

COCONNECT INC
Form 10KSB/A
November 17, 2006

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-KSB/A

(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005

TRANSITION REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File No. **0-18958**

CoConnect, Inc.

(Name of small business issuer in its charter)

Nevada

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or
organization)

63-1205304

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

480 East 6400 South, Suite 230

Salt Lake City, Utah 84107-7595

Edgar Filing: COCONNECT INC - Form 10KSB/A

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

Issuer's telephone number, including area code: **(801) 262-5200**

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act: None

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

Common Stock, Par Value \$.001

Check whether the issuer is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act. Yes No

Note Checking the box above will not relieve any registrant required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act from their obligations under those Sections.

Check whether the issuer (1) filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act during the past 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Check if there is no disclosure of delinquent filers in response to Item 405 of Regulation S-B contained in this form, and no disclosure will be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-KSB or any amendment to this Form 10-KSB. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

State issuer's revenues for its most recent fiscal year:

\$-0-

Edgar Filing: COCONNECT INC - Form 10KSB/A

State the aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates computed by reference to the price at which the common equity was sold, or the average bid and asked price of such common equity, as of a specified date within the past 60 days. (See definition of affiliate in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.)

The aggregate market value of the issuer's common stock held by non-affiliates at May 16, 2006 is deemed to be \$5,779,457.

Note: If determining whether a person is an affiliate will involve an unreasonable effort and expense, the issuer may calculate the aggregate market value of the common equity held by non-affiliates on the basis of reasonable assumptions, if the assumptions are stated.

(ISSUERS INVOLVED IN BANKRUPTCY PROCEEDINGS DURING THE PAST FIVE YEARS)

Check whether the issuer has filed all documents and reports required to be filed by Section 12, 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act after the distribution of securities under a plan confirmed by a court. Yes No

(APPLICABLE ONLY TO CORPORATE REGISTRANTS)

State the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common equity, as of the latest practicable date: 62,576,388 shares of common stock, \$.001 par value issued and outstanding as of May 18, 2006.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

If the following documents are incorporated by reference, briefly describe them and identify the part of the Form 10-KSB (e.g., Part I, Part II, etc.) into which the document is incorporated: (1) any annual report to security holders; (2) any proxy or information statement; and (3) any prospectus filed pursuant to Rule 424(b) or (c) of the Securities Act of 1933 (" Securities Act "). The listed documents should be clearly described for identification purposes (e.g., annual report to security holders for fiscal year ended December 24, 1990).

Transitional Small Business Disclosure Format (Check one): Yes ; No

CoConnect, Inc.

Annual Report on Form 10-KSB

Table of Contents

| | <u>Page No.</u> |
|---|-----------------|
| Part I | |
| Item 1. Description of Business | 4 |
| Item 2. Description of Properties | 6 |
| Item 3. Legal Proceedings | 7 |
| Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders | 8 |
| Part II | |
| Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters | 9 |
| Item 6. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations | 10 |
| Item 7. Financial Statements | 12 |
| Item 8. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure | 12 |
| Item 8A. Controls and Procedures | 12 |
| Part III | |
| Item 9. Directors, Executive Officers, Promoters and Control Persons; Compliance with Section 16(b) of the Exchange Act | 13 |
| Item 10. Executive Compensation | 15 |
| Item 11. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management | 16 |
| Item 12. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions | 17 |
| Item 13. Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K | 17 |
| Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services | 18 |
| Signatures | 19 |

PART I

Item 1. Description of Business

Organization

CoConnect, Inc. (formerly known as Advanced Wireless Communications, Inc.) (the "Company") was incorporated in Alabama in December 1997 to take over the assets of related business involved in reorganizations through bankruptcies. In 1997, we acquired Mobile Limited Liability Company as part of the confirmation by the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Texas of a Plan of Reorganization of Mobile Limited Liability Company. We acquired all of the assets of Digital Wireless Systems ("DWSI") on August 6, 2000, as part of the consummation of DWSI's confirmed Plan of Reorganization under Chapter 11 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code. Lack of funding forced us to abandon plans to revive the operations of both companies.

In 2000, we acquired three operating subsidiaries, Daybreak Auto Recovery, Inc., Rap Group and Voltage Vehicles. In 2002, we rescinded these acquisitions, and in October 2004 cancelled the common shares that were to be issued for the acquisitions, which shares had been held in escrow. During 2002 and 2003, the Company had no operations other than to continue its efforts to liquidate certain telecommunications licenses, the only assets of the Company, in order to pay creditors who had judgments arising out of the bankruptcies.

In August of 2004, we moved our domicile to Nevada and changed our name to Advanced Wireless Communications, Inc.

On October 5, 2004, we signed a definitive agreement with Heritage Communications, Inc. ("Heritage") and acquired an exclusive license for the marketing and distribution of products over Heritage's proprietary high-speed wireless network.

On January 28, 2005, we executed a share exchange agreement with Heritage and its stockholders. The share exchange agreement closed on February 23, 2005 and superseded the exclusive license with Heritage by making Heritage our wholly owned subsidiary.

In February 2005, we changed our name to CoConnect, Inc.

On July 14, 2005, we rescinded the Share Exchange Agreement with Heritage due to material omissions and misrepresentations had been made by Heritage. As a result of the rescission, the Company cancelled the 30 million

shares of common stock previously issued to the shareholders of Heritage.

On December 21, 2005, we purchased through our newly formed wholly-owned subsidiary, Phoenix Asset Acquisition Corporation, selected assets of Phoenix Systems Corp. (Phoenix). The terms of the purchase include the issuance of 8 million shares of our common stock, 2 million of which are being held in escrow until certain performance measures are achieved; a \$50,000 cash payment and the assumption of approximately \$374,000 of Phoenix liabilities and approximately \$49,000 in real property leases. At December 31, 2005, the Phoenix acquisition was mutually rescinded. The financial statements have been stated as if the transaction never happened. The Company currently has possession of the stock certificates comprising the 8,000,000 shares issued in conjunction with the transaction and will submit them to the transfer agent for cancellation.

Products

Voice Over Internet Protocol (VoIP)

We are currently seeking and exploring VoIP technology. VoIP is the delivery of voice information in the language of the Internet, i.e., as digital packets instead of the current circuit protocols of the copper-based phone networks. In VoIP systems analog voice messages are digitized and transmitted as a stream of data (not sound) packets that are reassembled and converted back into a voice signal at their destination. VoIP allows telephony users to bypass long-distance carrier charges by transporting those data packets just like other Internet information.

We anticipate several calling plans will be available, allowing our customers to choose the plan that fits their calling needs. This service will be priced significantly below comparable services provided by local telephone companies. We are able to provide such prices due to the avoidance of most access charges and regulatory fees. VoIP service is determined by the FCC to be an Enhanced Service, and therefore not subject to such fees.

Sales and Marketing

We plan to sell our products and services through a combination of direct marketing sources such as television commercials, direct mail, radio advertising, press releases, billboards, trade shows, free trials, fundraisers, "tell a friend" referrals and "friends and family" incentives with national affiliates and value-added resellers.

Promotional Offers. We plan to offer free dial up Internet service at (54Kbps) speed to potential new customers for a trial period. We believe the exposure we receive from this free trial campaign will entice our customers to subscribe to our other products and services.

Radio and Television Advertising. We plan to run advertising spots with local radio and television stations and offer promotional discounts to heighten name recognition.

Direct Mail. We intend to mail direct marketing parcels to residential consumers within specific targeted neighborhoods in our future coverage areas. Our initial objective will be to educate, promote brand awareness and drive prospects to our sales-oriented website.

Door Hanger Program. We believe that our products and services lend themselves to neighborhood door hanger programs where advertising is delivered directly to the doorstep of each targeted household. The advertisement will accentuate the competitive advantage points to our system's signal integrity, speed, security, mobility and price point.

Government and Law Enforcement Agencies. Local government and law enforcement agencies within our future network coverage areas are one of the first industries we plan to target. We believe that these agencies may be extremely interested in our mobile high-speed wireless internet service as a means to improve interoffice and mobile communication throughout their jurisdictions.

Other Organizations. Management believes that our services can be sold as fund raisers for organizations such as schools, sports teams, church groups, library fund drives, etc.

Retail Partnerships. We intend to approach cellular phone retail outlets that market various wireless services to partner with us. We intend to produce a "Retail" package (box) for our VoIP product to be sold in various retail chains throughout the US. We have access to many such chains through our alternate sales channels.

Markets

Today's voice, video and data markets are characterized by rapid technological changes and evolving standards. In addition, these markets are highly competitive. The size of these markets is extremely large. Revenue generated today from the voice, video and data products now being used by customers exceeds \$500 billion. Our ability to provide a broadband network that is capable of delivering existing and emerging products positions us very well to penetrate

this market and compete even with larger service providers. This is especially true as technology drives more products toward convergence onto one network. During the next few years we will be one of the few companies capable of delivering a bundle of products on one broadband network.

Today's services providers must be able to offer all three services of voice, video and data to be competitive. We believe that cable companies will lose customers to satellite companies until they offer all three products to their customers. Telephone companies currently do not have a video solution. We also believe that they will lose customers to companies that can provide voice, video and data services. Our management believes that companies like ours that offer a bundle of voice, video and data services can enhance customer retention.

We expect to have success in retaining customers and building a significant revenue and customer base.

Market: VoIP

The market for voice service, which includes long distance, local and cellular service currently exceeds \$300 billion. VoIP service is considered a disruptive product. This means that VoIP replaces the traditional methods and technologies for providing voice service. VoIP in a traditional wired delivery method provides for an "any distance" (as opposed to local or long distance) product with more features, conveniences and mobility at half the price of today's services. New wireless VoIP phones currently being developed by telephone manufacturers will find a significant use on our wireless broadband network.

We expect companies that offer only VoIP services will have a difficult time in the long term competing with us if we are able to offer a broad choice of products. We view VoIP as maturing into a product offer that becomes a revenue opportunity to a core of other entertainment and video products.

Competition

Competition in the future may force us to lower product prices and add new products and features at lower prices, or we may otherwise be unable to introduce new products at higher prices. We cannot assure you that we will be able to compete successfully in this kind of price competitive environment, and lower prices and reduced demand for our products would reduce our ability to generate revenue.

Research and Development

The goal of our research and development activities is to continue the development and introduction of next-generation products for our customers that resolve the limitations of current VoIP products. Our efforts are also focused on increasing the functionality and reducing the cost of our current products.

Trademarks

We have no registered trademarks.

Proprietary Rights

CoConnect's future success and ability to compete are dependent, in part, upon our proprietary technology. We rely on proprietary technology, trade secrets, trademark and copyright law to protect our intellectual property. We have licenses to no U.S. patents issued by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. In addition, we cannot be sure that any patents will be issued pursuant to future patent applications or that patents issued to us will not be invalidated, circumvented, challenged or licensed to others. In addition, we cannot be sure that the rights granted under any such patents will provide us with competitive advantages or that any patents issued to us will be adequate to stop unauthorized third parties from copying our technology, designing around our patents or otherwise obtaining and using our products, designs or other information. In addition, we cannot be sure that others will not develop technologies that are similar or superior to our technology. Furthermore, we believe that factors such as the technological and creative skills of our personnel, new product developments, product enhancements and marketing activities are just as essential as the legal protection of proprietary rights to establishing and maintaining a competitive position.

In addition to proprietary technology, we rely on unpatented trade secrets and know-how and proprietary technological innovation and expertise, all of which are protected in part by confidentiality agreements with our employees and consultants and whenever possible, our suppliers. We cannot make any assurances that these

agreements will not be breached, that we will have adequate remedies for any breach, or that our unpatented proprietary intellectual property will not otherwise become known or independently discovered by competitors. We also cannot make any assurances that persons not bound by an invention assignment agreement will not develop relevant inventions.

Many participants in the VoIP products market have a significant number of patents and have frequently demonstrated a readiness to commence litigation based on allegations of patent and other intellectual property infringement. From time to time, we receive notices relating to alleged infringement. In some cases, we have not received subsequent communications after responding to the initial claim. In some cases, we have resolved the matters on commercially reasonable terms. However, we cannot be sure that future claims will be resolved on such terms and failure to resolve such claims on such terms could result in a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. We expect that companies will increasingly be subject to infringement claims as the number of products and competitors in our industry segment grows and the functionality of products in different industry segments overlaps. Responding to such claims, regardless of merit, could cause product shipment delays or require us to enter into royalty or licensing arrangements to settle such claims. Any such claims could also lead to time-consuming, protracted and costly litigation, which would require significant expenditures of time, capital and other resources by our management. Moreover, we cannot be sure that any necessary royalty or licensing agreement will be available or that, if available, such agreement could be obtained on commercially reasonable terms.

Personnel

As of December 31, 2005, we had 2 employees. None of our employees are represented by a union or subject to a collective bargaining agreement, and we consider our relations with our employees to be favorable.

Item 2. Description of Properties

The Company leases an office facility located at 480 East 6400 South, Suite 230, Salt Lake City, Utah 84107-7595. This property of approximately 3,275 square feet, houses the Company's headquarters and its administrative offices.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

The Company is currently involved in various lawsuits with Heritage related to the Share Exchange Agreement and the Rescission as both a plaintiff and a defendant. The lawsuits described below are in their initial stages and the outcomes of such are undeterminable at the present time.

On July 14, 2005, Heritage served the Company and several defendants making them parties to a lawsuit in the State of Utah, Third District Court, Civ. No. 05-091-1718. The lawsuit seeks damages for a purported rescission by the Company of the Share Exchange Agreement wherein the Company acquired Heritage. The suit also seeks from \$5,000,000 to \$15,000,000 in damages from the Company and several of its officers, directors and agents for an alleged failure to raise funds in the several months since Heritage was acquired in February 2005. During the past several months, the Company has raised and provided to Heritage over \$2,000,000 in stock offerings and loans, the bulk of which Heritage deployed into its operations however as previously mentioned the Company believes that approximately \$177,000 were embezzled by the principal officers of Heritage. We believe Heritage's claims are without merit and merely an attempt by the Heritage Parties to interfere with the Rescission of the Share Exchange Agreement.

Also on July 14, 2005, and in addition to the lawsuit filed by Heritage, the Heritage Parties, in their capacity as shareholders of the Company, filed and served several agents of the Company, who were also named in Case No. 05-091-1718, with a lawsuit seeking declaratory relief from the court that would legitimize the Heritage Parties shareholder attempted actions referenced herein. In this second lawsuit also filed in the State of Utah, Third District Court, case #05-091-2224, the Heritage Parties rely on a purported proxy in favor of David Thayne from Dean Becker, owner of 10,000,000 shares, or approximately 19% of our outstanding common stock, as a basis to claim that they control over 50% of our common shares. However, Dean Becker and the Company disputes that the proxy was ever consummated because, among other reasons, failure of consideration and no voting agreement pursuant to Nevada State Statute 78.355 (section 5) was agreed to or executed as required in the face of the proxy and by the Statute. In addition, Dean Becker gave notice to David Thayne of revocation of any proxy. Similar to the lawsuit against the Company, this lawsuit also alleges liability to the parties for failure to raise more money to fund Heritages operations. Our agents intend to answer the complaint and move to consolidate this action with Case No. 05-091-1718. Our agents also intend to take all available remedial actions against Brian Steffensen, Martin Tanner, David Thayne, Jerry Warnick, and Heritage for violations of state and federal securities acts.

Part of the Company's Answer and Counter Claim Complaint states that, the Company believes among other things, that Heritage was required to exhibit a substantial degree of development and functional operability of the mesh system and failed to perform. The Company also learned that David Thayne had purported to have technology which did not exist namely the a technology that purported to include among other things a wireless mesh network capable of moving data at rate up to 45 megabits. In addition, Heritage in the Share Exchange Agreement specifically warranted as set forth in section 4.15, titled "Taxes" that: "Heritage has filed all federal, state and local tax and information returns which are required to be filed by it and such returns are true and correct and that Heritage has paid all taxes, interest and penalties, if any, reflected in such tax returns or otherwise due and payable by it.". Heritage has material amounts of previously undisclosed and unpaid employee withholding taxes. According to Heritage management, Heritage's undisclosed and unpaid taxes appear to be in excess of several hundred thousand dollars.

Prior to their lawsuit, On June 23, 2005, Martin Tanner, the corporate secretary, resigned as corporate secretary in writing. On June 27, 2005, Jerry Warnick, member of the Board of Directors, Vice President and COO, resigned as a director and Officer in writing. On July 2, 2005, without notice to all shareholders as required by the laws of the State of Nevada (NRS 78.320(2)) and in direct violation of the adopted by-laws of the company, Brian Steffensen Attorney for Heritage, Jerry Warnick Former Officer and Director of CoConnect Martin Tanner Former Officer of CoConnect,, and David Thayne [President of Heritage], attempted to undertake a "Joint Action of Directors and Shareholders of CoConnect Without Meeting." in which they attempted to fire Tim Thayne and Robert Thele as directors, attempted to fire all officers of the Company and to appoint Jerry Warnick as the sole Officer and Director of the company, attempted to rescind all actions of the Board of Directors not specifically approved by Jerry Warnick and declare that Jerry Warnick is the only party entitled to sign checks.

On July 14, 2005, the above parties, again acting in violation of the bylaws of the corporation, attempted to ratify the issuance of 50,000,000 new shares of CoConnect without any stated consideration, ratified the actions of their prior illegal meeting of July 2, 2005, demanded that all personnel vacate the corporate offices, demanded that Tim Thayne discontinue acting as president of the corporation and attempted to terminate the services of the attorney for CoConnect. CoConnect has discovered that the proprietary hardware and technology claimed by Heritage as proprietary is available from another vendor at a lower cost and greater functionality than any hardware produced or created by Heritage at any time. Further the Heritage claims of 45 megabit data speeds on their purported mesh network does not exist.

The Company's Counter-Claim prays that judgment be rendered against Heritage for breach of contract in the amount of \$2,500,000. The Company has asked that the Court render judgment against Heritage and in favor of the Company as follows: 1) For an Order from the court, ratifying the rescission between the Company and Heritage; 2). For an Order divesting Heritage of: a. all claims to the Company's local telephone number; b. all claims to the Company's toll free telephone number; c. all claims to the internet domain name of CoConnect.com; and d. any claim to the FedEx account in the name of CoConnect; 3) For an Order that all funds found to be misappropriated by Heritage be ordered returned to the Company, with interest; 4) For judgment finding that Heritage has breached the contract between the parties; 5) For a judgment for damages of no less than \$2,500,000.00 for breach of contract; 6) For such other relief as the Court deems just and equitable in the circumstances; 7) For costs of court and reasonable attorneys fees, if applicable.

On July 19, 2005 the Company filed a Motion to Disqualify Plaintiffs Counsel in the Third District Court in Civil No. 050911718. The Company petitioned for the court to grant the motion based on several conflicts of interest with respect to Plaintiffs Counsel, Brian W. Steffensen.

The Company moved the court to consolidate the after filed case of 05-091-22243, with case number 05-091-1718 on the grounds of judicial economy and on the further grounds that many of the parties and issues in both cases are similar and arise from the same set of facts.

The Company is subject to various other claims and legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business, and management believes that the amount, if any, that may result from such claims will not have a material adverse effect on our financial statements.

On November 3, 2005, the court granted our Motion to Disqualify Counsel for Heritage Communications, Inc. in case #05-091-1718. As a result of the Court granting our Motion to Disqualify Mr. Steffensen the Court noted: While Mr. Steffensen attempts to downplay his role with respect to defendant CoConnect, it is clear that he was directly involved in issues that concerned both defendant CoConnect and Heritage Communications. Accordingly, the Court grants the defendants Motion to Disqualify.

On November 15, 2005, in a minute entry, the court reminded Mr. Steffensen that he has been disqualified as counsel for Heritage Communications, Inc. as of November 3, 2005 and that he may not represent Heritage Communications.

On December 14, 2005 CoConnect, Inc. filed a lawsuit in the Second District Court in Davis County for the State of Utah against David Thayne, Rebecca Thayne, Jerry Warnick, (former officer and director of CoConnect) and Martin Tanner, (former Secretary and Treasurer of CoConnect) for Embezzlement, Conversion, Breach of Fiduciary Duty, and Fraud.

Among other things CoConnect asserts that defendant Jerry Warnick, who was then the only signer on the only authorized bank account of CoConnect located at America First Credit Union in Salt Lake City Utah, and aided and

abetted by Martin Tanner, ultimately transferred over one hundred seventy-seven thousand dollars (\$177,000) (the embezzled funds) from CoConnect accounts to bank accounts not known to CoConnect, not accounted for to CoConnect and used to pay obligations not related to the operations of CoConnect, Inc. It is believed by plaintiff that the funds transferred to the unauthorized accounts of David and Rebecca Thayne were used to pay for personal vehicles driven by defendants, to make charitable contributions as tithing to defendants' congregations of The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints and for other illegal and unauthorized expenditures and other payables not related to CoConnect. It is believed by plaintiff that these funds were also used to pay expenditures and expenses of Heritage Internet Services, Inc., a defunct corporation controlled by David and Rebecca Thayne.

Due to the material and facts surrounding the lawsuit, CoConnect prays for the following: Plaintiff demands judgment of defendants, jointly and severally, for the sum of no less than one hundred seventy-seven dollars (\$177,000) for the tort of embezzlement, conversion, (as to defendants Tanner and Warnick) breach of fiduciary duty, punitive damages in the amount of \$1,700,000, (as to defendant David Thayne) false representation of presently existing material facts in the amount of \$2,400,000, for reasonable attorney's fees, for costs of court, for prejudgment interest; and for such other and further relief as the court deems just and proper in the circumstances.

Subsequent to the year end on May 1, 2006, Judge Timothy Hanson denied a Motion for Partial Summary Judgment brought by Martin Tanner, David Thayne, former director Jerry Warnick and Brian Steffenson, (the Heritage Parties). This Motion for Partial Summary Judgment relates to Heritage Communications, Inc. vs. CoConnect, Inc., Case #05-091-1718 filed in the State of Utah, Third District Court. The Court ruled in favor of CoConnect and denied the motion brought by the Heritage Parties as not being supported by sufficient weight of evidence to grant such a motion.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

The share exchange agreement discussed below was approved by a majority of the shareholders on February 23, 2005 by an action of the stockholders by written consent pursuant to Section 78.320 of the Nevada Revised Statutes.

(a) Acquisition of Heritage Communications

On February 24, 2005 (the "Closing" or the "Effective Time"), we acquired Heritage Communications, Inc. ("Heritage") pursuant to Share Exchange Agreement dated as of January 28, 2005, as amended as of February 24, 2005, by and among the Registrant and Heritage. Pursuant to the terms of the Share Exchange Agreement, we acquired Heritage through the purchase of all of Heritages outstanding common stock at a rate of 3 shares of the Registrant for each share of Heritage exchanged. The stockholders of Heritage received a total of 30,000,000 restricted shares (the "Exchange Shares") of our common stock. Upon consummation of the Share Exchange Agreement, Heritage became our wholly-owned subsidiary.

(b) Rescission of Agreement to Acquire Heritage Communications

Subsequent to the Closing, the Company determined that material omissions and misrepresentations had been made by Heritage. As a result of these omissions and misrepresentations, the Company rescinded the Share Exchange Agreement on July 14, 2005. As a result of the Rescission, the Company cancelled the 30 million shares of common stock previously issued to the shareholders of Heritage.

PART II

Item 5: Market for Registrant's Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters

(a) Market Information.

The common stock of the Company, \$.001 par value, is currently traded over the counter and is listed on the OTC Bulletin Board under the symbol "CNTI" The availability of historical trading prices of our common stock is limited, with periods of little or no trading activity. The following table sets forth the available approximate range of high and low closing prices for the common stock of the Company during the periods indicated. The quotations presented reflect inter-dealer prices, without retail markup, markdown, or commissions, and may not necessarily represent actual transactions in the common stock. The closing prices have been adjusted to give retroactive effect to our 10:1 reverse stock split completed in October 2004.

On May 16, 2006, the closing quotation for our common stock was \$0.18 per share. As reflected by the high and low prices on the foregoing table, the trading price of the common stock of the Company can be volatile with dramatic changes over short periods. The trading price may reflect imbalances in the supply and demand for shares of the Company, market reaction to perceived changes in the industry in which the Company sells products and services,

general economic conditions, and other factors. Investors are cautioned that the trading price of the common stock can change dramatically based on changing market perceptions that may be unrelated to the Company and its activities.

(b) Approximate number of equity security holders.

The approximate number of record holders of the Company's common stock as of May 18, 2006 was 4,702, which does not include shareholders whose stock is held through securities position listings.

(c) Dividends.

The Company did not declare or pay any cash dividends on its common stock during the past two fiscal years.

(d) Securities authorized for issuance under equity compensation plans.

We currently do not have any stock option or other equity compensation plans.

(e) Recent sales of unregistered securities.

During November 2004, the Company issued a total of 10,360,000 shares of its restricted common stock to two former presidents in payment of accrued compensation related obligations totaling \$410,000. In making these share issuances, the Company relied on the exemptions from the registration requirements of Section 5 provided by Section 4(2), Regulation D, and Regulation S.

During the year ended December 31, 2005, we issued and sold shares of our common stock to accredited investors for a total of \$1,245,000 in cash. We believe the transactions to be exempt under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, because they did not involve a public offering, and on the basis that each investor is an accredited investor as defined in Rule 501 of Regulation D. We believe that this sale of securities did not involve a public offering because we provided each of our investors with a private placement memorandum disclosing items set out in Rule 501 and 506 of Regulation D. On this basis, we believe the securities were sold subject to a safe-harbor afforded by Regulation D. The shares sold were restricted securities as defined in Rule 144 (a)(3). Further, each common stock certificate issued in connection with this private offering bears a legend providing, in substance, that the securities have been acquired for investment only and may not be sold, transferred or assigned in the absence of an effective registration statement or opinion of our counsel that registration is not required under the Securities Act of 1933.

The Company issued a convertible promissory note on March 20, 2005 in exchange for \$50,000. The note was convertible into common stock of the Company at the rate of \$0.50 per share at the option of the note holder. The note holder converted the entire balance into 100,000 shares of common stock on April 7, 2005.

During November and December of 2005, the Company issued 1,350,000 shares of its previously un-issued common stock to former officers and directors of the Company for services rendered valued. The shares were valued at the trading price (market value) of the stock on the day the shares were authorized for issuance which totaled \$655,000 or an average price of \$0.49 per share.

During December of 2005, the Company issued 6,013,133 shares of its common stock for services.

(f) Purchases of equity securities by the small business issuer and affiliated purchasers.

During the year ended December 31, 2005, neither the Company nor any of its affiliates purchased any equity securities of the Company or on behalf of the Company.

Item 6. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Background

As discussed in Note 1 to the Financial Statements, the Company had no operations during 2002, 2003 and the first three quarters of 2004 other than the continuation of efforts to liquidate its telecommunications licenses, the only assets of the Company, in order to pay creditors who had judgments arising out of the bankruptcy proceedings and other Company obligations. The acquisitions of three operating subsidiaries in 2000 previously reported in the Company's filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission were rescinded.

Results of Operations

During the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, the Company had no revenues from operations.

Total costs and expenses of \$2,078,059 for the year ended December 31, 2005 consisted of \$321,165 in consulting expenses, \$957,337 in advances made to Heritage which were written off as uncollectible, and \$1,078,059 in general and administrative expenses. The general and administrative expenses were comprised of overhead and operating expenses related to Heritage and legal expenses related to the Heritage litigation. The Company recorded a loss of \$361,300 for the year ended December 31, 2005 on its intangible assets which were acquired from Heritage due to impairment of the total amount.

In June 2004, the Company entered into an agreement to sell for \$120,000 the remaining telecommunications licenses that survived the bankruptcy proceedings of 2000. Of the proceeds, \$15,000 was paid directly to a former president of the Company as a reduction of accrued compensation payable, with the balance paid directly to creditors with judgments payable or trade accounts payable. All judgments payable from the bankruptcy proceedings were paid in full. No gain or loss was recorded in this transaction.

Total costs and expenses of \$258,000 for the year ended December 31, 2004 consisted of \$72,000 in executive compensation accrued pursuant to employment agreements with two former presidents of the Company, \$26,000 in legal fees incurred in the Company's efforts to liquidate its telecommunications licenses and satisfy the judgments arising out of bankruptcy proceedings, and \$160,000 in other general and administrative expenses incurred in preparation for the acquisition of Heritage and further expansion of Company activities.

The Company accrued interest expense of \$36,097 and \$21,000 on the notes payable to a former director and a former president in the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

No provision for income taxes has been recorded in the accompanying financial statements due to the net losses of the Company. Because of the bankruptcy proceedings and significant changes in ownership of its common stock, the Company has not determined the amount of net operating loss carryforwards that may be available to offset future taxable income of the Company. If there have been substantial changes in the Company's ownership, as defined in the Internal Revenue Code and related Regulations, there may be substantial annual limitations of the amount of net operating loss carryforwards, which could be utilized by the Company.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

At December 31, 2005, the Company had no current assets and current liabilities of \$798,657, resulting in a working capital deficiency of \$798,657. Through payment of accrued compensation obligations and notes payable with its common stock and through the sale of its common stock in the private placement through December 31, 2005, the Company was able to report total stockholders' deficit of \$790,832 at December 31, 2005.

As of December 31, 2005, the Company's only asset consisted of a security deposit in the amount of \$7,825. The Company's liabilities were \$798,657 consisting of \$1,811 in a bank overdraft, \$44,251 in accounts payable, \$167,102 in accrued interest payable, \$2,600 in accrued expenses, \$270,893 in notes payable to related parties, and \$312,000 in other notes payable.

During the year December 31, 2005 the Company sold 1,104,500 shares of its common stock in private placements with accredited investors, receiving gross proceeds of \$1,245,000. Offering costs related to the private placement incurred through December 31, 2005 were \$114,445, which was recorded as a reduction of additional paid-in capital.

The Company used the proceeds for working capital and general corporate purposes.

During the year ended December 31, 2005, the Company used net cash of \$659,556 in operating activities.

During the year ended December 31, 2005, the Company used net cash of \$937,337 in investing activities which resulted from the determination that the advances made to Heritage were uncollectible.

During the year ended December 31, 2005, net cash provided by financing activities was \$1,590,259, consisting of \$1,811 in a bank overdraft, \$1,130,555 in net proceeds from the sale of common stock in private placements, \$245,893 in net proceeds from related party notes, and \$212,000 in proceeds from other notes.

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. Because of recurring operating losses and the excess of current liabilities over current assets, there is substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. The Company's continuation as a going concern is dependent on attaining profitable operations through business acquisitions, restructuring its financial arrangements, and obtaining additional outside financing as needed.

Forward-Looking Statements

The Company, from time to time, may publish forward-looking statements relating to such matters as anticipated financial performance, business prospects, technological development, new products, research and development activities and similar matters. The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 provides a safe harbor for forward-looking statements. In order to comply with the terms of the safe harbor, the Company notes that a variety of factors could cause the Company's actual results and experience to differ materially from the anticipated results or other expectations expressed in any of the Company's forward-looking statements. The risks and uncertainties that may affect the operations, performance, development and results of the Company's business include, but are not limited to, the following: (a) the failure to obtain additional borrowed and/or equity capital on favorable terms for acquisitions and expansion; (b) adverse changes in federal and state laws, or other matters affecting the Company's business; (c) the demand for the Company's products and services; and (d) other risks detailed in the Company's Securities and Exchange Commission filings.

This Form 10-KSB contains and incorporates by reference certain "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act and Section 21E of the Exchange Act with respect to results of operations and businesses of the Company. All statements, other than statements of historical facts, included in this Form 10-QKB, including those regarding market trends, the Company's financial position, business strategy, projected costs, and plans and objectives of management for future operations, are forward-looking statements. In general, such statements are identified by the use of forward-looking words or phrases including, but not limited to, "intended, will, should, may, expect, anticipate, estimates, projects" or the negative thereof or variations thereon or similar terminology.

Forward-looking statements are based on the Company's current expectations. Although the Company believes that the expectations reflected in such forward-looking statements are reasonable, there can be no assurance that such expectations will prove to be correct. Because forward-looking statements involve risk and uncertainty, the Company's actual results could differ materially. Important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from the Company's expectations are disclosed hereunder and elsewhere in this Form 10-KSB. These forward-looking statements represent the Company's judgment as of the date of this Form 10-KSB. All subsequent written and oral forward-looking statements attributable to the Company are expressly qualified in their entirety by the Cautionary Statements. The Company disclaims, however, any intent or obligation to update its forward-looking statements.

Item 7. Financial Statements

The financial statements of the Company required by this Item are contained in a separate section of this report beginning after the signature page. See "Index to Financial Statements" on Page F-1 for the consolidated financial statements of the Company.

Item 8. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

In January 2005, the Company engaged Chisholm, Bierwolf & Nilson, LLC, Certified Public Accountants, as its independent registered accountants to audit its financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2003 and 2004. No services were rendered by other independent accountants during these years.

In April, 2006, Chisholm, Bierwolf & Nilson, LLC terminated its services for the Company due to a disagreement in the accounting treatment of assets and liabilities associated with the pending Heritage litigation and due to the filing of the quarterly report for the period ended September 30, 2005 without auditor review.

In April, 2006, the Company engaged Pollard-Kelley Auditing Services, Inc. as its independent registered public accounting firm to audit its financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2005. Inasmuch as the 10-QSB for the period ended September 30, 2005 has been deemed unreliable, Pollard-Kelley has agreed to assist the Company with the review and filing of an amended 10-QSB for the period.

Item 8A. Controls and Procedures

(A) Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures

An evaluation was carried out under the supervision of the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act) as of the end of the period covered by this report. The Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the disclosure controls and procedures were deficient.

Because of the inactivity of the Company and lack of operations during the years ended December 31, 2001, 2002, 2003 and portions of the year ended December 31, 2004, the Company did not maintain formal financial books and records. The

financial books and records of the Company for these periods were subsequently compiled from documents and records obtained from various sources, including the former presidents of the Company.

During the latter part of 2004 and to the present, the Company has implemented a full accounting system, and continues to implement procedures and controls to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in reports that the Company files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the SEC.

(B) Changes in internal controls

Other than the matter discussed above, during the period covered by this report, there were no significant changes in the Company's internal controls over financial reporting that have materially affected or are reasonably likely to materially affect the Company's internal controls over financial reporting.

PART III

Item 9. Directors, Executive Officers, Promoters and Control Persons; Compliance with Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act

Our current management team consists of the following individuals. In the next 12 months other management positions will be filled as additional funding is raised, and as deemed necessary by the board of directors. The board of directors presently consists of three members. Each of our board members has a vote on all matters properly before the board. Each board member has a single vote and no board member possesses veto power in his capacity as a board member.

Officers:

| <u>Name</u> | <u>Age</u> | <u>Position</u> |
|--------------------------|------------|-------------------------|
| Robert B. Thele | 65 | Chief Executive Officer |
| Richard W. Ferguson, III | 44 | President |
| Dean H. Becker | 52 | Secretary and Treasurer |

Board of Directors:

| <u>Name</u> | <u>Age</u> | <u>Position</u> |
|--------------------------|------------|------------------------------------|
| Robert B. Thele | 65 | Chairman of the Board of Directors |
| Richard W. Ferguson, III | 44 | Member of the Board of Directors |
| L.J. Eikov | 36 | Member of the Board of Directors |

Robert B. Thele, Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer, age 65, is a business consultant specializing in acquisitions, mergers, start-ups and corporate re-structuring. Mr. Thele served as president and CEO of American Sports Product Group between 1996 and 2001. During his tenure at American Sports Product Group, the company's gross sales increased from \$10 million to over \$200 million dollars in five years. Prior to his tenure at American Sports Product Group, Mr. Thele served as the president and CEO of Covey Leadership Center wherein he was awarded the prestigious Fortune Magazines 1993' Entrepreneur of the Year' Award. During his eight-year tenure as president and CEO at Covey Leadership Center, it increased sales from \$1 million to over \$ 80 million, and expanded the business to 36 countries.

Richard W. Ferguson, III, President and Director, age 44, his principal occupation for the past eleven years has involved providing services to public and private companies in the areas of corporate restructuring and reorganizations, mergers and funding as an independent contractor. Mr. Ferguson is internationally recognized as an expert in the field of direct marketing technology and consumer electronics. He brings an unparalleled level of contacts, suppliers, industry contacts, and management experience. Formerly Mr. Ferguson was an architect specializing in large commercial, institutional and government projects throughout the Eastern United States with clients ranging from Regional Hospital Authorities, The Department of Defense to United States Postal Service and The University of Tennessee. Mr. Ferguson received a Bachelors of Science Degree in Architecture and Masters Degree in Business Administration.

Dean H. Becker, Secretary and Treasurer, age 52, is currently a director in a number of publicly held corporations. He was a practicing attorney from 1979 through 2001 when he resigned from the active practice of law and devoted his time to business matters such as COO of North American Diabetes Systems specializing in treating diabetics; vice-president of Dazzling Bright Marketing, Inc. involved with building dental practices; and president of MedxLink which, subsequent to his departure, merged with Particle Drilling Technologies, a developer of a patented particle impact drilling technology for use in oil and gas exploration, in January 2005.

L.J. Eikov, Director, age 36, has over 15 years of leadership experience with a unique blend of strategic, business and technological management experiences across the telecommunications, Internet and consumer electronics industries within entrepreneurial and venture-based private and public corporations. He brings exceptional market insight and knowledge of the wireless, telecommunications, Internet and computer industries having done business in over 27 countries including areas in Europe, Africa, Latin America and Australian countries.

Prior Management

During the year ended December 31, 2005 several of our officers and directors resigned. We are currently involved in litigation against Mr. Warnick as further discussed above in Part I. Item. 3. Legal Proceedings.

Tim Thayne, president, Chief Executive Officer and member of the board of directors, age 38, began his career in technology in 1986. He has extensive experience with database applications and software development including commercial Web-based applications. With Dell Computer, Mr. Thayne completed a major transition of combining two Sales Configuration Management Systems into one. Mr. Thayne is currently responsible for the development management of systems.

Joseph P. Leverich, Chief Financial Officer, age 57, is the president of The Leverich Group, a CPA firm located in Salt Lake City, Utah that services primarily local, privately held businesses. Mr. Leverich manages and supervises audits, reviews and compilations for over one hundred clients and serves as a consultant on management issues and financial matters. Mr. Leverich also performs forensic and investigative auditing services for corporate entities. Mr. Leverich serves as an advisor for corporate merger and restructuring. Mr. Leverich received a B.S. in accounting from the University of Utah.

Jerry Warnick, Executive Vice President, Chief Operating Officer and member of the board of directors, age 55, has over 25 successful years selling and marketing in the telecommunications industry. While selling for and as a Branch Manager for Centel Corp. of Phoenix, Arizona, Jerry sold large Voice/Data Networks to Arizona Public Service (Palo Verde Nuclear Generating Station), McDonnell Douglas Helicopter, the Arizona Department of Corrections, Hughes Aircraft, and many others. As a Branch Director for MCI, Jerry managed over 50 Sales People in Arizona and New Mexico accounting for over \$95 Million in annual revenues. Jerry has held senior management positions with MCI, Cable Wireless, Cleartel, LCI, and Qwest. He has recently managed an Alternate Channel program with over 700 agent companies, distributing prepaid calling products (including Calling Cards, Prepaid Cellular, Local Telephone Service, and Prepaid Internet) and services to over 30,000 retail stores.

Communications Between Shareholders and the Board of Directors

Our board of directors has not adopted a formal procedure that shareholders must follow to send communications directly to it. The board of directors does receive communication from shareholders, from time to time, and addresses those communications as appropriate. Shareholders can send communication to the board of directors in writing to:

CoConnect, Inc.

480 East 6400 South, Suite 230

Salt Lake City, Utah 84107-7595

Attention of Board of Directors

We did not hold an annual meeting of shareholders during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005.

Committees of the Board of Directors

The three members of our board of directors, each of whom are not deemed independent, currently serve as the Audit Committee, Compensation Committee and Nominating Committee of the Board.

The Audit Committee reviews internal accounting and financial practices and controls, as well as all services performed by the Company's independent auditors, including recommending the selection of the independent auditors.

None of our board committees has developed a written charter.

Code of Ethics

We have recently adopted a Code of Ethics and Business Conduct authorizing the establishment of a committee to ensure that our disclosure controls and procedures remain effective. Our Code also defines the standard of conduct expected by our officers, directors and employees. The Code is filed as an exhibit to this Form 10-KSB.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act) requires our executive officers and directors and persons who own more than ten percent of our common stock to file reports of ownership and changes in ownership with the SEC. Such executive officers, directors and greater than ten percent stockholders are also required by SEC rules to furnish us with copies of all Section 16(a) forms they file. Based on information supplied to the Company and filings made with the SEC, the Company believes that Section 16(a) filing requirements applicable to its Directors, officers, and greater than ten percent beneficial owners were not complied with. We expect that within the coming weeks that our Directors, officers, and greater than ten percent beneficial owners will file Form 3 s necessary to fully comply with Section 16(a) filing requirements applicable to each of them.

Item 10. Executive Compensation

Set forth below is information concerning the compensation paid for services in all capacities to our former President and Executive Officer (the "Named Executive Officer") for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003. No other officers were paid in excess of \$100,000 during the year ended December 31, 2005.

| <u>Name and Position</u> | <u>Year</u> | <u>Annual Compensation</u> | | | <u>Long-Term Compensation</u> | | |
|--------------------------|-------------|----------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------|
| | | <u>Salary</u> | <u>Bonus</u> | <u>Other</u> | <u>Restricted Stock Awards</u> | <u>Securities Underlying Options</u> | <u>Other</u> |
| Robert Thele | 2005 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | - | 1,000,000 | \$ - |
| Chairman, CEO | 2004 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | - | - | \$ - |
| | 2003 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | - | - | \$ - |
| L.J. Eikov | 2005 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | 1,250,000 | - | \$ - |
| Director, | 2004 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | - | - | \$ - |
| Former CEO | 2003 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | - | - | \$ - |
| Dean H. Becker | 2005 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | - | - | \$ - |
| Secretary, | 2004 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | 10,000,000 | - | \$ - |
| Treasurer | 2003 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | - | - | \$ - |
| Stanley F. Wilson | 2005 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | - | - | \$ - |
| Former Officer | 2004 | \$68,699 | \$ - | \$ - | - | - | \$ - |
| | 2003 | \$85,000 | \$ - | \$ - | - | - | \$ - |

Option/SAR Grants in Fiscal Year 2005

On December 9, 2005, we granted stock options to the following officers and directors:

Robert Thele

1,000,000 shares

The option exercise price is to be within the parameters of the United States Tax Code, and the options are to be exercised no later than December 9, 2010.

Item 11. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management.

The following table presents information about the beneficial ownership of our share of common stock as of May 18, 2006 by:

- each person or entity who is known by us to own beneficially more than 5% of the outstanding shares of our share of common stock;
- each of our directors and each of our named executive officers and all directors and executive officers as a group.

Beneficial ownership is determined in accordance with the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission and generally includes voting or investment power with respect to securities, subject to community property laws, where applicable. The percentage of beneficial ownership is based on a total of 62,576,388 shares of common stock outstanding as of May 18, 2006.

| Name and Address of Beneficial Owner | Number of Shares Beneficially Owned | Percentage of Shares Outstanding |
|--|--|-------------------------------------|
| David Thayne (1) 7035 Towncrest Dr. Salt Lake City, UT 84121 | 9,282,750 | 14.83% |
| Tim Thayne (2) 148 Steep Mountain Dr. Draper, UT 84020 | 9,162,220 | 14.64% |
| Ron Glover (3) 1124 W. South Jordan Pkwy, Suite C | 5,135,000 | 8.21% |

South Jordan, UT 84095

| | | |
|----------------|-----------|-------|
| Roger Lund (4) | 4,705,000 | 7.52% |
|----------------|-----------|-------|

2551 E Robidoux

Sandy, UT 84093

| | | |
|--------------------|-----------|-------|
| Dean H. Becker (5) | 2,841,792 | 4.54% |
|--------------------|-----------|-------|

480 E. 6400 South, Suite 230

Salt Lake City, UT 84107

| | | |
|------------------------------|-----------|-------|
| Richard W. Ferguson, III (6) | 2,500,000 | 4.00% |
|------------------------------|-----------|-------|

480 E. 6400 South, Suite 230

Salt Lake City, UT 84107

| | | |
|-------------------|-----------|-------|
| Jerry Warnick (7) | 1,500,000 | 2.40% |
|-------------------|-----------|-------|

1172 North 3050 East

Layton, UT 84040

| | | |
|----------------|---------|-------|
| L.J. Eikov (8) | 600,000 | 0.96% |
|----------------|---------|-------|

480 E. 6400 South, Suite 230

Salt Lake City, UT 84107

| | | |
|--|-----------|-------|
| All current directors and executive officers as a group (3 persons) (9) | 5,941,792 | 9.50% |
|--|-----------|-------|

(1) David Thayne, through Alaska Dreams, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company, owns 7,782,750 shares of our common stock and owns an additional 1,500,000 shares of our common stock in his name. Mr. Thayne was president and chief executive officer of Heritage, but has never held a management position in CoConnect.

(2) Tim Thayne, through Alaska Dreams, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company, owns 7,782,750 shares of our common stock and owns an additional 1,379,470 shares of our common stock in his name. Tim Thayne was our president and chief executive officer and a member of our board of directors until October 10, 2005.

(3) Ron Glover, through US Consultants, Inc., owns 3,485,000 shares of our common stock and owns an additional 1,650,000 shares of our common stock in his name.

(4) Roger Lund, through Zylo, Inc. owns 3,205,000 shares of our common stock and owns an additional 1,500,000 shares of our common stock in his name.

(5) Dean H. Becker is our secretary/treasurer and a former member of our board of directors.

(6) Richard W. Ferguson is our president and a member of our board of directors.

(7) Jerry Warnick was our chief operating officer, executive vice president and a member of our board of directors until June 27, 2005.

(8) L.J. Eikov is a member of our board of directors and our former chief executive officer.

(9) Robert B. Thele, the chairman of our board of directors and our chief executive officer does not currently own any shares of our common stock.

Item 12. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions.

None.

Item 13. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules, and Reports on Form 8-K.

a. Exhibits

| Exhibit # | Title | Location |
|------------------|--|-----------------|
| 3.1 | Articles of Incorporation | * |
| 3.2 | Bylaws | * |
| 14 | Code of Ethics | * |
| 31.1 | Certification of the Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 | Attached |
| 31.2 | Certification of the Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 | Attached |

of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

| | | |
|------|---|----------|
| 32.1 | Certification of the Principal Executive Officer pursuant to U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 | Attached |
| 32.2 | Certification of the Principal Financial Officer pursuant to U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 | Attached |

* Previously filed.

b. Reports on Form 8-K

| Date | Form | Items Reported |
|-------------|-------------|-----------------------|
| 07-07-05 | 8-K | 4.02 |
| 07-15-05 | 8-K | 1.02 |
| 07-21-05 | 8-K | 8.01 |
| 07-25-05 | 8-K | 5.01 |
| 07-25-05 | 8-K/A | 5.02 |
| 07-29-05 | 8-K | 5.02 |
| 09-07-05 | 8-K | 8.01 |
| 09-07-05 | 8-K | 8.01 |
| 09-19-05 | 8-K | 8.01 |
| 10-14-05 | 8-K/A | 4.02 |
| 10-14-05 | 8-K | 5.02, 9.01 |
| 11-21-05 | 8-K | 8.01 |
| 12-19-05 | 8-K | 8.01 |
| 12-27-05 | 8-K | 2.01 |

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

Audit Fee

The aggregate fees billed for each of the last two fiscal years for professional services rendered by the principal accountant for the audit of our annual financial statement and review of financial statements included in our 10-QSB reports and services normally provided by the accountant in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements were \$1,903 for fiscal year ended 2005 and \$23,095 for fiscal year ended 2004.

Audit-Related Fees

The aggregate fees billed in each of the last two fiscal years for assurance and related services by the principal accountant that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of our financial statements that are not reported above were \$-0- for fiscal years ended 2004 and 2005.

Tax Fees

The aggregate fees billed in each of the last two fiscal years for professional services rendered by the principal accountant for tax compliance, tax advice, and tax planning were approximately \$-0- for fiscal year ended 2005 and consisted of tax compliance services and \$-0- for fiscal year ended 2004 and consisted of tax compliance services.

All Other Fees

There were no other aggregate fees billed in either of the last two fiscal years for products and services provided by the principal accountant, other than the services reported above.

Our audit committee which consists of our board of directors will evaluate and approve in advance, the scope and cost of the engagement of an auditor before the auditor renders audit and non-audit services. We do not rely on pre-approval policies and procedures.

SIGNATURES

In accordance with Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act, the registrant caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

COCONNECT, INC.

Date: November 16, 2006

By: /s/ Richard Ferguson

Richard Ferguson

Chief Executive Officer

Date: November 16, 2006

By: /s/ Dean Becker

Dean Becker

Chief Financial Officer

In accordance with the Exchange Act, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Date: November 16, 2006

By: /s/ Robert Thele

Robert Thele

Director

Date: November 16, 2006

By: /s/ Richard Ferguson

Richard Ferguson

Director

Date: November 16, 2006

By: /s/ Dean Becker

Dean Becker

Director

COCONNECT, INC.

Financial Statements for the Years
Ended December 31, 2005 and 2004
and Report of Independent Registered
Public Accounting Firm

F-1

COCONNECT, INC.

CONTENTS

| | | |
|---|------|-----|
| Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm | 2005 | F-2 |
| Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm | 2004 | F-3 |
| Balance Sheet | | F-4 |
| Statements of Operations | | F-5 |
| Statements of Stockholders' Equity (Deficit) | | F-6 |
| Statements of Cash Flows | | F-7 |
| Notes to Financial Statements | | F-8 |

Pollard-Kelley Auditing Services, Inc.

Auditing Services

3250 West Market St, Suite 307, Fairlawn, OH 44333 330-836-2558

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Board of Directors

CoConnect, Inc.

Salt Lake City, Utah

We have audited the accompanying balance sheets of CoConnect, Inc. as of December 31, 2005 and the related statements of operations, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for the year ended December 31, 2005. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the PCAOB (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, audits of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audit included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of CoConnect, Inc. as of December 31, 2005, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the year ended December 31, 2005 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 2 to the financial statements, the Company has negative working capital, negative cash flows from operations and recurring operating losses which raises substantial doubt about its ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans in regard to these matters are also described in Note 2. These financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

/s/ Pollard-Kelley Auditing Services, Inc.

Pollard-Kelley Auditing Services, Inc.

Fairlawn, Ohio

May 17, 2006

Chisolm

Bierwolf &

533 West 2600 South, Suite 25

Nilson, LLC

Bountiful, Utah 84010

Phone: (801) 292-8756

Certified Public Accountants

Fax: (801) 292-8809

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders

CoConnect, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying statements of operations, stockholders' equity (deficit) and cash flows of CoConnect, Inc. for the year ended December 31, 2004. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the Standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board of the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company has not determined that it is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal controls over financial reporting. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of CoConnect, Inc. as of December 31, 2004, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the year ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 2 to the financial statements, the Company has suffered recurring losses and has a net working capital deficiency. Those conditions raise substantial doubt about its ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans in regard to these matters are also described in Note 2. These financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

/s/ Chisolm, Bierwolf & Nilson LLC

Chisolm, Bierwolf & Nilson, LLC

Bountiful, Utah

April 4, 2005

F-4

CoConnect, Inc.

Balance Sheet

December 31, 2005

ASSETS

CURRENT ASSETS

| | | |
|---------------------------|----|---|
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | - |
| Total Current Assets | | - |

OTHER ASSETS

| | |
|----------------------------|-------|
| Deposits | 7,825 |
| Notes receivable (Note 4) | - |
| Intangible assets (Note 2) | - |
| Total Other Assets | 7,825 |

| | | |
|--------------|----|-------|
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$ | 7,825 |
|--------------|----|-------|

LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

CURRENT LIABILITIES

| | | |
|--|----|---------|
| Bank overdraft (Note 2) | \$ | 1,811 |
| Accounts payable | | 44,251 |
| Accrued interest payable (Notes 3 and 5) | | 167,102 |
| Accrued expenses | | 2,600 |
| Notes payable - related parties (Note 3) | | 270,893 |
| Notes payable (Note 5) | | 312,000 |
| Total Current Liabilities | | 798,657 |

COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Note 9)

STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

Edgar Filing: COCONNECT INC - Form 10KSB/A

| | |
|--|---------------|
| Common stock, par value \$0.001 per share; 150,000,000 shares authorized; 53,615,388 shares issued and outstanding | 53,615 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 9,520,569 |
| Accumulated deficit | (10,365,016) |
| Total Stockholders' Equity | (790,832) |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | \$ 7,825 |

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

F-5

CoConnect, Inc.
Statements of Operations

| | For the Years Ended December 31, | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--------------|
| | 2005 | 2004 |
| REVENUES | \$ - | \$ - |
| OPERATING EXPENSES | | |
| Consulting expenses | 321,165 | - |
| Bad debt expense (Note 4) | 957,337 | - |
| General and administrative | 1,078,059 | 258,000 |
| Total Operating Expenses | 2,356,561 | 258,000 |
| NET OPERATING LOSS | (2,356,561) | (258,000) |
| OTHER EXPENSES | | |
| Loss on impairment of intangible assets (Note 2) | (361,300) | - |
| Interest expense | (36,097) | (21,000) |
| Total Other Expenses | (397,397) | (21,000) |
| NET LOSS BEFORE INCOME TAXES | (2,753,958) | (279,000) |
| INCOME TAX EXPENSE | - | - |
| NET LOSS | \$ (2,753,958) | \$ (279,000) |
| BASIC LOSS PER COMMON SHARE | \$ (0.17) | \$ (0.07) |
| WEIGHTED AVERAGE NUMBER OF COMMON SHARES OUTSTANDING | 16,177,714 | 4,287,458 |

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

F-6

CoConnect, Inc.

Statements of Stockholders' Equity

| | Common Stock | | Additional | Accumulated |
|--|--------------|----------|--------------------|----------------|
| | Shares | Amount | Paid-In Capital | Deficit |
| Balance, December 31, 2003 | 4,062,845 | \$ 4,063 | \$ 6,699,937 | \$ (7,332,058) |
| Shares issued at \$0.94 per share in payment of note payable, accrued interest, and accrued compensation | 360,000 | 360 | 338,040 | - |
| Shares issued at \$0.0072 per share in payment of accrued compensation | 10,000,000 | 10,000 | 62,000 | - |
| Shares issued for cash at \$1.00 per share in a private placement | 625,000 | 625 | 624,375 | - |
| Private placement stock issuance costs | - | - | (36,784) | - |
| Rounding of fractional shares in stock split | (90) | - | - | - |
| Net loss for the year ended December 31, 2004 | - | - | - | (279,000) |
| Balance, December 31, 2004 | 15,047,755 | 15,048 | 7,687,568 | (7,611,058) |
| Shares issued for cash at \$1.00 per share in a private placement | 823,500 | 823 | 822,677 | - |

Edgar Filing: COCONNECT INC - Form 10KSB/A

| | | | | |
|---|------------|-----------|--------------|-----------------|
| Shares issued for cash at \$1.50 per share in a private placement | 281,000 | 281 | 421,219 | - |
| Private placement stock issuance costs | - | - | (114,445) | - |
| Shares issued at \$0.50 per share in payment of note payable | 100,000 | 100 | 49,900 | - |
| Shares issued for services rendered at an average price of \$0.49 per share | 1,350,000 | 1,350 | 653,650 | |
| Shares issued for services | 6,013,133 | 6,013 | | |
| Shares issued and in dispute | 30,000,000 | 30,000 | | |
| Net loss for the year ended December 31, 2005 | - | - | - | (2,753,958) |
| Balance, December 31, 2005 | 53,615,388 | \$ 53,615 | \$ 9,520,569 | \$ (10,365,016) |

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

F-7

CoConnect, Inc.
Statements of Cash Flows

| | For the Years Ended December 31, | |
|--|-------------------------------------|--------------|
| | 2005 | 2004 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES: | | |
| Net loss | \$ (2,753,958) | \$ (279,000) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities: | | |
| Common stock issued for services rendered | 691,013 | - |
| Loss on impairment of intangible assets | 361,300 | - |
| Bad debt expense | 957,337 | - |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | |
| Prepays, advances and deposits | 14,650 | (23,000) |
| Accounts payable | 40,848 | (100,366) |
| Accrued interest and expenses | 29,254 | 64,000 |
| Net Cash Used in Operating Activities | (659,556) | (338,366) |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES: | | |
| Advances made on notes receivable | (937,337) | (20,000) |
| Proceeds from the sale of intangible assets | - | 120,000 |
| Increase in intangible assets | - | (361,000) |
| Net Cash Provided (Used) by Investing Activities | (937,337) | (261,000) |
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES: | | |
| Increase in bank overdraft | 1,811 | - |
| Common stock issued for cash | 1,245,000 | 625,000 |
| Stock offering costs | (114,445) | (19,000) |
| Proceeds from notes payable - related parties | 265,542 | - |

Edgar Filing: COCONNECT INC - Form 10KSB/A

| | | |
|---|-----------|----------|
| Payments on notes payable - related parties | (19,649) | - |
| Proceeds received on notes payable | 212,000 | - |
| Net Cash Provided by Financing Activities | 1,590,259 | 606,000 |
| NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH | (6,634) | 6,634 |
| CASH AT BEGINNING OF YEAR | 6,634 | - |
| CASH AT END OF YEAR | \$ - | \$ 6,634 |

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

F-8

CoConnect, Inc.
 Statements of Cash Flows (Continued)

| | For the Years Ended December 31, | |
|---|-------------------------------------|------------|
| | 2005 | 2004 |
| SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW INFORMATION | | |
| CASH PAID FOR: | | |
| Interest | \$ - | \$ - |
| Income taxes | \$ - | \$ - |
| NON-CASH INVESTING AND FINANCING ACTIVITIES: | | |
| Note payable converted into common stock | \$ 50,000 | \$ - |
| Common stock issued for services rendered | \$ 691,013 | \$ - |
| Common stock issued in satisfaction of notes payable and accrued expenses | \$ 50,000 | \$ 410,000 |
| Transferred accounts receivable in payment of accrued expense | \$ - | \$ 25,000 |

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

F-9

COCONNECT, INC.

Notes to the Financial Statements

December 31, 2005

NOTE 1 -

ORGANIZATION AND HISTORY OF THE COMPANY

CoConnect, Inc. (the Company) was incorporated in Alabama in December 1997 to take over the assets of related businesses involved in reorganizations through bankruptcies. In 1997, the Company acquired Mobile Limited Liability Company (Mobile) as part of the confirmation by the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Texas of a Plan of Reorganization of Mobile. The Company acquired all of the assets of Digital Wireless Systems (DSWI) on August 6, 2000, as part of the consummation of DSWI's confirmed Plan of Reorganization under Chapter 11 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code. Lack of funding forced the Company to abandon plans to revive the operations of both companies.

In 2000, the Company acquired three operating subsidiaries, Daybreak Auto Recovery, Inc., Rap Group, and Voltage Vehicles. In 2002, the Company rescinded these acquisitions and in October 2004 cancelled the common shares that were issued for the acquisitions, which shares had been held in escrow. During 2002 and 2003, the Company had no operations other than to continue its efforts to liquidate certain telecommunications licenses, the only assets of the Company, in order to pay creditors who had judgments arising out of the bankruptcies.

In August 2004, the Company changed its domicile to Nevada, and changed its name to Advanced Wireless Communications, Inc.

On October 5, 2004, the Company signed a definitive agreement with Heritage Communications, Inc. (Heritage) and acquired an exclusive license for the marketing and distribution of telecommunications products over Heritage's proprietary high-speed wireless network.

The Company changed its name to CoConnect, Inc. on January 31, 2005.

The core of the Company's business is the deployment of the Company's proprietary mobile wireless network, which is currently under development. This high-speed proprietary mobile wireless network is designed to deliver wireless

internet to residential and business customers.

Acquisition of Heritage Communications, Inc. and Rescission of Agreement

On January 28, 2005, the Company executed a Share Exchange Agreement (the Agreement) with Heritage Communications, Inc. (Heritage) and its shareholders. The Company acquired all of the outstanding shares of Heritage in exchange for 30 million shares of the Company's common stock as provided by the Agreement.

As part of the Agreement, Heritage provided warranties and representations that, among other items, it had no undisclosed outstanding indebtedness and that it had filed all federal, state and local tax returns which were required and that Heritage had paid all taxes, interest and penalties, if any, due and payable related to its tax liabilities.

Subsequent to the Agreement being executed, the Company determined that material omissions and misrepresentations had been made by Heritage. As a result of these omissions and misrepresentations, the Company rescinded the Agreement on July 14, 2005. As a result of the rescission, the Company issued a cancel order to the transfer agent on the 30 million shares of common stock previously issued to the shareholders of Heritage. The stock has been recorded at par value pending the result of the litigation in progress.

Acquisition of Phoenix Asset Systems Corp and Rescission of the Agreement

On December 17, 2005, the Company executed a Purchase Agreement (the Agreement) with Phoenix Asset Systems Corp. (Phoenix) and its shareholders. The Company acquired assets of Phoenix and assumed liabilities in exchange \$50,000 in cash and 8,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock.

The Companies mutually rescinded the Agreement and the shares were returned to the Company's office for cancellation.

COCONNECT, INC.

Notes to the Financial Statements

December 31, 2005

NOTE 2 -

SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

a.

Accounting Method

The financial statements are prepared using the accrual method of accounting. The Company has elected a December 31 year-end.

b.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

c.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash equivalents include short-term, highly liquid investments with maturities of three months or less at the time of acquisition.

d.

Concentrations of Credit Risk

The Company's cash accounts at its banks are insured by the FDIC for up to \$100,000. Occasionally, the Company's cash balances have exceeded these insured amounts. At December 31, 2005, the insured amounts were in excess of any the Company's cash balances.

e.

Going Concern Considerations

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared assuming the Company will continue as a going concern. Because of the recurring operating losses and the excess of current liabilities over current assets, there is substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. The Company's continuation as a going concern is dependent on attaining profitable operations, restructuring its financial obligations, and obtaining additional outside financing. The Company has funded losses from operations primarily from the issuance of debt and the sale of the Company's common stock. The Company believes that the issuance of debt and the sale of the Company's common stock will continue to fund operating losses in the short-term until the Company can generate revenues sufficient to fund its operations.

f.

Income Taxes

The Company accounts for income taxes under the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes, using the liability method. The estimated future tax effect of differences between the basis in assets and liabilities for tax and accounting purposes is accounted for as deferred taxes. In accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 109, a valuation allowance would be established to reduce deferred tax assets if it were more likely than not that all or some portion of such deferred tax assets would not be realized. A full allowance against deferred tax assets was provided as of December 31, 2005.

COCONNECT, INC.

Notes to the Financial Statements

December 31, 2005

NOTE 2 -

SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

f.

Income Taxes (Continued)

At December 31, 2005, the Company had net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$10,365,016 that may be offset against future taxable income through 2025. No tax benefits have been reported in the financial statements, because the potential tax benefits of the net operating loss carry forwards are offset by a valuation allowance of the same amount.

Net deferred tax assets (liabilities) consist of the following components:

| | December 31, 2005 |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Operating loss carryforwards | \$ 2,822,000 |
| Valuation allowance | (2,822,000) |
| Net deferred tax assets (liabilities) | \$ - |

Due to the change in ownership provisions of the Tax Reform Act of 1986, net operating loss carryforwards for Federal income tax reporting purposes are subject to annual limitations. Should a change in ownership occur, net operating loss carryforwards may be limited as to use in the future.

g.

Intangible Assets

Intangible assets at December 31, 2004 of \$361,000 consisted of telecommunications licenses and other intangible assets with indefinite lives acquired from Heritage, recorded at cost. In accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets, intangibles with indefinite lives are no longer amortized but are reviewed annually, or sooner if deemed necessary, for impairment. During the year ended December 31, 2005, it was determined that the intangible assets were impaired and that no amount was recoverable. As such, a loss on impairment of intangible assets was recorded for \$361,000 during the year.

h.

Bank Overdraft - Checks Written in Excess of Cash in Bank

Under the Company's cash management system, checks issued but not presented to banks frequently result in overdraft balances for accounting purposes. Additionally, at times banks may temporarily lend funds to the Company by paying out more funds than are in the Company's account. These overdrafts are included as a current liability in the balance sheet. At December 31, 2005, the bank overdraft was \$1,811.

COCONNECT, INC.

Notes to the Financial Statements

December 31, 2005

NOTE 2 -

SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

i.

Basic Net Loss per Share of Common Stock

In accordance with Financial Accounting Standards No. 128, Earnings per Share, basic net loss per common share is based on the weighted average number of shares outstanding during the periods presented. Diluted earnings per share is computed using weighted average number of common shares plus dilutive common share equivalents outstanding during the period. Dilutive instruments have not been included and calculated for the year end computations as their effect is antidilutive.

| | December 31, | |
|--|----------------|---------------|
| | 2005 | 2004 |
| Numerator (loss) | \$ (2,753,958) | \$ (279,000) |
| Denominator weighted average number of shares outstanding | 16,177,714 | 4,287,458 |
| Loss per share | \$ (0.17) | \$ (0.07) |

j.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In December 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued SFAS No. 123 (Revised 2004), Share-Based Payment (SFAS 123R). SFAS 123R requires that compensation cost related to share-based employee compensation transactions be recognized in the financial statements. Share-based employee compensation transactions within the scope of SFAS 123R include stock options, restricted stock plans, performance-based awards, stock appreciation rights and employee share purchase plans. The Company presently accounts for any stock-based employee compensation under Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25, the effect of which has not been

significant in recent periods due to the absence of such compensation. The provisions of SFAS 123R are effective as of the first interim period that begins after December 15, 2005. Accordingly, we will implement the revised standard no later than the first quarter of fiscal year 2006, if applicable.

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 153, Exchanges of Nonmonetary Assets, an Amendment of APB Opinion No. 29, Accounting for Nonmonetary Transactions. The amendments made by SFAS 153 are based on the principle that exchanges of nonmonetary assets should be measured based on the fair value of the assets exchanged. Further, the amendments eliminate the narrow exception in APB Opinion No. 29 for nonmonetary exchanges of similar productive assets and replace it with a broader exception for exchanges of nonmonetary assets that do not have commercial substance. The Statement is effective for nonmonetary asset exchanges occurring in fiscal periods beginning after June 15, 2005. We have not presently entered into any transactions that are affected by adopting SFAS 153.

j.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements (Continued)

In May 2005, the FASB issued SFAS No. 154, Accounting Changes and Error Corrections, a Replacement of APB Opinion No. 20 and SFAS No. 3. SFAS No. 154 replaces APB Opinion No. 20, Accounting Changes and SFAS No. 3, Reporting Accounting Changes in Interim Financial Statements and changes the requirement for the accounting for and reporting of a change in accounting principles. SFAS No. 154 applies to all voluntary changes in accounting principles. It also applies to changes required by an accounting pronouncement in the unusual instance that the pronouncement does not include specific transition provisions. When a pronouncement includes specific transition provisions, those provisions should be followed. The provisions of SFAS No. 154 will be effective for accounting changes made in fiscal year beginning after December 15, 2005. We do not presently expect to make any accounting changes that would be affected by the adoption of SFAS No. 154 that will have a material impact on the Company's financial condition or operations in the foreseeable future.

k.

Reclassification

Certain December 31, 2004 balances have been reclassified to conform to the December 31, 2005 financial statement presentation.

COCONNECT, INC.

Notes to the Financial Statements

December 31, 2005

NOTE 3 -

NOTES PAYABLE RELATED PARTY

Notes payable related party consisted of the following at December 31, 2005:

| | 2005 |
|--|------------|
| Various notes payable to officers, directors, and shareholders of the Company, interest imputed at 8.0% per annum, due on demand, and unsecured. | \$ 270,893 |
| Less: current portion | (270,893) |
| Long-term notes payable related party | \$ - |

Accrued interest payable on the related party notes were \$6,154 as of December 31, 2005.

NOTE 4 -

NOTES RECEIVABLE

The Company has made advances to Heritage Communications, Inc. (see explanation of relationship in Note 1) totaling \$957,337. These advances have no formal repayment terms. At December 31, 2005, the Company determined that these advances were uncollectible and has recorded an allowance for the same amount. The Company is currently in legal proceedings with Heritage (see Note 7).

NOTE 5 -

NOTES PAYABLE

Notes payable consisted of the following at December 31, 2005:

| | 2005 |
|--|------------|
| Note payable to a former director of the Company, interest at 9.0% per annum, due on demand, and unsecured. | \$ 175,000 |
| Note payable to a company, interest at 8.0% per annum, due on demand, and unsecured. | 137,000 |
| Total notes payable | 312,000 |
| Less: current portion | (312,000) |
| Long-term notes payable | \$ - |

Accrued interest payable on the notes payable were \$137,295 as of December 31, 2005.

NOTE 6 -

FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 107 (SFAS 107), Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments requires disclosure of the fair value of financial instruments held by the Company. SFAS 107 defines the fair value of a financial instrument as the amount at which the instrument could be exchanged in a current transaction between willing parties. The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate fair value:

The carrying amount of cash equivalents, accounts payable, and accrued expenses approximate fair value due to their

short-term nature.

F-14

COCONNECT, INC.

Notes to the Financial Statements

December 31, 2005

NOTE 7 -

COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Lawsuits

The Company is currently involved in various lawsuits with Heritage (see Note 1) related to the Share Exchange Agreement and the termination thereof. The Company is involved as both a plaintiff and a defendant. The lawsuits are in their initial stages and the outcomes of such are undeterminable at the present time.

NOTE 8 -

EQUITY TRANSACTIONS

In October 2004, the Company completed a 10:1 reverse stock split of its common stock. The Company has given retroactive effect to the reverse stock split in each of the periods presented.

In November 2004, the Company issued a total of 10,360,000 shares of its previously un-issued common stock in satisfaction of accrued compensation, notes payable and accrued interest totaling \$410,000.

Through December 31, 2004, the Company sold 625,000 shares of its previously un-issued common stock in a private placement with accredited investors for cash proceeds of \$625,000 or \$1.00 per share. The Company incurred stock offering costs of \$36,784 related to the sale of these shares in the private placement.

During January and February of 2005, the Company sold an additional 823,500 shares of its previously un-issued common stock in the private placement for cash proceeds of \$823,500 or \$1.00 per share. In April 2005, the Company sold 281,000 shares of its previously un-issued common stock in another private placement for cash

proceeds of \$421,500 or \$1.50 per share. The Company incurred stock offering costs of \$114,445 related to the sale of these shares.

The Company issued a convertible promissory note on March 20, 2005 in exchange for \$50,000. The note was convertible into common stock of the Company at the rate of \$0.50 per share at the option of the note holder. The note holder converted the entire balance into 100,000 shares of common stock on April 7, 2005.

During November and December of 2005, the Company issued 1,350,000 shares of its previously un-issued common stock to former officers and directors of the Company for services rendered valued. The shares were valued at the trading price (market value) of the stock on the day the shares were authorized for issuance which totaled \$655,000 or an average price of \$0.49 per share.

During December of 2005, the Company issued 6,013,133 shares of its common stock for services.

NOTE 9 -

COMMON STOCK DISCREPANCIES

The Company issued 30,000,000 shares to Heritage in an agreement that the Company rescinded on the books of the Company. The Company also issued 8,000,000 shares to Phoenix in an agreement that has been rescinded. Management has placed an administrative hold on the shares issued to Heritage. The shares issued to Phoenix have been returned to the Company. Since the stock has not been returned to the transfer agent for cancellation at this time, there is a discrepancy between the Company's issued and outstanding stock and the transfer agent's issued and outstanding stock.