

Edgar Filing: SILICON STORAGE TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10-K

SILICON STORAGE TECHNOLOGY INC  
Form 10-K  
March 30, 2001

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

-----  
FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934.

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2000.

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934.

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_.

Commission File Number 0-26944  
-----

SILICON STORAGE TECHNOLOGY, INC.  
(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

California  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
Incorporation or organization)

77-022590  
(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification Number)

1171 Sonora Court, Sunnyvale, CA  
(Address of principal executive offices)

94086  
(Zip code)

Company's telephone number, including area code: (408) 735-9110

-----  
Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of class	Name of each exchange on which registered
None.	None.

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:  
Common Stock, no par value.

-----  
Indicate by check mark whether SST (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that SST was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes X No \_.

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of SST's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statement

# Edgar Filing: SILICON STORAGE TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10-K

incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. Yes X No \_.

1

Aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates of SST as of February 28, 2001: \$779,303,790 based on the closing price of SST's Common Stock as reported on the Nasdaq National Market. Number of shares outstanding of SST's Common Stock, no par value, as of the latest practicable date, February 28, 2001: 90,747,876.

Documents incorporated by reference: Exhibits previously filed as noted on page 34. Part III - A portion of the Registrant's definitive proxy statement for the Registrant's Annual Meeting of Shareholders, which will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

2

SILICON STORAGE TECHNOLOGY, INC.

Form 10-K

For the Year Ended December 31, 2000

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Part I	Item 1.	Business .....
	Item 2.	Properties .....
	Item 3.	Legal Proceedings .....
	Item 4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders .....
Part II	Item 5.	Market for Registrant's Common Stock and Related Shareholder Matters .....
	Item 6.	Selected Consolidated Financial Data .....
	Item 7.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations .....
	Item 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk .....
	Item 8.	Consolidated Financial Statements and Supplementary Data .....
	Item 9.	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on accounting and Financial Disclosure .....
Part III	Item 10.	Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant .....
	Item 11.	Executive Compensation .....
	Item 12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management .....
	Item 13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions .....
Part IV	Item 14.	Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedule, and Reports on Form 8-K .....
		Index to Exhibits .....
		Signatures .....
		Index to Consolidated Financial Statements .....

PART I

Item 1. Business

Overview

We are a leading supplier of flash memory semiconductor devices for the digital consumer, networking, wireless communications and Internet computing markets.

We offer over 70 products based on our SuperFlash design and manufacturing process technology. Our customers include: 3Com, Acer, Apple, Asustek, Cisco, Compaq, FIC, Gigabyte, Hwawei, Hyundai, Infineon, Intel, IBM, Inventec, Legend, LG, Lucent, Motorola, National Semiconductor, Nintendo, Nortel, Panasonic, Quanta, Samsung, Sanyo, Siemens, Sony and VTech. We also license our SuperFlash technology to leading semiconductor companies including Analog Devices, ATMI, IBM, Motorola, National Semiconductor, Oki, Samsung, Sanyo, Seiko-Epson, TSMC, and Winbond to embed in semiconductor devices that integrate flash memory with other functions on a single chip. Our products are manufactured at leading wafer foundries and semiconductor manufacturers including Samsung Electronics, Sanyo, Seiko-Epson, TSMC and UMC. We also work with IBM, Oki, National Semiconductor, Samsung Electronics, Sanyo, Seiko-Epson, TSMC and Vanguard to develop new technology for manufacturing our products.

The semiconductor industry has historically been cyclical, characterized by wide fluctuations in product supply and demand. From time to time, the industry has also experienced significant downturns, often in connection with, or in anticipation of, maturing product cycles and declines in general economic conditions. Downturns of this type occurred in 1996, 1997 and 1998. These downturns have been characterized by weakening product demand, production over-capacity and accelerated decline of selling prices, and in some cases have lasted for more than a year. We recently began to experience a sharp downturn in several of our markets late in the fourth quarter of 2000, as our customers reacted to weakening demand for their products. To date, market conditions have not improved during early 2001 and our customers have continued to return product, cancel backlog and/or push out shipments. Our business could be harmed by industry-wide fluctuations in the future.

We derived 77.6% of our product revenues during 2000 and 80.8% of our product revenues during 1999 from product shipments to Asia. Additionally, all of our major wafer suppliers and packaging and testing subcontractors are located in Asia. During 1998 and 1997, several Asian countries where we do business, including Japan, Taiwan and Korea, experienced severe currency fluctuation and economic deflation, which negatively impacted our revenues and, therefore, our ability to collect payments from these customers. In September 1999, Taiwan experienced a major earthquake. The resulting disruption to the manufacturing operations in the wafer foundries and assembly and testing subcontractors that we use in Taiwan harmed our revenues and operating results during the third and fourth quarters of 1999.

Our product sales are made primarily using short-term cancelable purchase orders. The quantities actually purchased by the customer, as well as shipment schedules, are frequently revised to reflect changes in the customer's needs and in our supply of product. Accordingly, our backlog of open purchase orders at any given time is not a meaningful indicator of future sales. Changes in the amount of our backlog do not necessarily reflect a corresponding change in the level of actual or potential sales.

## Edgar Filing: SILICON STORAGE TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10-K

Sales to direct customers and foreign stocking representatives are recognized upon shipment, net of an allowance for estimated returns. Sales to distributors are made primarily under arrangements allowing price protection and the right of stock rotation on merchandise unsold to customers. Because of the uncertainty associated with pricing concessions and future returns, we defer recognition of such revenues, related costs of revenues and related gross margin until we are notified by the distributor that the merchandise is sold by the distributor.

Most of our technology licenses provide for the payment of up-front license fees and continuing royalties based on product sales. For license and other arrangements for technology that we are continuing to enhance and refine and under which we are obligated to provide unspecified enhancements, revenue is

4

recognized over the lesser of the estimated period we have historically enhanced and developed refinements to the technology, generally three years, the upgrade period, or the remaining portion of the upgrade period from the date of delivery, provided all specified technology and documentation has been delivered, the fee is fixed or determinable and collection of the fee is probable. From time to time, we reexamine the estimated upgrade period relating to licensed technology to determine if a change in the estimated update period is needed. Revenues from license or other technology arrangements where we are not continuing to enhance and refine the technology or are not obligated to provide unspecified enhancements is recognized upon delivery, if the fee is fixed or determinable and collection of the fee is probable.

We recognize royalties received under these arrangements during the upgrade period as revenue based on the ratio of the elapsed portion of the upgrade period to the estimated upgrade period. We recognize the remaining portion of the royalties ratably over the remaining portion of the upgrade period. We recognize royalties received after the upgrade period has elapsed when reported to us, which generally coincides with the receipt of payment.

### Industry Background

Semiconductor integrated circuits are critical components used in an increasingly wide variety of applications, such as computers and computer systems, communications equipment, consumer products and industrial automation and control systems. As integrated circuit performance has increased and size and cost have decreased, the use of semiconductors in these applications has grown significantly. According to a November 2000 Dataquest report, worldwide semiconductor device revenue grew from \$169 billion in 1999 to \$232 billion in 2000 and will grow to \$339 billion in 2004.

Historically, the demand for semiconductors has been driven by the personal computer, or PC, market. The demand for PCs has grown in recent years, in part due to increased use of PCs for Internet access. According to Dataquest, the PC market grew from 100 million units shipped in 1998 to 118 million units shipped in 1999 to 135 million units shipped in 2000. In recent years, growth in demand for semiconductors relating to PCs has been outpaced by growth in demand for semiconductors in digital electronic devices for communication and consumer applications. Communications applications include digital subscriber line modems, cable modems, wireless local area network devices, cellular phones and pagers. Consumer-oriented digital electronic devices include digital cameras, DVD players, MP3 players, personal digital assistants, set-top boxes, CD-ROM drives and GPS navigation systems.

In order to function correctly, PCs and other digital electronic devices require program code. The program code defines how devices function and

## Edgar Filing: SILICON STORAGE TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10-K

affects how they are configured. In PCs, this program code, or BIOS, initiates the loading of the PC's operating system, which is then read from the disk drive. In the case of other digital electronic devices, the program code is stored in its entirety in nonvolatile memory, mostly in flash memory. As a result, virtually all complex electronic systems that use a processor or controller for computing, consumer, communications, and industrial applications require nonvolatile memory.

System manufacturers generally prefer nonvolatile memory devices that can be reprogrammed efficiently in the system in order to achieve several important advantages. With reprogrammable memory, manufacturers can cost effectively change program codes in response to faster product cycles and changing market specifications. This in turn greatly simplifies inventory management and manufacturing processes. Reprogrammable memory also allows the manufacturer to reconfigure or update a system either locally or through a network connection. In addition, in-system reprogrammable devices can be used for data storage functions, such as storage of phone numbers for speed dialing in a cellular phone.

Flash memory is the predominant reprogrammable nonvolatile memory device used to store program code. Flash memory can electrically erase select blocks of data on the chip much faster and more simply than with alternative solutions, such as Erasable Programmable Read-Only Memory, or EPROM. Moreover, flash memory is significantly less expensive than other reprogrammable solutions, such as Electrically Erasable Programmable Read-Only Memory, or EEPROMs. As a result, the demand for flash memory has grown dramatically. This growth has been fueled by the need for code sharing and other

5

storage functions in a wide array of digital devices. According to a November 2000 Semiconductor Industry Association report, worldwide flash memory revenue was \$10 billion in 2000 and will grow to \$23 billion in 2003.

### Our Solution

We are a leading supplier of flash memory semiconductor devices addressing the needs of high volume electronic applications. We believe our proprietary flash memory technology, SuperFlash, offers superior performance to other flash memory solutions. In addition, we believe SuperFlash's benefits include high reliability, fast write performance, ability to be scaled to a smaller size and a low-cost manufacturing process. Many leading technology companies use our technology in their products including 3Com, Acer, Apple, Cisco, Compaq, Dell, FIC, Hyundai, Intel, IBM, LG, Lucent, Motorola, Panasonic, Samsung, Sanyo, Siemens and Sony. New customers include Cisco and Nortel.

We offer over 70 products based on our proprietary SuperFlash design and manufacturing process technology. These products are produced to meet the needs of a wide range of digital consumer, networking, wireless communications and Internet computing markets. Our product offerings include standard flash products, application specific memory products, embedded controllers and mass storage products. Our memory devices have densities ranging from 256 Kbit to 16 Mbit and are generally used for the storage of program code. Our flash embedded microcontrollers support concurrent flash read-while-write operations using In-Application Programming, or IAP. Our mass storage products are used for storing images, music and other data in devices such as digital cameras and MP3 players.

Our products are manufactured at leading wafer foundries and semiconductor manufacturers including Samsung Electronics, Sanyo, Seiko-Epson,

## Edgar Filing: SILICON STORAGE TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10-K

TSMC and UMC. We also work with IBM, Samsung Electronics, Sanyo, Seiko-Epson and TSMC to develop new technology for manufacturing our products. We license our SuperFlash technology to leading semiconductor companies including Analog Devices, ATMI, IBM, ISD, Motorola, Oki, Samsung, Sanyo, Seiko-Epson and TSMC to embed in semiconductor devices that integrate flash memory with other functions on a single chip.

### Our Strategy

Our objective is to be the leading worldwide supplier of flash memory devices and intellectual property for program code storage applications. In addition, we intend to leverage our SuperFlash technology to penetrate the high density mass storage markets. We intend to achieve our objectives by:

Maintaining a leading position in the program code storage market. We believe that program code storage is an attractive segment of the flash memory market for a number of reasons. While experiencing continued growth in all densities, solutions for program code storage applications benefit from the increasing number and variety of digital electronic applications, longer product lives and lower density requirements relative to mass data storage applications. We believe that our proprietary SuperFlash technology is a superior product for program code storage applications because it offers reliability and high performance at a low cost.

Continuing to enhance our leading flash memory technology. We believe that our proprietary SuperFlash technology is less complicated, more reliable, more scalable and more cost-effective than competing flash memory technologies. Our ongoing research and development efforts are focused on enhancing our leading flash memory technology. We are working with IBM, National Semiconductor, Samsung, Sanyo, Seiko Epson, TSMC, and Vanguard to develop new process technologies for SuperFlash.

Introducing new products based on SuperFlash. We intend to introduce new and different application specific products. We are currently developing ComboMemory, a new class of devices for wireless and portable applications that combine volatile and nonvolatile memory on a single monolithic silicon chip or multiple dies in a common package with optimized performance. We are also developing a new reprogrammable microcontroller family and new mass storage products. In addition, we plan to introduce a new family of serial flash products, 8 Mbit firmware hubs and 8, 16, 32 and 64 Mbit concurrent

6

flash. ComboMemory and concurrent flash are designed to address the memory needs of wireless communications devices, such as cellular phones, wireless modems and pagers.

Maintaining a leading position in licensing embedded flash technology. We believe that SuperFlash technology is well-suited for embedded memory applications, which integrate flash memory and other functions onto a monolithic chip. We intend to continue to license SuperFlash technology to semiconductor manufacturers for use in embedded flash applications and to enhance our technology to facilitate integration at higher densities and higher levels of complexity.

Penetrating the high density mass storage market. Many digital electronic devices currently being introduced, such as MP3 players, digital cameras and PDAs, require high density flash memory for storing music, pictures and other data that require mass storage capacities. We believe that the market for high density flash memory is attractive based on its potential growth. We further believe that SuperFlash technology can readily scale to address this

## Edgar Filing: SILICON STORAGE TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10-K

market growth. We intend to leverage our leading technology and strong manufacturing partnerships to introduce high density mass storage flash products and to compete effectively in this market.

### Our Flash Products

**Standard Flash Memory Products.** Currently, we offer low and medium density devices that target a broad range of existing and emerging applications in the digital consumer, networking, wireless communications and Internet computing markets. Our products are differentiated based upon attributes such as density, voltage, access speed, package and predicted endurance.

We have three Standard Flash Memory product families: the Small-Sector Flash, or SSF, family, the Multi-Purpose Flash, or MPF, family, and the Many-Time Programmable, or MTP, family. These families allow us to produce products optimized for cost and functionality to support the broad range of applications that use nonvolatile memory products.

Among the three product families, SSF provides the highest functionality. MPF is a lower cost flash solution because it eliminates much of the peripheral circuitry of SSF products while retaining many of the benefits of the SuperFlash core--high reliability, faster write performance, geometric scalability and a low-cost manufacturing process. Both SSF and MPF address mainstream flash applications that require In System Programming, or ISP. MTP devices are our lowest cost flash products. Our MTP products provide an electrically-erasable alternative to EPROM and other low-end flash products that do not require ISP.

**Application-Specific Memory Products.** Our application-specific memory products consist of ComboMemory, FlashBank, Serial Flash and Firmware Hub, or FWH. These products are designed to address specific applications such as cellular phones, pagers, PDAs, set-top boxes, hard disk drives and PC BIOS applications.

**Flash Embedded Controllers.** Our flash embedded controllers include the FlashFlex51 microcontroller product family, which features products that are both software and pin compatible with industry standard 8051 microcontroller products. This family is designed with two banks of program memory to support concurrent read and write operations using IAP. It also contains SoftLock security features allowing IAP while preventing software piracy. These products target the 8-bit microcontroller market segment with products addressing the emerging applications for in-system reprogrammable microcontrollers.

**Mass Storage Products.** Our mass storage products, including the ADC, ADM, and CompactFlash Card product families address digital cameras, digital cellular phones, Internet appliances, PDAs, MP3 players, Set-top boxes, and other types of mass data storage applications. Our mass storage products leverage our patented ATA controller technology and flash memory design expertise to offer favorable read/write data transfer rates to the flash memory, which allows significant speed advantages for applications such as digital cameras.

7

### Technology Licensing

We license our SuperFlash technology to semiconductor manufacturers for use in embedded flash applications. We intend to increase our market share by entering into additional license agreements for our process and SuperFlash memory cell technology with leading wafer foundries and semiconductor manufacturers. We expect to continue to receive licensing fees and royalties

## Edgar Filing: SILICON STORAGE TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10-K

from these agreements. We design our products using patented memory cell technology and fabricate them using patented process technology. We own 32 patents in the United States relating to certain aspects of our products and processes, and have filed for several more. In addition, we hold several patents in Europe and Canada and have filed several foreign patent applications in Europe, Japan, Korea, Taiwan and Canada.

### Customers

We provide high-performance flash memory solutions to customers in four major markets: digital consumer, networking, wireless communications and Internet computing. Our customers benefit by obtaining products that we believe are highly reliable, technologically advanced and that have an attractive cost structure. As a result of these highly desirable benefits, we have developed relationships with many of the industry's leading companies. In digital consumer products, we provide memory components for consumer companies including Canon, Datel, Freetron, GSL, Inventec, Nintendo, Panasonic, Sanyo, Siemens, Sony, TiVo, Vtech and Xerox. In networking, we provide memory components for 3Com, E-tech, Intel and Nortel. In wireless communications, we provide products for companies including Kirks, Lucent, Maxon, Quanta, RTX, Siemens and VTech. In Internet computing, we provide wide array of memory components for companies including Acer, Apple, Asustek, Compaq, Dell, FIC, Gigabyte, Mitac, NEC and Quanta.

The following tables illustrate the geographic regions in which our customers or licensees operate based on the country to which the product is shipped or license revenue is generated.

	Year ended December 31,		
	1998	1999	2000
United States	\$ 5,099	\$ 13,644	\$ 76,898
Europe	6,929	7,347	28,376
Japan	13,739	16,396	66,635
Korea	3,756	11,750	42,986
Taiwan	19,134	33,541	133,677
China (including Hong Kong)	14,104	28,776	90,839
Other Asian countries	6,119	9,340	48,102
Rest of world	531	4,000	2,748
	<u>\$ 69,411</u>	<u>\$ 124,794</u>	<u>\$ 490,261</u>

### Sales and Distribution

We sell a majority of our products to customers in Asia through manufacturers' representatives. We also sell and distribute our products in North America and Europe through manufacturers' representatives and distributors. Our manufacturer representative and distributor relationships are generally cancelable by us or the other parties with reasonable notice.

### Applications

As the Internet, communications and consumer electronics industries continue to expand and diversify, new applications are likely to be developed. We believe our products are designed to address this expanding set of



## Edgar Filing: SILICON STORAGE TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10-K

applications:

Digital Consumer	Networking	Wireless Communications
TV Replayer	Set-top Box	Cellular Phone
Digital TV	CD-ROM Drive	Data Pager
Digital Camera	CD-RW Drive	Cordless Telephone
DVD Player	DVD-ROM Drive	Cellular Phone GPS
VCD Player	DVD-RAM Drive	Bluetooth Applications
MP3 Player	DCD-RW Drive	
Video Game	Web Browser	
PDA	Hand Held GPS	
Electronic Book	Digital Camcorder	
Memory Cards	Electronic Toys	

### Manufacturing

We purchase wafers and sorted die from semiconductor manufacturing foundries, have this product shipped directly to subcontractors for packaging, testing, and finishing, and then ship the final product to our customers. Virtually all of our subcontractors are located in Asia.

Wafer and Sorted Die. We have manufacturing arrangements with National Semiconductor, Samsung, Sanyo, Seiko-Epson, TSMC, UMC, and Vanguard. During 2000, our major wafer fabrication foundries were TSMC, Sanyo, Samsung and Seiko-Epson. In 2000, wafer sort, which is the process of taking silicon wafers and separating them into individual die, was performed at Acer Testing Inc., KYE, Lingsen, Samsung, Sanyo, Seiko-Epson and TSMC. Although capacity is not guaranteed, under these arrangements we generally receive preferential treatment regarding wafer pricing and capacity. In order to obtain, on an ongoing basis, an adequate supply of wafers, we have considered and will continue to consider various possible options, including equity investments in foundries in exchange for guaranteed production volumes, the formation of joint ventures to own and operate foundries and the licensing of our proprietary technology. On March 6, 2001, we invested \$50.0 million in Shanghai Grace Semiconductor Manufacturing Corporation, or GSMC. GSMC is a foreign-funded wafer foundry project which will be located in Shanghai, People's Republic of China.

Packaging, Testing and Finishing. In the assembly process, the individual die are assembled into packages. Following assembly, the packaged devices require testing and finishing to segregate conforming from nonconforming devices and to identify devices by performance levels. Currently, all devices are tested and inspected pursuant to our quality assurance program at our test facilities in Sunnyvale, California or at other domestic or international subcontracted facilities. Finishing operations are performed at our Sunnyvale facility or at other domestic or international subcontracted facilities before shipment to customers. Certain facilities currently perform consolidated assembly, packaging, test and finishing operations at one location. During 2000, most subcontracted facilities performing the substantial majority of our operations were in Taiwan. The subcontractors with the largest amount of our activity are KYE, Lingsen, and PTI. We hold equity investments in three subcontractors: KYE, PTI, and Apacer. For newly released products, the initial test and finishing activities are performed at our Sunnyvale facility.

## Edgar Filing: SILICON STORAGE TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10-K

### Research and Development

We believe that our future success will depend in part on the development of next generation technologies with reduced feature size. During 1998, 1999 and 2000, we spent \$14.5 million, \$18.2 million, and \$41.5 million, respectively, on research and development. Our research efforts are focused on process development and product development. Our research strategy is to collaborate with our partners to advance our technologies. We work simultaneously with several partners on the development of multiple generations of technologies. In addition, we allocate our resources and personnel into category-specific teams to focus on new product development. From time to time we invest in, jointly develop with or license or acquire technology from other companies in the course of developing products. For example, in December 2000, we acquired Agate Semiconductor, Inc., a privately held, memory design company located in Santa Clara, California.

### Competition

The semiconductor industry is intensely competitive and has been characterized by price erosion, rapid technological change and product obsolescence. We compete with major domestic and international semiconductor companies, many of whom have substantially greater financial, technical, marketing, distribution, manufacturing and other resources than us. Our low to medium density memory products, sales of which presently account for substantially all of our revenues, compete against products offered by Advanced Micro Devices, Inc., Atmel Corporation, STMicroelectronics, Inc., Winbond Electronics Corporation, and Macronix, Inc. Our high density memory products compete with products offered by Intel, Advanced Micro Devices, Atmel, Fujitsu Limited, Sharp Electronics Corporation, Samsung, Mitsubishi Corporation and Toshiba Corporation. In addition, competition may come from alternative technologies such as ferroelectric random access memory device, or FRAM, technology.

The competition in the existing markets for our new products such as the FlashFlex51 microcontroller product family and the ADC, ADM, and CompactFlash Card product families is extremely intense. We compete principally with major companies such as Philips Electronics, Atmel, Intel, and Microchip Technology Inc. in the microcontroller market and with SanDisk Corporation, M-Systems and Hitachi Corporation in the memory card and memory module market. We may, in the future, also experience direct competition from our foundry partners. We have licensed to each foundry the right to fabricate certain products based on our proprietary technology and circuit design, and to sell such products worldwide, subject to royalty payments back to us.

We compete principally on price, reliability, functionality and the ability to offer timely delivery to customers. During the extreme currency devaluations in Asia in 1997-1998, we were severely impaired in our ability to compete on the basis of price. While we believe that our medium density products currently compete favorably on the basis of reliability and functionality, it is important to note that our principal competitors have a significant advantage over us in terms of greater financial, technical and marketing resources. Our long-term ability to compete successfully in the evolving flash memory market will depend on factors both within and beyond our control, including access to advanced process technologies at competitive prices, successful and timely product development, wafer supply, product pricing, actions of our competitors and general economic conditions.

### Employees

As of December 31, 2000, we employed 455 individuals on a full-time basis, all but twenty-six of whom reside in the United States. Fourteen employees reside in Taiwan, four employees reside in Japan, three employees

## Edgar Filing: SILICON STORAGE TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10-K

reside in the United Kingdom, two employees reside in China, and one employee resides in each of Sweden, Hong Kong, and Korea. Of these 455 employees, 94 were employed in manufacturing support, 221 in engineering, 88 in sales and marketing and 52 in administration and finance. No employees are represented by a collective bargaining agreement, nor have we ever experienced any work stoppage related to strike activity. We believe that our relationship with our employees is good.

10

### Executive Officers and Directors

The following table lists the names, ages and positions of our executive officers and directors as of December 31, 2000. There are no family relationships between any director or executive officer of SST. Executive officers serve at the discretion of the board of directors.

Name ----	Age ---	Position -----
Bing Yeh (1) (4)	50	President and Chief Executive Officer and Director
Yaw Wen Hu	51	Senior Vice President, Operations and Process Development and Director
Derek Best	50	Senior Vice President, Sales and Marketing
Michael Briner	53	Senior Vice President, Application Specific Products
Isao Nojima	56	Vice President, Standard Memory Product Group
Paul Lui	50	Vice President, Special Product Group
Jeffrey L. Garon	40	Vice President, Finance and Administration and Chief Financial Officer and Secretary
Tsuyoshi Taira (1) (2) (3)	62	Director
Yasushi Chikagami (1) (2) (3)	62	Director
Ronald Chwang (1) (2) (3)	52	Director

-----  
(1) Member of Compensation Committee

(2) Member of Audit Committee

(3) Member of Stock Option Committee

(4) Sole Member of Non-Officer Stock Option Committee

Bing Yeh, one of our co-founders, has served as our President and Chief Executive Officer and has been a member of our board of directors since our inception in 1989. Prior to that, Mr. Yeh served as a senior research and development manager of Xicor, Inc., a nonvolatile memory semiconductor company. From 1981 to 1984, Mr. Yeh held program manager and other positions at Honeywell Inc. From 1979 to 1981, Mr. Yeh was a senior development engineer of EEPROM technology of Intel Corporation. He was a Ph.D. candidate in Applied Physics and earned an Engineer degree at Stanford University. Mr. Yeh holds an M.S. and a B.S. in Physics from National Taiwan University. Mr. Yeh is also the Chairman of the Monte Jade Science & Technology Association for 2001.

Yaw Wen Hu, Ph.D., joined us in 1993 as Vice President, Technology Development. In 1997, he was given the additional responsibility of wafer manufacturing and, in August 1999, he became Vice President, Operations and Process Development. In January 2000, he was promoted to Senior Vice President, Operations and Process Development. Dr. Hu has been a member of our board of directors since September 1995. From 1990 to 1993, Dr. Hu served as deputy general manager of technology development of Vitelic Taiwan Corporation. From 1988 to 1990, he served as FAB engineering manager of Integrated Device

## Edgar Filing: SILICON STORAGE TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10-K

Technology, Inc. From 1985 to 1988, he was the director of technology development at Vitelec Corporation. From 1978 to 1985, he worked as a senior development engineer in Intel Corporation's Technology Development Group. Dr. Hu holds a B.S. in Physics from National Taiwan University and an M.S. in Computer Engineering and a Ph.D. in Applied Physics from Stanford University.

Derek Best joined us in June 1997 as Vice President of Sales and Marketing. In 2000 he was promoted to Senior Vice President, Sales & Marketing. Prior to joining SST he worked for Micromodule Systems, a manufacturer of high density interconnect technology, as Vice President Marketing and Sales World Wide from 1992 to 1996. From 1987 to 1992 he owned his own company, Mosaic Semiconductor, a semiconductor company. Mr. Best holds an Electrical Engineering degree from Portsmouth University in England.

11

Michael Briner joined us as Vice President, Design Engineering in November 1997, and became Vice President, Products during 1999. In February 2001, he was promoted to Senior Vice President, Application Specific Product Group. From 1993 to 1997, he served as Vice President of Design Engineering for Micron Quantum Devices, Inc., a subsidiary of Micron Technology, Inc., chartered to develop and manufacture flash memory products. From 1986 through 1992, he served as Director of Design Engineering for the Nonvolatile Division of Advanced Micro Devices, Inc. In this position, he was instrumental in helping AMD become a major nonvolatile memory manufacturer. Mr. Briner holds a B.S. in Electrical Engineering from the University of Cincinnati.

Isao Nojima served as our Vice President, Advanced Development since July 1997 until he was named Vice President, Standard Memory Product Group in 2000. From March 1993 to June 1997 he served as Vice President, Memory Design and Product Engineering. From 1990 to 1993, Mr. Nojima served as Director of Design Engineering of Pioneer Semiconductor Corporation, now called Pericom, a manufacturer of semiconductors. From 1980 to 1990, he served as design manager of Xicor Inc., a nonvolatile semiconductor company. From 1977 to 1980, he served as a senior design engineer for Intel Corporation. From 1969 to 1976, he was a senior researcher at Toshiba's R&D Center in Japan. Mr. Nojima holds a B.S. and an M.S. in Electrical Engineering from Osaka University in Japan.

Paul Lui joined us as Vice President and General Manager of the Linvex Product Line in June 1999 until he was named Vice President, Special Product Group. From 1994 to 1999, he was the president and founder of Linvex Technology Corporation. From 1987 to 1994, he was the president and chief executive officer of Macronix, Inc.. From 1981 to 1985, he served as group general manager at VLSI Technology, Inc. where he was responsible for transferring that company's technology to Korea. In addition, Mr. Lui has held senior engineering positions at the Synertek Division of Honeywell and McDonnell Douglas. Mr. Lui holds an M.S.E.E. degree from University of California, Berkeley and a B.S. degree in Electrical Engineering and Mathematics from California Polytechnic State University, San Luis Obispo.

Jeffrey L. Garon joined us as Chief Financial Officer and Vice President, Finance and Administration and Corporate Secretary in March 1998. Prior to that, Mr. Garon served as president and senior operating officer of The Garon Financial Group, Inc., a venture capital and venture consulting firm specializing in start-ups, turnarounds and restarts, from 1994 to 1998. From 1993 to 1994, he served as a vice president and chief financial officer of Monster Cable Products, Inc., a leading provider of audio cables and supplies to consumers and the consumer electronic retail channel. Prior to this, Mr. Garon held senior financial positions with Visual Edge Technology, Inc., a provider of large format digital imaging systems, Oracle Corporation, Ashton-Tate Corporation and Teledyne Microelectronics. Mr. Garon holds a B.S. in Business

## Edgar Filing: SILICON STORAGE TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10-K

Administration Finance from California State University, Northridge and a M.B.A. from Loyola Marymount University.

Tsuyoshi Taira has been a member of our board of directors since July 1993. Mr. Taira served as a member of the board of directors of Atmel Corporation from 1987 to 1992. Mr. Taira served as president of Sanyo Semiconductor Corporation from 1986 to 1993. Mr. Taira was chairman of the Sanyo Semiconductor Corporation from 1993 to 1996. Mr. Taira left the Sanyo Semiconductor Corporation in August, 1996. Mr. Taira currently owns and runs a marketing and management consulting company, Tazan International, Inc. Mr. Taira holds a B.S. from Tokyo Metropolitan University.

Yasushi Chikagami has been a member of our board of directors since September 1995. Mr. Chikagami has been chairman of Arise, Inc since 2000. Mr. Chikagami has also served as director of GVC Corporation and Trident Microsystems, Inc. since 1993. Mr. Chikagami holds a B.S. in Agricultural Engineering from Taiwan University and a M.S. in engineering from University of Tokyo.

Ronald Chwang, Ph.D., is the Chairman and President of Acer Technology Ventures, America. Dr. Chwang currently serves actively on the board of a number of ATV's portfolio companies such as Reflectivity, Symmetry Communications Systems, iRobot, OctaSoft, etc. He also serves on the board of Acer Laboratories Inc. and Ambit Microsystems Corp. in Taiwan. From 1992 to 1997, Dr. Chwang was president and chief executive officer of Acer America Corporation. Dr. Chwang has been with Acer since 1986, serving in various executive positions leading business units engaged in ASIC products, computer

12

peripherals, and Acer-Altos server system. Before joining Acer, Dr. Chwang worked for several years in development and management positions at Intel in Oregon and Bell Northern Research in Ottawa, Canada. Dr. Chwang holds a B.S. in Electrical Engineering from McGill University and a Ph.D. in Electrical Engineering from the University of Southern California.

### Item 2. Properties

As of January 31, 2001, we occupy eight leased facilities totaling approximately 188,000 square feet in Sunnyvale, California in which our executive offices, manufacturing engineering, research and development and testing facilities are located. Two leases were obtained upon the acquisition of Linvex and Agate. Those leases expire in February 2001 and March 2002 and are each less than 2,000 square feet. The leases on five other facilities expire in 2005 and the lease on the last facility expires in 2010. We believe these facilities are adequate to meet our needs for at least the next 12 months.

### Item 3. Legal Proceedings

#### Atmel Corporation

On January 3, 1996, Atmel Corporation sued us in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California. Atmel's complaint alleged that we willfully infringe five U.S. patents owned by or exclusively licensed to Atmel. Atmel later amended its complaint to allege infringement of a sixth patent. Regarding each of these six patents, Atmel sought a judgment that we infringe the patent, an injunction prohibiting future infringement, and treble damages, as well as attorney's fees and expenses.

On two of the six patents, the District Court ruled by summary judgment that we did not infringe. Two of the other patents were invalidated by another U.S. District Court in a proceeding to which we were not a party, but this decision

## Edgar Filing: SILICON STORAGE TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10-K

was later reversed by the Federal Circuit Court of Appeals. Thus, four patents remain at issue in Atmel's District Court case against us.

On February 17, 1997, Atmel filed an action with the International Trade Commission, or ITC, against two suppliers of our parts, involving four of the six patents that Atmel alleged that we infringed in the District Court case above. We intervened as a party to that investigation. Pursuant to indemnification agreements with these suppliers, we were obligated to indemnify both to the extent provided in those agreements. As more fully described below, the settlement with Winbond terminated our indemnity obligations to that company.

As to one of these four patents, Atmel's claims were withdrawn because of the summary judgment granted by the District Court, as described above. The administrative law judge, or ALJ, who makes recommended determinations to the ITC, ruled that we did not infringe the remaining three patents. As to one of these patents, U.S. Patent No. 4,451,903 ("the `903 patent," also known as "Silicon Signature"), the ALJ ruled on May 17, 2000 that it is invalid and unenforceable because the patent did not name the proper inventors and because Atmel intentionally misled the U.S. Patent Office. On October 16, 2000, the ITC overturned the ALJ's recommendation on the `903 patent and ruled that we could not import into the United States certain products that use this circuit. We appealed the ITC ruling and in January 2001 the Federal Circuit Court issued an order upholding the ITC's decision, but has not yet issued a written opinion setting forth the basis of that order. The ITC also ruled that we do not infringe the two other patents at issue ("the `811 and `829" patents). Atmel has appealed that determination. Atmel's appeal brief is due on March 30, 2001, and our brief is due on or before May 9, 2001. There is currently no schedule for oral argument or the final determination of this appeal.

Any final decisions in the ITC action will not be dispositive in the pending lawsuit because Atmel and SST can still pursue their claims in the District Court action. The District Court has scheduled a hearing for December 15, 2001, to set a trial date. We intend to vigorously defend ourselves against these actions.

13

### Winbond Electronics

On October 1, 2000, we announced a settlement in our lawsuit with Winbond Electronics of Taiwan. We filed a lawsuit against Winbond in July 1998 in the U.S. District Court in San Jose, California pursuant to the termination of our SuperFlash technology licensing agreement with Winbond. As part of the settlement, Winbond agreed to a consent judgment and will not contest the validity and appropriateness of our termination of the licensing agreement in June 1998. This settlement concludes all litigation between us and Winbond.

From time to time, we are also involved in other legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business. While we have accrued certain amounts for the estimated legal costs associated with defending these matters, there can be no assurance the Atmel complaint or other third party assertions will be resolved without costly litigation, in a manner that is not adverse to our financial position, results of operations or cash flows or without requiring royalty payments in the future which may adversely impact gross margins. No estimate can be made of the possible loss or possible range of loss associated with the resolution of these contingencies.

### Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

No matters were submitted during the fourth quarter to a vote of security holders.

## PART II

## Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Stock and Related Shareholder Matters

## Price Range of Common Stock

The principal U.S. market for our Common Stock is The Nasdaq Stock Market's National Market. The only class of our securities that is traded is our Common Stock. Our Common Stock has traded on The Nasdaq Stock Market's National Market since November 21, 1995, under the symbol SSTI. The following table sets forth the quarterly high and low closing sales prices of the Common Stock for the period indicated as reported by The Nasdaq Stock Market. These prices do not include retail mark-ups, mark-downs, or commissions. The closing sales price of SST's Common Stock on December 29, 2000, the last trading day in 2000, was \$11.8125.

1999:		High Close	Low Close
-----		-----	-----
First Quarter:	January 1 - March 31, 1999	\$ 1.354	\$ 0.802
Second Quarter:	April 1 - June 30, 1999	2.500	1.208
Third Quarter:	July 1 - September 30, 1999	5.958	2.365
Fourth Quarter:	October 1 - December 31, 1999	15.375	4.708
2000:		High Close	Low Close
-----		-----	-----
First Quarter:	January 1 - March 31, 2000	\$ 27.458	\$ 9.854
Second Quarter:	April 1 - June 30, 2000	36.083	20.330
Third Quarter:	July 1 - September 30, 2000	34.063	18.604
Fourth Quarter:	October 1 - December 31, 2000	27.375	10.125
2001:		High Close	Low Close
-----		-----	-----
First Quarter:	January 1 - March 12, 2001	\$ 19.000	\$ 9.313

## Approximate Number of Equity Security Holders

As of December 31, 2000, there were approximately 79,000 record holders of our Common Stock.

## Dividends

We have never paid a cash dividend on our Common Stock and we intend to continue to retain earnings, if any, to finance future growth. Accordingly, we do not anticipate the payment of cash dividends to holders of Common Stock in the foreseeable future. In addition, our line of credit does not permit the payment of dividends.

## Item 6. Selected Consolidated Financial Data

The following selected consolidated financial data should be read in conjunction with "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and the Consolidated Financial Statements and the notes thereto included elsewhere in this report. The statements of operations data for the years ended December 31, 1998, 1999 and 2000 and the balance sheet data at

## Edgar Filing: SILICON STORAGE TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10-K

December 31, 1999 and 2000 are derived from, and should be read in conjunction with, the audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included elsewhere in this report. The statements of operations data for the year ended December 31, 1996 and 1997 and the balance sheet data at December 31, 1996, 1997 and 1998 are derived from audited financial statements not included in this report. The results of operations are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for future periods.

	Year Ended December 31			1999
	1996	1997	1998	
	(in thousands, except per share amounts)			
<b>Consolidated Statements of Operations Data:</b>				
<b>Net revenues:</b>				
Product revenues	\$90,638	\$73,796	\$66,875	\$100,000
License revenues	2,652	1,526	2,536	-
Total net revenues	93,290	75,322	69,411	100,000
Cost of revenues	59,494	62,747	62,703	-
Gross profit	33,796	12,575	6,708	-
<b>Operating expenses:</b>				
Research and development	6,948	8,744	14,527	-
Sales and marketing	5,292	6,587	7,290	-
General and administrative	3,370	9,479	4,592	-
In-process research and development	-	-	-	-
Total operating expenses	15,610	24,810	26,409	-
Income (loss) from operations	18,186	(12,235)	(19,701)	-
Interest and other income, net	1,763	2,146	1,573	-
Interest expense	-	-	(31)	-
Income (loss) before provision for (benefit from) income taxes	19,949	(10,089)	(18,159)	-
Provision for (benefit from) income taxes	7,598	(3,165)	(571)	-
Net income (loss)	\$12,351	(\$6,924)	(\$17,588)	-
Net income (loss) per share - basic	\$0.18	(\$0.10)	(\$0.26)	-
Net income (loss) per share - diluted	\$0.16	(\$0.10)	(\$0.26)	-
<b>Consolidated Balance Sheet Data:</b>				
Total assets	\$ 80,914	\$ 82,539	\$ 56,138	\$ 100,000
Long-term obligations	\$ 71	\$ 66	\$ 663	-
Shareholders' equity	\$ 64,788	\$ 55,889	\$ 38,030	\$ 100,000



## Edgar Filing: SILICON STORAGE TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10-K

Except for the historical information contained herein, the following discussion contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. All forward-looking statements included in this document are based on information available to us on the date hereof, and we assume no obligation to update any such forward-looking statements. Our actual results could differ materially from those discussed. Factors that could cause or contribute to such differences include, but are not limited to, those discussed below under the heading "Business Risks", as well as those discussed elsewhere in this report.

### Overview

We are a leading supplier of flash memory semiconductor devices for the digital consumer, networking, wireless communication and Internet computing markets.

The semiconductor industry has historically been cyclical, characterized by wide fluctuations in product supply and demand. From time to time, the industry has also experienced significant downturns, often in connection with, or in anticipation of, maturing product cycles and declines in general economic conditions. Downturns of this type occurred in 1996, 1997 and 1998. These downturns have been characterized by weakening product demand, production over-capacity and accelerated decline of selling prices, and in some cases have lasted for more than a year. We recently began to experience a sharp downturn in several of our markets late in the fourth quarter of 2000, as our customers reacted to weakening demand for their products. To date, market conditions have not improved during early 2001 and our customers have continued to return product, cancel backlog and/or push out shipments. Our business could be harmed by industry-wide fluctuations in the future.

We derived 77.6% of our product revenues for 2000 and 80.8% of our product revenues during 1999 from product shipments to Asia. Additionally, all of our major wafer suppliers and packaging and testing subcontractors are located in Asia. During 1998 and 1997, several Asian countries where we do business, including Japan, Taiwan and Korea, experienced severe currency fluctuation and economic deflation, which negatively impacted our revenues and, therefore, our ability to collect payments from these customers. In September 1999, Taiwan experienced a major earthquake. The resulting disruption to the manufacturing operations in the wafer foundries and assembly and testing subcontractors that we use in Taiwan harmed our revenues and operating results during the third and fourth quarters of 1999.

Our product sales are made primarily using short-term cancelable purchase orders. The quantities actually purchased by the customer, as well as shipment schedules, are frequently revised to reflect changes in the customer's needs and in our supply of product. Accordingly, our backlog of open purchase orders at any given time is not a meaningful indicator of future sales. Changes in the amount of our backlog do not necessarily reflect a corresponding change in the level of actual or potential sales.

Sales to customers and foreign stocking representatives are recognized upon shipment, net of an allowance for estimated returns. Sales to distributors are made primarily under arrangements allowing price protection and the right of stock rotation on merchandise unsold to customers. Because of the uncertainty associated with pricing concessions and future returns, we defer recognition of such revenues, related costs of revenues and related gross margin until we are notified by the distributor that the merchandise is sold by the distributor.

Most of our technology licenses provide for the payment of up-front license fees and continuing royalties based on product sales. For license and other arrangements for technology that we are continuing to enhance and refine and under which we are obligated to provide unspecified enhancements, revenue is

## Edgar Filing: SILICON STORAGE TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10-K

recognized over the lesser of the estimated period we have historically enhanced and developed refinements to the technology, generally three years, the upgrade period, or the remaining portion of the upgrade period from the date of delivery, provided all specified technology and documentation has been delivered, the fee is fixed or determinable and collection of the fee is probable. From time to time, we reexamine the estimated upgrade period relating to licensed technology to determine if a change in the estimated update period is needed. Revenues from license or other technology arrangements where we are not continuing to enhance and refine the technology or are not obligated to provide unspecified enhancements is recognized upon delivery, if the fee is fixed or determinable and collection of the fee is probable.

17

We recognize royalties received under these arrangements during the upgrade period as revenue based on the ratio of the elapsed portion of the upgrade period to the estimated upgrade period. We recognize the remaining portion of the royalties ratably over the remaining portion of the upgrade period. We recognize royalties received after the upgrade period has elapsed when reported to us, which generally coincides with the receipt of payment.

Results of Operations: Years Ended December 31, 2000, 1999, and 1998

### Net Revenues

Net revenues were \$490.3 million in 2000 compared to \$124.8 million in 1999 and \$69.4 million in 1998. The increase from both 1999 to 2000 and from 1998 to 1999 was due to increased shipment volume of new and existing products and due to our ability to raise prices slightly in the second half of 1999 and 2000. Average selling prices fluctuate due to a number of factors including the overall supply and demand for our products in the marketplace, maturing product cycles and declines in general economic conditions. We experienced a sharp downturn in several of our markets late in the fourth quarter of 2000, as our customers reacted to weakening demand for their products. To date, market conditions have not improved during early 2001 and customers have continued to return product, cancel backlog, and/or push out shipments.

Product Revenues. Product revenues were \$475.3 million in 2000, \$118.2 million in 1999 and \$66.9 million in 1998. The increase from both 1999 to 2000 and from 1998 to 1999 was primarily due to shipments of new products introduced in the second half of 1998 and during 1999 and 2000. Shipping volumes fluctuate due to overall industry supply and demand. Product revenues decreased slightly on a quarterly basis during the fourth quarter of 2000 due to lower shipping levels and increases in sales return reserves during the fourth quarter. Our allowance for sales returns increased \$8.2 million due primarily to anticipated product returns related to changing market conditions at the end of 2000.

License Revenues. Revenues from license fees and royalties were \$14.9 million in 2000, \$6.6 million in 1999 and \$2.5 million in 1998. The increase from 1999 to 2000 was primarily due to \$10.4 million in license fee received as part of a legal settlement. We anticipated another \$5.0 million to be paid under this legal settlement in each quarter of 2001. The increase from 1998 to 1999 was primarily due to recognition of up-front fees paid by licensees and an increase in the number of licensees from 1998. We anticipate that license revenues will fluctuate significantly in the future.

### Gross Profit

Gross profit was \$226.1 million, or 46.1% of net revenues, in 2000, \$30.1 million, or 24.2% of net revenues, in 1999, and \$6.7 million, or 9.7% of net revenues, in 1998. Gross profit increased across all periods due to increased

## Edgar Filing: SILICON STORAGE TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10-K

shipments of existing cost-reduced products, increased shipments of new, higher margin products, and increased average selling prices on both older and newer products. We also wrote down \$4.3 million of inventory related to products that we determined to be excess or obsolete during 2000.

### Operating Expenses

Our operating expenses consist of research and development, sales and marketing, and general and administrative expenses. Operating expenses were \$88.4 million, or 18.0% of net revenues, in 2000, as compared to \$34.6 million or 27.7% of net revenues, in 1999, and \$26.4 million, or 38.0% of net revenues, in 1998. The increase in absolute dollars from 1999 to 2000 was primarily due to increased profit sharing with employees, increased commissions, and increased salaries and benefits due to hiring additional personnel. The increase in absolute dollars from 1998 to 1999 was primarily due to increased commissions and increased salaries and benefits due to hiring additional personnel. We anticipate that we will continue to devote substantial resources to research and development, sales and marketing and to general and administrative, and that these expenses will continue to increase in absolute dollar amounts.

18

Research and development. Research and development expenses include costs associated with the development of new products, enhancements to existing products, quality assurance activities and occupancy costs. These costs consist primarily of employee salaries, benefits and the cost of materials such as wafers and masks. Research and development expenses were \$41.5 million, or 8.5% of net revenues, during 2000, as compared to \$18.2 million, or 14.6% of net revenues, during 1999 and \$14.5 million, or 20.9% of net revenues during 1998. Research and development expenses increased 128.2% from 1999 primarily due to an \$8.5 million expense for profit sharing and expenses related to increased engineering headcount, materials costs and occupancy costs. Research and development expenses increased 25.3% from 1998 due to increased personnel costs, consisting of salaries, payroll taxes, and benefits, increased wafer, mask and tooling charges for new product development, and increased occupancy costs. We expect research and development expenses to continue to increase in absolute dollars.

Sales and marketing. Sales and marketing expenses consist primarily of commissions to stocking representatives, personnel costs, and occupancy costs, as well as travel and entertainment and promotional expenses. Sales and marketing expenses were \$28.0 million, or 5.7% of net revenues, in 2000 as compared to \$10.6 million, or 8.5% of net revenues, in 1999 and \$7.3 million, or 10.5% of net revenues, during 1998. Sales and marketing expenses in 2000 increased 164.4% from 1999 and increased 45.1% in 1999 from 1998 due to increased commissions owed on higher product revenues, increased personnel costs, and increased building occupancy costs due to the lease of additional space. We expect sales and marketing expense to increase in absolute dollars as we continue to expand our sales and marketing efforts. In addition, fluctuations in revenues will cause fluctuations in sales and marketing expense as it impacts our commissions expense.

General and administrative. General and administrative expenses consist of salaries for administrative, executive and finance personnel, recruiting costs, professional services and legal fees and allowances for doubtful accounts. General and administrative expenses were \$15.0 million, or 3.1% of net revenues, in 2000 as compared to \$3.8 million, or 3.0% of net revenues, in 1999 and \$4.6 million, or 6.6% of net revenues, during 1998. Expenses increased 293.8% from 1999 to 2000 due to increased legal, personnel, occupancy expenses and depreciation expense associated with our new Oracle ERP system, which we implemented in January 2000. General and administrative expenses decreased from

## Edgar Filing: SILICON STORAGE TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10-K

\$4.6 million to \$3.8 million from 1998 to 1999 due to the reversal of certain legal accruals associated with the settlement of our lawsuit with Intel in 1999. Our allowance for bad debt increased \$477,000 due to the increase of our accounts receivable from 1999 to 2000. We anticipate that general and administrative expenses will continue to increase in absolute dollar amount as we scale our facilities, infrastructure, and head count to support our overall expected growth. We may also incur additional expenses in connection with the Atmel litigation. For further information on this litigation see "Legal Proceedings."

In-process Research and Development Charge. A charge of \$3.9 million, or 0.8% of net revenues, in 2000, relates to the expense for in-process research and development incurred during the acquisition of Agate Semiconductor Inc. Refer also to Note 7 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements. The fair value of Agate's patents, workforce, and the technology currently under development was determined by an independent appraiser using the income approach for the patents and technology and the cost approach for the workforce. The income approach discounts expected future cash flows to present value. The discount rates used in the present value calculations were derived from a weighted average cost of capital analysis, adjusted upward by a premium of 20% to reflect additional risks inherent in the development life cycle. We believe that the pricing model related to this acquisition is consistent within the high-technology industry. We do not expect to achieve a material amount of the expense reductions or synergies as a result of integrating the acquired in-process technology. Therefore the valuation assumptions do not include anticipated cost savings. In-process research and development valued at \$3.9 million consisted of a single project to develop a high-density multiple-bit-per-cell flash memory chip targeted for high-capacity, low cost applications such as digital cameras, MP3 players, cellular telephones and pagers. At the time of the acquisition the estimated cost to complete the project was \$2 to \$3 million and the chip was approximately 50% complete. The risk adjusted discount rate relating to in-process technology determined by the independent appraiser to be 40.5%.

19

We expect that the multiple-bit-per-cell chip will be completed and begin to generate cash flows within 12 to 18 months from the date of the acquisition. However, development of the multiple-bit-per-cell remains a significant risk due to the remaining effort to achieve technical viability, rapidly changing customer markets, uncertain standards for new products and significant competitive threats from numerous companies. The nature of the efforts to develop the multiple-bit-per-cell chip into a commercially viable product consists principally of planning, designing and testing activities necessary to determine that the multiple-bit-per-cell chip can meet market expectations, including functionality and technical requirements. Failure to bring the multiple-bit-per-cell chip to market in a timely manner could result in a lost opportunity to capitalize on emerging markets. Failure to achieve the expected levels of revenues and net income from the multiple-bit-per-cell chip will negatively impact the return on the investment expected at the time of the acquisition and potentially result in impairment of other assets related to the development activities.

A charge of \$2.0 million, or 2% of net revenues, in 1999, relates to the expense for in-process research and development incurred during the acquisition of Linvex Technology Corporation. Refer also to the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements. The fair value of Linvex' core technology, existing products, as well as the technology currently under development was determined by an independent appraiser using the income approach, which discounts expected future cash flows to present value. The discount rates used in the present value calculations were derived from a weighted average cost of capital analysis,

## Edgar Filing: SILICON STORAGE TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10-K

adjusted upward by a premium of 5% to reflect additional risks inherent in the development life cycle. We expect that the pricing model related to this acquisition will be considered standard within the high-technology industry. We do not expect to achieve a material amount of expense reductions or synergies as a result of integrating the acquired in-process technology. Therefore the valuation assumptions do not include anticipated cost savings. In process research and development valued at \$2.0 million consisted of a single project to combine flash and SRAM memory on a single chip (the ComboMemory chip). At the time of the acquisition the estimated cost to complete the ComboMemory chip was \$1.1 million and the chip was approximately 42% complete. The risk adjusted discount rate relating to in-process technology was 40%. During late 2000 and early 2001, the ComboMemory chip was completed and began to generate cash flows. However, if we fail to achieve the expected levels of revenues and net income from the ComboMemory chip, this could negatively impact the return on investment expected at the time of the acquisition and potentially result in impairment of other assets related to the development activities.

Actions and comments regarding other companies from the Securities and Exchange Commission have indicated that they are reviewing the current valuation methodology of purchased in-process research and development relating to acquisitions. The Commission is concerned that some companies are writing off more of the value of an acquisition than is appropriate. We believe that we are in compliance with all of the rules and related guidance as they currently exist. However, the Commission may seek to reduce the amount of purchased in-process research and development we have previously expensed. This would result in the restatement of our previously filed financial statement and could have a material negative impact on the financial results for the period subsequent to the acquisition.

Interest Income. Interest income was approximately \$9.9 million, or 2.0% of net revenues, during 2000, as compared to \$714,000, or 0.6% of net revenues, during 1999, and \$1.5 million, or 2.2% of net revenues, during 1998. Interest income increased from 1999 to 2000 due to the receipt of cash proceeds from a follow-on public offering and private placement, which closed on March 27, 2000, and the underwriters exercise of an over-allotment option which closed April 13, 2000. Interest income decreased from 1998 to 1999 due to a decrease in cash during that period.

Interest Expense. Interest expense was approximately \$691,000 during 2000 as compared to \$214,000 during 1999 and \$31,000 during 1998. Interest expense relates to borrowing prior to the completion of a follow-on public offering and to fee activity under our line of credit. Interest expense increased each year due to increased borrowing under our line of credit. Interest expense charges will continue in order to maintain the line of credit facility.

20

Other income, net. Other income of \$630,000 in 2000 consists primarily of the receipt of a dividend of approximately \$569,000 from an investee company during the fourth quarter of 2000.

### Provision for (Benefit from) Income Taxes

The provision for (benefit from) income taxes was approximately \$41.8 million in 2000, approximately \$88,000 in 1999 and (\$571,000) in 1998. During 1998, we created a valuation allowance because the cumulative net operating losses incurred exceeded the amount of tax carry back available. During 2000 we reversed the entire allowance. This reversal was due to our increased earnings and was based on management's assessment that it is more likely than not that all the net deferred tax assets will be realized through future taxable earnings. See Note 8 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

## Edgar Filing: SILICON STORAGE TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10-K

### Segment Reporting

Our business has two reportable segments: flash products and technology licensing. Flash products comprise our standard memory products, our application specific memory products, flash-embedded controllers, mass storage products and special products. Technology licensing comprises design service fees, technical consultation fees, license fees and royalties earned through technology agreements that we have with wafer foundries and manufacturers for non-competing applications.

The table below presents information about reported segments for the three years ended December 31:

	2000 (in thousands):		
	Flash Products	Technology Licensing	Total
Revenues	\$ 475,316	\$ 14,945	\$ 490,261
Gross profits	\$ 211,177	\$ 14,945	\$ 226,122
	1999 (in thousands):		
	Flash Products	Technology Licensing	Total
Revenues	\$ 118,242	\$ 6,552	\$ 124,794
Gross profits	\$ 23,590	\$ 6,552	\$ 30,142
	1998 (in thousands):		
	Flash Products	Technology Licensing	Total
Revenues	\$ 66,875	\$ 2,536	\$ 69,411
Gross profits	\$ 4,172	\$ 2,536	\$ 6,708

### Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In June 1998, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued SFAS No. 133, "Accounting for Derivatives and Hedging Activities." SFAS No. 133 establishes accounting and reporting standards for derivative instruments, including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts, and for hedging activities. In July 1999, the FASB issued SFAS No. 137, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities--Deferral of the Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 133," which deferred the effective date until the first fiscal year beginning after June 15, 2000. In June 2000, the FASB issued SFAS Statement No. 138, "Accounting for Certain Derivative Instruments and Certain Hedging Activities--an Amendment of SFAS 133." SFAS No. 138 amends certain terms and conditions of SFAS 133. SFAS 133 requires that all derivative instruments be recognized at fair value as either assets or liabilities in the statement of financial position. The accounting for changes in the fair value (i.e., gains or losses) of a derivative instrument depends on whether it has been designated and qualifies as part of a hedging relationship and further, on the type of hedging relationship. We will adopt SFAS No. 133, as amended, in our quarter ending

## Edgar Filing: SILICON STORAGE TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10-K

March 31, 2001. The adoption of SFAS No. 133 will not have a material impact on our financial statements.

### Liquidity and Capital Resources

Operating activities. Cash provided by operations was \$43.5 million in 2000 and relates primarily to net income of \$105.7 million offset by accounts receivable increase of \$81.6 million and an increase in accounts receivable from related parties of \$14.4 million, all due to increased sales in 2000. Increases in trade accounts payable of \$20.0 million, trade payables to related parties of \$7.3 million, and accrued expenses of \$28.5 million and deferred revenue of \$11.1 million were offset by increases in inventory of \$47.8 million and increases in other current and noncurrent assets of \$11.6 million. Cash used in operations was \$35.8 million in 1999 and relates primarily to the increase in accounts receivable and accounts receivable from related parties of \$26.4 million and the increase in inventories of \$24.7 million due to the production ramp, offset in part by an \$8.4 million increase in trade accounts payable.

Investing activities. Cash used in investing activities of \$173.1 million during 2000 consisted primarily of investments of cash in available-for-sale marketable securities. We also made strategic equity investments in privately held companies which are either subcontractors to our production process or customers; we also acquired equipment, invested in enterprise resource planning software, and made leasehold improvements. Cash used in investing activities during 1999 consisted primarily of \$7.9 million to acquire test equipment, furniture and fixtures. Planned capital spending for 2001 is currently approximately \$27.0 million to be used primarily for test equipment and design engineering tools for research and development, information systems infrastructure, and leasehold improvements.

On March 6, 2001, we invested \$50.0 million in Shanghai Grace Semiconductor Manufacturing Corporation (GSMC). GSMC is a foreign-funded wafer foundry project and will be located in Shanghai, P.R.C.

Financing activities. Our financing activities provided cash of approximately \$237.5 million during 2000. The cash provided was primarily from the issuance of common stock for \$257.5 million and primarily relates to net proceeds from a follow-on public offering in which we issued and sold 12,075,000 shares of common stock, a private placement in which we issued and sold 504,000 shares of common stock, and \$3.8 million from common stock issued under the employee stock purchase plan and the exercise of employee stock options, offset by the repayment of our entire line of credit at the end of March, 2000. Cash provided by financing activities during 1999 consisted primarily of borrowings under the line of credit of \$42.2 million offset by payments of \$22.9 million under that same line.

22

Principal sources of liquidity at December 31, 2000 consisted of \$249.0 million of cash, cash equivalents, and short-term marketable securities and the line of credit. As of December 31, 2000 we had no borrowing on our line of credit as this credit facility was paid off during March, 2000. However we continue to have access to this facility should we need it. As of December 31, 2000, our line of credit was for \$25 million. This agreement expires in September 2002. Borrowing is limited to 80.0% of eligible world-wide accounts receivable and is also reduced by any letters of credit issued under a \$25 million subagreement to this line. Therefore, as of December 31, 2000, our actual credit available under this line was approximately \$4.0 million. The line bears interest at a rate of the bank's reference rate (9.5% at December 31, 2000) plus 0.5%. There is a minimum interest rate of 6.0%. We are required to

## Edgar Filing: SILICON STORAGE TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10-K

maintain specified levels of tangible net worth. Under the agreement we are not permitted to pay a dividend. We must pay an unused line fee at the annual rate of one quarter of one percent on the unused portion. As of December 31, 2000, we were in compliance with the covenants of this agreement. Subsequent to December 31, 2000 the line of credit was increased to \$35 million.

Purchase Commitments. We have committed to pay \$50.0 million in 2001, subject to certain business conditions, to secure increased wafer capacity in 2001 and 2002.

We believe that our cash balances, together with funds expected to be generated from operations and the line of credit availability, will be sufficient to meet our projected working capital and other cash requirements through at least the next twelve months. However, there can be no assurance that future events will not require us to seek additional borrowings or capital and, if so required, that such borrowing or capital will be available on acceptable terms.

23

### Business Risks

#### Risks Related to Our Business

Our operating results fluctuate significantly, and an unanticipated decline in revenues may disappoint securities analysts or investors and result in a decline in our stock price.

Our recent growth may not be sustainable, and you should not use our past financial performance to predict future operating results. Although we were profitable in 2000, we incurred net losses in fiscal 1997, 1998 and 1999. Our recent quarterly and annual operating results have fluctuated, and will continue to fluctuate, due to the following factors, all of which are difficult to forecast and many of which are out of our control:

- o the availability, timely delivery and cost of wafers from our suppliers;
- o competitive pricing pressures and related changes in selling prices;
- o fluctuations in manufacturing yields and significant yield losses;
- o new product announcements and introductions of competing products by us or our competitors;
- o product obsolescence;
- o lower of cost or market inventory adjustments;
- o changes in demand for, or in the mix of, our products;
- o the gain or loss of significant customers;
- o market acceptance of products utilizing our SuperFlash(R) technology;
- o changes in the channels through which our products are distributed and the timeliness of receipt of distributor resale information;
- o exchange rate fluctuations;
- o general economic, political and environmental-related conditions, such as natural disasters;
- o difficulties in forecasting, planning and management of inventory levels;
- o unanticipated research and development expenses associated with new product introductions; and
- o the timing of significant orders and of license and royalty revenue.

A downturn in the market for products such as personal computers and cellular telephones that incorporate our products can also harm our operating results.



## Edgar Filing: SILICON STORAGE TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10-K

Our operating expenses are relatively fixed, and we order materials in advance of anticipated customer demand. Therefore, we have limited ability to reduce expenses quickly in response to any revenue shortfalls.

Our operating expenses are relatively fixed, and we therefore have limited ability to reduce expenses quickly in response to any revenue shortfalls. Consequently, our operating results will be harmed if our revenues do not meet our revenue projections. We may experience revenue shortfalls for the following reasons:

- o sudden drops in consumer demand which causes customers to cancel backlog, push out shipment schedules, or reduce new orders, possibly due to a slowing economy or inventory corrections among our customers;
- o significant declines in selling prices that occur because of competitive price pressure during an over-supply market environment;
- o sudden shortages of raw materials or fabrication, test or assembly capacity constraints that lead our suppliers to allocate available supplies or capacity to other customers which, in turn, harm our ability to meet our sales obligations; and
- o the reduction, rescheduling or cancellation of customer orders.

In addition, we typically plan our production and inventory levels based on internal forecasts of customer demand, which are highly unpredictable and can fluctuate substantially. From time to time, in response to anticipated long lead times to obtain inventory and materials from our outside suppliers and foundries, we may order materials in advance of anticipated customer demand. This advance ordering may result in excess inventory levels or unanticipated inventory write-downs if expected orders fail to materialize.

24

Cancellations or rescheduling of backlog may result in lower future revenue and harm our business.

Due to possible customer changes in delivery schedules and cancellations of orders, our backlog at any particular date is not necessarily indicative of actual sales for any succeeding period. A reduction of backlog during any particular period, or the failure of our backlog to result in future revenue, could harm our business. We experienced a sharp downturn in several of our markets late in the fourth quarter of 2000, as our customers reacted to weakening demand for their products. To date, market conditions have not improved during early 2001 and our customers have continued to return product, cancel backlog and/or push out shipments. Our business could be harmed by industry-wide fluctuations in the future.

We depend on a limited number of foreign foundries to manufacture our products, and these foundries may not be able to satisfy our manufacturing requirements, which could cause our revenues to decline.

We outsource all of our manufacturing with the exception of limited testing activities. We currently buy all of our wafers and sorted die from a limited number of suppliers. Substantially all of our products are manufactured by four foundries, Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Co., Ltd., in Taiwan, Sanyo Electric Co., Ltd., in Japan, Seiko-Epson Corp. in Japan, and Samsung Electronics Ltd. in Korea. We anticipate that these foundries, together with National Semiconductor Corporation in the United States and Vanguard International Semiconductor Corporation in Taiwan, will manufacture the majority of our products in 2001. On March 6, 2001, we invested \$50.0 million in Shanghai

## Edgar Filing: SILICON STORAGE TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10-K

Grace Semiconductor Manufacturing Corporation (GSMC). GSMC is a foreign-funded wafer foundry project which will be located in Shanghai, P.R.C. If these suppliers fail to satisfy our requirements on a timely basis and at competitive prices we could suffer manufacturing delays, a possible loss of revenues or higher than anticipated costs of revenues, any of which could harm our operating results.

Our revenues may be impacted by our ability to obtain adequate wafer supplies from our foundries. The foundries with which we currently have arrangements, together with any additional foundry at which capacity might be obtained, may not be willing or able to satisfy all of our manufacturing requirements on a timely basis at favorable prices. In addition, we have encountered delays in qualifying new products and in ramping new product production and could experience these delays in the future. We are also subject to the risks of service disruptions, raw material shortages and price increases by the foundries. Such disruptions, shortages and price increases could harm our operating results.

If we are unable to increase our manufacturing capacity, our revenues may decline.

In order to grow, we need to increase our present manufacturing capacity. Events that we have not foreseen could arise which would limit our capacity. We are continually engaged in attempting to secure additional manufacturing capacity to support our long-term growth. In the longer term we may determine that it is necessary to invest substantial capital in order to secure appropriate production capacity commitments. If we cannot secure additional manufacturing capacity on acceptable terms, our ability to grow will be impaired and our operating results will be harmed.

Our cost of revenues may increase if we are required to purchase manufacturing capacity in the future.

To obtain additional manufacturing capacity, we may be required to make deposits, equipment purchases, loans, joint ventures, equity investments or technology licenses in or with wafer fabrication companies. These transactions could involve a commitment of substantial amounts of our capital and technology licenses in return for production capacity. We may be required to seek additional debt or equity financing if we need substantial capital in order to secure this capacity and we cannot assure you that we will be able to obtain such financing.

25

If our foundries fail to achieve acceptable wafer manufacturing yields, we will experience higher costs of revenues and reduced product availability.

The fabrication of our products requires wafers to be produced in a highly controlled and ultra-clean environment. Semiconductor companies that supply our wafers sometimes have experienced problems achieving acceptable wafer manufacturing yields. Semiconductor manufacturing yields are a function of both our design technology and the foundry's manufacturing process technology. Low yields may result from marginal design or manufacturing process drift. Yield problems may not be identified until the wafers are well into the production process, which often makes them difficult, time consuming and costly to correct. Furthermore we rely on independent foreign foundries for our wafers which increases the effort and time required to identify, communicate and resolve manufacturing yield problems. If our foundries fail to achieve acceptable manufacturing yields, we will experience higher costs of revenues and reduced product availability, which would harm our operating results.

## Edgar Filing: SILICON STORAGE TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10-K

If our foundries discontinue the manufacturing processes needed to meet our demands, or fail to upgrade the technologies needed to manufacture our products, we may face production delays and lower revenues.

Our wafer and product requirements typically represent a small portion of the total production of the foundries that manufacture our products. As a result, we are subject to the risk that a foundry will cease production on an older or lower-volume manufacturing process that it uses to produce our parts. Additionally, we cannot be certain our foundries will continue to devote resources to advance the process technologies on which the manufacturing of our products is based. Each of these events could increase our costs and harm our ability to deliver our products on time.

Our dependence on third-party subcontractors to assemble and test our products subjects us to a number of risks, including an inadequate supply of products and higher costs of materials.

We depend on independent subcontractors to assemble and test our products. Our reliance on these subcontractors involves the following significant risks:

- o reduced control over delivery schedules and quality;
- o the potential lack of adequate capacity during periods of strong demand;
- o difficulties selecting and integrating new subcontractors;
- o limited warranties on products supplied to us;
- o potential increases in prices due to capacity shortages and other factors;
- and
- o potential misappropriation of our intellectual property.

These risks may lead to increased costs, delayed product delivery or loss of competitive advantage, which would harm our profitability and customer relationships.

Because our flash memory products typically have lengthy sales cycles, we may experience substantial delays between incurring expenses related to research and development and the generation of revenues.

Due to the flash memory product cycle we usually require more than nine months to realize volume shipments after we first contact a customer. We first work with customers to achieve a design win, which may take three months or longer. Our customers then complete the design, testing and evaluation process and begin to ramp up production, a period which typically lasts an additional six months or longer. As a result, a significant period of time may elapse between our research and development efforts and our realization of revenue, if any, from volume purchasing of our products by our customers.

We face intense competition from companies with significantly greater financial, technical and marketing resources that could harm sales of our products.

We compete with major domestic and international semiconductor companies, many of which have substantially greater financial, technical, marketing, distribution, and other resources than we do. Many of our

competitors have their own facilities for the production of semiconductor memory components and have recently added significant capacity for such production. Our memory products, which presently account for substantially all of our revenues, compete principally against products offered by Intel, Advanced Micro Devices, Atmel, STMicroelectronics, Sanyo, Winbond Electronics and Macronix. If we are successful in developing our high density products, these products will compete principally with products offered by Intel, Advanced Micro Devices,

## Edgar Filing: SILICON STORAGE TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10-K

Fujitsu, Hitachi, Sharp, Samsung Semiconductor, SanDisk and Toshiba, as well as any new entrants to the market.

In addition, we may in the future experience direct competition from our foundry partners. We have licensed to our foundry partners the right to fabricate products based on our technology and circuit design, and to sell such products worldwide, subject to our receipt of royalty payments.

Competition may also come from alternative technologies such as ferroelectric random access memory, or FRAM, or other developing technologies.

Our markets are subject to rapid technological change and, therefore, our success depends on our ability to develop and introduce new products.

The markets for our products are characterized by:

- o rapidly changing technologies;
- o evolving and competing industry standards;
- o changing customer needs;
- o frequent new product introductions and enhancements;
- o increased integration with other functions; and
- o rapid product obsolescence.

To develop new products for our target markets, we must develop, gain access to and use leading technologies in a cost-effective and timely manner and continue to expand our technical and design expertise. In addition, we must have our products designed into our customers' future products and maintain close working relationships with key customers in order to develop new products that meet their changing needs.

In addition, products for communications applications are based on continually evolving industry standards. Our ability to compete will depend on our ability to identify and ensure compliance with these industry standards. As a result, we could be required to invest significant time and effort and incur significant expense to redesign our products and ensure compliance with relevant standards. We believe that products for these applications will encounter intense competition and be highly price sensitive. While we are currently developing and introducing new products for these applications, we cannot assure you that these products will reach the market on time, will satisfactorily address customer needs, will be sold in high volume, or will be sold at profitable margins.

We cannot assure you that we will be able to identify new product opportunities successfully, develop and bring to market new products, achieve design wins or respond effectively to new technological changes or product announcements by our competitors. In addition, we may not be successful in developing or using new technologies or in developing new products or product enhancements that achieve market acceptance. Our pursuit of necessary technological advances may require substantial time and expense. Failure in any of these areas could harm our operating results.

Our future success depends in part on the continued service of our key design engineering, sales, marketing and executive personnel and our ability to identify, recruit and retain additional personnel.

We are highly dependent on Bing Yeh, our President and Chief Executive Officer, as well as the other principal members of our management team and engineering staff. There is intense competition for qualified personnel in the semiconductor industry, in particular the highly skilled design, applications and test engineers involved in the development of flash memory technology. Competition is especially intense in Silicon Valley, where our corporate headquarters is located. We may not be able to continue to attract and retain engineers or

other qualified personnel necessary for the development of our business or to replace engineers or other qualified personnel who may leave our employ in the future. Our anticipated growth is expected to place increased demands on our resources and will likely require the addition of new management and engineering personnel and the development of additional expertise by existing management personnel. The failure to recruit and retain key design engineers or other technical and management personnel could harm our business.

Our ability to compete successfully will depend, in part, on our ability to protect our intellectual property rights.

We rely on a combination of patent, trade secrets, copyrights, mask work rights, nondisclosure agreements and other contractual provisions and technical measures to protect our intellectual property rights. Policing unauthorized use of our products, however, is difficult, especially in foreign countries. Litigation may continue to be necessary in the future to enforce our intellectual property rights, to protect our trade secrets, to determine the validity and scope of the proprietary rights of others, or to defend against claims of infringement or invalidity. Litigation could result in substantial costs and diversion of resources and could harm our business, operating results and financial condition regardless of the outcome of the litigation. We own 32 patents in the United States relating to our products and processes, and have filed for several more. In addition, we hold several patents in Europe and Canada, and have filed several foreign patent applications in Europe, Japan, Korea, Taiwan and Canada. We cannot assure you that any pending patent application will be granted. Our operating results could be harmed by the failure to protect our intellectual property.

If we are accused of infringing the intellectual property rights of other parties we may become subject to time-consuming and costly litigation. If we lose, we could suffer a significant impact on our business and be forced to pay damages.

Third parties may assert that our products infringe their proprietary rights, or may assert claims for indemnification resulting from infringement claims against us. Any such claims may cause us to delay or cancel shipment of our products or pay damages which could harm our business, financial condition and results of operations. In addition, irrespective of the validity or the successful assertion of such claims, we could incur significant costs in defending against such claims.

Over the past three years we were sued both by Atmel Corporation and Intel Corporation regarding patent infringement issues and by Winbond Electronics Corporation regarding our contractual relationship with them. Significant management time and financial resources have been devoted to defending these lawsuits. We settled with Intel in May 1999, with Winbond in October 2000, and the Atmel litigation is ongoing.

In addition to the Atmel, Intel and Winbond actions, we receive from time to time, letters or communications from other companies stating that such companies have patent rights which involve our products. Since the design of all of our products is based on SuperFlash technology, any legal finding that the use of our SuperFlash technology infringes the patent of another company would have a significantly negative effect on our entire product line and operating results. Furthermore, if such a finding were made, there can be no assurance that we could license the other company's technology on commercially reasonable terms or that we could successfully operate without such technology. Moreover, if we are found to infringe, we could be required to pay damages to the owner of the protected technology and could be prohibited from making, using, selling, or

## Edgar Filing: SILICON STORAGE TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10-K

importing into the United States any products that infringe the protected technology. In addition, the management attention consumed by and legal cost associated with any litigation could harm our operating results.

Public announcements may hurt our stock price. During the course of lawsuits there may be public announcements of the results of hearings, motions, and other interim proceedings or developments in the litigation. If securities analysts or investors perceive these results to be negative, it could harm the market price of our stock.

Our litigation may be expensive, may be protracted and confidential information may be compromised. Whether or not we are successful in our lawsuit with Atmel, we expect this litigation to consume substantial amounts of our financial and managerial resources. At any time Atmel may file additional claims against us,

28

which could increase the risk, expense and duration of the litigation. Further, because of the substantial amount of discovery required in connection with this type of litigation, there is a risk that some of our confidential information could be compromised by disclosure.

Our business may suffer due to risks associated with international sales and operations.

During 1998, 1999 and 2000, our export product and licensing revenues accounted for approximately 92.7%, 89.1% and 84.3% of our net revenues, respectively. Our international business activities are subject to a number of risks, each of which could impose unexpected costs on us that would harm our operating results. These risks include:

- o difficulties in complying with regulatory requirements and standards;
- o tariffs and other trade barriers;
- o costs and risks of localizing products for foreign countries;
- o reliance on third parties to distribute our products;
- o longer accounts receivable payment cycles;
- o potentially adverse tax consequences;
- o limits on repatriation of earnings; and
- o burdens of complying with a wide variety of foreign laws.

We derived 80.8% and 77.6% of our product revenue from Asia during 1999 and 2000, respectively. Additionally, our major wafer suppliers and assembly and packaging subcontractors are all located in Asia. Any kind of economic, political or environmental instability in this region of the world can have a severe negative impact on our operating results due to the large concentration of our production and sales activities in this region. For example, during 1997 and 1998, several Asian countries where we do business, such as Japan, Taiwan and Korea, experienced severe currency fluctuation and economic deflation, which negatively impacted our total revenues and also negatively impacted our ability to collect payments from these customers. During this period, the lack of capital in the financial sectors of these countries made it difficult for our customers to open letters of credit or other financial instruments that are guaranteed by foreign banks. Finally, the economic situation in this period exacerbated a decline in selling prices for our products as our competitors reduced product prices to generate needed cash.

It should also be noted that we are greatly impacted by the political, economic and military conditions in Taiwan. Taiwan and China are continuously engaged in political disputes and both countries have continued to conduct military exercises in or near the other's territorial waters and airspace. Such disputes may continue and even escalate, resulting in an economic embargo, a disruption

## Edgar Filing: SILICON STORAGE TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10-K

in shipping or even military hostilities. Any of these events could delay production or shipment of our products. Any kind of activity of this nature or even rumors of such activity could harm our operations, revenues, operating results, and stock price.

Because a small number of customer accounts are responsible for a substantial portion of our revenues, our revenues could decline due to the loss of one of these customer accounts.

In the past, more than half of our revenues have come from a small number of customer accounts. For example, product sales to our top 10 customer accounts represented approximately 62.8%, 53.6% and 43.0% of our product revenues for 1998, 1999 and 2000, respectively. During 2000, 7 of our top 10 accounts were stocking representatives, two were domestic distributors and one was an OEM. In 1998, one customer account represented 15.2% of product sales. Another customer account represented 10.7% and 12.4% of product sales in 1998 and 1999, respectively. No single customer account represented 10.0% or more of product revenues during 2000. If we were to lose any of these customer accounts or experience any substantial reduction in orders from these customer accounts, our revenues and operating results would suffer. In addition, the composition of our major customer account base changes from year to year as the market demand for our end customers' products change.

29

We do not typically enter into long-term contracts with our customers, and the loss of a major customer could harm our business.

We do not typically enter into long-term contracts with our customers, and we cannot be certain as to future order levels from our customers. When we do enter into a long-term contract, the contract is generally terminable at the convenience of the customer. An early termination by one of our major customers would harm our financial results as it is unlikely that we would be able to rapidly replace that revenue source.

If an earthquake or other natural disaster strikes our manufacturing facility or those of our suppliers, we would be unable to manufacture our products for a substantial amount of time and we would experience lost revenues.

Our corporate headquarters are located in California near major earthquake faults. In addition, some of our suppliers are located near fault lines. In the event of a major earthquake or other natural disaster near our headquarters, our operations could be harmed. Similarly, a major earthquake or other natural disaster near one or more of our major suppliers, like the one that occurred in Taiwan in September 1999, could disrupt the operations of those suppliers, which could limit the supply of our products and harm our business.

Prolonged electrical power outages or shortages, or increased costs of energy could harm our business.

Our design and process research and development facilities and our corporate offices are located in California, which is currently susceptible to power outages and shortages as well as increased energy costs. To limit this exposure, we are in the process of securing back-up generators and power supplies to our main California facilities. While the majority of our production facilities are not located in California, more extensive power shortages in the state could delay our design and process research and development as well as increase our operating costs.

We depend on stocking representatives and distributors to generate a majority of our revenues.

## Edgar Filing: SILICON STORAGE TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10-K

We rely on stocking representatives and distributors to establish and maintain customer relationships and, at times, to sell our products and these accounts could discontinue their relationship with us or discontinue selling our products at any time. Two of our stocking representatives are responsible for relationships with customers which account for substantially all of our product sales in Taiwan, which were 28.3% and 25.5% of our net product revenues during 1999 and 2000. One stocking representative was responsible for relationships with customers which accounted for substantially all of our sales in China, including Hong Kong, during 1999 and 2000, which accounted for 24.3% and 19.1% of our total product revenues during 1999 and 2000, respectively. The loss of any of these stocking representatives, or any other significant stocking representative or distributor could harm our operating results by impairing our ability to sell our products to these customers.

Our growth continues to place a significant strain on our management systems and resources and if we fail to manage our growth, our ability to market and sell our products and develop new products may be harmed.

Our business is experiencing rapid growth which has strained our internal systems and will require us to continuously develop sophisticated information management systems in order to manage the business effectively. We are currently implementing a supply-chain management system and a vendor electronic data interface system. There is no guarantee that we will be able to implement these new systems in a timely fashion, that in themselves they will be adequate to address our expected growth, or that we will be able to foresee in a timely manner other infrastructure needs before they arise. Our success depends on the ability of our executive officers to effectively manage our growth. If we are unable to manage our growth effectively, our results of operations will be harmed. If we fail to successfully implement new management information systems, our business may suffer severe inefficiencies that may harm the results of our operations.

30

### Risks Related to Our Industry

Our success is dependent on the growth and strength of the flash memory market.

All of our products, as well as all new products currently under design, are stand-alone flash memory devices or devices embedded with flash memory. A memory technology other than SuperFlash may be adopted as an industry standard. Our competitors are generally in a better financial and marketing position than we are from which to influence industry acceptance of a particular memory technology. In particular, a primary source of competition may come from alternative technologies such as FRAM devices if such technology is commercialized for higher density applications. To the extent our competitors are able to promote a technology other than SuperFlash as an industry standard, our business will be seriously harmed.

The selling prices for our products are extremely volatile and have historically declined during periods of over capacity or industry downturns. In addition, the cyclical nature of the semiconductor industry could create fluctuations in our operating results, as we experienced in 1997 and 1998.

The semiconductor industry has historically been cyclical, characterized by wide fluctuations in product supply and demand. From time to time, the industry has also experienced significant downturns, often in connection with, or in anticipation of, maturing product cycles and declines in general economic conditions. Downturns of this type occurred in 1997 and 1998. These downturns have been characterized by diminished product demand, production over-capacity



## Edgar Filing: SILICON STORAGE TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10-K

and accelerated decline of average selling prices, and in some cases have lasted for more than a year. Our business could be harmed by industry-wide fluctuations in the future. The flash memory products portion of the semiconductor industry, from which we derive substantially all of our revenues, continued to suffer from excess capacity in 1996, 1997 and 1998, which resulted in greater than normal declines in our markets, which unfavorably impacted our revenues, gross margins and profitability. While these conditions improved in 1999 and 2000, deteriorating market conditions at the end of 2000 and early 2001 could result in the eventual decline of our selling prices and, if such declines were to resume, our growth and operating results would be harmed.

There is seasonality in our business and if we fail to continue to introduce new products this seasonality may become more pronounced.

Sales of our products in the consumer electronics applications market are subject to seasonality. As a result, sales of these products are impacted by seasonal purchasing patterns with higher sales generally occurring in the second half of each year. In 1999 and the first half of 2000, this seasonality was partially offset by the introduction of new products as we continued to diversify our product offerings. If we fail to continue to introduce new products, our business may suffer and the seasonality of a portion of our sales may become more pronounced.

### Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

We are exposed to risks associated with foreign exchange rate fluctuations due to our international manufacturing and sales activities. These exposures may change over time as business practices evolve could negatively impact our operating results and financial condition. All of our sales are denominated in U.S. dollars. An increase in the value of the U.S. dollar relative to foreign currencies could make our products more expensive and therefore reduce the demand for our products. Such a decline in the demand could reduce revenues and/or result in operating losses. In addition, a downturn in the Japanese economy could impair the value of our investment in our Japanese affiliate, Silicon Technology, Co., Ltd.. If we consider the value of this affiliate in which we have a 11% interest, to be impaired, we would write off, or expense, some or all of our approximately \$939,000 investment. Similarly, a downturn in the economy of Taiwan could impair the value of equity investments made there, which totaled \$18.4 million at December 31, 2000.

At any time, fluctuations in interest rates could effect interest earnings on our cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments, any interest expense owed on the line of credit facility, or the fair value of our investment portfolio. We believe that the effect, if any, of reasonably possible near term changes in interest rates on our financial position, results of operations, and cash flows would not be material. Currently, we do

31

not hedge these interest rate exposures.

### Item 8. Consolidated Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

The consolidated financial statements, together with the report thereon of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, independent accountants, dated January 31, 2001, except for Note 12, which is as of March 6, 2001, are included in a separate section of this Report.

#### Supplementary Data: Selected Consolidated Quarterly Data

The following table presents our unaudited consolidated statements of

## Edgar Filing: SILICON STORAGE TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10-K

operations data for each of the eight quarters in the period ended December 31, 2000. In our opinion, this information has been presented on the same basis as the audited consolidated financial statements included in a separate section of this report, and all necessary adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments, have been included in the amounts below to present fairly the unaudited quarterly results when read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and related notes. The operating results for any quarter should not be relied upon as necessarily indicative of results for any future period. We expect our quarterly operating results to fluctuate in future period due to a variety of reasons, including those discussed in "Business Risks".

	Quarter Ended					
	Mar. 31, 1999	June 30, 1999	Sept. 30, 1999	Dec. 31, 1999	Mar. 31, 2000	June 2000
Net revenues:	(in thousands, except per share data)					
Product revenues	\$17,793	\$20,433	\$32,508	\$47,508	\$61,813	\$102,000
License revenues	535	2,558	2,639	820	501	1,000
Total net revenues	18,328	22,991	35,147	48,328	62,314	103,000
Gross profit	1,349	4,966	9,206	14,621	25,839	46,000
Income (loss) from operations	(6,788)	(3,831)	773	5,402	10,497	27,000
Net income (loss)	(6,577)	(3,630)	448	5,743	9,644	22,000
Net income (loss) per share-basic	(\$0.09)	(\$0.05)	\$0.01	\$0.08	\$0.13	\$0.13
Net income (loss) per share-diluted	(\$0.09)	(\$0.05)	\$0.01	\$0.07	\$0.11	\$0.11

### Quarterly Discussion

**Net revenues.** During 1999 and 2000, units shipped continued to increase and new products began to ship at high volume levels throughout each quarter of 1999 and 2000, except from the third to the fourth quarter of 2000. The decrease during that period was due to lower shipping levels and increases in the allowance for sales returns during the fourth quarter. These adverse market conditions may continue well into 2001. License revenues as a portion of net revenues fluctuated from quarter to quarter and will continue to fluctuate in the future. During the fourth quarter of 2000 \$10.4 million of the \$12.0 million of license revenue recognized was earned as a result of a legal settlement.

**Gross profit.** Gross margin increased in 1999 and 2000 from quarter to quarter due to the recovery of margin on our existing products in the first half of 1999 and the volume shipment of new products with improved gross margin in the second half of 1999 and during 2000.

**Income (loss) from operations.** Income from operations increased during each quarter of 2000 due to increasing shipment volumes of new products with higher margins. Loss from operations decreased in the first half of 1999 and income from operations increased in the second half of 1999 as we returned to profitability in the third quarter of 1999. This has been due to the overall increases in units shipped for both new and existing products and due to selling price increases on our existing products in the second half of 1999.

**Net income (loss) and net income (loss) per share.** Net income increased during each quarter of 2000 due to increasing shipment volumes of new products with higher margins. Net loss decreased in the first half of 1999 and net income increased in the second half of 1999 as we returned to profitability in the

## Edgar Filing: SILICON STORAGE TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10-K

third quarter of

32

1999. This has been due to the overall increases in units shipped for both new and existing products and due to selling price increases on our existing products in the second half of 1999.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

Not applicable.

### PART III

Item 10. Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant

The information required by this item will be contained in our definitive Proxy Statement with respect to our Annual Meeting of Stockholders under the captions "Election of Directors - Nominees," and "Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management - Compliance with the Reporting Requirement of Section 16(a)," and is incorporated by reference into this report. The information relating to our executive officers and directors is contained in Part I, Item 1 of this report.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

The information required by this item will be contained in our definitive Proxy Statement with respect to our Annual Meeting of Stockholders under the caption "Executive Compensation," and is incorporated by reference into this report.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management

The information required by this item will be contained in our definitive Proxy Statement with respect to our Annual Meeting of Stockholders under the caption "Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management," and is incorporated by reference into this report.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions

The information required by this item will be contained in our definitive Proxy Statement with respect to our Annual Meeting of Stockholders under the caption "Certain Transactions," and is incorporated by reference into this report.

33

### PART IV

Item 14. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedule, and Reports on Form 8-K.

- (a)
- (1) Consolidated Financial Statements. The index to the consolidated financial statements is found on page 40 of this Report.
  - (2) Financial Statement Schedule. Financial statement schedule Number II is included.
  - (3) Exhibits. See Exhibit Index in part (c), below.

## Edgar Filing: SILICON STORAGE TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10-K

- (b) Reports on Form 8-K. A report on Form 8-K was filed on October 3, 2000.
- (c) Index to Exhibits.

Exhibit Number	Description of Document
3.2 ++	Bylaws of SST.
3.4 +	Form of Restated Articles of Incorporation of SST to be effective upon the closing of the offering, dated November 3, 1995.
3.5 ++	Certificate of Amendment of the Restated Articles of Incorporation of Silicon Storage Technology, Inc.
3.6	Amendment No. 1 to Rights Agreement
4.1 +	Reference is made to Exhibits 3.2.
10.1 +	Equity Incentive Plan and related agreements.
10.2 +	1990 Stock Option Plan and related agreements.
10.3 +	Employee Stock Purchase Plan.
10.4 +	1995 Non-Employee Director's Stock Option Plan.
10.5 +	Profit Sharing Plan.
10.6 +	Lease Agreement between SST and Sonora Court Properties, dated May 4, 1993, as amended.
10.7 +	Lease Agreement between SST and Coast Properties, dated May 4, 1995, as amended.
10.13++	Documents relating to investment in Japanese company.
10.15++	License Agreement between SST and Seiko Epson Corporation dated March 31, 1996.
10.16++	License Agreement between SST and Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Co., Ltd. dated February 26, 1997.
10.17++	Lease amendment, dated March 4, 1998, between SST and Sonora Court Properties.
10.18++	Lease Amendment, dated March 4, 1998, between SST and Coast Properties.

- + Previously filed as an Exhibit to the Registration Statement filed on Form S-1 (33-97802) and incorporated by reference herein.
- ++ Previously filed as an Exhibit to Form 10-K or Form 10-Q and incorporated by reference herein.
- \* Confidential treatment has been requested for portions of this exhibit.

Exhibit Number	Description of Document
10.20++	Loan and Security Agreement amendment between SST and Foothill Capital Corporation dated December 8, 1998.
10.21++	0.25 Micron Agreement between SST and Motorola, Inc., dated May 5, 1999.
10.22++	Loan and Security Agreement amendment between SST and Foothill Capital Corporation, dated September 30, 1999.
10.23++	Second Amendment to Lease, dated September 13, 1999, between SST and Coast Properties.
10.24++	Lease Agreement between SST and Bhupinder S. Lehga and Rupinder K. Lehga, dated November 15, 1999.
10.25++	Lease Agreement between SST and The Irvine Company, dated November 22, 1999.
10.26*++	Agreement between SST and Samsung Electronic Co Ltd., dated March 19, 1998.

Edgar Filing: SILICON STORAGE TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10-K

- 10.27++ Agreement with National Semiconductor Corporation dated April 11, 2000.
- 10.28++ Sunnyvale Industrials Net Lease Agreement.
- 10.29++ Amendment Number Four to Loan and Security Agreement.
- 10.30++ Amendment Number Five to Loan and Security Agreement.
- 10.31 Amendment Number Six to Loan and Security Agreement.

- + Previously filed as an Exhibit to the Registration Statement filed on Form S-1 (33-97802) and incorporated by reference herein.
- ++ Previously filed as an Exhibit to Form 10-K or Form 10-Q and incorporated by reference herein.
- \* Confidential treatment has been requested for portions of this exhibit.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, in the City of Sunnyvale, County of Santa Clara, State of California, on the 29th day of March, 2001.

SILICON STORAGE TECHNOLOGY, INC.

By: /s/ BING YE  
 -----  
 Bing Yeh  
 President and Chief Executive Officer  
 (Principle Executive Officer)

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of SST and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signature -----	Title -----	Date ----
/S/ BING YE ----- Bing Yeh	President, Chief Executive Officer and Director (Principal Executive Officer)	March 29,
/S/ JEFFREY L. GARON ----- Jeffrey L. Garon	Vice President Finance & Administration, Chief Financial Officer and Secretary (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)	March 29,
/S/ YAW WEN HU ----- Yaw Wen Hu	Senior Vice President, Operations and Process Development and Director	March 29,
/s/ TSUYOSHI TAIRA ----- Tsuyoshi Taira	Director	March 29,
/s/ RONALD CHWANG		March 29,



Edgar Filing: SILICON STORAGE TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10-K

Allowance for sales returns	\$ 60	\$ 144	\$
Allowance for excess and obsolete inventories	\$ 1,422	\$ 3,293	\$
Valuation allowance on deferred tax	\$ 9,607	\$ 3,092	\$
Year ended December 31, 2000			
Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$ 535	\$ 477	\$
Allowance for sales returns	\$ 41	\$ 8,166	\$
Allowance for excess and obsolete inventories	\$ 150	\$ 4,261	\$
Valuation allowance on deferred tax	\$ 12,699	\$ (12,699)	\$

38

CONSENT OF INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS

We hereby consent to the incorporation by reference in the Registration Statements on Form S-3 (No. 333-83981) and Form S-8 (No. 333-47388, 333-33130 and 0-26944) of Silicon Storage Technology, Inc. of our report dated January 31, 2001, except for Note 12, which is as of March 6, 2001, relating to the financial statements, which appears in this Form 10-K. We also consent to the incorporation by reference of our report dated January 31, 2001 relating to the financial statement schedule, which appears in this Form 10-K.

/s/ PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LLP  
PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

San Jose, California  
March 29, 2001

39

SILICON STORAGE TECHNOLOGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	Page
Report of Independent Accountants.....	41
Consolidated Balance Sheets.....	42
Consolidated Statements of Operations.....	43
Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity and Comprehensive Income (Loss).....	44
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.....	45
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.....	46

40

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS

## Edgar Filing: SILICON STORAGE TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10-K

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders  
Silicon Storage Technology, Inc.

In our opinion, the accompanying consolidated balance sheets and the related consolidated statements of operations, of shareholders' equity and comprehensive income (loss) and of cash flows present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Silicon Storage Technology, Inc. and its Subsidiaries at December 31, 2000 and 1999, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2000 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management; our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits of these statements in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards in the United States of America, which require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

/s/ PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LLP  
PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

San Jose, California  
January 31, 2001, except for Note 12,  
which is as of March 6, 2001.

41

### SILICON STORAGE TECHNOLOGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (in thousands)

ASSETS	December 31	1999	1999
	-----	-----	-----
Current assets:			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	1,223	\$
Short-term investments		-	
Trade accounts receivable-unrelated parties, net		33,285	
Trade accounts receivable-related parties		5,573	
Inventories		29,766	
Deferred tax asset		-	
Other current assets		3,341	
Total current assets		----- 73,188	
Equipment, furniture and fixtures, net		11,131	
Other assets		4,487	
		-----	



Edgar Filing: SILICON STORAGE TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10-K

Total assets	\$ 88,806	\$
	=====	=====
LIABILITIES		
Current liabilities:		
Borrowings under line of credit facility	\$ 19,287	\$
Trade accounts payable-unrelated parties	19,207	
Trade accounts payable-related parties	-	
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	4,707	
Deferred revenue	4,144	
	-----	-----
Total current liabilities	47,345	
Other liabilities	446	
	-----	-----
Total liabilities	47,791	
	-----	-----
Commitments (Note 4) and Contingencies (Note 5).		
SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Preferred Stock, no par value		
Authorized: 7,000 shares		
Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock, no par value		
Designated: 450 shares		
Issued and outstanding: none		-
Common stock, no par value:		
Authorized: 250,000 shares		
Issued and outstanding: 74,838 shares (1999)		
and 90,118 shares (2000)	60,570	
Accumulated other comprehensive income	-	
Retained earnings (accumulated deficit)	(19,555)	
	-----	-----
Total shareholders' equity	41,015	
	-----	-----
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 88,806	\$
	=====	=====

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

42

SILICON STORAGE TECHNOLOGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS  
(in thousands, except per share data)

	Year ended	
	-----	-----
	1998	
	-----	-----
Net revenues:		
Product revenues - non-related parties	\$ 51,611	\$
Product revenues - related parties	15,264	
License revenues	2,536	

Edgar Filing: SILICON STORAGE TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10-K

Total net revenues	69,411
Cost of revenues	62,703
Gross profit	6,708
Operating expenses:	
Research and development	14,527
Sales and marketing	7,290
General and administrative	4,592
In-process research and development	-
Total operating expenses	26,409
Income (loss) from operations	(19,701)
Interest income	1,542
Interest expense	(31)
Other income, net	31
Income (loss) before provision for (benefit from) income taxes	(18,159)
Provision for (benefit from) income taxes	(571)
Net income (loss)	\$ (17,588)
Net income (loss) per share - basic	(\$0.26)
Net income (loss) per share - diluted	(\$0.26)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

43

SILICON STORAGE TECHNOLOGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)  
(in thousands)

	Common Stock		Deferred Stock Compensation	Retained Earnings (Accumulated Deficit)
	Shares	Amount		
Balances, December 31, 1997	69,321	\$ 53,356	\$ (66)	\$ 2,599
Repurchase of shares of common stock	(1,347)	(1,034)	-	(550)
Issuance of shares of common stock under employees' stock purchase and option plans	1,284	572	-	-
Tax benefit from exercise of stock options	-	707	-	-
Amortization of deferred stock compensation	-	-	34	-
Net loss	-	-	-	(17,588)

Edgar Filing: SILICON STORAGE TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10-K

Balances, December 31, 1998	69,258	53,601	(32)	(15,539)
Issuance of shares for acquisition of Linvex Technology Corporation	2,685	5,146	-	-
Issuance of shares of common stock under employees' stock purchase and option plans	2,895	1,823	-	-
Tax benefit from exercise of stock options	-	-	-	-
Amortization of deferred stock ompensation	-	-	32	-
Net loss	-	-	-	(4,016)
Balances, December 31, 1999	74,838	60,570	-	(19,555)
Issuance of shares of common stock upon secondary offering and private placement, net of offering costs of \$1,099	12,579	253,729	-	-
Issuance of shares of common stock under employees' stock purchase and option plans	2,701	3,782	-	-
Tax benefit from exercise of stock options	-	12,229	-	-
Net income	-	-	-	105,748
Unrealized gain on available for sale securities	-	-	-	-
Comprehensive income	-	-	-	-
Balances, December 31, 2000	90,118	\$ 330,310	\$ -	\$ 86,193

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

44

SILICON STORAGE TECHNOLOGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS  
(in thousands)

	Year en
	----- 1998 -----
Cash flows from operating activities:	
Net income (loss)	(\$17,588)
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:	
Depreciation and amortization	4,235
Provision for doubtful accounts receivable	13

## Edgar Filing: SILICON STORAGE TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10-K

Provision for sales return	-
Provision for excess and obsolete inventories and write down of inventory to market	2,740
Amortization of deferred stock compensation	34
(Gain) loss on sale of equipment	1
Deferred income taxes	3,747
Purchased in-process research and development	-
Tax benefit from employee stock plans	707
Changes in operating assets and liabilities: (in 1999 and 2000, net of effects of acquisition)	
Accounts receivable	(944)
Accounts receivable from related parties	(714)
Inventories	872
Other current and noncurrent assets	(1,219)
Trade accounts payable	(8,648)
Trade accounts payable to related parties	-
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	(1,287)
Deferred revenue	527
	-----
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	(17,524)
	-----
Cash flows from investing activities:	
Acquisition of equipment, furniture and fixtures	(3,758)
Proceeds from sale of equipment	-
Purchases of available-for-sale investments	(25,167)
Sales and maturities of available-for-sale investments	44,792
Investment in equity securities	-
Cash acquired (used in) in acquisition	-
Other	(1,000)
	-----
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	14,867
	-----
Cash flows from financing activities:	
Borrowings under line of credit facility	-
Repayments under line of credit facility	-
Repayments of loans	-
Issuance of shares of common stock	572
Repurchase of common stock	(1,584)
Other	(67)
	-----
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(1,079)
	-----
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(3,736)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	26,743
	-----
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$23,007
	=====
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:	
Cash received for interest	\$ -
Cash paid for interest	\$ 31
Net cash paid (received) for income taxes	\$ 95
Common stock issued in relation to the acquisition of Linvex	\$ -
Write-off of fully depreciated equipment, furniture and fixtures	\$ -

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

## Edgar Filing: SILICON STORAGE TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10-K

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements:

### 1. Nature of Operations and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies:

Nature of Operations:

Silicon Storage Technology, Inc. ("SST" or "us" or "we") supplies flash memory semiconductor devices for digital consumer, networking, wireless communications and Internet computing markets. Flash memory is nonvolatile memory that does not lose data when the power source is removed and is capable of electronically erasing selected blocks of data. We license our SuperFlash technology to other companies for non-competing applications. Our products are used in personal computers, personal computer peripheral devices, consumer electronics and communications devices. The products are sold to manufacturers located primarily in Asia.

Use of Estimates in Preparation of the Financial Statements:

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Risks and Uncertainties:

Our sales are concentrated in the nonvolatile memory class of the semiconductor memory industry, which is highly competitive and rapidly changing. Significant technological changes in the industry, changes in customer requirements, changes in product costs and selling prices, or the emergence of competitor products with new capabilities or technologies could affect our operating results adversely. We currently buy all wafers and die, an integral component of our products, from outside suppliers and we are dependent on third party subcontractors to assemble and test our products. Failure by these suppliers to satisfy our requirements on a timely basis at competitive prices could cause us to suffer manufacturing delays, a possible loss of revenues, or higher than anticipated cost of revenues any of which could severely adversely affect operating results.

Most of our sales are made through manufacturers' stocking representatives and distributors. These manufacturers' stocking representatives and distributors can discontinue selling our products at any time. Two of the manufacturers' stocking representatives are responsible for substantially all sales into Taiwan, which accounted for 28.1%, 28.3% and 25.5% of our net product revenues during 1998, 1999 and 2000 respectively. One manufacturers' stocking representative accounted for substantially all sales in China, including Hong Kong, during 2000, which accounted for 21.1%, 24.3% and 19.1% of our net product revenues during 1998, 1999 and 2000 respectively. The loss of any of these manufacturers' stocking representatives or any other significant manufacturers' stocking representatives or distributors could have a material adverse effect on our operating results. A majority of our product revenue came from sales to customers in the personal computer and computer peripherals industries. A decline in demand in these industries could have a material adverse effect on our operating results and financial condition.

We derived 82.3%, 80.8% and 77.6% of our product revenue from Asia during 1998, 1999 and 2000, respectively. Additionally, our major wafer suppliers and assembly and packaging subcontractors are all located in Asia. Any kind of economic, political or environmental instability in this region of the world can have a severe negative impact on our operating results due to the large concentration of our production and sales activities in this region. For

## Edgar Filing: SILICON STORAGE TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10-K

example, during 1997 and 1998, several Asian countries where we do business, such as Japan, Taiwan and Korea, experienced severe currency fluctuation and economic deflation, which negatively impacted our total revenues and also negatively impacted our ability to collect payments from these customers. During this period the lack of capital in the financial sectors of these countries made it difficult for our customers to open letters of credit or other financial instruments that are guaranteed by foreign banks. Finally, the economic situation exacerbated a decline in average selling prices for our products as our competitors reduced product prices to generate needed cash.

46

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, continued:

1. Nature of Operations and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies  
(continued)

It should be noted that we may be greatly impacted by the political, economic and military conditions in Taiwan. Taiwan and China are continuously engaged in political disputes and both countries continue to conduct military exercises in or near the other's territorial waters and airspace. Such disputes may continue and even escalate, resulting in an economic embargo, a disruption in shipping or even military hostilities. This could severely harm our business by interrupting or delaying production or shipment of our product. Any kind of activity of this nature or even rumors of such activity could severely and negatively impact our operations, revenues, operating results, and stock price.

Our corporate headquarters are located in California near major earthquake faults. In addition, some of our suppliers are located near fault lines. In the event of a major earthquake or other natural disaster near our headquarters, our operations could be harmed. Similarly, a major earthquake or other natural disaster near one or more of our major suppliers, like the one that occurred in Taiwan in September 1999, could disrupt the operations of those suppliers, which could limit the supply of our products and harm our business.

Basis of Consolidation:

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of SST and our wholly-owned subsidiaries after elimination of intercompany balances and transactions.

Financial Instruments:

Cash equivalents are highly liquid investments with original or remaining maturities of three months or less as of the dates of purchase. Highly liquid investments included in cash equivalents are classified as available for sale and are carried at cost which approximates fair value. Cash equivalents present insignificant risk of changes in value because of interest rate changes. We maintain substantially all of our cash balances with three major financial and/or brokerage institutions domiciled in the United States and we have not experienced any material losses relating to these investment instruments.

Short-term investments, which are comprised of federal, state and municipal government obligations and foreign and public corporate debt securities, are classified as available-for-sale and carried at fair value, based on quoted market prices, with the unrealized gains or losses, net of tax, reported in shareholders' equity as other comprehensive income. The cost of debt securities is adjusted for amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts to maturity, both of which are included in interest income. Realized gains and losses are recorded on the specific identification method. Realized gains and

## Edgar Filing: SILICON STORAGE TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10-K

losses were not material in 1998, 1999, and 2000.

The carrying amounts reported for cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable and accrued expenses are considered to approximate fair values based upon the short maturities of those financial instruments. The carrying amount of borrowing under the line of credit is also considered to approximate fair value as the interest rate on the borrowing adjusts to the bank's reference rate. The fair value of marketable securities is in Note 2 of these Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Financial instruments that potentially subject us to concentrations of credit risks comprise, principally, cash, cash equivalents, investments and trade accounts receivable. We invest our excess cash in accordance with our investment policy which is approved by the Board of Directors and reviewed periodically. We perform credit evaluations of new customers and require those without positive, established histories to pay in advance, upon delivery or through letters of credit. Otherwise, we do not require collateral of our customers, and maintain allowances for potential credit losses which have historically not been material. As of December 31, 1999, one customer represented 11% of our accounts receivable. As of December 31, 2000, no customer exceeded 10% of our accounts receivable.

In 1998, 1999 and 2000, sales to our top ten customers accounted for approximately 62.8%, 53.6% and 43.0% of net product revenues.

47

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

1. Nature of Operations and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies  
(continued)

We have acquired interests in privately held Japanese and Taiwanese companies (see Note 10). It was not practicable to estimate the fair value of the investments in the issued untraded common stock of these companies. The investments are included in "Other Assets" in the balance sheet and are carried at their original cost and when a decline in value is other than temporary the securities are reduced to their estimated fair value. Dividends and other distributions of earnings from the investees, if any, are included in other income when declared.

Inventories:

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost (determined on a first-in, first-out basis) or market value. Our inventories include high technology parts and components that are specialized in nature or subject to rapid technological obsolescence. While we have programs to minimize the required inventories on hand and we consider technological obsolescence when estimating allowances for potentially excess and obsolete inventories and those required to reduce recorded amounts to market values, it is reasonably possible that such estimates could change in the near term.

Equipment, Furniture and Fixtures:

Equipment, furniture and fixtures are stated at cost and depreciated using the straight-line method over estimated useful lives of three to seven years (see Note 3).

Intangible Assets:

Intangible assets include technology acquired in acquisitions and

## Edgar Filing: SILICON STORAGE TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10-K

technology acquired under licensing arrangements. These amounts are included in other assets and amortized over estimated lives of three years to five years.

### Long-Lived Assets:

Long-lived assets include equipment, furniture and fixtures and intangible assets. Whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amounts of long-lived assets may not be recoverable, we estimate the future cash flows, undiscounted and without interest charges, expected to result from the use of those assets and their eventual cash position. If the sum of the expected future cash flows is less than the carrying amount of those assets, we recognize an impairment loss based on the excess of the carrying amount over the fair value of the assets.

### Warranties:

Our products are generally subject to warranty and we provide for the estimated future costs of repair, replacement or customer accommodation upon shipment of the product in the accompanying statements of operations.

### Revenue Recognition:

Sales to direct customers and foreign stocking representatives are recognized upon shipment of product net of an allowance for estimated returns. Sales to distributors are made primarily under arrangements allowing price protection and the right of stock rotation on merchandise unsold to distributors. Because of the uncertainty associated with pricing concessions and future returns, we defer recognition of such revenues, related costs of revenues and related gross profit until the merchandise is sold by the distributor to the end user.

48

## Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

### 1. Nature of Operations and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

For license and other arrangements for technology that we are continuing to enhance and refine and under which we are obligated to provide unspecified enhancements, revenue is recognized over the lesser of the estimated period that we have historically enhanced and developed refinements to the technology, generally three years (the upgrade period), or the remaining portion of the upgrade period from the date of delivery, provided all specified technology and documentation has been delivered, the fee is fixed or determinable and collection of the fee is probable. From time to time, we reexamine the estimated upgrade period relating to licensed technology to determine if a change in the estimated upgrade period is needed. Revenue from license or other technology arrangements where we are not continuing to enhance and refine technology or are not obligated to provide unspecified enhancements is recognized upon delivery, if the fee is fixed or determinable and collection of the fee is probable.

Royalties received under these arrangements during the upgrade period are recognized as revenue based on the ratio of the elapsed portion of the upgrade period to the estimated upgrade period. The remaining portions of the royalties are recognized ratably over the remaining portion of the upgrade period. Royalties received after the upgrade period has elapsed are recognized when reported to us, which generally coincides with the receipt of payment.



## Edgar Filing: SILICON STORAGE TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10-K

In December 1999, the Securities and Exchange Commission issued Staff Accounting Bulletin: No. 101 "Revenue Recognition in Financial Statements" (SAB 101). SAB 101 summarizes certain of the Staff's views in applying generally accepted accounting principles to revenue recognition in financial statements. Our accounting policies are consistent with the requirements of SAB 101, and the implementation of SAB 101 has had no material impact on our financial position or results of operations.

### Research and Development:

Research and development expenses are charged to operations as incurred.

### Income Taxes:

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the difference between the financial statement and tax bases of assets and liabilities using enacted tax rates in effect for the year in which the differences are expected to affect taxable income. Valuation allowances are established when necessary to reduce deferred tax assets to the amounts expected to be realized.

### Computation of Net Income (Loss) Per Share:

We have computed and presented net income (loss) per share under two methods, basic and diluted. Basic net income (loss) per share is computed by dividing net income (loss) by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted net income (loss) per share is computed by dividing income (loss) by the sum of the weighted average number of common shares outstanding and potential common shares (when dilutive).

### Stock Compensation:

We account for stock-based compensation using the intrinsic value method. We calculate the fair value of stock-based compensation and disclose the pro forma impact of the value on net income (loss) and net income (loss) per share in the footnotes to the financial statements.

In April 2000, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued FASB Interpretation No. 44 (FIN 44), "Accounting for Certain Transactions Involving Stock Compensation," an interpretation of APB Opinion No. 25. Most provisions of FIN 44 were effective for transactions occurring after July 1, 2000. The application of FIN 44 did not have a material impact on our financial position or results of operations.

### Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

1. Nature of Operations and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

### Comprehensive Income:

Comprehensive income is defined as the change in equity of a business enterprise during a period from transactions and other events and circumstances from non-owner sources, including unrealized gains and losses on marketable securities. Other comprehensive gain (loss) is presented in the statement of shareholders' equity and comprehensive income (loss).

### Recent Accounting Pronouncements:

## Edgar Filing: SILICON STORAGE TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10-K

In June 1998, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued SFAS No. 133, "Accounting for Derivatives and Hedging Activities." SFAS No. 133 establishes accounting and reporting standards for derivative instruments, including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts, and for hedging activities. In July 1999, the FASB issued SFAS No. 137, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities--Deferral of the Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 133," which deferred the effective date until the first fiscal year beginning after June 15, 2000. In June 2000, the FASB issued SFAS Statement No. 138, "Accounting for Certain Derivative Instruments and Certain Hedging Activities--an Amendment of SFAS 133." SFAS No. 138 amends certain terms and conditions of SFAS 133. SFAS 133 requires that all derivative instruments be recognized at fair value as either assets or liabilities in the statement of financial position. The accounting for changes in the fair value (i.e., gains or losses) of a derivative instrument depends on whether it has been designated and qualifies as part of a hedging relationship and further, on the type of hedging relationship. SST will adopt SFAS No. 133, as amended, in its quarter ending March 31, 2001. The adoption of SFAS No. 133 will not have a material impact on its financial statements.

### 2. Marketable Securities

All marketable securities are classified as available-for-sale and are summarized as follows (in thousands):

	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Gain (Loss)	Fair Value
	-----	-----	-----
Corporate bonds and notes	\$ 69,155	(\$20)	\$69,135
Government bonds and notes	141,523	152	141,675
	-----	-----	-----
Total bonds and notes	\$210,678	\$132	210,810
	=====	=====	
Less amounts classified as cash equivalents			(70,847)
			-----
Total short-term marketable securities			\$139,963
			=====

At December 31, 2000 the contractual maturity for all investments is less than one year. Available-for-sale securities are carried at fair value. Gross unrealized gains of approximately \$152,000 are netted against gross unrealized losses of approximately \$20,000.

50

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

### 3. Balance Sheet Detail (in thousands):

Trade accounts receivable comprise:

	December 31,	
	1999	2000
	-----	-----
Trade accounts receivable-unrelated parties	\$33,820	\$107,041
Trade accounts receivable-related parties	5,573	20,000

Edgar Filing: SILICON STORAGE TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10-K

Less allowance for doubtful accounts	(535)	(783)
	-----	-----
	\$38,858	\$126,258
	=====	=====

Inventories comprise:

	December 31,	
	-----	-----
	1999	2000
	-----	-----
Raw materials	\$ 6,855	\$ 29,025
Work in process	19,338	17,631
Finished goods	3,573	26,634
	-----	-----
	\$29,766	\$ 73,290
	=====	=====

Equipment, furniture and fixtures comprise:

	December 31,		
	-----	-----	
	1999	2000	Estimated Useful Lives
	-----	-----	-----
Equipment	\$7,932	\$13,389	Four years
Design hardware	2,540	4,234	Three years
Software	2,478	5,781	Three years
Furniture and fixtures	1,109	2,269	Seven years
	-----	-----	
	14,059	25,673	
Less accumulated depreciation	4,859	9,906	
	-----	-----	
	9,200	15,767	
Construction in progress	1,931	1,107	
	-----	-----	
	\$11,131	\$ 16,874	
	=====	=====	

Depreciation expense was \$4,314,000, \$3,676,000, and \$5,016,000 for 1998, 1999, and 2000 respectively. Construction in progress relates to software and consulting costs incurred to implement our enterprise resource planning system, datawarehouse and our supply chain management system. These costs will be depreciated over three years beginning during the month that each system is fully functional. The enterprise resource planning system was fully functional during the first half of 2000 and it is anticipated that the datawarehouse and supply chain management system will be fully functional by the end of 2001. The estimated useful life of software purchased after December 31, 1999 is three years (as compared to the four year life previously used) to more accurately reflect our actual replacement rate of software.

Accrued liabilities comprise:

	December 31,	
	-----	-----
	1999	2000
	-----	-----
Accrued compensation and related	\$ 1,442	\$ 14,509
Accrued income tax payable	2	11,292
Accrued liabilities-related parties	-	356
Other accrued liabilities	3,263	7,722
	-----	-----

Edgar Filing: SILICON STORAGE TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10-K

\$ 4,707      \$ 33,879  
 =====      =====

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

4. Commitments:

We lease our corporate facilities under noncancelable operating leases that expire in 2001, 2002, 2005 and 2010. The leases require escalating monthly payments over their terms and, therefore, periodic rent expense is being recognized on a straight-line basis. Under the terms of the leases, we are responsible for maintenance costs, including real property taxes, utilities and other costs. Rent expense was \$749,000, \$1,107,000, and \$2,060,000 in 1998, 1999, and 2000 respectively.

Future minimum rental payments at December 31, 2000 are as follows (in thousands):

2001	\$ 4,675
2002	4,803
2003	4,960
2004	5,131
2005	3,563
Thereafter	10,905
	-----
	\$ 34,037
	=====

Line of Credit:

As of December 31, 2000 we had no borrowing on our line of credit as this credit facility was paid off during the quarter ended March 31, 2000. However we continue to have access to this facility should we need it. As of December 31, 2000, our line of credit was for \$25 million. This agreement expires in September 2002. Borrowing is limited to 80.0% of eligible world-wide accounts receivable and is also reduced by any letters of credit issued under a \$25 million subagreement to this line. Therefore, as of December 31, 2000, our actual credit available under this line was approximately \$4.0 million. The line bears interest at a rate of the bank's reference rate (9.5% at December 31, 2000) plus 0.5%. There is a minimum interest rate of 6.0%. We are required to maintain specified levels of tangible net worth. Under the agreement we are not permitted to pay a dividend. We must pay an unused line fee at the annual rate of one quarter of one percent on the unused portion. As of December 31, 2000, we were in compliance with the covenants of this agreement.

Purchase Commitments:

We have committed to pay \$50.0 million in 2001, subject to certain business conditions, to secure increased wafer capacity in 2001 and 2002.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

5. Contingencies:

On January 3, 1996, Atmel Corporation sued us in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California. Atmel's complaint alleged that we willfully

## Edgar Filing: SILICON STORAGE TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10-K

infringe five U.S. patents owned by or exclusively licensed to Atmel. Atmel later amended its complaint to allege infringement of a sixth patent. Regarding each of these six patents, Atmel sought a judgment that we infringe the patent, an injunction prohibiting future infringement, and treble damages, as well as attorney's fees and expenses.

On two of the six patents, the District Court ruled by summary judgment that we did not infringe. Two of the other patents were invalidated by another U.S. District Court in a proceeding to which we were not a party, but this decision was later reversed by the Federal Circuit Court of Appeals. Thus, four patents remain at issue in Atmel's District Court case against us.

On February 17, 1997, Atmel filed an action with the International Trade Commission, or ITC, against two suppliers of our parts, involving four of the six patents that Atmel alleged that we infringed in the District Court case above. We intervened as a party to that investigation. Pursuant to indemnification agreements with these suppliers, we were obligated to indemnify both to the extent provided in those agreements. As more fully described below, the settlement with Winbond terminated our indemnity obligations to that company.

As to one of these four patents, Atmel's claims were withdrawn because of the summary judgment granted by the District Court, as described above. The administrative law judge, or ALJ, who makes recommended determinations to the ITC, ruled that we did not infringe the remaining three patents. As to one of these patents, U.S. Patent No. 4,451,903 ("the `903 patent," also known as "Silicon Signature"), the ALJ ruled on May 17, 2000 that it is invalid and unenforceable because the patent did not name the proper inventors and because Atmel intentionally misled the U.S. Patent Office. On October 16, 2000, the ITC overturned the ALJ's recommendation on the `903 patent and ruled that we could not import into the United States certain products that use this circuit. We appealed the ITC ruling and in January 2001 the Federal Circuit Court issued an order upholding the ITC's decision, but has not yet issued a written opinion setting forth the basis of that order. The ITC also ruled that we do not infringe the two other patents at issue ("the `811 and `829" patents). Atmel has appealed that determination. Atmel's appeal brief is due on March 30, 2001, and SST's brief is due on or before May 9, 2001. There is currently no schedule for oral argument or the final determination of this appeal.

Any final decisions in the ITC action will not be dispositive in the pending lawsuit because Atmel and SST can still pursue their claims in the District Court action. The District Court has scheduled a hearing for December 15, 2001, to set a trial date. We intend to vigorously defend ourselves against these actions.

On October 1, 2000, we announced a settlement in our lawsuit with Winbond Electronics of Taiwan. We filed a lawsuit against Winbond in July 1998 in the U.S. District Court in San Jose, California pursuant to the termination of our SuperFlash technology licensing agreement with Winbond. As part of the settlement, Winbond agreed to a consent judgment and will not contest the validity and appropriateness of SST's termination of the licensing agreement in June 1998. This settlement concludes all litigation between us and Winbond. We received \$10.4 million in license fees during 2000 as part of this settlement.

From time to time, we are also involved in other legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business. While we have accrued certain amounts for the estimated legal costs associated with defending these matters, there can be no assurance the Atmel complaint or other third party assertions will be resolved without costly litigation, in a manner that is not adverse to our financial position, results of operations or cash flows or without requiring royalty payments in the future which may adversely impact gross margins. No estimate can

## Edgar Filing: SILICON STORAGE TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10-K

be made of the possible loss or possible range of loss associated with the resolution of these contingencies.

53

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

### 6. Shareholders' Equity:

#### Stock Dividend:

On June 16, 2000, our Board of Directors approved a 3-for-1 stock split, in the form of a stock dividend, payable to shareholders of record as of July 28, 2000. The stock dividend was distributed on August 14, 2000. All share and per share amounts in these Consolidated Financial Statements and related Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements have been adjusted to reflect the stock split.

#### Authorized Capital Shares:

Our authorized capital shares consist of 250,000,000 shares of common stock and 7,000,000 shares of preferred stock. Of the preferred stock, 450,000 shares has been designated as series A junior participating preferred stock. All of SST's capital shares have no par value.

#### Share Purchase Rights Plan:

We have a Share Purchase Rights Plan, adopted in May 1999 and subsequently amended, in which preferred stock rights were distributed as a rights dividend at a rate of one right for each share of common stock held as of the close of business on May 27, 1999. Preferred stock rights will also be issued with any new issuance of common shares. Each Right entitles the registered holder under certain circumstances to purchase from SST one three-hundredth (one-third of one one-hundredth) of a share of series A junior participating preferred stock. Until the occurrence of certain events the preferred stock rights will be transferable with and only with the Common Shares. The effect will be to discourage acquisitions of more than 15 percent of SST's common stock without negotiations with the Board of Directors. The rights expire May 3, 2009.

#### Net Income (Loss) Per Share:

A reconciliation of the numerator and the denominator of basic and diluted net income (loss) per share is as follows:

	1998 ----	1999 ----	2000 ----
Numerator - Basic			
Net income (loss)	(\$17,588)	(\$4,016)	\$105,748
	=====	=====	=====
Denominator - Basic			
Weighted average common stock outstanding	68,874	72,177	86,123
	=====	=====	=====
Basic net income (loss) per share	(\$0.26)	(\$0.06)	\$1.23
	=====	=====	=====
Numerator - Diluted:			
Net income (loss)	(\$17,588)	(\$4,016)	\$105,748
	=====	=====	=====

## Edgar Filing: SILICON STORAGE TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10-K

Denominator - Diluted:			
Weighted average common stock outstanding	68,874	72,177	86,123
Dilutive potential of common stock equivalents:			
Options	-	-	7,701
	-----	-----	-----
	68,874	72,177	93,824
	=====	=====	=====
Diluted net income (loss) per share	(\$0.26)	(\$0.06)	\$1.13
	=====	=====	=====

Stock options to purchase 9,285,000 and 9,618,000 shares of common stock were outstanding at December 31, 1998 and 1999 but were not included in the computation of diluted loss per share because we had a net loss in 1998 and 1999. Anti-dilutive stock options to purchase approximately 358,000 shares of common stock were excluded from the computation of diluted net income per share for the twelve months ended December 31, 2000 because the exercise price of the options exceeded the average fair market value of the stock for the twelve months ended December 31, 2000.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

6. Shareholders' Equity, continued:

Repurchase of Common Stock:

In January 1998, the Board of Directors approved a stock repurchase program whereby up to an aggregate of 3,000,000 shares of SST's common stock may be repurchased on the open market at prevailing market prices. Approximately 1,347,000 shares were repurchased under this authorization for an aggregate purchase price of \$1,584,000 at prices ranging from \$1.06 to \$1.26 per share. The program terminated in June 1998.

Equity Incentive Plan:

In 1990, SST adopted a combined incentive and supplemental stock option plan, or the Option Plan, under which the Board of Directors could issue options to purchase up to 12,000,000 shares of common stock to employees and directors of and consultants to SST and our affiliates. In November 1995, SST amended the Option Plan, restated it as the Equity Incentive Plan and reserved an additional 6,000,000 shares of common stock for issuance under the plan. In July 1998, 1999 and June 2000, SST amended the Equity Incentive Plan and reserved an additional 2,250,000, 3,000,000, and 3,000,000 shares, respectively, of common stock for issuance under the plan.

Under the Equity Incentive Plan, the Board of Directors has the authority to determine to whom options will be granted, the number of shares under option, the option term and the exercise price. The options generally are exercisable beginning one year from date of grant and generally vest over periods ranging from four to five years from the date of grant. The term of any options issued under either plan may not exceed ten years from the date of grant.

Directors' Option Plan:

In October 1995, SST adopted the Non-Employee Directors' Stock Option Plan, or the Directors' Plan, which became effective upon the effective date of SST's initial public offering. The Directors' Plan provided for the automatic

## Edgar Filing: SILICON STORAGE TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10-K

grant of options to purchase 72,000 shares of SST's common stock to non-employee directors of SST upon the initial public offering. It also provides for automatic grants upon new non-employee directors being elected to the Board of Directors. The Directors' Plan also provides for the grant of options to purchase up to an additional 18,000 shares annually thereafter. Options under the Directors' Plan vest over 48 months and the exercise price of options granted must equal or exceed the fair market value of SST's common stock on the date of grant. The options expire ten years after the date of grant. In July 1999, SST amended the Directors' Plan to change the vesting terms from ratably over four years to upon date of grant, decreased the initial grant amount of options from 72,000 to 45,000 shares, and increased the aggregate number of share authorized by 150,000 shares to 600,000 shares.

55

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

6. Shareholders' Equity, continued:

Activity under the Equity Incentive Plan and Directors Plan follows (in thousands, except per share data):

	Available for Grant	Options Outstanding			Weight Avera Exercise
		Shares	Price per Share	Amount	
Balances, December 31, 1997	1,788	9,315	\$0.05-\$5.50	\$7,933	\$0.85
Granted	(2,664)	2,664	0.44-1.00	2,335	0.88
Exercised	-	(804)	0.05-1.04	(155)	0.19
Terminated	1,821	(1,821)	0.08-2.00	(2,797)	1.06
Authorized	2,250	-	-	-	-
Balances, December 31, 1998	3,195	9,354	0.05-5.50	7,316	0.78
Granted	(3,057)	3,057	0.80-8.29	7,990	2.61
Exercised	-	(2,136)	0.05-2.00	(1,117)	0.52
Terminated	657	(657)	0.11-4.42	(643)	0.79
Authorized	3,150	-	-	-	-
Balances, December 31, 1999	3,945	9,618	0.05-8.29	13,546	1.41
Granted	(3,921)	3,921	9.85-29.44	62,559	15.95
Exercised	-	(2,449)	0.05-5.56	(2,410)	0.98
Terminated	487	(487)	0.68-29.44	(2,267)	4.66
Authorized	3,000	-	-	-	-
Balances, December 31, 2000	3,511	10,603	\$0.05-\$29.44	\$71,428	\$6.74

At December 31, 1998, 4,131,000 options were exercisable at a weighted-average exercise price of \$0.49 per share. At December 31, 1999, 3,922,000 options were exercisable at a weighted-average exercise price of \$0.79 per share. At December 31, 2000, 3,643,000 options were exercisable at a weighted-average exercise price of \$1.63 per share.

Employee Stock Purchase Plan:

In October 1995, SST adopted the Employee Stock Purchase Plan, or the



## Edgar Filing: SILICON STORAGE TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10-K

Purchase Plan, which became effective upon the effective date of SST's initial public offering. A total of 2,550,000 shares of common stock have been reserved for issuance under the Purchase Plan. The Purchase Plan provides for eligible employees to purchase shares of common stock at a price equal to 85% of the fair market value of SST's common stock on the date of the option grant by withholding up to 10 percent of their annual base earnings. In July 1999, the Purchase Plan was amended to increase the aggregate number of shares of common stock authorized for issuance by 1,050,000 shares to 3,600,000 shares. At December 31, 2000, shares available for purchase under this plan were approximately 1,696,000. Shares issued under the Purchase Plan in 1998, 1999, and 2000 were 480,000, 759,000, and 252,000, respectively.

56

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

6. Shareholders' Equity, continued:

Stock Compensation:

No compensation cost has been recognized for the Equity Incentive Plan, the Directors' Option Plan or the Stock Purchase Plan. Had compensation cost for these plans been determined based on the fair value at the grant date for the awards, our net income (loss) and net income (loss) per share for 1998, 1999, and 2000 would have been decreased (increased) to the pro forma amounts indicated below (in thousands):

	1998 ----	1999 ----	2000 ----
Pro forma net income (loss)	\$ (19,316)	\$ (6,479)	\$ 89,700
Pro forma net income (loss) per share - basic	\$ (0.29)	\$ (0.09)	\$ 1.01
Pro forma net income (loss) per share - diluted	\$ (0.29)	\$ (0.09)	\$ 0.93

The fair value of each option grant for both the Directors' Plan and the Equity Incentive Plan is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes multiple options pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions by year:

	1998 -----	1999 -----	2000 ----
Risk-free interest rate	4.1-5.8%	4.6-5.9%	5.9-6.4%
Expected term of option	2 years	2 years	2 years
Expected volatility	92%	92%	100%
Expected dividend yield	0%	0%	0%

The weighted average fair value of options granted under the Equity Incentive Plan and the Directors' Option Plan during 1998, 1999 and 2000 was \$0.88, \$2.60, and \$12.70, respectively, per share.

The fair value of each stock purchase right is estimated using the Black-Scholes model with the following weighted average assumptions by year:

	1998 -----	1999 -----	2000 ----
Risk-free interest rate	5.3-5.5%	4.6-5.3%	6.0-6.1%
Expected term of option	1/2 year	1/2 year	1/2 year
Expected volatility	92%	92%	100%



## Edgar Filing: SILICON STORAGE TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10-K

valuation techniques used by an independent appraiser, and a summary of the consideration exchanged for these assets is as follows (in thousands):

Total purchase price.....	\$4,657
	=====
Assets acquired:	
Tangible assets, primarily cash, deposits, and equipment.....	\$    33
Deferred tax asset.....	863
Patents.....	762
Workforce .....	152
Purchased in-process research and development.....	3,911
Deferred tax liability .....	(366)
Other liabilities assumed.....	(698)
	-----
	\$4,657
	=====

58

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

### 7. Acquisitions (continued)

The amount allocated to the patents and the workforce is amortized on a straight line basis over five and three years, respectively. At December 31, 2000, accumulated amortization related to these items was \$25,000. The amount of the purchase price allocated to purchased in-process research and development, which had no alternative future use and relates to a product for which technological feasibility had not been established, was expensed at the acquisition date.

As part of the acquisition, we have agreed to pay \$659,000 to certain Agate employees if they remain in our employ until December 1, 2001. In addition, after the purchase, loans assumed totaling \$637,000 were repaid in cash. Summarized below are the unaudited pro forma results of SST as though Agate had been acquired at the beginning of each of the periods presented. Adjustments have been made for the estimated increases in amortization related to the purchased of patents, workforce, and other appropriate pro forma adjustments.

	December 31,	
	----- 1999	2000 -----
Revenue	\$ 124,794	\$490,261
Net income (loss)	(5,009)	108,313
Net income (loss) per share - basic	(0.07)	1.26
Net income (loss) per share - diluted	\$ (0.07)	\$ 1.15

The above amounts are based upon certain assumptions and estimates which we believe are reasonable and do not reflect any benefit from economies which might be achieved from combined operations. The pro forma financial information presented above is not necessarily indicative of either the results of operations that would have occurred had the acquisition taken place at the beginning of each of the periods presented or of future results of operations of the combined companies. The charge for purchased in process research and development has not been included in the pro forma results above because it is nonrecurring and directly related to the acquisition.

Linvox Technology, Corp. On June 4, 1999, we purchased all of the outstanding capital stock of Linvox Technology, Corp., or Linvox, a privately held, memory design company located in Sunnyvale, California, in exchange for 789,000 shares of SST common stock with a fair market value of \$4.7 million. The purchase price

## Edgar Filing: SILICON STORAGE TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10-K

of \$4.8 million, which includes acquisition costs of \$0.1 million, was accounted for using the purchase method of accounting, which means that the purchase price was allocated to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on the estimated fair values at the date of the acquisition. The results of operations of Linvex have been included with those of SST since June 4, 1999, the date that the acquisition was consummated.

The fair value of the assets of Linvex, which was determined through established valuation techniques used by an independent appraiser, and a summary of the consideration exchanged for these assets is as follows (in thousands):

Total purchase price.....		\$4,794
		=====
Assets acquired:		
Tangible assets, primarily cash, accounts receivable, and computer software.....		\$ 701
Core technology .....		2,827
Completed products .....		163
Workforce .....		272
Purchased in-process research and development.....		2,011
Liabilities assumed .....		(1,180)
		-----
		\$4,794
		=====

The amount allocated to the core technology, the completed products, for which technological feasibility had been established at the acquisition date, and the workforce is amortized on a straight line basis over three years. At December 31, 1999 accumulated amortization related to these items was \$634,000. The amount of the purchase price allocated to purchased in-process research and development, which had no alternative future use and relates to a product for which technological feasibility had not been established, was expensed at the acquisition date.

In addition, after the purchase, notes payable and deferred salary payable to shareholders and employees of \$476,000 were converted into an additional 106,000 shares of SST's common stock.

59

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

### 7. Acquisitions (continued)

Summarized below are the unaudited pro forma results of SST as though Linvex had been acquired at the beginning of January 1, 1998. Adjustments have been made for the estimated increases in amortization related to the purchased of core technology, completed products and workforce, and other appropriate pro forma adjustments.

	December 31,	
	1998	1999
Revenue	\$ 70,515	\$ 125,311
Net income (loss)	(19,495)	(3,146)
Net income (loss) per share	\$ (0.82)	\$ (0.13)

The above amounts are based upon certain assumptions and estimates which we believe are reasonable and do not reflect any benefit from economies which might be achieved from combined operations. The pro forma financial information

## Edgar Filing: SILICON STORAGE TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10-K

presented above is not necessarily indicative of either the results of operations that would have occurred had the acquisition taken place at the beginning of fiscal 1998 or of future results of operations of the combined companies. The charge for purchased in process research and development has not been included in the pro forma results above because it is nonrecurring and directly related to the acquisition.

The accumulated amortization of intangible assets at December 31, 1999 and 2000 was \$874,000 and \$2,147,000, respectively.

### 8. Income Taxes:

The provision for income taxes reflected in the statements for the years ended December 31, 1998, 1999 and 2000 were as follows (in thousands):

	December 31,		
	1998	1999	2000
<hr/>			
Current:			
Federal	\$ (4,445)	\$ (489)	\$ 47,187
State	1	248	3,606
Foreign	126	329	14
	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
	(4,318)	88	50,807
	<hr/>		
Deferred:			
Federal	3,131	-	(8,273)
State	343	-	(721)
	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
	3,747	-	(8,994)
	<hr/>		
	\$ (571)	\$ 88	\$ 41,813
	<hr/>		

Substantially all of our revenue is taxable in the United States of America. Our effective tax rate (benefit)/provision differs from the statutory federal income tax rate as shown in the following schedule:

	December 31,		
	1998	1999	2000
United States statutory rate	(35.0)%	(35.0)%	35.0%
State taxes, net of federal benefit	4.3	6.3	3.9
Foreign taxes, net	-	8.4	-
Research and development credit	-	-	(2.9)
Net operating losses not utilized	9.3	21.5	-
Change in valuation allowance	20.6	-	(8.6)
Other	(2.3)	1.1	0.9
	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
	(3.1)%	2.3%	28.3%
	<hr/>		

### Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

#### 8. Income Taxes (continued)

In the year ended December 31, 1999, we placed a valuation allowance

## Edgar Filing: SILICON STORAGE TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10-K

against the deferred tax assets due to uncertainty surrounding the realization of such assets. In the year ended December 31, 2000, we determined that it is more likely than not that the deferred tax assets were realizable based on our operating results in 2000 and projected future earnings.

At December 31, 2000, we had available approximately \$2,100,000 in federal and state net operating losses related to the acquisition of Agate. These net operating losses, if not utilized, expire between 2004 and 2020. Under the Tax Reform Act of 1986, the annual utilization of these losses is limited because a change in stock ownership had occurred. Utilization of these losses is limited to approximately \$600,000 annually against future taxable income. As of December 31, 1999 and 2000 our deferred tax assets and liabilities consisted of (in thousands):

	December 31,	
	1999	2000
Allowance for excess and obsolete inventory	\$ 611	\$ 814
Allowance for sales returns	17	3,155
Allowance for doubtful accounts	791	1,185
Deferred revenue	980	2,816
Other	200	565
Net operating loss carry-forwards	4,992	863
Depreciation	-	93
Tax credits	5,431	-
	-----	-----
Total	13,022	9,491
Depreciation	(323)	-
Valuation Allowance	(12,699)	-
	-----	-----
Net deferred tax asset	\$ -	\$ 9,491
	=====	=====

### 9. Segment Reporting:

Our business has two reportable segments: Flash Products and Technology Licensing based on our method of internal reporting. The table below presents information about reported segments:

	2000 (in thousands):		
	Flash Products	Technology Licensing	Total
Revenues	\$ 475,316	\$ 14,945	\$ 490,261
Gross profits	\$ 211,177	\$ 14,945	\$ 226,122
	-----		
	1999 (in thousands):		
	Flash Products	Technology Licensing	Total
Revenues	\$ 118,242	\$ 6,552	\$ 124,794
Gross profits	\$ 23,590	\$ 6,552	\$ 30,142
	-----		
	1998 (in thousands):		
	Flash Products	Technology Licensing	Total
Revenues	\$ 66,875	\$ 2,536	\$ 69,411

## Edgar Filing: SILICON STORAGE TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10-K

Gross profits \$ 4,172 \$ 2,536 \$ 6,708

We do not allocate operating expenses, interest income or expense, other income net or the provision for (benefits from) income taxes to these segments for internal reporting purposes.

61

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

### 9. Segment Reporting (continued)

The Technology Licensing segment comprises license fees and royalties earned through technology agreements that we have with wafer foundries and manufacturers for non-competing applications.

SST's net revenues are all denominated in U.S. dollars and are summarized as follows: (in thousands):

	Year ended December 31,		
	1998	1999	2000
United States	\$ 5,099	\$ 13,644	\$ 76,898
Europe	6,929	7,347	28,376
Japan	13,739	16,396	66,635
Korea	3,756	11,750	42,986
Taiwan	19,134	33,541	133,677
China (including Hong Kong)	14,104	28,776	90,839
Other Asian countries	6,119	9,340	48,102
Rest of world	531	4,000	2,748
	\$ 69,411	\$ 124,794	\$ 490,261

Foreign revenue is based on the country to which the product is shipped.

The locations and net book value of long lived assets follows:

	Year ended December 31,		
	1998	1999	2000
United States	\$ 7,312	\$ 12,982	\$ 18,551
Taiwan	924	1,655	20,157
Japan	939	939	953
Malaysia	-	42	-
Korea	-	-	4
United Kingdom	-	-	2
Philippines	106	-	-
	\$ 9,281	\$ 15,618	\$ 39,667

62

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

### 10. Related Party Reporting:

## Edgar Filing: SILICON STORAGE TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10-K

On January 31, 1996, we acquired a 14% interest in a Japanese company for approximately \$939,000 paid in cash, which interest is carried at cost in the other noncurrent assets category in the balance sheet. At December 31, 2000, the investment was 11% of the outstanding equity in the company. The president of the Japanese company is a shareholder of SST. In 1998, 1999 and 2000 this customer accounted for 15%, 8% and 3% respectively or approximately \$10,180,000, and \$10,032,000 and \$12,232,000, respectively, of net revenues.

In June 1997, Dr. Ronald Chwang became a member of the Board of Directors. Dr. Chwang is the Chairman and President of Acer Technology Ventures, America. Related Acer entities, Acer Corporation, Acer Peripherals and Acer Technologies are customers of SST. In 1998, 1999 and 2000 the combined Acer entities accounted for 7%, 6% and 5%, respectively, or \$5,084,000, \$7,900,000 and \$22,543,000 of net revenues.

In 1999, Ocean Automation Ltd. and related entities became a customer. Mr. Yasushi Chikagami, a member of the SST Board of Directors, is also a member of the Board of Directors of Ocean. During 1999 and 2000 Ocean accounted for 0.4% and 0.3% or \$541,000 and \$1,441,000 of net revenues, respectively.

In 2000, we acquired a 15% interest in a Taiwanese company for approximately \$1,455,000 paid in cash and which is carried at cost in the other noncurrent assets category on the balance sheet. This company is also one of our manufacturers' representatives. In 2000, this company accounted for 5% or \$24,444,000 of net revenues. During 2000 we paid sales commissions of \$1,970,000 to this company.

In 2000, no customer accounted for more than 10% of net revenues for SST. In both 1999 and 1998, only one other customer accounted for more than 10% of net revenues for SST. This customer accounted for 11.8% and 10.8%, or approximately \$14,700,000 and \$7,187,000 of net revenues in 1999 and 1998, respectively.

In 2000, we acquired a 10%, 1%, and 3% interest in three different production subcontractors for \$9,868,000, \$4,574,000, and \$2,553,000, which at December 31, 2000 represented 9%, 1%, and 3% of the outstanding equity of these companies, respectively. All of the subcontractors are privately held companies in Taiwan. These investments are carried at cost in the other noncurrent assets category on the balance sheet. During 2000 we made purchases of \$7,500, \$16,721,000 and \$9,478,000, respectively, from these subcontractors. The third subcontractor described is also our customer and this customer accounted for \$9,044,000, or 2%, of net product revenues during 2000.

### 11. Employee Benefit Plans:

#### Profit Sharing Plan:

In April 1995, the Board adopted the Profit Sharing Plan under which employees may collectively earn up to 10% of SST's operating profit, provided that both net earnings before interest income (expense), net and provision for (benefit from) income taxes and operating profit are greater than 10% of sales. For purposes of the Profit Sharing Plan, "operating profit" is net revenues less cost of revenues and less operating expenses. The sum paid to any particular employee as profit sharing is a function of the employee's length of service, performance and salary. We plan to pay profit sharing sums, when available, to employees twice a year. No profit sharing was paid in 1998 or 1999. During 2000 profit sharing expenses of \$14,876,000 were recorded.

#### 401(k) Plan:

In 1995, SST adopted the SST 401(k) Tax Sheltered Savings Plan and Trust (the Plan), as amended, which is intended to qualify under Section 401 of



## Edgar Filing: SILICON STORAGE TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10-K

the Internal Revenue Code of 1986. The Plan covers essentially all employees. Each eligible employee may elect to contribute to the Plan, through payroll deductions, up to 15% of their compensation, subject to certain limitations. At our discretion, we may make additional contributions on behalf of employees. All employee contributions are 100% vested. No employer contributions were made in 1998 or 1999. During 2000, we matched the first \$1,000 of each employees' contribution, for a total of \$326,000.

63

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

12. Subsequent Events:

On February 8, 2001 our limit on our line of credit was increased to \$35.0 million.

On March 6, 2001 we invested \$50.0 million in Shanghai Grace Semiconductor Manufacturing Corporation (GSMC). GSMC is a foreign-funded wafer foundry project and will be located in Shanghai, P.R.C. The project is expected to be completed in late 2002.

64