Stock					(4,587)	(4,587)
Class A Common Stock issued pursuant to employee benefit						
plans and stock options exercised		3		2,426		2,429
Class B Common Stock converted						
into Class A Common Stock		8	(8)			
Series D Convertible Exchangeable Preferred Stock						
converted into debt	(33)			(161,302)	26,201	(135,134)
Amortization of deferred						
compensation				5		5
Net income					185,932	185,932
BALANCE, December 31, 2005	\$	\$ 471	\$ 383	\$ 593,259	\$ (344,391)	\$ 249,722

### CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

### AND OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

## FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2007, 2006 AND 2005

(In thousands)

							Accumulated		
			Class B				Other		Total
		Class A	Common		Additional	Accumulated	Comprehensive	Sl	nareholders
	Co	mmon Stock	Stock	Pa	id-In Capital	Deficit	Loss		Equity
BALANCE, December 31, 2005	\$	471	\$ 383	\$	593,259	\$ (344,391)	\$	\$	249,722
Dividends declared on Class A and									
Class B Common Stock						(38,176)			(38,176)
Class A Common Stock issued									
pursuant to employee benefit plans									
and stock options exercised		5			3,348				3,353
Tax benefit of nonqualified stock									
options exercised					60				60
Net income						53,977			53,977
Adjustment related to adoption of									
SFAS 158, net of taxes							(2,475)		(2,475)
Adjustment related to adoption of									
SAB 108, net of taxes						184			184
BALANCE, December 31, 2006	\$	476	\$ 383	\$	596,667	\$ (328,406)	\$ (2,475)	\$	266,645
Other comprehensive income									
(loss):									
Net income	\$		\$	\$		\$ 53,977	\$	\$	53,977
Adjustment related to adoption of									
SFAS 158, net of taxes							(2,475)		(2,475)
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$		\$	\$		\$ 53,977	\$ (2,475)	\$	51,502

### CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

### AND OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

## FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2007, 2006 AND 2005

(In thousands)

									A	ccumulated													
	Class A		Class B			lditional Paid-In	Δ	Accumulated	Co	Other mprehensive	Total Shareholders												
	Common Stock		Common Stock		Capital		Deficit		Deficit		Deficit		Deficit		Deficit		Deficit		Deficit			Loss	Equity
BALANCE, December 31, 2006	\$ 4	76	\$ 3	83	\$	596,667	\$	(328,406)	\$	(2,475) \$	266,645												
Adjustment related to adoption of																							
FIN 48, effective January 1, 2007								(589)			(589)												
Dividends declared on Class A and																							
Class B Common Stock								(54,028)			(54,028)												
Class A Common Stock issued																							
pursuant to employee benefit plans		14				15,638					15,652												
Class B Common Stock converted																							
into Class A Common Stock		38	(.	38)																			
Tax benefit of nonqualified stock																							
options exercised						1,851					1,851												
Amortization of net periodic pension																							
benefit costs										544	544												
Net income								22,699			22,699												
BALANCE, December 31, 2007	\$ 5	28	\$ 34	45	\$	614,156	\$	(360,324)	\$	(1,931) \$	5 252,774												
Other comprehensive income:																							
Net income	\$		\$		\$		\$	22,699	\$	\$	22,699												
Amortization of net periodic pension																							
benefit costs										544	544												
Comprehensive income	\$		\$		\$		\$	22,699	\$	544 \$	3 23,243												

#### CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

### FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2007, 2006 AND 2005

#### (In thousands)

	2007	2006	2005
CASH FLOWS FROM (USED IN) OPERATING ACTIVITIES:			
Net income	\$ 22,699	\$ 53,977	\$ 185,932
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash flows from operating			
activities:	2.679	2.262	600
Amortization of debt discount, net of debt premium  Depreciation of property and equipment	2,678 43,432	2,263 46,248	50,831
Recognition of deferred revenue	(19,874)	(8,874)	(4,942)
Accretion of capital leases	912	453	638
(Income) loss from equity and cost method investments	(601)	(6,057)	1,426
Loss (gain) on sale of property	21	(143)	80
Gain on sale of broadcast assets related to discontinued operations	(1,553)	(2,659)	(226,026)
Gain from derivative instruments	(2,592)	(2,907)	(21,778)
Impairment of intangibles		15,589	
Amortization of definite-lived intangible assets and other assets	17,880	18,021	17,997
Amortization of program contract costs and net realizable value adjustments	96,593	90,746	71,337
Amortization of deferred financing costs	3,312	2,509	2,505
Stock-based compensation	3,730	1,905	1,701
Excess tax benefits for stock options exercised	(1,851)	(60)	1.005
Loss on extinguishment of debt, non-cash portion	3,431	854	1,995
Amortization of derivative instruments  Amortization of net periodic pension benefit costs	794 544	538	538
Deferred tax provision related to operations	34,379	18,833	43,631
Deferred tax provision (benefit) related to discontinued operations	5,463	(1,177)	36.033
Net effect of change in deferred barter revenues and deferred barter costs	245	(595)	(50)
Changes in assets and liabilities, net of effects of acquisitions and	213	(373)	(30)
dispositions:			
Decrease (increase) in accounts receivable, net	7,531	(3,366)	2,485
(Increase) decrease in taxes receivable	(10,124)	(3,625)	624
Decrease (increase) in prepaid expenses and other current assets	1,518	3,736	(1,050)
(Increase) decrease in other assets	(8)	(780)	5,151
Increase (decrease) in accounts payable and accrued liabilities	17,733	14,051	(11,687)
Increase in income taxes payable		2,255	908
Decrease in other long-term liabilities	(6,523)	(5,573)	(3,390)
Increase (decrease) in minority interest	1,432	(100)	(77)
Dividends and distributions from equity and cost method investees  Payments on program contracts payable	3,051 (78,038)	7,217 (88,006)	3,219 (104,020)
Net cash flows from operating activities	146,214	155,273	54,611
Net cash flows from operating activities	140,214	133,273	54,011
CASH FLOWS FROM (USED IN) INVESTING ACTIVITIES:			
Acquisition of property and equipment	(23,226)	(16,923)	(16,673)
Payments for acquisition of television stations		(1,710)	(15,540)
Payments for acquisitions of other operating divisions companies	(39,075)		
Dividends and distributions from cost method investees	583		
Investments in equity and cost method investees	(16,384)	(339)	(970)
Proceeds from the sale of assets	696	2,430	66
Proceeds from the sale of broadcast assets related to discontinued operations	21,036	1,400	295,190

Loans to affiliates	(160)	(143)	(126)
Proceeds from loans to affiliates	157	141	125
Proceeds from the sale of equity investees			21,500
Proceeds from insurance settlements			1,193
Net cash flows (used in) from investing activities	(56,373)	(15,144)	284,765

### SINCLAIR BROADCAST GROUP, INC.

#### CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

### FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2007, 2006 AND 2005 (CONTINUED)

#### (In thousands)

	2007	2006	2005
CASH FLOWS FROM (USED IN) FINANCING ACTIVITIES:			
Proceeds from notes payable, commercial bank financing and capital leases	751,609	75,000	52,000
Repayments of notes payable, commercial bank financing and capital leases	(840,642)	(114,364)	(360,367)
Proceeds from exercise of stock options, including excess tax benefits of \$1.9			
million, \$0.1 million and \$0 million, respectively	13,379	1,125	178
Dividends paid on Series D Convertible Exchangeable Preferred Stock			(5,004)
Dividends paid on Class A and Class B Common Stock	(49,490)	(36,062)	(19,201)
Payments for deferred financing costs	(7,065)		(1,913)
Payments for derivative terminations		(3,750)	
Repayments of notes and capital leases to affiliates	(4,060)	(4,325)	(5,905)
Net cash flows used in financing activities	(136,269)	(82,376)	(340,212)
NET (DECREASE) INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	(46,428)	57,753	(836)
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, beginning of year	67,408	9,655	10,491
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, end of year	\$ 20,980	\$ 67,408	\$ 9,655

#### SINCLAIR BROADCAST GROUP, INC.

#### NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### 1. NATURE OF OPERATIONS AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES:

#### Nature of Operations

Sinclair Broadcast Group, Inc. is a diversified television broadcasting company that owns or provides certain programming, operating or sales services to television stations pursuant to broadcasting licenses that are granted by the Federal Communications Commission. We currently own, provide programming and operating services pursuant to local marketing agreements (LMAs) or provide, or are provided, sales services pursuant to outsourcing agreements to 58 television stations in 35 markets. For the purpose of this report, these 58 stations are referred to as our stations. Our broadcast group is a single reportable segment for accounting purposes and includes diverse network affiliations as follows: FOX (20 stations); MyNetworkTV (17 stations); ABC (9 stations); The CW (9 stations); CBS (2 stations) and NBC (1 station).

#### Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include our accounts and those of our wholly-owned and majority-owned subsidiaries and variable interest entities for which we are the primary beneficiary. Minority interest represents a minority owner s proportionate share of the equity in certain of our consolidated entities. All significant intercompany transactions and account balances have been eliminated in consolidation.

#### **Discontinued Operations**

In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 144, *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets*, we have reported the financial position and results of operations of KOVR-TV in Sacramento, California, KSMO-TV in Kansas City, Missouri, WEMT-TV in Tri-Cities, Tennessee and WGGB-TV in Springfield, Massachusetts as assets and liabilities held for sale in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets and consolidated statements of operations. Discontinued operations have not been segregated in the consolidated statements of cash flows and, therefore, amounts for certain captions will not agree with the accompanying consolidated balance sheets and consolidated statements of operations. The operating results of KOVR-TV, KSMO-TV, WEMT-TV and WGGB-TV are not included in our consolidated results from continuing operations for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005. In accordance with Emerging Issues Task Force Issue No. 87-24, *Allocation of Interest to Discontinued Operations*, we have allocated \$3.6 million of interest expense to discontinued operations for the year ended December 31, 2005. No interest expense was allocated for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006. See *Note 13. Discontinued Operations*, for additional information.

#### Variable Interest Entities

Nature of Operations 135

In January 2003, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 46 (revised December 2003), *Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities, an Interpretation of Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51* (FIN 46R). FIN 46R introduces the variable interest entity consolidation model, which determines control and consolidation based on potential variability in gains and losses of the entity being evaluated for consolidation. We adopted FIN 46R on March 31, 2004. We consolidate Variable Interest Entities (VIEs) when we are the primary beneficiary. All debt held by our VIEs is non-recourse to us.

Our application to acquire the FCC license of WNAB-TV in Nashville, Tennessee is pending FCC approval. As a result, we have an outsourcing agreement with WNAB-TV to provide certain non-programming related sales, operational and administrative services to WNAB-TV. The outsourcing agreement is considered a variable interest in WNAB-TV. We have determined that the WNAB-TV license asset entity is a VIE and that we are the primary beneficiary of variable interests. As a result, we consolidate the assets and liabilities of WNAB-TV.

Our applications to acquire the FCC licenses of all the television stations owned by Cunningham Broadcasting Corporation (Cunningham) are pending FCC approval. We have a LMA agreement with each of the television stations that are considered variable interests. We have determined that the Cunningham license asset entities are VIEs and that we are the primary beneficiary of variable interests. As a result, we consolidate the assets and liabilities of Cunningham.

During 2007, we made investments in two real estate ventures considered to be VIEs. We have determined that we are the primary beneficiary of variable interests in these entities; as a result we consolidate the assets and liabilities of these entities. The activities of the real estate ventures are not material to our consolidated financial statements.

During 2008, we entered into an agreement with an unrelated third party for the right to acquire the licensed assets of KFXA-TV in Cedar Rapids, Iowa, pending FCC approval, for \$1.9 million. We have determined the KFXA-TV license asset entity is a VIE and that we are the primary beneficiary of variable interests of KFXA-TV as a result of the terms of our outsourcing agreement and purchase option. As a result, we will consolidate the assets and liabilities of KFXA-TV in the first quarter 2008.

#### Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses in the consolidated financial statements and in the disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

#### Acquisitions

In May 2007, we acquired Triangle Sign & Service, Inc. (Triangle), a Baltimore-based company whose primary business is to design and fabricate commercial signs for retailers, sports complexes and other commercial businesses, for \$15.9 million, net of cash acquired.

In July 2007, we acquired FBP Holding Company, LLC (FBP Holding), which holds an investment in a commercial warehouse property located in Baltimore, Maryland, for \$8.3 million, consisting of \$1.2 million cash, net of cash acquired, and \$7.1 million in the assumption of debt. Debt assumed in conjunction with this acquisition is non-recourse to us.

In September 2007, we acquired Bagby Investors, LLC (Bagby), which holds an investment in a commercial office building in Baltimore, Maryland, for \$16.9 million, net of cash acquired.

In November 2007, we acquired Alarm Funding Associates, LLC (Alarm Funding), which is a regional security alarm operating and bulk acquisition company located in Exton, Pennsylvania for \$4.9 million, net of cash acquired. We expect to finalize the purchase price allocation for Alarm Funding in first quarter 2008.

We consolidate the financial statements of these entities. Their results are included in the financial statements from the date of their acquisition. These acquisitions are not material to our consolidated financial statements. These acquisitions are shown in the statement of cash flows as payments for acquisitions of other operating divisions companies. We entered into these acquisitions as part of our strategy to maximize value for our shareholders, which includes diversification through investments in non-television assets.

Use of Estimates 137

In February 2008, we acquired the non-licensed assets of KFXA-TV in Cedar Rapids, Iowa for \$17.1 million in cash and the right to purchase licensed assets, pending FCC approval, for \$1.9 million. Our CBS affiliate in Cedar Rapids, KGAN-TV, will provide sales and other non-programming related services to KFXA-TV pursuant to a joint sales agreement. We will consolidate the financial statements of the non-licensed and licensed assets of KFXA-TV in first quarter 2008.

#### Investments

We use the equity method of accounting for investments in which we have between 20% and 50% ownership interest or when we have significant influence over the operations of the business. For investments in which we have more than 50% ownership interest, we consolidate the operations; and for investments in which we have less than 20% ownership interest, we use the lower of cost or fair market value method of accounting. See *Note 3. Investments*, for more information regarding our investments.

During 2007, we made \$17.0 million in cash investments in various real estate ventures including developmental land and apartment and shopping complexes. All of these investments were accounted for under the equity method of accounting. In first quarter 2008, we made an add-on cash investment of \$4.5 million primarily in developmental land and a \$3.0 million cash investment in Patriot Capital II, LP (Patriot Capital). Patriot Capital provides structured debt and mezzanine financing to small businesses. After the initial \$3.0 million investment, our remaining unfunded commitment to Patriot Capital is \$17.0 million.

#### Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In February 2007, the Financial Standards Accounting Board (FASB) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities Including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115* (SFAS 159). The fair value option established by this Statement permits all entities to choose to measure eligible items at fair value at specified election dates. Unrealized gains and losses on items for which the fair value option has been elected are to be reported in earnings at each subsequent reporting date. This Statement is effective for our fiscal year beginning January 1, 2008. We currently have no plans to apply the option provisions of SFAS 159 to our consolidated financial statements.

In May 2007, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position (FSP) FIN 48-1, *Definition of Settlement in FASB Interpretation No. 48*. This FSP amends FASB Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes* (FIN 48), to provide guidance on how an enterprise should determine whether a tax position is effectively settled for the purpose of recognizing previously unrecognized tax benefits. The guidance in this FSP should be applied by companies upon the initial adoption of FIN 48. We adopted FIN 48 in 2007, see *Note 10. Income Taxes* for more information. This FSP and FIN 48 did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In June 2007, the Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) issued the consensus on Issue No. 06-11, *Accounting for Income Tax Benefits of Dividends on Share-Based Payment Awards*. The provisions require companies to recognize the tax benefits of dividends on unvested share-based payments in equity and reclassify the tax benefits from additional paid-in capital to the income statement when the related award is forfeited. The provisions are effective prospectively starting January 1, 2008. The impact of this issue will not have a material effect to our consolidated financial statements.

In July 2007, the FASB issued FSP, APB 14-a, an interpretation of APB Opinion No. 14, *Accounting for Convertible Debt and Debt Issued with Stock Purchase Warrants*. This proposed FSP provides clarification on the accounting for convertible debt instruments that may be settled in cash upon conversion, including partial cash settlement. If issued, the guidance could significantly impact the accounting for instruments commonly referred to as Instrument C, which is structured so that upon conversion, the principal amount of the obligation is paid in cash and the conversion spread is settled in cash or shares. This proposed FSP would require the issuer to bifurcate a component of the debt and classify that component in shareholder s equity, then accrete the resulting discount on the debt resulting in interest expense equal to the issuer s nonconvertible debt borrowing rate. The expected effective date is for fiscal years beginning after January 1, 2009 and is required to be retroactively applied. Such a change in the accounting for convertible debt securities could have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In November 2007, the FASB issued FSP FAS 142-f, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*. This proposed FSP amends the factors that should be considered in developing renewal or extension assumptions used to determine the useful life of an intangible asset under FASB Statement No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangibles* (FAS 142). The FSP aims to improve the consistency between the useful life of an intangible asset as determined under FAS 142 and the period of expected cash flows used to measure the fair value of the asset under FASB Statement No. 141, *Business Combinations*, and other applicable accounting literature. As a result of this FSP, entities generally will be able to align the assumptions used for valuing an intangible asset with those used to determine its useful life. This FSP will be effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2008 and interim periods within those fiscal years. We are currently evaluating the effect of this statement on our consolidated financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No 141 (revised 2007), *Business Combinations* (FAS 141(R)). FAS 141(R) requires an acquiring entity to recognize all the assets acquired and liabilities assumed in a transaction at the acquisition-date fair value with limited exceptions. In addition to new disclosure requirements, FAS 141(R) also makes the following significant changes: acquisition costs are expensed as incurred, noncontrolling interests are valued at fair value at the acquisition date, acquired contingencies are recorded at fair value at the acquisition date and subsequently re-measured at either the higher of such amount or the amount

determined under existing guidance for non-acquired contingencies, in-process research and development costs are recorded at fair value as an indefinite-lived intangible asset at the acquisition date, restructuring costs are expensed subsequent to the acquisition date and changes in deferred tax asset valuation allowances and income tax uncertainties after the acquisition date generally affect income tax expense. This statement is effective for business combinations in which the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2008 and early adoption is prohibited. We are currently evaluating the effect of this statement on our consolidated financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 160, *Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements, an Amendment of ARB No. 51* (FAS 160). This statement requires the recognition of a noncontrolling interest (minority interest) as equity in the consolidated financial statements and separate from the parent s equity. The amount of net income attributable to the noncontrolling interest will be included in consolidated net income on the face of the income statement. Changes in a parent s ownership interest that result in deconsolidation of a subsidiary will result in the recognition of a gain or loss in net income when the subsidiary is deconsolidated. FAS 160 also includes expanded disclosure

requirements regarding the interests of the parent and its noncontrolling interest. The statement is effective for fiscals years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning on or after December 15, 2008. We are currently evaluating the effect of this statement on our consolidated financial statements.

In February 2008, the FASB issued FSP FAS 157-1 and FSP FAS 157-2, *Fair Value Measurements*. FSP FAS 157-1 amends FASB Statement No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements* (FAS 157) to exclude FASB Statement No. 13, *Accounting for Leases* (FAS 13), and its related interpretive accounting pronouncements that address leasing transactions. The FASB decided to exclude leasing transactions covered by FAS 13 (except those arising from a business combination) in order to allow it to more broadly consider the use of fair value measurements for these transactions as part of its project to comprehensively reconsider the accounting for leasing transactions. FAS 157-2 delays the effective date of FAS 157 for all non-financial assets and non-financial liabilities, except those that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis. The FSP states that the application of FAS 157 for non-financial assets and non-financial liabilities will be delayed until fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008 and interim periods within those fiscal years. FAS 157 was issued in September 2006 and defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. This standard is effective for the year ended December 31, 2008. The application of FAS 157 will not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

#### SAB No. 108

We adopted Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) 108, Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements When Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements (SAB 108), during 2006 and recorded a cumulative effect adjustment of \$0.2 million (net of income taxes of \$6.0 million), to increase retained earnings as of January 1, 2006. The adjustment was comprised of the following components (in millions):

	In	crease (decrease) to net income	Years affected
Cumulative amortization expense not recognized due to the misapplication of useful lives			
related to a definite-lived intangible asset and leasehold improvements	\$	(6.4)	1999-2005
Increase to operating income resulting from the over-accrual of accrued liabilities and deferred			
revenue		1.7	2001-2005
Decreases to other income due to an error in the accounting for the consolidation of one of our			
variable interest entities		(1.1)	2004-2005
Tax effects attributable to above adjustments at our applicable effective tax rates		1.9	
		(3.9)	
Decrease in provision for income taxes resulting from the over-accrual of tax reserves and			
balance sheet book to tax basis differences		4.1	2001-2005
Net impact on January 1, 2006 retained earnings	\$	0.2	

Under the rollover method of evaluating misstatements, we previously concluded that the misstatements noted above were immaterial to all prior years results. The misstatements under the iron curtain method described in SAB 108, as well as, the provisions of SAB 99, were material to 2006 results, and therefore, were reflected as a cumulative effect adjustment to retained earnings as of January 1, 2006.

#### Cash and Cash Equivalents

We consider all highly liquid investments with an original maturity of three months or less when purchased to be cash equivalents.

Accounts	Receivable	

Management regularly reviews accounts receivable and determines an appropriate estimate for the allowance for doubtful accounts based upon the impact of economic conditions on the merchant s ability to pay, past collection experience and such other factors which, in management s judgment, deserve current recognition. In turn, a provision is charged against earnings in order to maintain the appropriate allowance level.

#### **Programming**

We have agreements with distributors for the rights to television programming over contract periods, which generally run from one to seven years. Contract payments are made in installments over terms that are generally equal to or shorter than the contract period. Each contract is recorded as an asset and a liability at an amount equal to its gross contractual cash commitment when the license period begins and the program is available for its first showing. The portion of program contracts which becomes payable within one year is reflected as a current liability in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

The rights to this programming are reflected in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets at the lower of unamortized cost or estimated net realizable value. Estimated net realizable values are based on management s expectation of future advertising revenues, net of sales commissions, to be generated by the program material. Amortization of program contract costs is generally computed using either a four-year accelerated method or based on usage, whichever method results in the most amortization for each program. Program contract costs estimated by management to be amortized in the succeeding year are classified as current assets. Payments of program contract liabilities are typically made on a scheduled basis and are not affected by adjustments for amortization or estimated net realizable value.

#### **Barter Arrangements**

Certain program contracts provide for the exchange of advertising airtime in lieu of cash payments for the rights to such programming. The revenues realized from station barter arrangements are recorded as the programs are aired at the estimated fair value of the advertising airtime given in exchange for the program rights. Network programming is excluded from these calculations. Revenues are recorded as revenues realized from station barter arrangements and the corresponding expenses are recorded as expenses recognized from station barter arrangements.

We broadcast certain customers—advertising in exchange for equipment, merchandise and services. The estimated fair value of the equipment, merchandise or services received is recorded as deferred barter costs and the corresponding obligation to broadcast advertising is recorded as deferred barter revenues. The deferred barter costs are expensed or capitalized as they are used, consumed or received and are included in station production expenses and station selling, general and administrative expenses, as applicable. Deferred barter revenues are recognized as the related advertising is aired and are recorded in revenues realized from station barter arrangements.

#### Other Assets

Other assets as of December 31, 2007 and 2006 consisted of the following (in thousands):

	2007	2006
Equity and cost method investments	\$ 31,192	\$ 17,894
Unamortized costs related to securities issuances	13,860	14,636
Fair value of derivative instruments (a)	9,039	
Tax contingency receivable	7,587	
Other	2,670	2,519
Total other assets	\$ 64,348	\$ 35,049

a) During February 2008, the counterparty to these derivative instruments terminated the agreements.

#### Impairment of Long-lived Assets

Under the provisions of SFAS No. 144, *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets* (SFAS 144), we periodically evaluate our long-lived assets for impairment and will continue to evaluate them as events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of such assets may not be fully recoverable. We evaluate the recoverability of long-lived assets by measuring the carrying amount of the assets against the estimated undiscounted future cash flows associated with them. At the time that such evaluations indicate that the future undiscounted cash flows of certain long-lived assets are not sufficient to recover the carrying value of such assets, the assets are tested for impairment by comparing their fair value to the carrying value. We typically estimate fair value using discounted cash flow models and appraisals. There was no impairment charge recorded for the year ended December 31, 2007. For the year ended December 31, 2006, we recorded a \$3.7 million impairment charge in our consolidated statement of operations. See *Note 5. Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*, for more information.

#### Accrued Liabilities

Accrued liabilities consisted of the following as of December 31, 2007 and 2006 (in thousands):

	2007	2006
Compensation	\$ 18,170	\$ 16,847
Interest	11,290	19,892
Dividends payable	15,139	10,640
Other accruals relating to operating expenses	23,564	27,329
Deferred revenue	14,211	14,977
Total accrued liabilities	\$ 82,374	\$ 89,685

We do not accrue for repair and maintenance activities in advance of planned or unplanned major maintenance activities. We generally expense these activities when incurred.

#### Income Taxes

We recognize deferred tax assets and liabilities based on the differences between the financial statement s carrying amounts and the tax bases of assets and liabilities. We provide a valuation allowance for deferred tax assets relating to various federal and state net operating losses (NOLs) that are carried forward. As of December 31, 2007, valuation allowances have been provided for a substantial amount of our available federal and state NOLs. We evaluate the need and extent of a valuation allowance based on the expected timing of the reversals of existing temporary book/tax differences, alternative tax strategies and projected future taxable income. If we are unable to generate sufficient taxable income, or if there is a material change in our projected taxable income, or if there is a change in our ability to use NOL carryforwards due to changes in federal and state laws, we will make any necessary adjustments to the valuation allowance. Management periodically performs a comprehensive review of our tax positions and accrues amounts for tax contingencies. Based on these reviews, the status of ongoing audits and the expiration of applicable statute of limitations, accruals are adjusted as necessary in accordance with the recognition provisions of FASB Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes.

#### Supplemental Information Statements of Cash Flows

During 2007, 2006 and 2005, we had the following cash transactions (in thousands):

	2007	2006	2005
Income taxes paid related to continuing operations	\$ 258	\$ 654	\$ 776
Income taxes paid related to sale of discontinued operations	\$	\$ 4,057	\$ 37,355
Income tax refunds received related to continuing operations	\$ 7,756	\$ 4,993	\$ 394
Income tax refunds received related to discontinued operations	\$ 157	\$ 6,762	\$ 93
Interest paid	\$ 97,649	\$ 109,459	\$ 120,163
Payments related to extinguishment of debt	\$ 27,285	\$ 50	\$ 628
	\$ 7,120	\$	\$

Debt assumed in conjunction with the acquisition of other operating divisions companies

Non-cash barter and trade expense are presented in the consolidated statements of operations. Non-cash transactions related to capital lease obligations were \$8.9 million, \$3.3 million and \$1.0 million for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Debt assumed in conjunction with the acquisition of other operating divisions companies is non-recourse to us.

#### **Local Marketing Agreements**

We generally enter into local marketing agreements (LMAs) and similar arrangements with stations located in markets in which we already own and operate a station. Under the terms of these agreements, we make specified periodic payments to the owner-operator in exchange for the right to program and sell advertising on a specific portion of the station s inventory of broadcast time. Nevertheless, as the holder of the FCC license, the owner-operator retains control and responsibility for the operation of the station, including responsibility over all programming content broadcast on the station.

Included in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 are net revenues of \$109.3 million, \$120.0 million and \$118.6 million, respectively, that relate to LMAs.

#### **Outsourcing Agreements**

We have entered into outsourcing agreements in which our stations provide, or are provided, various non-programming related services such as sales, operational and managerial services to, or by, other stations.

### Revenue Recognition

Total revenues include: (i) cash and barter advertising revenues, net of agency and national representatives commissions; (ii) retransmission consent fees; (iii) network compensation; (iv) other broadcast revenues and (v) revenues from our other operating divisions.

Advertising revenues, net of agency and national representatives commissions, are recognized in the period during which time spots are aired.

Our retransmission consent agreements contain both advertising and retransmission consent elements. We have determined that our retransmission consent agreements are revenue arrangements with multiple deliverables and fall within the scope of EITF Issue No. 00-21, *Revenue Arrangements with Multiple Deliverables* (EITF 00-21). Advertising and retransmission consent deliverables sold under our agreements are separated into different units of accounting at fair value. Revenue applicable to the advertising element of the arrangement is recognized similar to the advertising revenue policy noted above. Revenue applicable to the retransmission consent element of the arrangement is recognized ratably over the life of the agreement.

Network compensation revenue is recognized ratably over the term of the contract. All other significant revenues are recognized as services are provided.

# Network Compensation

On January 24, 2006, CBS Corporation (CBS) and Warner Bros. Entertainment (Warner Bros.) announced their intent to merge the operations of their respective networks, UPN and The WB, under a broadcasting network called The CW. On August 1, 2004, we entered into an affiliation agreement with UPN (for six stations) that was set to expire July 31, 2007. The agreement was for the networks to produce and distribute programming in exchange for each station s commitment to air the programming at specified times and for commercial announcement time during programming. Under this agreement, UPN was to pay us a fixed amount as revenue for each station during the first two years, in equal installments at the beginning of each month. No payment was due from UPN in the third year of the agreement. The amount received from UPN had been recognized over the term of the agreement and a pro-rata portion of the revenue had been deferred to be recognized in the third year.

On May 2, 2006, we entered into a Release and Settlement Agreement with The WB and UPN, in which we released The WB and UPN, and The WB and UPN released us, from any claims or other liabilities we or The WB or UPN may have arising out of or in connection with (a) any agreement, including any affiliation agreements entered into by us with The WB or UPN, and (b) any services previously performed by any one of the parties to the Release and Settlement Agreement for any other party to the Release and Settlement Agreement. As a result of this agreement, we have changed the revenue recognition period from an end date of July 31, 2007 to an end date of September 30, 2006, when UPN ceased broadcasting. For the year ended December 31, 2006, we recorded UPN network compensation of \$0.9 million.

#### Restructuring Costs

During the year ended December 31, 2006, we incurred costs in our broadcast segment associated with restructuring the news operations at certain of our stations. Specifically, on or before March 31, 2006, we ceased our locally-produced news broadcasts in nine of our markets and, consequently, let go our news employees and cancelled our news-related contracts. We recorded restructuring charges in station production expenses. As of December 31, 2007, there was no remaining unpaid balance related to the restructuring plan.

#### Advertising Expenses

Advertising expenses are recorded in the period when incurred and are included in station production expenses. Total advertising expenses from continuing operations, net of advertising co-op credits, were \$8.4 million, \$7.7 million and \$8.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

We receive, from time to time, up front payments from service providers. Such amounts are recognized as a reduction in selling, general and administrative expenses on a straight-line basis over the term of the contracts.

#### Financial Instruments

Financial instruments, as of December 31, 2007 and 2006, consisted of cash and cash equivalents, trade accounts receivable, notes receivable (which are included in other current assets), derivatives, accounts payable, accrued liabilities and notes payable. The carrying amounts approximate fair value for each of these financial instruments, except for the notes payable. See *Note 6. Notes Payable and Commercial Bank Financing*, for additional information regarding the fair value of notes payable.

## Pension

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS 158, Employers Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans, an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106 and 132(R) (SFAS 158). SFAS 158 requires us to recognize the funded status (i.e., the difference between the fair value of plan assets and the projected benefit obligations) of our pension plan in our consolidated financial statements. At adoption, we recorded an adjustment to accumulated other comprehensive loss of \$2.5 million (net of taxes of \$1.7 million) that represented the net unrecognized actuarial losses which we previously netted against the plan s funded status in our consolidated financial statements pursuant to the provisions of Statement 87. As of December 31, 2007 and 2006, we have recognized a liability of zero and \$0.4 million, respectively, representing the under funded status of our defined benefit pension plan, which is included in other long-term liabilities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet.

#### Reclassifications

Certain reclassifications have been made to prior years consolidated financial statements to conform to the current year s presentation.

## 2. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION PLANS:

#### Description of Awards

We have seven types of stock-based compensation awards: compensatory stock options (options), restricted stock awards (RSAs), an employee stock purchase plan (ESPP), employer matching contributions (the Match) for participants in our 401(k) plan, stock-settled appreciation rights (SARS), subsidiary stock awards and stock grants to our non-employee directors. Below is a summary of the key terms and methods of valuation of our stock-based compensation awards:

*Options.* In June 1996, our Board of Directors adopted, upon approval of the shareholders by proxy, the 1996 Long-Term Incentive Plan (LTIP). The purpose of the LTIP is to reward key individuals for making major contributions to our success and the success of our subsidiaries and to attract and retain the services of qualified and capable employees. Options granted pursuant to the LTIP must be exercised within 10 years following the grant date. A total of 14,000,000 shares of Class A Common Stock are reserved for awards under this plan. As of December 31, 2007, 10,245,384 shares (including forfeited shares) were available for future grants.

On April 21, 2005, we accelerated the vesting of 390,039 stock options, which were all of our outstanding unvested options at that time. We accelerated the vesting of these options to prevent recognizing an expense of approximately \$0.8 million, before taxes, in 2006 and future periods. The acceleration of the vesting resulted in a modification to the original options. In accordance with FASB Interpretation No. 44, *Accounting for Certain Transactions Involving Stock-Based Compensation* (FIN 44), we recorded an immaterial compensation charge based on the intrinsic value of the awards (as defined by FIN 44) as measured on the modification date. The exercise prices of these options ranged from \$7.39 to \$15.19 per share and there was no material impact to earnings as a result of this acceleration because most options had an exercise price that was above the trading price on the vesting date. We have not issued any options subsequent to accelerating the vesting in 2005.

The following is a summary of changes in outstanding stock options:

	Options	Weighted-Average Exercise Price		0		Weighted-Average Exercise Price
Outstanding at December 31, 2004	6,576,520	\$	15.73	5,950,757	\$ 15.73	
2005 Activity:						
Granted	2,000	\$	8.24			
Exercised	(20,750)	\$	7.76			
Forfeited	(205,050)	\$	14.91			
Outstanding at December 31, 2005	6,352,720	\$	15.78	6,352,720	\$ 15.78	
2006 Activity:						
Granted		\$				
Exercised	(119,275)	\$	8.95			
Forfeited	(3,149,770)	\$	15.20			
Outstanding at December 31, 2006	3,083,675	\$	16.53	3,083,675	\$ 16.53	
2007 Activity:						
Granted		\$				
Exercised	(1,131,425)	\$	10.19			
Forfeited	(370,000)	\$	17.14			
Outstanding at December 31, 2007	1,582,250	\$	20.71	1,582,250	\$ 20.71	

Outstanding	Exercise Price	e	Remaining Contractual Life (In Years)	Exercisable	Weighted Average Exercise Price
177,250	\$ 6.68	9.95	3.9	177,250	\$ 8.68
294,000	\$ 10.09	15.06	4.6	294,000	\$ 12.58
911,000	\$ 17.00	25.32	0.2	911,000	\$ 24.09
200,000	\$ 26.30	28.42	0.6	200,000	\$ 27.91
1,582,250	\$ 6.68	28.42		1,582,250	\$ 20.71

We do not expect to issue options in future periods. In the event the Board of Directors decides to issue options, we would be required to determine the method we would use to estimate the fair value, such as the Black-Scholes method or a lattice method. Additionally, we would be required to estimate certain assumptions, including expected volatility and estimated forfeitures.

Designated Participants Stock Option Plan. In connection with our initial public offering in June 1995, our Board of Directors adopted an Incentive Stock Option Plan for Designated Participants (Designated Participants Stock Option Plan) pursuant to which options for shares of Class A Common Stock were granted to certain of our key employees. Options granted pursuant to Designated Participants Stock Option Plan must be exercised within 10 years following the grant date. As of December 31, 2005, no shares were available for future grants because the Plan expired in June 2005, the tenth anniversary date of the Plan. The Designated Participants Stock Option Plan participants forfeited shares

during 2007. As of December 31, 2007, there were 5,000 shares outstanding.

RSAs. RSAs are granted to employees pursuant to the LTIP. RSAs have certain restrictions that lapse over three years at 25%, 25% and 50%, respectively. As the restrictions lapse, the stock may be freely traded on the open market. On April 2, 2007, we awarded 55,500 RSAs that had a fair value of \$15.78 per share, which was the value of the stock on the trading date immediately prior to the grant date. On April 3, 2006, we awarded 40,000 RSAs that had a fair value of \$7.81 per share, which was the value of the stock on the trading date immediately prior to the grant date. As of December 31, 2007, 10,000 shares were vested. We did not issue any RSAs in 2005. For the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, we recorded expense of \$0.3

million and less than \$0.1 million, respectively; and we will continue to record expense related to this grant using a straight-line methodology over the 3-year lapse period. This expense will reduce our consolidated income, but it will have no effect on our consolidated cash flows. Additionally, any RSAs for which the restrictions have lapsed will be included in total shares outstanding, which will have a dilutive effect on our earnings per share. Any RSAs for which the restrictions have not lapsed will be included in total equivalent shares outstanding, based on the treasury stock method, which could have a dilutive effect on our diluted earnings per share.

ESPP. In March 1998, the Board of Directors adopted, subject to approval of the shareholders, the ESPP. The ESPP provides our employees with an opportunity to become shareholders through a convenient arrangement for purchasing shares of Class A Common Stock. On the first day of each payroll deduction period, each participating employee receives options to purchase a number of shares of our common stock with money that is withheld from his or her paycheck. The number of shares available to the participating employee is determined at the end of the payroll deduction period by dividing the total amount of money withheld during the payroll deduction period by the exercise price of the options (as described below). Options granted under the ESPP to employees are automatically exercised to purchase shares on the last day of the payroll deduction period unless the participating employee has, at least thirty days earlier, requested that his or her payroll contributions stop. Any cash accumulated in an employee s account for a period in which an employee elects not to participate is distributed to the employee.

The initial exercise price for options under the ESPP is 85% of the lesser of the fair market value of the common stock as of the first day of the payroll deduction period and as of the last day of that period. No participant can purchase more than \$25,000 worth of our common stock over all payroll deduction periods ending during the same calendar year. We value the stock options under the ESPP using the Black-Scholes option pricing model, which incorporates the following assumptions as of December 31, 2007 and 2006:

	2007	2006
Risk-free interest rate	5.23%	5.37%
Expected life	90 days	90 days
Expected volatility	38.38%	23.25%
Annual dividend yield	5.60%	6.43%

We use the Black-Scholes model as opposed to a lattice pricing model because employee exercise patterns are not relevant to this plan. The risk-free interest rate is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant. The expected life is based on the approximate number of days in the quarter. The expected volatility is based on our historical stock prices over the previous 90-day period. The annual dividend yield is based on the annual dividend per share divided by the share price on the grant date.

The stock-based compensation expense recorded related to the ESPP for the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006 was \$0.2 million and \$0.1 million, respectively. Less than 0.1 million shares were issued to employees during the year ended December 31, 2007. This expense reduced our consolidated income, but it had no effect on our consolidated cash flows. See 2005 Pro Forma Compensation below for our accounting treatment during the year ended December 31, 2005. Additionally, options issued under the ESPP are included in the total shares outstanding at the end of each period, which results in a dilutive effect on our basic and diluted earnings per share.

*Match.* The Sinclair Broadcast Group, Inc. 401(k) Profit Sharing Plan and Trust (the 401(k) Plan) is available as a benefit for our eligible employees. Contributions made to the 401(k) Plan include an employee elected salary reduction amount, company-matching contributions (the Match) and an additional discretionary amount determined each year by the Board of Directors. The Match and any discretionary contributions may be made using our Class A Common Stock if the Board of Directors so chooses. In general, we make the Match using our Class A Common Stock.

The value of the Match is based on the level of elective deferrals into the 401(k) plan. The amount of shares of our Class A Common Stock used to make the Match is determined using the closing price on or about March 1<sup>st</sup> of each year for the previous calendar year s Match. The Match is discretionary and is equal to a maximum of 50% of elective deferrals by eligible employees, capped at 4% of the employee s total cash compensation. For the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, we recorded \$1.9 million, \$1.6 million and \$1.7 million, respectively, of compensation expense related to our match. The 2007 match of \$1.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2007, will be made using our Class A Common Stock in March 2008.

*SARs*. On April 2, 2007, 200,000 SARs were granted pursuant to the LTIP. The SARs have a 10-year term and vest immediately. The SARs had a grant date fair value of \$1.0 million. We valued the SARs using the Black-Scholes model and the following assumptions:

Risk-free interest rate	5.17%
Expected life	10 years
Expected volatility	36.16%
Annual dividend yield	3.96%

For the year ended December 31, 2007, we recorded compensation expense of \$1.0 million related to this grant. We did not issue any SARs in 2006 or 2005. This expense reduced our consolidated income, but had no effect on our consolidated cash flows. During 2007, these SARs had no effect on the shares used in our basic and diluted earnings per share.

Subsidiary Stock Awards. From time to time, we grant subsidiary stock awards to employees. The subsidiary stock is typically in the form of a membership interest in a consolidated limited liability company, not traded on a public exchange and valued based on the estimated fair value of the subsidiary. Fair value is typically estimated using discounted cash flow models and appraisals. These stock awards vest immediately. For the year ended December 31, 2007, we recorded compensation expense of \$0.7 million related to these awards. We did not issue any subsidiary stock awards in 2006 or 2005. This expense reduced our consolidated income, but had no effect on our consolidated cash flows. These awards have no effect on the shares used in our basic and diluted earnings per share.

Stock Grants to Non-Employee Directors. In addition to their base compensation, on the date of each of our annual meetings of shareholders, each non-employee director receives a grant of shares of Class A Common Stock pursuant to the LTIP. In 2007 and 2006, each non-employee director received 5,000 shares and 2,000 shares, respectively. On May 10, 2007, we granted 25,000 shares that had a fair value of \$15.27 per share, which was the closing value of the stock on the date of grant. On May 11, 2006, we granted 10,000 shares that had a fair value of \$8.09 per share, which was the closing value of the stock on the date of grant. On August 2, 2005, we granted 10,000 shares that had a fair value of \$9.10 per share, which was the closing value of the stock on the date of that grant. We recorded an expense of \$0.4 million, less than \$0.1 million and less than \$0.1 million on the date of grant for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. This expense reduced our consolidated income, but it had no effect on our consolidated cash flows. Additionally, these shares are included in the total shares outstanding, which results in a dilutive effect on our basic and diluted earnings per share.

#### 2005 Pro Forma Compensation

For the year ended December 31, 2005, we applied the intrinsic value method of accounting for stock options as prescribed by Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, which was permitted by SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation (SFAS 123). Accordingly, no expense was recognized for our options or shares granted under the ESPP. Had compensation expense related to our stock options and shares under the ESPP been determined consistent with SFAS 123, our net income available to common shareholders for the year ended December 31, 2005 would approximate the pro forma amounts below (in thousands, except per share data):

For the Year Ended December 31, 2005

207,129

Add: Stock-based employee compensation expense included in net income, net of related tax effects		833
Less: Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under the fair value method for all awards	s, net	
of related tax effects		(1,823)
Net income available to common shareholders, pro forma	\$	206,139
Basic and diluted earnings per share:		
As reported	\$	2.43
Pro forma	\$	2.41

## 3. <u>INVESTMENTS</u>:

### Allegiance Capital Limited Partnership

As of December 31, 2007 and 2006, our limited partnership interest in Allegiance Capital Limited Partnership (Allegiance) was 96.7% and 97.0%, respectively. Allegiance is a private mezzanine venture capital fund, which invests in the subordinated debt and equity of privately held companies. The partnership is structured as a debenture Small Business Investment Company (SBIC) and is a federally licensed SBIC. Since we do not have control, but only significant influence, we account for our investment in Allegiance under the equity method of accounting.

#### Atlantic Automotive Corporation

On May 31, 2005, we entered into an agreement with Auto Properties LLC, an affiliate of Atlantic Automotive Corporation (Atlantic Automotive, formerly Summa Holdings, Ltd.) to sell our 17.5% equity interest, or 21.22 shares, in Atlantic Automotive to Auto Properties LLC for approximately \$21.5 million in cash. On August 2, 2005, the agreement between us and Auto Properties LLC was nullified and we entered into new stock purchase agreements with David D. Smith, our President and Chief Executive Officer and Steven B. Fader, an unrelated third party, and entered into a stock redemption agreement with Atlantic Automotive, totaling approximately \$21.5 million. Pursuant to the stock purchase agreements, on August 2, 2005, 9.87 shares were sold to each party for \$10.0 million in cash and pursuant to the stock redemption agreements, Atlantic Automotive redeemed the remaining 1.48 shares of our equity interest for \$1.5 million in cash.

We have other cost and equity investments in private investment funds, real estate ventures and privately held small businesses. Management does not believe that these investments individually, or in the aggregate, are material to the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

In the event that one or more of our investments are significant, we are required to disclose summarized financial information. The table below presents the unaudited summarized financial information for these investments for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively (in thousands):

	As of December 31, 2007 2006				
Current assets	\$ 2,207	\$	3,508		
Long-term assets	23,804		22,427		
Total assets	\$ 26,011	\$	25,935		
Current liabilities	\$ 317	\$	304		
Long-term liabilities	15,851		14,854		
Total liabilities	16,168		15,158		
Equity	9,843		10,777		
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 26,011	\$	25,935		

	For the Years Ended December 31,						
	2007		2006		2005		
Operating revenue	\$ 3,323	\$	2,581	\$	673,572		
Cost of sales	\$	\$		\$	564,025		

Operating expenses	\$ 1,737	\$ 1,573	\$ 97,252
Income from continuing			
operations	\$ 2,429	\$ 8,570	\$ 6,682
Net income	\$ 2,429	\$ 8,570	\$ 6,682

## Impairment of Investments

Each quarter, we review our investments for impairment. For any investments that indicate a potential impairment, we estimate the fair values of those investments using discounted cash flow models, unrelated third party valuations or industry comparables, based on the various facts available to us. As a result of these reviews, we recorded impairments of \$1.0 million in the consolidated statements of operations for the year ended December 31, 2007 and \$1.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2005. No impairment was recorded for the year ended December 31, 2006.

## 4. **PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT:**

Property and equipment are stated at cost, less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is computed under the straight-line method over the following estimated useful lives:

Buildings and improvements	10 - 30 years
Station equipment	5 - 10 years
Office furniture and equipment	5 - 10 years
Leasehold improvements	Lesser of 10 - 30 years or lease term
Automotive equipment	3 - 5 years
Property and equipment under capital leases	Lease term

Property and equipment consisted of the following as of December 31, 2007 and 2006 (in thousands):

	2007	2006
Land and improvements	\$ 18,444 \$	15,900
Buildings and improvements	87,998	57,455
Station equipment	363,952	346,741
Office furniture and equipment	46,854	46,060
Leasehold improvements	15,424	15,072
Automotive equipment	11,254	10,852
Capital leased assets	81,752	75,473
Construction in progress	1,374	9,848
	627,052	577,401
Less: accumulated depreciation	(342,501)	(307,676)
	\$ 284.551 \$	269,725

Depreciation related to capital leases is included in depreciation expense in the consolidated statements of operations. We recorded depreciation expense of \$4.8 million, \$4.9 million and \$4.6 million for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

In the first quarter of 2003, one of our towers in Charleston, West Virginia collapsed during a severe ice storm. This tower was insured and we used the insurance settlement to rebuild the tower and to replace the other assets that were destroyed by the collapse. In the fourth quarter of 2004, we completed substantially all of the construction of the new tower and placed it in service. At that time, we recognized a gain of \$3.3 million, representing amounts received from insurance above the net book value of the old tower. Of this amount, \$0.1 million was related to business interruption insurance recoveries. In 2005, we recognized a gain of \$1.2 million, which represented additional amounts received from the insurance settlement.

#### 5. GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS:

SFAS No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets* (SFAS 142) requires that goodwill be tested for impairment at the reporting unit level at least annually. We have determined that our designated marketing areas (DMAs) are reporting units under SFAS 142. Annually, we test for impairment by comparing the book value of our reporting units, including goodwill, to the estimated fair value of our reporting units. We

estimate the fair value of our reporting units using a combination of observed earnings multiples paid for comparable television stations, discounted cash flow models and appraisals.

We test our goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets for impairment annually as of October 1, using the methodologies provided in SFAS 142. There was no impairment charge recorded for 2007 and 2005 based on the results of our testing. In 2006, we determined that the carrying value of goodwill of a reporting unit exceeded its fair value. As required, we calculated the fair value of goodwill, and as a result, we recorded an \$11.9 million impairment charge in our consolidated statements of operations during the year ended December 31, 2006.

Definite-lived intangible assets and other assets subject to amortization are being amortized on a straight-line basis over periods of 5 to 25 years. These amounts result from the acquisition of certain television station non-license assets. We analyze specific definite-lived intangibles for impairment when events occur that may impact their value in accordance with Statement 144, *Accounting for Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets*. There was no impairment charge recorded for 2007 and 2005. During the year ended December 31, 2006 and in conjunction with the above-mentioned impairment of goodwill, we determined that the decaying advertiser base definite-lived intangible asset of a station was impaired and as a result, we recorded a \$3.7 million impairment charge in our consolidated statement of operations. The following table shows the gross carrying amount and accumulated amortization of intangibles and estimated amortization related to continuing operations (in thousands):

	Weighted Average		As of December 31, 2007				As of December 31, 2006			
	Amortization Period	Gross Carrying Amount			Accumulated Amortization		Gross Carrying Amount		ccumulated mortization	
Amortized intangible assets:										
Network affiliation	25 years	\$	239,235	\$	(100,106)	\$	240,698	\$	(90,790)	
Decaying advertiser base	15 years		122,358		(94,862)		122,625		(89,137)	
Other	15 years		51,557		(25,449)		37,932		(23,225)	
Total		\$	413,150	\$	(220,417)	\$	401,255	\$	(203,152)	

The amortization expense of the definite-lived intangible assets and other assets for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 was \$17.6 million, \$17.5 million and \$17.5 million, respectively. The following table shows the estimated amortization expense of the definite-lived intangible assets and other assets for the next five years (in thousands):

For the year ended December 31, 2008	\$ 17,941
For the year ended December 31, 2009	\$ 17,557
For the year ended December 31, 2010	\$ 17,089
For the year ended December 31, 2011	\$ 15,763
For the year ended December 31, 2012	\$ 14,607

As of December 31, 2007 and 2006, the carrying amount of our broadcast licenses related to continuing operations was \$401.1 million.

The change in the carrying amount of goodwill related to continuing operations was as follows (in thousands):

	As of December 31,			31,
		2007		2006
Beginning balance	\$	1,007,268	\$	1,040,234
Goodwill impairment charge				(11,882)
Consolidation of variable interest entity and other (a)				(21,084)
Acquisition of other operating divisions companies (b)		3,326		
Ending balance	\$	1,010,594	\$	1,007,268

(a)	During	g 2006, the prim	ıary change ir	ı goodwill wa	as the result	of the re	ecognition of	of tax bend	efits related	to purchas	e business
combina	ations.	See Note 10. In	icome Taxes,	for further in	formation.						

(b) Includes goodwill from the acquisitions of Triangle and Alarm Funding. We expect to finalize the purchase price allocation for Alarm Funding in first quarter 2008. See *Note 1. Acquisitions*, for further information.

## 6. NOTES PAYABLE AND COMMERCIAL BANK FINANCING:

#### **Bank Credit Agreement**

On May 12, 2005, we amended and restated our existing Bank Credit Agreement, lowering our annual interest rate. The Bank Credit Agreement in effect on December 31, 2005, included a Term Loan A Facility (the Term Loan A) of \$100.0 million and a Revolving Credit Facility (the Revolver) of \$175.0 million maturing on December 31, 2011 and June 30, 2011, respectively. As part of the amendment, we fully redeemed our \$150.0 million Term Loan A Facility and \$250.0 million Term Loan C Facility with proceeds from the sale of KOVR-TV in Sacramento, California, cash on hand and the \$100.0 million Term Loan A.

On December 21, 2006, we amended and restated the Bank Credit Agreement again. As part of the amendment, in addition to the Term Loan A and the Revolver, the Bank Credit Agreement now includes a Term Loan A-1 facility (the Term Loan A-1) of \$225.0 million maturing on December 31, 2012. On January 19, 2007, we borrowed net proceeds of \$225.0 million under our Term Loan A-1 and used these proceeds, along with cash on hand and additional borrowing, to fully redeem our 8.75% Senior Subordinated Notes, due 2011. See 8.75% Senior Subordinated Notes, Due 2011 below for additional information.

Availability under the Revolver does not reduce incrementally and terminates at maturity. We are required to prepay the Term Loan A-1 and Term Loan A and reduce the Revolver with (i) 100% of the net proceeds of any casualty loss or condemnation and (ii) 100% of the net proceeds of any sale or other disposition of our assets in excess of \$5.0 million in the aggregate in any 12 month period, to the extent such proceeds are not used to acquire new assets.

Scheduled payments on the Term Loan A, Term Loan A-1 and Revolver are calculated at the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) plus 1.25%, with step-downs tied to a leverage grid. We have the right to terminate the Term Loan A, Term Loan A-1 or Revolver at any time without prepayment penalty. The Term Loan A is repayable in quarterly installments, amortizing as follows:

- 1.25% per quarter commencing March 31, 2007 to December 31, 2008
- 3.75% per quarter commencing March 31, 2009 to December 31, 2010
- 15.0% per quarter commencing March 31, 2011 and continuing through its maturity on December 31, 2011.

The Term Loan A-1 is repayable in quarterly installments, amortizing as follows:

- 1.25% per quarter commencing March 31, 2009 to December 31, 2009
- 2.50% per quarter commencing March 31, 2010 to December 31, 2010
- 3.75% per quarter commencing March 31, 2011 to December 31, 2011
- 17.50% per quarter commencing March 31, 2012 and continuing through its maturity on December 31, 2012.

As a result of amending the Bank Credit Agreement, during 2005, we incurred debt acquisition costs of \$2.0 million and recognized a loss of \$1.6 million, which represents the write-off of certain debt acquisition costs associated with indebtedness replaced by the new facilities. The loss was computed in accordance with EITF No. 96-19, *Debtor s Accounting for a Modification or Exchange of Debt Instruments*. As a result of amending the Bank Credit Agreement, during 2006, we incurred debt acquisition costs of \$1.6 million.

The Bank Credit Agreement is not publicly traded on a market; therefore, it is not practicable for us to calculate the fair value associated with this financial instrument. The weighted average interest rates of the Term Loan A for the year and the month ended December 31, 2007 were 5.99% and 5.56%, respectively. The weighted average interest rates of the Term Loan A for the year and the month ended December 31, 2006, were 5.88% and 6.07%, respectively. The weighted average interest rates of the Term Loan A-1 for the year and month ended December 31, 2007, were 6.25% and 5.81%, respectively. During 2007, 2006 and 2005, the interest expense relating to the Bank Credit Agreement was \$19.6 million, \$6.0 million and \$9.3 million, respectively.

#### 8.75% Senior Subordinated Notes, Due 2011

In December 2001, we completed an issuance of \$310.0 million aggregate principal amount of 8.75% Senior Subordinated Notes, due 2011 (the 8.75% Notes). Interest on the 8.75% Notes is paid semiannually on June 15 and December 15 of each year. The 8.75% Notes were issued under an indenture among us, our subsidiaries (the guarantors) and the trustee.

During 2005, we repurchased, in the open market, \$2.6 million of the 8.75% Notes at face value. The \$0.2 million in costs related to these repurchases have been recorded as a loss from extinguishment of debt in our consolidated statements of operations.

On January 19, 2007, we borrowed net proceeds of \$225.0 million under our Term Loan A-1 pursuant to our amended and restated Bank Credit Agreement. On January 22, 2007, we used these proceeds along with \$59.4 million of cash on hand and additional borrowings of \$23.0 million under our Revolving Credit Facility to redeem the aggregate principal amount of \$307.4 million of our 8.75% Notes. The redemption was effected in accordance with the terms of the indenture governing the 8.75% Notes at a redemption price of 104.375% of the principal amount of the 8.75% Notes plus accrued and unpaid interest. As a result of the redemption, we recorded a loss from extinguishment of debt of \$15.7 million representing the redemption premium and write-off of certain debt acquisition costs.

Interest expense was \$1.6 million, \$26.9 million and \$27.0 million for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Based on the quoted market price, the fair value of the 8.75% Notes as of December 31, 2006 was \$322.2 million.

#### 8.0% Senior Subordinated Notes, Due 2012

From March 2002 through May 29, 2003, we issued \$650.0 million aggregate principal amount of 8.0% Senior Subordinated Notes, due 2012 (the 8.0% Notes). Interest on the 8.0% Notes is paid semiannually on March 15 and September 15 of each year, beginning September 15, 2002. The 8.0% Notes were issued under an indenture among us, certain of our subsidiaries (the guarantors) and the trustee.

On June 11, 2007 and June 18, 2007, we partially redeemed \$300.0 million and \$45.0 million, respectively, of our existing 8.0% Notes at a redemption price of 104% of the principal amount of the 8.0% Notes plus accrued and unpaid interest with net proceeds from the offering of the 3.0% Convertible Senior Notes, due 2027 (the 3.0% Notes) and cash on hand. As a result of the partial redemption, we recorded a loss from extinguishment of debt of \$15.0 million representing the redemption premium and write-off of certain debt acquisition costs, a debt premium and an unamortized derivative asset.

In addition to the partial redemption noted above, during 2007 and 2006, we repurchased, in the open market, \$9.9 million and \$23.7 million, respectively, of the 8.0% Notes at face value. The \$2.3 million and \$0.4 million in costs related to these repurchases for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively, have been recorded as a loss from extinguishment of debt in our consolidated statements of operations. During January 2008, we repurchased, in the open market, \$6.9 million of the 8.0% Notes at face value. As of December 31, 2007, the outstanding face amount of the 8.0% Notes was \$263.4 million.

We may redeem all of the 8.0% Notes on or after March 15, 2007 at a redemption premium of 4.0%, reducing incrementally to 0.0% after March 15, 2010. We may consider making a tender offer to repurchase some or all of these notes in the future.

Interest expense was \$34.0 million, \$50.2 million and \$51.7 million for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Based on the quoted market price, the fair market value of the 8.0% Notes was \$269.3 million at December 31, 2007 and \$633.8 million at December 31, 2006.

### 6.0% Convertible Debentures, Due 2012

On June 15, 2005, we completed an exchange of our Series D Convertible Exchangeable Preferred Stock (the Preferred Stock) into Convertible Debentures, due 2012. Pursuant to the terms of the Preferred Stock, a holder of the Preferred Stock received \$1,000 principal amount of Convertible Debentures for each \$1,000 of liquidation preference of Preferred Stock held by such holder at the Exchange Date, plus accrued but unpaid dividends through the Exchange Date. Therefore, the annual interest payments are consistent with the annual dividend payments of the Preferred Stock.

The Convertible Debentures mature September 15, 2012, and bear interest at a rate of 6.0% per annum, payable quarterly on each March 15, June 15, September 15 and December 15, beginning September 15, 2005. The Convertible Debentures are convertible into Class A Common Stock on substantially the same conversion terms as the Preferred Stock at a conversion price of \$22.813 per share, subject to adjustment. We recorded the Convertible Debentures at fair value upon issuance and the excess of the carrying amount of the Preferred Stock over the fair value of the Convertible Debentures was added to net earnings to arrive at net earnings available to common shareholders. The difference in the carrying amount of the Preferred Stock and the fair value of the Convertible Debentures was recorded as a discount on the Convertible Debentures and is being amortized over the life of the Convertible Debentures using the effective interest method. Net costs associated with the exchange totaled \$0.1 million and these costs were capitalized and are being amortized as interest expense over the life of the debt. In connection with the exchange, we recorded a discount of \$31.7 million related to this redemption and we will amortize this amount over the life of the debt using the effective interest method.

During 2006 and 2005, we repurchased, in the open market, \$8.6 million and \$5.0 million, respectively, of the Convertible Debentures at a discount. The \$0.5 million and \$0.3 million in costs related to these repurchases for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively, have been recorded as a loss from extinguishment of debt in our consolidated statements of operations.

We may redeem all of these notes on or after September 15, 2005 at a redemption premium of 1.2%, September 15, 2006 at a redemption premium of 0.6% and reducing to 0.0% on or after September 15, 2007.

Interest expense for the Convertible Debentures was \$9.2 million, \$9.2 million and \$7.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Based on the quoted market price, the fair value of the Convertible Debentures as of December 31, 2007 and 2006, was \$136.8 million and \$139.7 million, respectively.

#### 4.875% Convertible Senior Notes, Due 2018

During May 2003, we com	upleted a private placement of \$	\$150.0 million aggregate	principal amount of 4.87	75% Convertible Senior Notes, due
2018 (the 4.875% Notes).	The 4.875% Notes were issued	l at par, mature on July 1:	5, 2018, and have the fo	llowing characteristics:

- the 4.875% Notes are convertible into shares of our Class A Common Stock at the option of the holder upon certain circumstances. The conversion price is \$22.37 until March 31, 2011, at which time the conversion price increases quarterly until reaching \$28.07 on July 15, 2018;
- the 4.875% Notes may be put to us at par on January 15, 2011 or called thereafter by us;
- the 4.875% Notes bear cash interest at an annual rate of 4.875% until January 15, 2011 and bear cash interest at an annual rate of 2.00% from January 15, 2011 through maturity;
- the principal amount of the 4.875% Notes will accrete to 125.66% of the original par amount from January 15, 2011 to maturity so that when combined with the cash interest, the yield to maturity of the 4.875% Notes will be 4.875% per year; and
- under certain circumstances, we will pay contingent cash interest to the holders of the 4.875% Notes during any six month period from January 15 to July 14 and from July 15 to January 14, commencing with the six month period beginning January 15, 2011. This contingent cash interest feature is an embedded derivative which had a negligible fair value as of December 31, 2007 and 2006.

Interest expense was \$7.3 million for each of the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Based on the quoted market price, the fair market value of the 4.875% Notes as of December 31, 2007 and 2006 was \$138.4 million and \$137.3 million, respectively.

## 3.0% Convertible Senior Notes, Due 2027

On May 10, 2007, we completed an offering of \$300.0 million aggregate principal amount of 3.0% Convertible Senior Notes, due 2027 (the 3.0% Notes). Upon certain conditions, the 3.0% Notes are convertible into cash and, in certain circumstances, shares of Class A Common Stock. If the 3.0% Notes are converted into Class A Common Stock prior to maturity, they are convertible at an initial conversion price of \$20.43 per share, subject to adjustment, which is equal to an initial conversion rate of approximately 48.9476 shares of Class A Common Stock per \$1,000 principal amount of notes.

The 3.0% Notes may be surrendered for conversion at any time on or before November 15, 2026 if the following conditions are met:

Common Stock, for at least 20 trading days in the period	r the date of original issuance of the 3.0% Notes, if the closing sale price of our Class A of 30 consecutive trading days ending on the last trading day of the calendar quarter more than 130% of the conversion price in effect on that last trading day;
	following any five consecutive trading day period in which the trading price for the of the closing sale price of our Class A Common Stock on such date multiplied by the
• if the 3.0% Notes have been called for redemp	otion; or
· ·	our Class A Common Stock shareholders, we enter into specified corporate isted on The NASDAQ Global Select Market and is not listed for trading on another
immediately preceding the maturity date regardless of wholders of the 3.0% Notes may require us to repurchase framount plus accrued and unpaid interest. Holders of the 3 May 15, 2010, May 15, 2017 and May 15, 2022, or any or aggregate principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest.	November 15, 2026, and at any time prior to the close of business on the business day nether any of the foregoing conditions have been satisfied. Upon a fundamental change for cash all or part of their notes at a repurchase price equal to 100.0% of the principal 3.0% Notes will also have the right to require us to repurchase the notes for cash on other such date to be determined by us at a repurchase price payable in cash equal to the rest (including contingent cash interest), if any, through the repurchase date. The 3.0% d the conversion spread in cash or net shares at our option.

F-26

We are required to pay contingent cash interest to the holders of the 3.0% Notes during any six-month period from May 15 to November 14 and from November 15 to May 14, commencing with the period beginning May 20, 2010 if the average note price for the applicable five trading day period equals 120% or more of the principal amount of such notes and in certain other circumstances. The amount of contingent cash interest payable per note in respect of any six-month period will equal 0.375% per year of the average note price for the applicable five trading day period. The 3.0% Notes may not be redeemed prior to May 20, 2010 and may thereafter be redeemed by us at par.

On May 18, 2007, the underwriters of the 3.0% Notes exercised their option to purchase up to an additional aggregate \$45.0 million principal amount of the 3.0% Notes. The offering was made pursuant to our universal shelf registration statement previously filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Net costs associated with the offering totaled \$6.7 million. These costs were capitalized and are being amortized as interest expense over the life of the debt.

Interest expense was \$6.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. Based on the quoted market price, the fair market value of the 3.0% Notes was \$311.8 million as of December 31, 2007.

#### Cunningham Term Loan Facility

On April 28, 2006, Cunningham Broadcasting Corporation (Cunningham), one of our consolidated VIEs, amended its \$33.5 million Term Loan Facility originally entered into on March 20, 2002, with an unrelated third party. Cunningham is in negotiations to extend the maturity to June 30, 2008. Interest is paid quarterly at a rate of LIBOR plus 1.5%. During 2007, 2006 and 2005, the interest expense relating to the Term Loan was \$2.3 million, \$2.4 million and \$1.9 million, respectively. Primarily all of Cunningham s assets are collateral for the Term Loan. The Term Loan Facility is non-recourse to us.

#### Other Operating Divisions Company Debt

Other operating divisions company debt includes debt of our consolidated subsidiaries with non-broadcasting related operations. This debt is non-recourse to us. Interest is paid on this debt at rates ranging from LIBOR plus 3.0% with step-downs tied to a leverage grid to 6.11% during 2007. During 2007, interest expense on this debt was \$0.6 million.

#### Summary

Notes payable, capital leases and the Bank Credit Agreement consisted of the following as of December 31, 2007 and 2006 (in thousands):

	2007	2006
Bank Credit Agreement, Term Loan A	\$ 95,000	\$ 100,000
Bank Credit Agreement, Term Loan A-1	225,000	
8.75% Senior Subordinated Notes, due 2011		307,400
Cunningham Term Loan Facility (non-recourse)	33,500	33,500

8.0% Senior Subordinated Notes, due 2012	263,422	618,328
6.0% Convertible Debentures, due 2012	153,226	153,226
4.875% Convertible Senior Notes, due 2018	150,000	150,000
3.0% Convertible Senior Notes, due 2027	345,000	
Capital leases	52,664	49,815
Installment note for certain real estate, interest at 8.0%		14
Other operating divisions company debt (all non-recourse)	15,759	
	1,333,571	1,412,283
Plus: Premium on 8.0% Senior Subordinated Notes, due 2012	1,898	5,366
Plus: SFAS 133 derivatives, net	2,981	(3,992)
Less: Discount on 6.0% Convertible Debentures, due 2012	(21,114)	(24,493)
Less: Current portion	(42,950)	(98,265)
	\$ 1,274,386 \$	1,290,899

Indebtedness under the notes payable, capital leases and the Bank Credit Agreement as of December 31, 2007 matures as follows (in thousands):

	Notes	and Bank Credit		
		Agreement	Capital Leases	Total
2008	\$	42,476	\$ 5,117	\$ 47,593
2009		31,058	5,297	36,355
2010		37,589	5,455	43,044
2011		93,845	5,637	99,482
2012		580,939	5,834	586,773
2013 and thereafter		495,000	90,767	585,767
Total minimum payments		1,280,907	118,107	1,399,014
Plus: Premium on 8.0% Senior Subordinated Notes,				
due 2012		1,898		1,898
Plus: SFAS 133 derivatives, net		2,981		2,981
Less: Discount on 6.0% Convertible Debentures,				
due 2012		(21,114)		(21,114)
Less: Amount representing interest			(65,443)	(65,443)
-	\$	1,264,672	\$ 52,664	\$ 1,317,336

Substantially all of our stock in our wholly-owned subsidiaries has been pledged as security for the Bank Credit Agreement.

As of December 31, 2007, we had 27 capital leases with non-affiliates, including 25 tower leases, one building lease and one equipment lease. All of our tower leases will expire within the next 30 years and the building lease will expire within the next 10 years. Most of our leases have 5-10 year renewal options and it is expected that these leases will be renewed or replaced within the normal course of business. For more information related to our affiliate notes and capital leases, see *Note 12. Related Person Transactions*.

## 7. PROGRAM CONTRACTS PAYABLE:

Future payments required under program contracts as of December 31, 2007 were as follows (in thousands):

2008	\$ 90,208
2009	39,790
2010	24,814
2011	11,566
2012 and thereafter	3,815
Total	170,193
Less: Current portion	(90,208)
Long-term portion of program contracts payable	\$ 79,985

Each future periods film liability includes contractual amounts owed, however, what is contractually owed doesn t necessarily reflect what we are expected to pay during that period. While we are legally contractually bound to make the payments reflected in the table during the indicated periods, industry protocol typically enables us to make film payments on a three-month lag. Included in the current portion amounts are

payments due in arrears of \$21.0 million. In addition, we have entered into non-cancelable commitments for future program rights aggregating \$117.3 million as of December 31, 2007.

We perform a net realizable value calculation quarterly for each of our non-cancelable commitments in accordance with SFAS No. 63, *Financial Reporting for Broadcasters*. We utilize sales information to estimate the future revenue of each commitment and measure that amount against the commitment. If the estimated future revenue is less than the amount of the commitment, a loss is recorded.

We estimated the fair value of our program contracts payable and non-cancelable commitments at approximately \$148.9 million and \$92.4 million, respectively, as of December 31, 2007, and \$159.7 million and \$89.5 million, respectively, as of December 31, 2006. These estimates were based on future cash payments discounted at our current borrowing rate.

### 8. COMMON STOCK AND PREFERRED STOCK:

#### Common Stock

Holders of Class A Common Stock are entitled to one vote per share and holders of Class B Common Stock are entitled to ten votes per share, except for votes relating to going private and certain other transactions. The Class A Common Stock and the Class B Common Stock vote together as a single class, except as otherwise may be required by Maryland law, on all matters presented for a vote. Holders of Class B Common Stock may at any time convert their shares into the same number of shares of Class A Common Stock. During 2007, 3,894,473 Class B Common Stock shares were converted into Class A Common Stock shares. During 2006, there was no Class B Common Stock converted into Class A Common Stock shares.

Our Bank Credit Agreement and some of our subordinated debt instruments have general restrictions on the amount of dividends that may be paid. Under the indentures governing the 8.0% Notes, we are restricted from paying dividends on our common stock unless certain specified conditions are satisfied, including that:

- no event of default then exists under the indenture or certain other specified agreements relating to our indebtedness; and
- after taking account of the dividend, we are within certain restricted payment requirements contained in the indenture.

In addition, under certain of our senior unsecured debt, the payment of dividends is not permissible during a default thereunder.

During 2006, the Board of Directors voted to increase the dividend once. The 2006 dividends declared were as follows:

	Quarterly Dividend	<b>Annual Dividend</b>	
For the quarter ended	Per Share	Per Share	Date dividends were paid
March 31, 2006	\$0.100	\$0.400	April 13, 2006
June 30, 2006	\$0.100	\$0.400	July 13, 2006
September 30, 2006	\$0.125	\$0.500	October 12, 2006
December 31, 2006	\$0.125	\$0.500	January 12, 2007

During 2007, the Board of Directors voted to increase the dividend twice. On February 14, 2007, we announced that our Board of Directors approved an increase to our annual dividend to 60 cents per share from 50 cents per share. On October 31, 2007, we announced that our Board of Directors approved an increase to our annual dividend to 70 cents per share from 60 cents per share. We began paying this dividend rate in the first quarter 2008. On February 6, 2008, we announced that our Board of Directors approved an increase to our annual dividend to 80 cents per share from 70 cents per share. We intend to declare dividend distributions in each future quarter. The 2007 dividends declared were as follows:

	Quarterly Dividend	<b>Annual Dividend</b>	
For the quarter ended	Per Share	Per Share	Date dividends were paid
March 31, 2007	\$0.150	\$0.600	April 13, 2007
June 30, 2007	\$0.150	\$0.600	July 12, 2007
September 30, 2007	\$0.150	\$0.600	October 12, 2007
December 31, 2007	\$0.175	\$0.700	January 14, 2008

On February 5, 2008, our Board of Directors renewed its authorization to repurchase up to \$150.0 million of the Class A Common Stock on the open market or through private transactions.

### Preferred Stock

During 1997, we completed a public offering of 3,450,000 shares of Series D Convertible Exchangeable Preferred Stock (the Preferred Stock). The Preferred Stock had a liquidation preference of \$50 per share and a stated cumulative dividend of \$3.00 per share payable quarterly out of legally available funds and was convertible into shares of Class A Common Stock at the option of the holders thereof at a conversion price of \$22.813 per share, subject to adjustment.

On June 15, 2005, we completed a redemption of the Preferred Stock by exchanging the Preferred Stock for 6% Convertible Debentures, due 2012. Pursuant to the terms of the Preferred Stock, a holder of the Preferred Stock received \$1,000 principal amount of Convertible Debentures for each \$1,000 of liquidation preference of Preferred Stock held by such holder at the Exchange Date, plus accrued but unpaid dividends through the Exchange Date. We recorded a \$26.2 million discount that was

added to net earnings available to common shareholders representing the excess of the carrying amount of the Preferred Stock over the fair value of the Convertible Debentures. See *Note 6. Notes Payable and Commercial Bank Financing*, for further description of the 6% Convertible Debentures.

## 9. <u>DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS</u>:

We enter into derivative instruments primarily to reduce the impact of changing interest rates on our floating rate debt and to reduce the impact of changing fair market values on our fixed rate debt.

In accordance with SFAS 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, SFAS No. 137, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities - Deferral of the Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 133 and SFAS No. 138, Accounting for Certain Derivative Instruments and Certain Hedging Activities, an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133 (collectively, SFAS 133), our losses resulting from prior year terminations of fixed to floating interest rate agreements were reflected as a discount on our fixed rate debt and were being amortized to interest expense through December 15, 2007, the original expiration date of the terminated swap agreements. For the year ended December 31, 2007, amortization of the discount of \$0.4 million was recorded as interest expense. For each of the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, amortization of the discount of \$0.5 million was recorded as interest expense.

On April 20, 2006, we terminated two of our derivative instruments with a cash payment of \$3.8 million, the aggregate fair value of the derivative liabilities on that date. These swap agreements were accounted for as fair value hedges in accordance with SFAS 133 and changes in their fair market values were reflected as adjustments to the carrying value of the underlying debt that was being hedged. Therefore, on the termination date, the carrying value of the underlying debt was adjusted to reflect the \$3.8 million payment and that amount will be treated as a discount on the underlying debt that was being hedged and will be amortized over its remaining life, in accordance with SFAS 133. Amortization of the discount of \$0.4 million was recorded as interest expense for each of the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006.

On June 5, 2006, two of our derivative instruments expired. These expired swap agreements did not qualify for hedge accounting treatment under SFAS 133 and, therefore, the changes in their fair market values were reflected in historical earnings as an unrealized gain from derivative instruments through the expiration date. For the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, we recorded an unrealized gain of \$2.9 million and \$21.8 million, respectively.

As of December 31, 2007, we had two remaining derivative instruments. Both of these instruments are interest rate swap agreements. One of these swap agreements, with a notional amount of \$180.0 million and expiring March 15, 2012, is accounted for as a fair value hedge; therefore, any changes in its fair market value are reflected as an adjustment to the carrying value of our 8.0% Senior Subordinated Notes, due 2012, which is the underlying debt being hedged. During 2006, the other interest rate swap agreement was undesignated as a fair value hedge due to a reassignment of the counterparty; therefore, any subsequent changes in the fair market value are reflected as an adjustment to income. The notional amount of this swap agreement is \$120.0 million and it expires on March 15, 2012. The interest we pay on the \$180.0 million interest rate swap agreement is floating based on the three-month LIBOR plus 2.28% and the interest we receive is at 8.0%. The \$120.0 million swap is structured identically with the exception of a difference in the interest spread where it is 2.35%. The fair market value of these agreements is estimated by obtaining quotations from the international financial institution which is a party to the contract. This fair value is an estimate of the net amount that we would pay on the balance sheet date if we cancelled the contracts or transferred them to other parties and includes net accrued interest receivable or payable. This amount was a net asset of \$12.8 million and \$5.7 million as of December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. During February 2008, the counterparty to each of these two derivative instruments terminated the agreements.

During May 2003, we completed an issuance of \$150.0 million aggregate principal amount of 4.875% Convertible Senior Notes, due 2018. During May 2007, we completed an issuance of \$345.0 million aggregate principal of 3.0% Convertible Senior Notes, due 2027. Under certain circumstances, we will pay contingent cash interest to the holder of the convertible notes commencing on January 15, 2011 and May 10, 2010 for the 4.875% Notes and 3.0% Notes, respectively. The contingent cash interest feature for both are embedded derivatives which had a negligible fair value as of December 31, 2007. The embedded derivative for the 4.875% Notes had a negligible fair value as of December 31, 2006.

## 10. <u>INCOME TAXES</u>:

We file a consolidated federal income tax return and separate company state tax returns. The provision (benefit) for income taxes consisted of the following for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 (in thousands):

	2007	2006	2005
Provision for income taxes - continuing operations	\$ 18,800 \$	6,589 \$	36,027
(Benefit) provision for income taxes - discontinued operations	(270)	(3,121)	1,732
Provision for income taxes - sale of discontinued operations	489	885	80,002
	\$ 19,019 \$	4,353 \$	117,761
Current:			
Federal	\$ (17,819) \$	(11,706) \$	38,941
State	(3,005)	(1,597)	(844)
	(20,824)	(13,303)	38,097
Deferred:			
Federal	34,074	16,321	71,941
State	5,769	1,335	7,723
	39,843	17,656	79,664
	\$ 19,019 \$	4,353 \$	117,761

The following is a reconciliation of federal income taxes at the applicable statutory rate to the recorded provision from continuing operations:

	2007	2006	2005
Statutory federal income taxes	35.0%	35.0%	35.0%
Adjustments-			
State income taxes, net of federal effect	5.6%	2.0%	0.1%
Non-deductible expense items	2.6%	1.6%	5.8%
Change in state tax laws or rates	(2.3%)	(3.3%)	(7.4%)
Release of tax reserves	(6.3%)	(45.3%)	(0.2%)
Effect of corporate restructuring on state NOLs	1.7%	%	15.6%
Completion of 1999-2002 IRS audit	%	9.2%	%
Beginning of the year valuation allowance	3.1%	15.1%	%
Change related to reassessment of state apportionment methodologies	5.3%	%	%
Other	3.2%	(2.3%)	2.2%
Provision for income taxes	47.9%	12.0%	51.1%

Temporary differences between the financial reporting carrying amounts and the tax basis of assets and liabilities give rise to deferred taxes. Total deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities as of December 31, 2007 and 2006 were as follows (in thousands):

	2007	2006
Current and Long-Term Deferred Tax Assets:		
Net operating losses	\$ 86,949 \$	93,777
Other	35,433	26,246
	122,382	120,023
Valuation allowance for deferred tax assets	(83,433)	(91,817)
Total deferred tax assets	\$ 38,949 \$	28,206

Current and Long-Term Deferred Tax Liabilities		
FCC license	\$ (78,995) \$	(70,231)
Contingent interest obligations	(19,190)	(10,834)
Fixed assets and intangibles	(242,164)	(211,693)
Other	(4,212)	(9,425)
Total deferred tax liabilities	(344,561)	(302,183)
Net tax liabilities	\$ (305,612) \$	(273,977)

Our remaining federal and state net operating losses will expire during various years from 2008 to 2027 and, in certain cases, are subject to annual limitations under Internal Revenue Code Section 382 or under Treasury Regulation 1.1502-21 and similar state provisions. The pre-valuation-allowance tax effects of the federal net operating losses were \$9.5 million as of both December 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006. The pre-valuation-allowance tax effects of the state net operating losses were \$77.5 million and \$84.3 million as of December 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively. The above-mentioned tax attributes were recorded in the deferred tax accounts in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. During the year ended December 31, 2005, we realized a non-recurring loss of certain state net operating losses, net of applicable valuation allowances, resulting from a corporate restructuring and recorded a reduction of deferred tax assets through our deferred tax provision from continuing operations.

We establish valuation allowances in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes*. In evaluating our ability to realize net deferred tax assets, we consider all available evidence, both positive and negative, including our past operating results, tax planning strategies and forecasts of future taxable income. In considering these sources of taxable income, we must make certain assumptions and judgments that are based on the plans and estimates used to manage our underlying businesses. A valuation allowance has been provided for deferred tax assets relating to various federal and state net operating losses that are carried forward based on expected timing of the reversals of existing temporary book/tax basis differences, alternative tax strategies and projected future taxable income. Although realization is not assured for the remaining deferred tax assets, we believe it is more likely than not that they will be realized in the future. During the year ended December 31, 2007, we decreased our valuation allowances by \$8.4 million. This change was primarily a result of actual pre-valuation-allowance tax effects of prior year state NOLs being less than we forecasted. Since those NOLs carried a full valuation allowance, a corresponding reduction was warranted. As of December 31, 2007, future reversals of valuation allowance would primarily be made through a reduction of our tax provision rather than goodwill or other non current intangible assets.

We adjusted the net deferred tax liabilities for changes in enacted state tax rates, apportionment rules and filing regimes, where applicable. The total amount of these adjustments did not have a significant impact on our consolidated balance sheets, consolidated statements of operations or consolidated statements of cash flows, except for the New York, Texas and Ohio tax law changes in 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Enacted as part of the 2007-08 New York budget legislation, Senate Bill 2110 includes mandatory combined reporting, shift to a single sales factor apportionment and a reduction in tax rate. Changes made by the new tax law are effective for 2007 franchise tax reports originally due on or after March 15, 2008. As a result, we recorded a deferred tax benefit of \$1.0 million in continuing operations to reflect an adjustment to our net deferred tax liabilities in 2007. On May 18, 2006, the Governor of the state of Texas signed into law House Bill 3. This bill revises the existing franchise tax by changing the tax base, lowering the rate and extending coverage to all active businesses receiving the state law liability protection. Changes made by the new tax law are effective for 2007 franchise tax reports originally due on or after January 1, 2008. As a result, we recorded a deferred tax benefit of \$1.5 million in continuing operations to reflect an adjustment to our net deferred tax liabilities in 2006. On June 30, 2005, the Governor of the state of Ohio signed the Ohio Biennial Budget Bill. The bill replaces the Ohio income and franchise tax with a commercial activity tax, among other changes in Ohio law. As a result, we recorded a deferred tax benefit of \$5.2 million in continuing operations to reflect an adjustment to our net deferred tax liabilities in 2005. During the year ended December 31, 2007, we recorded a deferred tax expense of \$2.1 million in continuing operations primarily related to changes in our state tax apportionments resulting in an increase in our net deferred tax liabilities.

We adopted the provisions of FASB Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes* (FIN 48) on January 1, 2007. The adoption of FIN 48 did not cause a material change to our contingent liability for unrecognized tax benefits. We decreased the January 1, 2007 balance in our accumulated deficit position by \$0.6 million to apply the cumulative effect of the FIN 48 adoption. As of the date of adoption, we had \$32.9 million of gross unrecognized tax benefits. Of this total, \$17.6 million (net of federal effect on state tax issues) and \$7.8 million (net of federal effect on state tax issues) represent the amounts of unrecognized tax benefits that, if recognized, would favorably affect our effective tax rates from continuing operations and discontinued operations, respectively. At December 31, 2007, we had \$28.0 million of gross unrecognized tax benefits. Of this total, \$15.1 million (net of federal effect on state tax issues) and \$7.1 million (net of federal effect on state tax issues) represent the amounts of unrecognized tax benefits that, if recognized, would favorably affect our effective tax rates from continuing operations and discontinued operations, respectively.

The following table summarizes the activity related to our accrued unrecognized tax benefits (in thousands):

Balance at January 1, 2007	\$ 32,913
Reductions related to prior years tax positions	(649)
Increases related to current year tax positions	600
Reductions related to settlements with taxing authorities	(683)
Reductions related to expiration of the applicable statute of limitations	(4,209)
Balance at December 31, 2007	\$ 27,972

In addition, we recognize accrued interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits in income tax expense. We had \$6.7 million and \$0 accrued for interest and penalties, respectively, at January 1, 2007. We recognized \$0.4 million of income tax expense for interest related to uncertain tax positions for the year ended December 31, 2007.

We are subject to U.S. federal income tax as well as income tax of multiple state jurisdictions. All of our 2004 and subsequent federal and state tax returns remain subject to examination by various tax authorities. Some of our pre-2004 federal and state tax returns may also be subject to examination. In addition, several of our subsidiaries are currently under state examinations for various years. We do not anticipate the resolution of these matters will result in a material change to our consolidated financial statements. In addition, it is reasonably possible that various statutes of limitations could expire by December 31, 2008. We do not expect such expirations, if any, would significantly change our unrecognized tax benefits over the next twelve months.

Management periodically performs a comprehensive review of our tax positions and accrues amounts for tax contingencies. Based on these reviews, the status of on-going audits and the expiration of applicable statute of limitations, these accruals are adjusted as necessary. The resolution of audits is unpredictable and could result in tax liabilities that are significantly higher or lower than for what we have provided. Amounts accrued for these tax matters are primarily included in long-term liabilities in our consolidated balance sheets. We believe that adequate accruals have been provided for all years.

During 2007, the statute of limitations expired for certain state income tax returns for 1999 through 2003. As a result, we released \$4.9 million of discrete tax and related interest reserves, of which \$3.9 million and \$1.2 million were recorded as a reduction of income tax provision for continuing operations and discontinued operations, respectively. During 2006, the statute of limitations expired for the federal income tax returns for 1999 through 2002. As a result, we released \$39.9 million of discrete tax and related interest reserves, of which \$14.4 million was recorded as a reduction to goodwill, \$0.2 million reduced other identifiable intangible assets and \$25.3 million was recorded as a reduction of our income tax provision for continuing operations. We have adjusted goodwill and other identifiable intangibles to the extent the statute of limitations expired for the exposures related to items on which reserves were recorded in purchase accounting at the time of the related acquisitions. In addition, during 2006 we received a net refund of approximately \$4.3 million related to the abovementioned tax years which resulted in a reduction of goodwill and deferred tax assets of \$8.3 million and \$0.8 million, respectively, and an increase in income tax provision for continuing operations of \$4.8 million.

We recognized a \$0.5 million and \$3.5 million net tax benefit for the years ended December 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively, primarily attributable to the net adjustment of certain tax contingencies regarding tax returns related to discontinued operations.

#### 11. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES:

#### Litigation

We are a party to lawsuits and claims from time to time in the ordinary course of business. Actions currently pending are in various preliminary stages and no judgments or decisions have been rendered by hearing boards or courts in connection with such actions. After reviewing developments to date with legal counsel, our management is of the opinion that the outcome of our pending and threatened matters will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated balance sheets, consolidated statements of operations or consolidated statements of cash flows.

#### FCC License Renewals

In 2004, we filed with the FCC an application for the license renewal of WBFF-TV in Baltimore, Maryland. Subsequently, an individual named Richard D Amato filed a petition to deny the application. In 2004, we also filed with the FCC applications for the license renewal of television stations: WXLV-TV, Winston-Salem, North Carolina; WMYV-TV, Greensboro, North Carolina; WLFL-TV, Raleigh/Durham, North Carolina; WRDC-TV, Raleigh/Durham, North Carolina; WLOS-TV, Asheville, North Carolina and WMMP-TV, Charleston, South Carolina. An organization calling itself Free Press filed a petition to deny the renewal applications of these stations and also the renewal applications of two other stations in those markets, which we program pursuant to LMAs: WTAT-TV, Charleston, South Carolina and WMYA-TV (formerly WBSC-TV), Anderson, South Carolina. Several individuals and an organization named Sinclair Media Watch also filed informal objections to the license renewal applications of WLOS-TV and WMYA-TV, raising essentially the same arguments presented in the Free Press petition. The FCC is currently in the process of considering these renewal applications and we believe the objections have no merit.

On August 1, 2005, we filed applications with the FCC requesting renewal of the broadcast licenses for WICS-TV and WICD-TV in Springfield/Champaign, Illinois. Subsequently, various viewers filed informal objections requesting that the FCC

F-33

deny these renewal applications. On September 30, 2005, we filed an application with the FCC for the renewal of the broadcast license for KGAN-TV in Cedar Rapids, Iowa. On December 28, 2005, an organization calling itself—Iowans for Better Local Television—filed a petition to deny that application. The FCC is currently in the process of considering these renewal applications and we believe the objections and petitions requesting denial have no merit.

On August 1, 2005, we filed applications with the FCC requesting renewal of the broadcast licenses for WCGV-TV and WVTV-TV in Milwaukee, Wisconsin. On November 1, 2005, the Milwaukee Public Interest Media Coalition filed a petition to deny these renewal applications. On June 13, 2007, the Video Division of the FCC denied the petition to deny, and subsequently, the Milwaukee Public Interest Media Coalition filed a petition for reconsideration of that decision, which we opposed. The petition for reconsideration and the renewal applications are currently pending.

On February 27, 2006, James Pennino purportedly filed a petition to deny the license renewal application of WUCW-TV in Minneapolis, Minnesota. Despite not having found any official record of the filing, we opposed the petition and the renewal application is currently pending.

In 2007, the FCC granted the license renewal applications of the following stations: WPGH-TV in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania; WABM-TV in Birmingham, Alabama; WNYO-TV in Buffalo, New York; and WNYS-TV in Syracuse, New York. In January 2008, the FCC granted the license renewal applications of the following stations: KDNL-TV in St. Louis, Missouri; WZTV-TV in Nashville, Tennessee; WSYX-TV in Columbus, Ohio; KABB-TV in San Antonio, Texas; KMYS-TV in San Antonio, Texas; KVMY-TV in Las Vegas, Nevada; KOKH-TV in Oklahoma City, Oklahoma; WCB-TV in Oklahoma; WRLH-TV in Richmond, Virginia; WEAR-TV in Pensacola, Florida; WKEF-TV in Dayton, Ohio; WDKY-TV in Lexington, Kentucky; WSMH-TV in Flint, Michigan; KDSM-TV in Des Moines, Iowa; KBSI-TV in Cape Girardeau, Missouri; and WTWC-TV in Tallahassee, Florida.

### Other FCC Adjudicatory Proceedings

On July 21, 2005, we filed with the FCC an application to acquire the license and non-license television broadcast assets of WNAB-TV in Nashville, Tennessee. The Rainbow/PUSH Coalition (Rainbow/PUSH) filed a petition to deny that application and also requested that the FCC initiate a hearing to investigate whether WNAB-TV was improperly operated with WZTV-TV and WUXP-TV, two of our stations located in the same market as WNAB-TV. The FCC is currently in the process of considering the transfer of the broadcast license and we believe the Rainbow/PUSH petition has no merit.

On October 12, 2004, the FCC issued a Notice of Apparent Liability for Forfeiture (NAL) in the amount of \$7,000 per station to virtually every FOX station, including the 15 FOX affiliates presently licensed to us and the four FOX affiliates programmed by us and one FOX affiliate we sold in 2005. The NAL alleged that the stations broadcast indecent material contained in an episode of a FOX network program that aired on April 7, 2003. We, as well as other parties including the FOX network, filed oppositions to the NAL. On February 22, 2008, the FCC released an order fining several FOX stations, including KDSM-TV in Des Moines, Iowa, WZTV-TV in Nashville, Tennessee, and WVAH-TV in Charleston, West Virginia, which we program pursuant to an LMA. Under the order, the affected stations have until March 24, 2008 to pay the forfeiture amount and until April 22, 2008 to appeal the FCC s decision in a federal appellate court. We have been informed that the FOX network, on behalf of its affiliates, will appeal the FCC s forfeiture order. Although we cannot predict the outcome of that proceeding or the effect of any adverse outcome on the stations license renewal applications, the FOX network has agreed to indemnify its affiliates for the full amount of this liability.

On March 15, 2006, the FCC issued an NAL in the amount of \$32,500 per station to a number of CBS affiliated and owned and operated stations, including KGAN-TV in Cedar Rapids, Iowa. The NAL alleged that the stations broadcast indecent material contained in an episode of Without a Trace, a CBS network program that aired on December 31, 2004 at 9:00 p.m. CBS opposed the NAL but has not agreed to indemnify its affiliates for the full amount of this liability, if any. We cannot predict the outcome of this proceeding or the effect of any adverse outcome on the station s license renewal application.

On August 11, 2006, the FCC sent a letter to us requesting information regarding the broadcast of video news releases, by WBFF-TV in Baltimore, Maryland, KOKH-TV in Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, WLFL-TV in Raleigh, North Carolina, WPGH-TV in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, WSYX-TV in Columbus, Ohio, WVTV-TV in Milwaukee, Wisconsin and KGAN-TV in Cedar Rapids, Iowa, without proper sponsorship identification in alleged violation of federal law and the FCC s rules. We denied that the stations violated federal law or the FCC s rules. The FCC s inquiry proceeding is currently pending.

On November 7, 2006, the FCC sent a letter to us requesting information regarding the broadcast of certain programs, by forty-one stations licensed to us and three stations previously licensed to us, without proper sponsorship identification in alleged violation of federal law and the FCC s rules. We denied that the stations violated federal law or the FCC s rules. On July 23, 2007, the FCC dismissed the complaints and closed its investigation with respect to thirty-five of the stations. On October 18, 2007, the FCC issued a Notice of Apparent Liability for forfeiture, proposing to fine nine of the stations a total of \$36,000 for allegedly violating the sponsorship identification rules. We opposed the FCC s determination and the proceeding remains pending.

On April 26, 2007, the FCC sent letters to two of our stations, WUHF-TV in Rochester, New York and WSYX-TV in Columbus, Ohio, requesting information regarding the broadcast of certain video news releases without proper sponsorship identification in alleged violation of federal law and the FCC s rules. We denied that the stations violated federal law or the FCC s rules. The inquiry proceeding is currently in process.

On May 1, 2007, the FCC sent a letter to WRLH-TV in Richmond, Virginia, requesting information regarding the alleged broadcast of indecent material during an advertisement. We denied that the station broadcast indecent material. The inquiry proceeding is currently in process.

On February 19, 2008, the FCC issued a forfeiture order in the amount of \$27,500 per station to a number of ABC affiliated and owned and operated stations, including KDNL-TV in St. Louis, Missouri and WEAR-TV in Mobile, Alabama. The order concluded that the stations broadcast indecent material contained in a February 25, 2003 episode of the ABC program NYPD Blue, that aired at 9:00pm. Under the order, the affected stations had until February 21, 2008 to pay the forfeiture amount and until April 21, 2008 to appeal the FCC s decision in a federal appellate court. ABC paid the forfeiture amount on our behalf. ABC has appealed the fine imposed on the two ABC stations it owns and we are considering filing a similar appeal with respect to the ABC affiliated stations that we own. While we cannot predict the outcome of this proceeding, ABC will indemnify its affiliates for any liability, pursuant to the affiliation agreements.

#### **Operating Leases**

We have entered into operating leases for certain property and equipment under terms ranging from three to ten years. The rent expense from continuing operations under these leases, as well as certain leases under month-to-month arrangements, for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 was approximately \$5.0 million, \$5.4 million and \$4.6 million, respectively.

Future minimum payments under the leases are as follows (in thousands):

2008	\$ 3,009
2009	2,637
2010	2,273
2011	1,860
2012	2,225
2013 and thereafter	6,516
	\$ 18,520

At December 31, 2007 and 2006, we had outstanding letters of credit of \$0.4 million and \$1.0 million, respectively, under our revolving credit facility. The letters of credit for 2007 act as support of the purchase of the license assets of WNYS-TV in Syracuse, New York, pursuant to an Asset Purchase Agreement and G1440 s guarantee of lease payments pursuant to the terms and conditions of their office lease agreement. In 2006, we had an outstanding letter of credit as a guarantee of lease payments for the related party property occupied by WTTA-TV in Tampa, Florida, pursuant to the terms and conditions of the lease agreement. There was no letter of credit outstanding for WTTA-TV as of December 31, 2007.

#### Network Affiliation Agreements

Our 58 television stations that we own and operate, or to which we provide programming services or sales services, are affiliated as follows: FOX (20 stations); MyNetworkTV (17 stations); ABC (9 stations); The CW (9 stations); CBS (2 stations) and NBC (1 station). The networks produce and distribute programming in exchange for each station s commitment to air the programming at specified times and for commercial announcement time during programming. The amount and quality of programming provided by each network varies.

The non-renewal or termination of any of our other network affiliation agreements would prevent us from being able to carry programming of the relevant network. This loss of programming would require us to obtain replacement programming, which may involve higher costs and which may not be as attractive to our target audiences, resulting in reduced revenues. Upon

the termination of any of the above affiliation agreements, we would be required to establish a new affiliation agreement with another network or operate as an independent station. At such time, the remaining value of the network affiliation asset could become impaired and we would be required to write down the value of the asset.

#### Changes in the Rules on Television Ownership and Local Marketing Agreements

Certain of our stations have entered into what have commonly been referred to as local marketing agreements or LMAs. One typical type of LMA is a programming agreement between two separately owned television stations serving the same market, whereby the licensee of one station programs substantial portions of the broadcast day and sells advertising time during such programming segments on the other licensee s station subject to the ultimate editorial and other controls being exercised by the latter licensee. We believe these arrangements allow us to reduce our operating expenses and enhance profitability.

In 1999, the FCC established a new local television ownership rule and decided to attribute LMAs for ownership purpose. It grandfathered our LMAs that were entered into prior to November 5, 1996, permitting the applicable stations to continue operations pursuant to the LMAs until the conclusion of the FCC s 2004 biennial review. The FCC stated it would conduct a case-by-case review of grandfathered LMAs and assess the appropriateness of extending the grandfathering periods. Subsequently, the FCC invited comments as to whether, instead of beginning the review of the grandfathered LMAs in 2004, it should do so in 2006. The FCC did not initiate any review of grandfathered LMAs in 2004 or as part of its 2006 quadrennial review. We do not know when, or if, the FCC will conduct any such review of grandfathered LMAs. With respect to LMAs executed on or after November 5, 1996, the FCC required that parties come into compliance with the 1999 local television ownership rule by August 6, 2001. We challenged the 1999 local television ownership rule in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit, and that court stayed the enforcement of the divestiture of the post-November 5, 1996 LMAs. In 2002, the D.C. Circuit ruled in *Sinclair Broadcast Group, Inc. v. F.C.C.*, 284 F.3d 114 (D.C. Cir. 2002) that the 1999 local television ownership rule was arbitrary and capricious and remanded the rule to the Commission.

In 2003, the FCC revised its ownership rules, including the local television ownership rule. The effective date of the 2003 ownership rules was stayed by the U. S. Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit and the rules were remanded to the FCC. Because the effective date of the 2003 ownership rules had been stayed and, in connection with the adoption of those rules, the FCC concluded the 1999 rules could not be justified as necessary in the public interest, we took the position that an issue exists regarding whether the FCC has any current legal right to enforce any rules prohibiting the acquisition of television stations. Several parties, including us, filed petitions with the Supreme Court of the United States seeking review of the Third Circuit decision, but the Supreme Court denied the petitions in June 2005.

In July 2006, as part of the FCC s statutorily required quadrennial review of its media ownership rules, the FCC released a Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making seeking comment on how to address the issues raised by the Third Circuit s decision, among other things, remanding the local television ownership rule. In January 2008, the FCC released an order containing its current ownership rules, which re-adopted its 1999 local television ownership rule. On February 8, 2008, we filed a petition with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit requesting that the Court direct the FCC to cease its use of the 1999 local television ownership rule that it re-adopted as the permanent rule in 2008.

On November 15, 1999, we entered into a plan and agreement of merger to acquire through merger WMYA-TV (formerly WBSC-TV) in Anderson, South Carolina from Cunningham Broadcasting Corporation (Cunningham), but that transaction was denied by the FCC. In light of the change in the 2003 ownership rules, we filed a petition for reconsideration with the FCC and amended our application to acquire the license of WMYA-TV. We also filed applications in November 2003 to acquire the license assets of the remaining five Cunningham stations: WRGT-TV, Dayton, Ohio; WTAT-TV, Charleston, South Carolina; WVAH-TV, Charleston, West Virginia; WNUV-TV, Baltimore, Maryland; and WTTE-TV, Columbus, Ohio. Rainbow/PUSH filed a petition to deny these five applications and to revoke all of our licenses. The FCC dismissed our applications in light of the stay of the 2003 ownership rules and also denied the Rainbow/PUSH petition. Rainbow/PUSH filed a

petition for reconsideration of that denial and we filed an application for review of the dismissal. In 2005, we filed a petition with the U. S. Court of Appeals for the D. C. Circuit requesting that the Court direct the FCC to take final action on our applications, but that petition was dismissed. On January 6, 2006, we submitted a motion to the FCC requesting that it take final action on our applications. Both the applications and the associated petition to deny are still pending. We believe the Rainbow/PUSH petition is without merit.

If we are required to terminate or modify our LMAs, our business could be affected in the following ways:

Losses on investments. As part of our LMA arrangements, we own the non-license assets used by the stations with which we have LMAs. If certain of these LMA arrangements are no longer permitted, we would be forced to sell these assets, restructure our agreements or find another use for them. If this happens, the market for such assets may not be as good as when we purchased them and, therefore, we cannot be certain that we will recoup our original investments.

*Termination penalties.* If the FCC requires us to modify or terminate existing LMAs before the terms of the LMAs expire, or under certain circumstances, we elect not to extend the terms of the LMAs, we may be forced to pay termination penalties under the terms of some of our LMAs. Any such termination penalty could be material.

#### Liquidity Assurance

On May 26, 2005, we entered into a twelve-month limited scope liquidity assurance with Acrodyne Communications, Inc. (Acrodyne), one of our majority-owned consolidated subsidiaries. On July 14, 2006, we extended the liquidity assurance for an additional twelve-month period. Pursuant to this agreement, we provided Acrodyne sufficient funding to cover any necessary working capital needs through May 25, 2007, when Acrodyne could not provide that funding on its own. In connection with this liquidity assurance, we established a \$0.5 million line of credit for Acrodyne. Interest on any unpaid indebtedness is calculated on a daily basis at LIBOR plus 225 basis points per annum. As of December 31, 2007, Acrodyne had borrowed \$0.5 million under this line of credit. The liquidity assurance was not extended in 2007. We provide no liquidity assurance to any of our other operating division companies or real estate venture investment entities.

#### 12. <u>RELATED PERSON TRANSACTIONS</u>:

David, Frederick, Duncan and Robert Smith (collectively, the controlling shareholders) are brothers and hold substantially all of the Class B Common Stock. During each of the periods presented in the accompanying consolidated financial statements, we engaged in transactions with them, their immediate family members and/or entities in which they have substantial interests (collectively, affiliates).

Notes and capital leases payable to affiliates consisted of the following as of December 31, 2007 and 2006 (in thousands):

	2007	2006
Capital lease for building, interest at 7.93%	\$ 2,605	\$ 3,125
Capital lease for building, interest at 6.62%	1,941	3,088
Capital leases for broadcasting tower facilities, interest at 9.0%	4,656	508
Capital leases for broadcasting tower facilities, interest at 10.5%	8,194	8,147
Liability payable to affiliate for local marketing agreement, interest at 6.20%	1,666	3,231
Liability payable to affiliate for local marketing agreement, interest at 7.69%	6,603	6,116
Capital leases for building and tower, interest at 8.25%	1,348	244
	27,013	24,459
Less: Current portion	(3,839)	(3,985)
	\$ 23,174	\$ 20,474

Notes and capital leases payable to affiliates as of December 31, 2007 mature as follows (in thousands):

2008	\$ 6,379
2009	5,209
2010	4,371
2011	4,094
2012	3,630
2013 and thereafter	24,970

Liquidity Assurance 190

Total minimum payments due	48,653
Less: Amount representing interest	(21,640)
	\$ 27,013

Concurrently with our initial public offering, we acquired options from trusts established by Carolyn C. Smith, a parent of our controlling shareholders, for the benefit of her grandchildren that will grant us the right to acquire, subject to applicable FCC rules and regulations, 100% of the capital stock of Cunningham Broadcasting Corporation (Cunningham). The Cunningham option exercise price is based on a formula that provides a 10% annual return to Cunningham. Cunningham is the owner-operator and FCC licensee of: WNUV-TV, Baltimore, Maryland; WRGT-TV, Dayton, Ohio; WVAH-TV, Charleston, West Virginia; WTAT-TV, Charleston, South Carolina; WMYA-TV (formerly WBSC-TV), Anderson, South Carolina; and WTTE-TV, Columbus, Ohio. The financial statements for Cunningham are included in our consolidated financial statements for all periods presented.

We entered into five-year LMA agreements (with five-year renewal terms at our option) with Cunningham pursuant to which we provide programming to Cunningham for airing on WNUV-TV, WRGT-TV, WVAH-TV, WTAT-TV, WMYA-TV and WTTE-TV. During the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, we made payments of \$7.8 million, \$11.3 million and \$7.0 million, respectively, to Cunningham under these LMA agreements.

Cunningham accounts for income taxes and deferred taxes using the separate return method and those amounts are consolidated into our income taxes and deferred taxes, which are also calculated using the separate return method. For the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2005, Cunningham s provision for income taxes was \$1.1 million and \$0.6 million, respectively. For the year ended December 31, 2006, Cunningham s benefit for income taxes was \$0.2 million. As of December 31, 2007 and 2006, Cunningham s deferred tax assets were \$1.5 million and \$2.2 million, respectively and Cunningham s deferred tax liabilities were \$6.3 million and \$5.8 million, respectively.

From time to time, we charter aircraft owned by certain controlling shareholders. We incurred less than \$0.1 million related to these arrangements during each of the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Certain assets used by us and our operating subsidiaries are leased from Cunningham Communications Inc., Keyser Investment Group, Gerstell Development Limited Partnership and Beaver Dam, LLC (entities owned by the controlling shareholders). Lease payments made to these entities were \$5.2 million, \$5.4 million and \$4.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

In January 1999, we entered into a local marketing agreement (LMA) with Bay Television, Inc. (Bay TV), which owns the television station WTTA-TV in Tampa, Florida. Our controlling shareholders own a substantial portion of the equity of Bay TV. The LMA provides that we deliver television programming to Bay TV, which broadcasts the programming in return for a monthly fee to Bay TV of \$143,500. We must also make an annual payment equal to 50% of the adjusted annual broadcast cash flow of the station (as defined in the LMA) that is in excess of \$1.7 million. The additional payment is reduced by 50% of the adjusted broadcast cash flow of the station that was below zero in prior calendar years until that amount is recaptured. Additional payments of \$1.8 million, \$0.9 million and \$0.4 million were made during the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively, related to the excess adjusted broadcast cash flow for the prior years. Lease payments made to Bay TV were \$1.7 million for each of the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005.

In connection with our 1997 negotiations with The WB to obtain affiliation agreements for a number of our stations, we discussed an opportunity to obtain The WB affiliation in Tampa, Florida for WTTA-TV, which is owned by Bay TV as described above. We did this in anticipation of entering into a LMA with Bay TV to program WTTA-TV, which was then operating as a non-affiliated independent television station airing paid programming. In 1998, in order to obtain The WB affiliation for WTTA-TV, we and Bay TV each agreed to make payments in the future to The WB of \$10.0 million, or \$20.0 million in total. Our agreement to make such payment was conditioned upon Bay TV entering into the aforementioned LMA agreement, which we subsequently entered into in January 1999.

Our obligation to make a \$10.0 million payment to The WB was structured as a \$5.0 million reduction of each of the payments owed to us by The WB under our multi-station affiliation agreement in January of each of 2006 and 2007, assuming that The WB was still operating a television network at the time such payments were due. Additionally, Bay TV agreed to make \$5.0 million cash payments to The WB in January 2006 and January 2007 pursuant to the granting of The WB affiliation for WTTA-TV. Additionally, our multi-station WB affiliation agreement provides that The WB s obligation to make a \$5.0 million payment to us in each of January 2006 and 2007 is expressly conditioned upon receipt by The WB of corresponding payments from Bay TV.

After Bay TV failed to make the first \$5.0 million payment to The WB on its due date of January 16, 2006, The WB withheld \$5.0 million from the amount due to us pursuant to our multi-station affiliation agreement. On January 24, 2006, The WB announced that it was combining with the UPN television network to form the CW Television Network. As a result, we entered into negotiations with The WB regarding a number of issues surrounding The WB s announcement, including the impact of the elimination of WTTA-TV s WB network affiliation and the amount we and Bay TV agreed to pay for the affiliation in Tampa.

As a result of such negotiations, on May 2, 2006, we entered into primary affiliation agreements with the CW Television Network. Concurrently, we entered into a release and settlement agreement between us and Bay TV, on one side, and The WB and UPN, on the other side (the Release and Settlement Agreement). Pursuant to the Release and Settlement Agreement, we and Bay TV agreed to release The WB and UPN, and The WB and UPN agreed to release us and Bay TV, from any claims or other liabilities we or Bay TV, or The WB or UPN, may have arising out of or in connection with (a) any agreement, including any affiliation agreements entered into by us or Bay TV with The WB or UPN, and (b) any services previously performed by any one of the parties to the Release and Settlement Agreement for any other party to the Release and Settlement Agreement. In addition, pursuant to the Release and Settlement Agreement, The WB assigned to us all of The WB s rights to receive a \$5.0 million payment from Bay TV on January 16, 2006. In connection with executing the Release and Settlement Agreement and entering into the CW Television Network affiliation agreements, The WB and UPN agreed to make a payment to us and, on May

2, 2006, we entered into an agreement with Bay TV (the Bay TV Agreement) in which we agreed to pay Bay TV \$750,000, representing Bay TV s share of the payment made to us by The WB and UPN. This payment was made by reducing by \$750,000 Bay TV s obligation to pay us \$5.0 million, which obligation was assigned to us by The WB as described above. We received the remaining \$4.3 million obligation as of December 31, 2006.

We sold advertising time to and purchased vehicles and related vehicle services from Atlantic Automotive Corporation (Atlantic Automotive), a holding company which owns automobile dealerships and a leasing company. David D. Smith, our President and Chief Executive Officer, has a controlling interest in Atlantic Automotive and is a member of the Board of Directors. Our stations in Baltimore, Maryland and Norfolk, Virginia received payments for advertising time totaling \$0.6 million, \$0.3 million and \$0.5 million during the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. We paid \$1.1 million, \$1.1 million and \$1.0 million for vehicles and related vehicle services from Atlantic Automotive during the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

In August 1999, we made an investment of \$2.4 million in Allegiance Capital Limited Partnership (Allegiance), a small business investment company. Our controlling shareholders and our Chief Financial Officer and Executive Vice President are also investors in Allegiance, along with Allegiance Capital Management Corporation (ACMC), the general partner. ACMC controls all decision making, investing and management of operations of Allegiance in exchange for a monthly management fee based on actual expenses incurred which currently averages approximately \$0.1 million and which is paid by the limited partners. Allegiance distributed \$2.0 million and \$7.0 million to us during 2007 and 2006, respectively. As of December 31, 2007, our investment balance was \$9.2 million and our remaining unfunded commitment was \$5.3 million.

On July 1, 2005, Sinclair Communications, LLC (Sinclair Communications), a subsidiary of Sinclair Broadcast Group, Inc. (SBG), and Cunningham Communications, Inc. (Cunningham Communications) entered into Amendment No. 2 to an original Lease Agreement (the Lease), dated July 1, 1987, as amended July 1, 1997. Amendment No. 2 became effective July 1, 2005 and expired on June 30, 2007. Cunningham Communications is owned by our controlling shareholders. The Lease was amended to increase the monthly rent by \$25,357 for a total current monthly rent of \$82,860. In addition, on July 1, 2005, Sinclair Communications made a lump sum payment of \$565,800 to Cunningham Communications as a requirement upon execution of Amendment No. 2. The monthly rent increased in July of 2006 to \$86,984. On October 11, 2007, Sinclair Communications and Cunningham Communications entered into Amendment No. 3. Amendment No. 3, effective July 1, 2007, allows Sinclair Communications to lease tower and building space utilized for digital television transmission. The monthly rent decreased in July of 2007 to \$60,976.

Basil A. Thomas, a member of our board of directors, is of counsel to Thomas & Libowitz and the father of Steven A. Thomas, a partner and founder of Thomas & Libowitz. We paid fees of \$0.7 million and \$0.5 million to Thomas & Libowitz during 2007 and 2006, respectively. During 2007, Steven A. Thomas received, in lieu of cash payment for certain legal fees, an ownership percentage in two of our real estate investments and one of our private equity investments. The fair value of the three ownership interests was \$0.1 million as of the dates the investments were made.

#### 13. <u>DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS</u>:

In accordance with SFAS 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets, we reported the financial position and results of operations for WGGB-TV in Springfield, Massachusetts, WEMT-TV in Tri-Cities, Tennessee, KOVR-TV in Sacramento, California and KSMO-TV in Kansas City, Missouri as assets and liabilities held for sale in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets and consolidated statements of operations. Discontinued operations have not been segregated in the consolidated statements of cash flows and, therefore, amounts for certain captions will not agree with the accompanying consolidated balance sheets and consolidated statements of operations. The operating results of WGGB-TV, WEMT-TV, KOVR-TV and KSMO-TV are not included in our consolidated results from continuing operations for the

years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005. In accordance with EITF No. 87-24, *Allocation of Interest to Discontinued Operations*, we have allocated \$3.6 million of interest expense to discontinued operations for the year ended December 31, 2005. No interest expense was allocated for the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006. Since we owned the rights to collect the amounts due to us through the closing dates of the non-license television broadcast assets, accounts receivable related to all of our discontinued operations is included in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets, net of allowance for doubtful accounts, for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006. Such amounts were \$0.1 million (net of allowance of less than \$0.1 million) and \$1.5 million (net of allowance of \$0.1 million), respectively.

#### WGGB Disposition

On July 31, 2007, we entered into an agreement to sell WGGB-TV, including the FCC license, to an unrelated third party for \$21.2 million in cash. The FCC approved the transfer of the broadcast license and the sale was completed on November 1, 2007. We recorded \$1.1 million, net of \$0.5 million tax provision, as gain from discontinued operations in our consolidated statements of operations for the year ended December 31, 2007. The net cash proceeds were used in the normal course of operations and for capital expenditures.

#### **WEMT Disposition**

On May 16, 2005, we entered into an agreement to sell WEMT-TV, including the FCC license to an unrelated third party for \$7.0 million. On the same day, we completed the sale of the WEMT-TV non-license television broadcast assets for \$5.6 million of the total \$7.0 million sale price and recorded a deferred gain of \$3.2 million. The FCC approved the transfer of the broadcast license to the unrelated third party and we completed the sale of the license assets, including the broadcast license, on February 8, 2006 for a cash price of approximately \$1.4 million. We recorded \$1.8 million, net of \$0.9 million in taxes, as gain from discontinued operations in our consolidated statements of operations for the year ended December 31, 2006. The gain is comprised of the previously deferred gain of \$2.1 million and the loss of \$0.3 million from the sale of the license assets, net of taxes, respectively. The net cash proceeds were used in the normal course of operations and for capital expenditures.

#### **KOVR** Disposition

On December 2, 2004, we entered into an agreement to sell KOVR-TV, including the FCC license and our investment in KOVR Joint Venture to an unrelated third party. The FCC approved the transfer of the broadcast license to the unrelated third party and we completed the sale on April 29, 2005 for a cash purchase price of \$285.0 million. We recorded a gain of \$129.5 million, net of \$70.0 million of taxes, as a gain from discontinued operations in our consolidated statements of operations for the year ended December 31, 2005. The net proceeds were used to repay bank debt.

#### KSMO Disposition

On November 12, 2004, we entered into an agreement to sell KSMO-TV, including the FCC license to an unrelated third party for \$33.5 million. On the same day, we completed the sale of the KSMO-TV non-license television broadcast assets for \$26.8 million of the total \$33.5 million sale price. The FCC approved the transfer of the broadcast license to the unrelated third party and we completed the sale of the license assets, including the broadcast license, on September 29, 2005 for a cash price of approximately \$6.7 million. We recorded \$16.5 million, net of \$10.0 million in taxes, as gain from discontinued operations in our consolidated statements of operations for the year ended December 31, 2005. The gain is comprised of the previously deferred gain of \$26.1 million and the gain of \$0.4 million from the sale of the license assets, net of taxes, respectively. The net cash proceeds were used in the normal course of operations and for capital expenditures.

#### 14. EARNINGS PER SHARE:

The following table reconciles income (numerator) and shares (denominator) used in our computations of earnings per share for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 (in thousands):

	2007	2006	2005
Income (Numerator)			
Income from continuing operations	\$ 20,415	\$ 48,502	\$ 34,508
Preferred stock dividends			(5,004)
Excess of preferred stock carrying value over redemption value			26,201
Numerator for diluted earnings per common share from continuing operations	20,415	48,502	55,705
Income from discontinued operations, including gain on sale of broadcast			
assets related to discontinued operations, net of taxes	2,284	5,475	151,424
Numerator for diluted earnings per common share	\$ 22,699	\$ 53,977	\$ 207,129
Shares (Denominator)			
Weighted-average common shares outstanding	86,910	85,680	85,380
Dilutive effect of outstanding stock options and restricted stock	105	14	9
Weighted average common and common equivalent shares outstanding	87,015	85,694	85,389

We applied the treasury stock method to measure the dilutive effect of our outstanding stock options and restricted stock awards and include the respective common share equivalents in the denominator of the diluted EPS computation. For the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, our 6.0% Convertible Debentures, due 2012 and 4.875% Convertible Senior Notes, due 2018, were anti-dilutive; therefore, they were not included in the computation of diluted EPS. For the year ended December 31, 2007, our 3.0% Convertible Senior Notes, due 2027 and issued in May 2007, were excluded from our diluted EPS computation since our average stock price was less than the conversion price. For the year ended December 31, 2007, the outstanding SARs were excluded from our diluted EPS computation since our average stock price was less than the grant date base value of the SARs.

#### 15. SEGMENT DATA:

We have one reportable operating segment, Broadcast , that is disclosed separately from our corporate and other business activities. Our broadcast segment includes stations in 34 markets located predominately in the eastern, mid-western and southern United States. Corporate and Other primarily includes our costs to operate as a public company and to operate our corporate headquarters location, our investment activity and our other operating divisions activities. Currently, our other operating divisions primarily earn revenues from software development, information technology staffing and consulting, transmitter manufacturing and sign design and fabrication. Transactions between our operating segment and Corporate and Other are not material.

Financial information for our operating segment is included in the following tables for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 (in thousands):

## Corporate

For the year ended December 31, 2007	Broa	dcast	and Other		Consolidated
Revenue	\$	684,433	\$ 33,667	7 \$	718,100
Depreciation of property and equipment		40,906	2,241	L	43,147
Amortization of definite-lived intangible assets and other					
assets		16,870	725	,	17,595
Amortization of program contract costs and net realizable					
value adjustments		96,436			96,436
General and administrative overhead expenses		6,255	18,079	)	24,334
Operating income (loss)		179,949	(20,779	<del>)</del> )	159,170
Income from equity and cost method investments			601	L	601
Goodwill		1,005,641	4,953	}	1,010,594
Assets		2,092,846	131,809	)	2,224,655
Capital expenditures		21,771	1,455	;	23,226

#### Corporate

For the year ended December 31, 2006	Broadcast	and Othe	r	Consolidated
Revenue	\$ 681,612	\$	24,610	\$ 706,222
Depreciation of property and equipment	43,131		2,188	45,319
Amortization of definite-lived intangible assets and other				
assets	17,529			17,529
Amortization of program contract costs and net realizable				
value adjustments	90,551			90,551
Impairment of intangibles	15,589			15,589
General and administrative overhead expenses	7,000		15,795	22,795
Operating income (loss)	176,769		(18,112)	158,657
Income from equity and cost method investments			6,338	6,338
Goodwill	1,005,642		1,626	1,007,268
Assets	2,220,547		51,033	2,271,580
Capital expenditures	16,328		595	16,923

For the year ended December 31, 2005	Broadcast	<b>Corporate and Other</b>	Consolidated
Revenue	\$ 661,358	\$ 22,597	\$ 683,955
Depreciation of property and equipment	47,156	1,785	48,941
Amortization of definite-lived intangible assets and other			
assets	17,430	50	17,480
Amortization of program contract costs and net realizable			
value adjustments	70,495		70,495
General and administrative overhead expenses	6,624	14,596	21,220
Operating income (loss)	185,020	(15,382)	169,638
Loss from equity and cost method investments		(1,426)	(1,426)
Goodwill	1,040,234		1,040,234
Assets	2,229,701	50,941	2,280,642
Capital expenditures	16,355	318	16,673

#### 16. <u>CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING FINANCIAL STATEMENTS</u>:

Sinclair Television Group, Inc. (STG) is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Sinclair Broadcast Group, Inc. (SBG), is the primary obligor under our existing Bank Credit Agreement, as amended, the 8.75% Senior Subordinated Notes, due 2011, which were redeemed in full on January 22, 2007, and the 8.0% Senior Subordinated Notes, due 2012. Our Class A Common Stock, Class B Common Stock, the 6.0% Convertible Debentures, due 2012, the 4.875% Convertible Senior Notes, due 2018 and the 3.0% Convertible Senior Notes, due 2027 remain obligations or securities of SBG and are not obligations or securities of STG.

SBG, KDSM, LLC, a wholly-owned subsidiary of SBG and STG s wholly-owned subsidiaries (guarantor subsidiaries), have fully and unconditionally guaranteed all of STG s obligations. Those guarantees are joint and several. There are certain contractual restrictions on the ability of SBG, STG or KDSM, LLC to obtain funds from their subsidiaries in the form of dividends or loans.

The following condensed consolidating financial statements present the consolidated balance sheets, consolidated statements of operations and consolidated statements of cash flows of SBG, STG, KDSM, LLC, the guarantor subsidiaries, the direct and indirect non-guarantor subsidiaries of SBG and the eliminations necessary to arrive at our information on a consolidated basis. These statements are presented in accordance with the disclosure requirements under Securities and Exchange Commission Regulation S-X, Rule 3-10.

# CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

## AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2007

	Sinclair Broadcast Group, Inc.	Sinclair Television Group, Inc.	s	Guarantor Subsidiaries and KDSM, LLC	ľ	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Sinclair Consolidated
Cash	\$ S	\$ 14,478	\$	2,599	\$	3,903	\$ \$	20,980
Accounts and other receivables	22,002	19,522		92,296		13,857	(3,543)	144,134
Other current assets	2,005	6,508		60,621		5,092	(724)	73,502
Total current assets	24,007	40,508		155,516		22,852	(4,267)	238,616
Property and equipment, net	5,979	1,462		247,403		53,777	(24,070)	284,551
Investment in consolidated subsidiaries	872,910	1,349,054					(2,221,964)	
Other long-term assets	30,155	82,220		35,682		24,631	(75,657)	97,031
Total other long-term assets	903,065	1,431,274		35,682		24,631	(2,297,621)	97,031
Acquired intangible assets				1,533,038		62,857	8,562	1,604,457
Total assets	\$ 933,051	\$ 1,473,244	\$	1,971,639	\$	164,117	\$ (2,317,396) \$	2,224,655
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$ 21,968	\$ 10,039	\$	46,516	\$	52,152	\$ (44,569)\$	86,106
Current portion of long-term debt	1,462	5,000		2,798		38,022	(493)	46,789
Other current liabilities				92,144		207		92,351
Total current liabilities	23,430	15,039		141,458		90,381	(45,062)	225,246
Long-term debt	630,747	583,301		68,969		79,782	(65,239)	1,297,560
Other liabilities	11,906	22,307		410,851		2,267	1,744	449,075
Total liabilities	666,083	620,647		621,278		172,430	(108,557)	1,971,881
Common stock	873			10		762	(772)	873
Additional paid-in capital	614,155	543,295		1,005,266		88,370	(1,636,930)	614,156
(Accumulated deficit) retained earnings	(348,060)	310,673		345,645		(96,612)	(571,970)	(360,324)
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)		(1,371)		(560)		(833)	833	(1,931)
Total shareholders equity  Total liabilities and	266,968	852,597		1,350,361		(8,313)	(2,208,839)	252,774
shareholders equity	\$ 933,051	\$ 1,473,244	\$	1,971,639	\$	164,117	\$ (2,317,396) \$	2,224,655

# CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

## AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2006

	Bı	inclair oadcast oup, Inc.	Sinclair Television Group, Inc.	Guarantor Subsidiaries and KDSM, LLC		-Guarantor bsidiaries	Eliminations	Sinclair Consolidated
Cash	\$	\$	62,252	\$ 2,788	\$	2,368	\$	67,408
Accounts and other receivables		8,636	28,863	89,387		9,135	(2,157)	133,864
Other current assets		4,770	8,278	75,440		3,795	(3,447)	88,836
Assets held for sale				21,010				21,010
Total current assets		13,406	99,393	188,625		15,298	(5,604)	311,118
Property and equipment, net		7,771	1,135	260,725		25,005	(24,911)	269,725
Investment in consolidated subsidiaries		541,657	1,466,978				(2,008,635)	
Other long-term assets		25,795	35,391	52,325		13,299	(42,574)	84,236
Total other long-term assets		567,452	1,502,369	52,325		13,299	(2,051,209)	84,236
Acquired intangible assets				1,551,571		46,300	8,630	1,606,501
Total assets	\$	588,629 \$	1,602,897	\$ 2,053,246	\$	99,902	\$ (2,073,094)	\$ 2,271,580
Accounts payable and accrued								
liabilities	\$	17,041 \$	20,939		\$	50,262		
Current portion of long-term debt		1,337	64,400	3,013		34,358	(858)	102,250
Other current liabilities				87,322		502		87,824
Liabilities held for sale				320				320
Total current liabilities		18,378	85,339	141,049		85,122	(44,960)	284,928
		202.020	062 501	ć 1 0 1 <b>0</b>		20.550	(20.550)	1 211 252
Long-term debt		283,830	962,701	64,842		28,570	(28,570)	1,311,373
Other liabilities Total liabilities		6,438	20,854	380,051		5,901	(4,610)	408,634
Total habilities		308,646	1,068,894	585,942		119,593	(78,140)	2,004,935
Common stock		859		11		761	(772)	859
Additional paid-in capital		596,667	281,829	1,234,735		71,517	(1,588,081)	596,667
(Accumulated deficit) retained		370,007	201,027	1,234,733		71,317	(1,500,001)	370,007
earnings		(317,543)	252,174	235,033		(92,223)	(405,847)	(328,406)
Accumulated other comprehensive		(317,313)	232,171	233,033		()2,223)	(103,017)	(320, 100)
income (loss)				(2,475	)	254	(254)	(2,475)
Total shareholders equity		279,983	534,003	1,467,304		(19,691)		266,645
Total liabilities and shareholders		, in the second	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, , ,				, i
equity	\$	588,629 \$	1,602,897	\$ 2,053,246	\$	99,902	\$ (2,073,094)	2,271,580

# CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS

# FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2007

	Sinclair Broadcast Group, Inc.	Sinclair Television Group, Inc.	Guarantor Subsidiaries and KDSM, LLC	Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Sinclair Consolidated
Net revenue	\$	\$	\$ 686,891	\$ 43,057	\$ (11,848) \$	718,100
Program and production		1,479	155,914		(8,686)	148,707
Selling, general and					, ,	
administrative	17,695	5,707	137,169	4,016	(227)	164,360
Depreciation, amortization and						
other operating expenses	2,000	372	208,806	36,905	(2,220)	245,863
Total operating expenses	19,695	7,558	501,889	40,921	(11,133)	558,930
Operating (loss) income	(19,695)	(7,558)	185,002	2,136	(715)	159,170
Equity in earnings of						
subsidiaries	46,861	86,030			(132,891)	
Interest income (loss)	1,320	3,341	42	78	(2,553)	2,228
Interest expense	(28,698)	(57,911)	(6,332)			(95,866)
Other income (expense)	10,343	4,958	(39,318)	(797)	(1,503)	(26,317)
Total other income (expense)	29,826	36,418	(45,608)	(8,446)	(132,145)	(119,955)
Income tax benefit (provision)	14,313	20,580	(55,791)	2,098		(18,800)
Income from discontinued						
operations, net of taxes			1,219			1,219
Gain on disposal of discontinued operations, net of						
taxes			1,065			1,065
Net income (loss)	\$ 24,444 \$	\$ 49,440	,	\$ (4,212)	\$ (132,860) \$	22,699

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS

# FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006

	Sinclair Broadcast Group, Inc.	Sinclair Television Group, Inc.	Guarantor Subsidiaries and KDSM, LLC	Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Sinclair Consolidated
Net revenue	\$	\$	\$ 683,969	\$ 33,806	\$ (11,553)\$	706,222
Program and production Selling, general and		1,640	151,096		(8,500)	144,236
administrative	16,302	5,831	135,757	3,198	(298)	160,790
Depreciation, amortization and other operating expenses	2,109	507	214,720	27,190	(1,987)	242,539
Total operating expenses	18,411	7,978	501,573	30,388	(10,785)	547,565
Operating (loss) income	(18,411)	(7,978)	182,396	3,418	(768)	158,657
Equity in earnings of						
subsidiaries	64,073	94,123			(158,196)	
Interest income	770	2,005		3	(770)	2,008
Interest expense	(20,577)	(86,633)	(5,612)	(5,435)	3,040	(115,217)
Other income (expense)	23,140	27,546	(39,844)	616	(1,815)	9,643
Total other income (expense)	67,406	37,041	(45,456)	(4,816)	(157,741)	(103,566)
Income tax benefit (provision)	5,237	30,097	(42,393)	470		(6,589)
Income from discontinued operations, net of taxes			3,701			3,701
Gain from sale of discontinued			3,701			3,701
operations, net of taxes			1,774			1,774
Net income (loss)	\$ 54,232	\$ 59,160	\$ 100,022	\$ (928)	\$ (158,509)\$	53,977

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS

# FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2005

	Sinclair Broadcast Group, Inc.	Sinclair Television Group, Inc.	Guarantor Subsidiaries and KDSM, LLC	Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Sinclair Consolidated
Net revenue	\$	\$	\$ 667,578	\$ 36,033	\$ (19,656)\$	683,955
Program and production		1,583	159,078		(11,628)	149,033
Selling, general and		,	,		, , ,	, in the second second
administrative	15,044	6,723	132,788	6,386	(3,851)	157,090
Depreciation, amortization						
and other operating expenses	1,691	1,650	183,351	25,973	(4,471)	208,194
Total operating expenses	16,735	9,956	475,217	32,359	(19,950)	514,317
Operating (loss) income	(16,735)	(9,956)	192,361	3,674	294	169,638
Equity in earnings of	206.465	240.674			(445.420)	
subsidiaries	206,465	240,674	2	7	(447,139)	(50
Interest income	507	634	3	7	(501)	650
Interest expense	(16,765)	. , ,	. , ,	. , ,	12,662	(120,002)
Other income (expense)	16,240	25,798	(18,800)	( , ,	(874)	20,249
Total other income (expense)	206,447	171,521	(24,554)	(16,665)	(435,852)	(99,103)
Income tax benefit						
(provision)	7.668	27,411	(76,351)	5,245		(36,027)
Income from discontinued	7,000	27,111	(70,551)	3,213		(30,027)
operations, net of taxes			5,400			5,400
Gain from sale of			,			,
discontinued operations, net						
of taxes			146,024			146,024
Net income (loss)	\$ 197,380	\$ 188,976	\$ 242,880	\$ (7,746)	\$ (435,558)\$	185,932

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

# FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2007

	Sinclair Broadcast Group, Inc.	Sinclair Television Group, Inc.	Guarantor Subsidiaries and KDSM, LLC	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Sinclair Consolidated
NET CASH FLOWS (USED IN)	Ι, μ,					
FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES	\$ (15,205)\$	(76,605)	\$ 204,144	\$ (2,546)\$	36,426	\$ 146,214
CASH FLOWS FROM (USED IN)			,		·	
INVESTING ACTIVITIES:						
Acquisition of property and equipment	(176)	(759)	(21,855)	(900)	464	(23,226)
Payment for acquisition of other	· · ·	` ,	, , ,	, ,		
operating divisions companies				(39,075)		(39,075)
Investments in equity and cost method						
investees	(111)			(16,273)		(16,384)
Distributions from investments	583					583
Proceeds from the sale of assets			693	3		696
Proceeds from the sale of broadcast						
assets related to discontinued						
operations			21,036			21,036
Loans to affiliates	(160)					(160)
Proceeds from loans to affiliates	157					157
Net cash flows from (used in)						
investing activities	293	(759)	(126)	(56,245)	464	(56,373)
CASH FLOWS FROM (USED IN) FINANCING ACTIVITIES:						
Proceeds from notes payable,						
commercial bank financing and capital						
leases	345,000	393,000	9	13,600		751,609
Repayments of notes payable,						
commercial bank financing and capital						
leases	(190)	(835,306)	(175)	(4,971)		(840,642)
Proceeds from exercise of stock						
options	13,379					13,379
Payments for deferred financing costs	(6,738)	(131)		(196)		(7,065)
Increase (decrease) in intercompany						
payables	(285,419)	472,027	(201,128)	51,893	(37,373)	
Dividends paid on Class A and						
Class B Common Stock	(49,973)				483	(49,490)
Repayments of notes and capital						
leases to affiliates	(1,147)		(2,913)			(4,060)
Net cash flows from (used in)						
financing activities	14,912	29,590	(204,207)	60,326	(36,890)	(136,269)
NET (DECREASE) INCREASE IN						
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS		(47,774)	(189)	1,535		(46,428)
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS,						
beginning of period		62,252	2,788	2,368		67,408
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS,						
end of period	\$	14,478	\$ 2,599	\$ 3,903 \$		\$ 20,980

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

# FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006

	Sinclair Broadcast Group, Inc.	Sinclair Television Group, Inc.	Guarantor Subsidiaries and KDSM, LLC	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Sinclair Consolidated
NET CASH FLOWS (USED IN)	• /	• /	,			
FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES	\$ (136)\$	(55,270)	\$ 206,391	\$ 4,651	\$ (363)	\$ 155,273
CASH FLOWS FROM (USED IN)						
INVESTING ACTIVITIES:						
Acquisition of property and equipment	(370)	(90)	(16,319)	(232)	88	(16,923)
Payment for acquisition of television stations			(1,710)			(1,710)
Investments in equity and cost method						
investees	(174)		(165)			(339)
Proceeds from the sale of assets			2,420	10		2,430
Proceeds from the sale of broadcast assets related to discontinued						
operations			1,400			1,400
Loans to affiliates	(143)					(143)
Proceeds from loans to affiliates	141					141
Net cash flows (used in) from investing activities	(546)	(90)	(14,374)	(222)	88	(15,144)
2	,	` '	, , ,	,		
CASH FLOWS FROM (USED IN) FINANCING ACTIVITIES:						
Proceeds from notes payable,						
commercial bank financing and capital						
leases		75,000				75,000
Repayments of notes payable,						
commercial bank financing and capital						
leases	(7,220)	(106,172)	(183)		(789)	(114,364)
Proceeds from exercise of stock						
options	1,125	(2.550)				1,125
Payments for derivative termination		(3,750)				(3,750)
Increase (decrease) in intercompany	42.077	146 640	(107.00()	(2.052)	420	
payables Dividends paid on Class A and Class B	43,876	146,642	(187,896)	(3,052)	430	
Common Stock	(36,062)					(36,062)
Repayments of notes and capital leases to affiliates	(1,037)		(3,288)	(634)	634	(4,325)
Net cash flows from (used in)						
financing activities	682	111,720	(191,367)	(3,686)	275	(82,376)
NET INCREASE IN CASH AND						
CASH EQUIVALENTS		56,360	650	743		57,753
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS,						
beginning of period		5,892	2,138	1,625		9,655
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS,						
end of period	\$ \$	62,252	\$ 2,788	\$ 2,368	\$	67,408

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

# FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2005

	Sinclair Broadcast Group, Inc.	Sinclair Television Group, Inc.	Guarantor Subsidiaries and KDSM, LLC	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Sinclair Consolidated
NET CASH FLOWS FROM (USED	•	•	ŕ			
IN) OPERATING ACTIVITIES	\$ (39,012)\$	(135,062)	\$ 234,909	\$ (1,317)\$	(4,907) \$	54,611
CASH FLOWS FROM (USED IN)						
INVESTING ACTIVITIES:						
Acquisition of property and equipment	(279)	(111)	(16,243)	(5,756)	5,716	(16,673)
Payment for acquisition of television						
stations			(15,540)			(15,540)
Investments in equity and cost method						
investees	(670)			(300)		(970)
Proceeds from the sale of property			66			66
Proceeds from the sale of broadcast						
assets related to discontinued						
operations			295,190			295,190
Proceeds from sale of equity investees	21,500					21,500
Proceeds from insurance settlements			1,193			1,193
Loans to affiliates	(126)		(5,088)		5,088	(126)
Proceeds from loans to affiliates	125					125
Net cash flows from (used in)	20.770		270 770	(	10.001	201-77
investing activities	20,550	(111)	259,578	(6,056)	10,804	284,765
CASH FLOWS FROM (USED IN) FINANCING ACTIVITIES:						
Proceeds from notes payable, commercial bank financing and capital leases		52,000				52,000
Repayments of notes payable, commercial bank financing and capital leases	(5,170)	(355,100)	(108)		11	(360,367)
Proceeds from exercise of stock	(3,170)	(333,100)	(100)		11	(300,307)
options	178					178
Payments for deferred financing costs	(100)	(1,679)		(134)		(1,913)
Increase (decrease) in intercompany	( )	( ,= ,				( ) /
payables	50,707	439,736	(491,065)	1,556	(934)	
Dividends paid on Series D					· ·	
Convertible Preferred Stock	(5,004)					(5,004)
Dividends paid on Class A and Class B Common Stock	(19,201)					(19,201)
Proceeds from notes and capital leases to affiliates				5,088	(5,088)	
Repayment of notes and capital leases to affiliates	(2,948)		(2,957)	(114)	114	(5,905)
Net cash flows from (used in)						
financing activities	18,462	134,957	(494,130)	6,396	(5,897)	(340,212)
NET (DECREASE) INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS		(216) 6,108	357 1,781	(977) 2,602		(836) 10,491

CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, beginning of period					
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS,					
end of period	\$ \$	5,892 \$	2,138 \$	1,625 \$	\$ 9,655
		F-51			

#### 17. **QUARTERLY FINANCIAL INFORMATION (UNAUDITED):**

(in thousands, except per share data)

		For the Q	uarter E	nded	
	03/31/07 (a)	06/30/07 (a)		09/30/07	12/31/07
Total revenues, net	\$ 164,936 \$	178,396	\$	176,699 \$	198,069
Operating income	\$ 37,586 \$	41,643	\$	32,934 \$	47,007
(Loss) income from continuing operations	\$ (2,113) \$	1,703	\$	9,577 \$	11,248
(Loss) income from discontinued operations	\$ (276) \$	494	\$	324 \$	677
Gain from sale of discontinued operations	\$ \$		\$	\$	1,065
Net (loss) income	\$ (2,389) \$	2,197	\$	9,901 \$	12,990
Basic (loss) earnings per common share from					
continuing operations	\$ (0.02) \$	0.02	\$	0.11 \$	0.13
Basic earnings per common share from discontinued					
operations	\$ \$	0.01	\$	\$	0.02
Basic (loss) earnings per common share	\$ (0.03) \$	0.03	\$	0.11 \$	0.15
Diluted (loss) earnings per common share from					
continuing operations	\$ (0.02) \$	0.02	\$	0.11 \$	0.13
Diluted earnings per common share from					
discontinued operations	\$ \$	0.01	\$	\$	0.02
Diluted (loss) earnings per common share	\$ (0.03) \$	0.03	\$	0.11 \$	0.15

	For the Quarter Ended (a)					
		03/31/06	06/30/06		09/30/06 (b)	12/31/06
Total revenues, net	\$	161,502 \$	182,880	\$	166,346 \$	195,494
Operating income	\$	35,429 \$	47,066	\$	38,013 \$	38,149
Income from continuing operations	\$	7,151 \$	10,792	\$	20,495 \$	10,064
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	\$	1,094 \$	(508)	\$	(265)\$	3,380
Gain from sale of discontinued operations	\$	1,774 \$		\$	\$	
Net income	\$	10,018 \$	10,283	\$	20,231 \$	13,445
Basic earnings per common share from continuing						
operations	\$	0.08 \$	0.13	\$	0.24 \$	0.12
Basic earnings (loss) per common share from						
discontinued operations	\$	0.03 \$	(0.01)	\$	\$	0.04
Basic earnings per common share	\$	0.12 \$	0.12	\$	0.24 \$	0.16
Diluted earnings per common share from continuing						
operations	\$	0.08 \$	0.13	\$	0.23 \$	0.12
Diluted earnings (loss) per common share from						
discontinued operations	\$	0.03 \$	(0.01)	\$	\$	0.04
Diluted earnings per common share	\$	0.12 \$	0.12	\$	0.23 \$	0.16

<sup>(</sup>a) Results previously reported in our Form 10-Q s for 2006 and 2007 and our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006 have been restated to reflect discontinued operations related to the sale of WGGB-TV in Springfield, Massachusetts.

<sup>(</sup>b) Amounts here on have been adjusted pursuant to our SAB 108 disclosure included in Note 1, *Nature of Operations and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies*, to properly exclude a \$2.3 million tax adjustment related to the over-accrual of tax reserves that was reflected in

our cumulative effect adjustment of \$0.2 million to beginning retained earnings.

## SINCLAIR BROADCAST GROUP, INC.

#### INDEX TO SCHEDULES

Schedule II - Valuation and Qualifying Accounts

S-2

All schedules except the one listed above are omitted as not applicable or not required or the required information is included in the consolidated financial statements or notes thereto.

S-1

#### SCHEDULE II

# SINCLAIR BROADCAST GROUP, INC.

## VALUATION ALLOWANCES

# FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2005, 2006 AND 2007

(In thousands)

#### ALLOWANCE FOR DOUBTFUL ACCOUNTS

	Bala	ınce at			Charges to				
	begir	beginning of		ges to Cost	other			Bala	nce at end
Year	pε	period		Expenses	Accounts	<b>Deductions</b>		of period	
2005	\$	4,518	\$	1,157	\$	\$	1,079	\$	4,596
2006		4,596		1,205			1,816		3,985
2007		3.985		1.749			1.852		3.882