Edgar Filing: W R GRACE & CO - Form 10-Q

W R GRACE & CO Form 10-Q August 05, 2011

Use these links to rapidly review the document <u>TABLE OF CONTENTS</u>

Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

ý QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Quarterly Period Ended June 30, 2011

OR

o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission File Number 1-13953

W. R. GRACE & CO.

Delaware (State of Incorporation)

65-0773649

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

7500 Grace Drive Columbia, Maryland 21044 (410) 531-4000

(Address and phone number of principal executive offices)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ý No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes ý No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer ý

Accelerated filer o

Non-accelerated filer o
(Do not check if a

Smaller reporting company o

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Edgar Filing: W R GRACE & CO - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No ý

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Class

Outstanding at July 31, 2011

Common Stock, \$0.01 par value per share

73,707,541 shares

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Part I.</u>	<u>Financial</u> I	<u>ntormation</u>	
	<u>Item 1.</u>	<u>Financial Statements (unaudited)</u>	<u>1</u>
		Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	<u>2</u>
		Consolidated Statements of Operations	<u>3</u>
		Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	
		Consolidated Balance Sheets	<u>4</u> <u>5</u> <u>6</u>
		Consolidated Statements of Equity (Deficit)	<u>6</u>
		Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss)	<u>6</u>
		Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	
		1. Basis of Presentation and Summary of Significant Accounting and Financial Reporting Policies	<u>7</u>
		2. <u>Chapter 11 Information</u>	9
		3. <u>Asbestos-Related Litigation</u>	<u>23</u>
		<u>4.</u> <u>Inventories</u>	<u>27</u>
		<u>5.</u> <u>Debt</u>	<u>28</u>
		6. <u>Fair Value Measurements and Risk</u>	<u>28</u>
		 2. Chapter 11 Information 3. Asbestos-Related Litigation 4. Inventories 5. Debt 6. Fair Value Measurements and Risk 7. Income Taxes 8. Pension Plans and Other Postretirement Benefit Plans 9. Other Balance Sheet Accounts 	<u>34</u>
		8. <u>Pension Plans and Other Postretirement Benefit Plans</u>	<u>35</u>
			7 9 23 27 28 28 34 35 39 42 43 46 46 48 48 50 72
		10. Commitments and Contingent Liabilities	<u>39</u>
		11. Restructuring Expenses and Related Asset Impairments	<u>42</u>
		12. Other Expense (Income), net	<u>43</u>
		13. Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	<u>43</u>
		14. Earnings Per Share	<u>46</u>
		15. Operating Segment Information	<u>46</u>
		16. <u>Unconsolidated Affiliates</u>	<u>48</u>
		17. Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Affiliates	<u>48</u>
	Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	<u>50</u>
	Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	<u>72</u>
	<u>Item 4.</u>	Controls and Procedures	<u>72</u>
<u>Part II.</u>	Other Info	<u>rmation</u>	
	<u>Item 1.</u>	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>74</u> <u>74</u> <u>74</u>
	Item 1A.	Risk Factors	<u>74</u>
	<u>Item 6.</u>	<u>Exhibits</u>	
		<u>Signatures</u>	<u>76</u>

Unless the context otherwise indicates, in this Report the terms "Grace," "we," "us," "our" or "the company" mean W. R. Grace & Co. and/or its consolidated subsidiaries and affiliates. Unless otherwise indicated, the contents of websites mentioned in this report are not incorporated by reference or otherwise made a part of this Report. Grace®, the Grace® logo and, except as otherwise indicated, the other product names used in the text of this report are trademarks, service marks, and/or trade names of operating units of W. R. Grace & Co. or its affiliates and/or subsidiaries.

Edgar Filing: W R GRACE & CO - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

Review by Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

With respect to the interim consolidated financial statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2011, PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, the company's independent registered public accounting firm, has applied limited procedures in accordance with professional standards for a review of such information. Their report on the interim consolidated financial statements, which follows, states that they did not audit and they do not express an opinion on the unaudited interim financial statements. Accordingly, the degree of reliance on their report on the unaudited interim financial statements should be restricted in light of the limited nature of the review procedures applied. This report is not considered a "report" within the meaning of Sections 7 and 11 of the Securities Act of 1933, and, therefore, the independent accountants' liability under Section 11 does not extend to it.

1

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Shareholders and Board of Directors of W. R. Grace & Co.:

We have reviewed the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of W. R. Grace & Co. and its subsidiaries as of June 30, 2011 and 2010, and the related consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss) for each of the three-month and six-month periods ending June 30, 2011 and 2010, and the consolidated statements of equity (deficit) and of cash flows for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010. These interim financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management.

We conducted our review in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical procedures and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Based on our review, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to the accompanying consolidated interim financial statements for them to be in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The accompanying interim consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Notes 1 and 2 to the consolidated interim financial statements, on April 2, 2001, the Company and substantially all of its domestic subsidiaries voluntarily filed for protection under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code, which raises substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern in its present form. Management's intentions with respect to this matter are also described in Notes 1 and 2. The accompanying consolidated interim financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

We previously audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2010, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income (loss), equity (deficit), and of cash flows for the year then ended (not presented herein), and in our report dated February 25, 2011, we expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements with an explanatory paragraph relating to the Company's ability to continue as a going concern.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP McLean, Virginia August 5, 2011

W. R. Grace & Co. and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Statements of Operations (unaudited)

(In millions, except per share amounts)

]	Three I Ended J	e 30 ,		Six M Ended J	e 30,		
		2011 2010				2011		2010
Net sales	\$	826.4	\$	685.0	\$	1,522.1	\$	1,299.9
Cost of goods sold		522.5		440.5		966.4		841.6
Gross profit		303.9		244.5		555.7		458.3
Selling, general and administrative expenses		145.2		129.8		274.5		252.3
Restructuring expenses and related asset impairments		0.7		1.2		0.9		3.4
Research and development expenses		16.7		14.8		32.3		30.1
Defined benefit pension expense		15.1		18.4		31.6		38.3
Interest expense and related financing costs		11.0		11.0		21.4		20.9
Provision for environmental remediation		0.5				0.5		
Chapter 11 expenses, net of interest income		6.7		4.3		12.5		10.8
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates		(4.2)		(6.2)		(7.7)		(11.3)
Other expense (income), net		0.1		(0.1)		(1.9)		2.3
Total costs and expenses		191.8		173.2		364.1		346.8
Income before income taxes		112.1		71.3		191.6		111.5
Provision for income taxes		(36.6)		(20.3)		(62.1)		(3.9)
Net income		75.5		51.0		129.5		107.6
Less: Net loss (income) attributable to noncontrolling interests		0.3				0.5		(0.4)
Net income attributable to W. R. Grace & Co. shareholders	\$	75.8	\$	51.0	\$	130.0	\$	107.2
Earnings Per Share Attributable to W. R. Grace & Co.								
Shareholders								
Basic earnings per share:								
Net income attributable to W. R. Grace & Co. shareholders	\$	1.03	\$	0.70	\$	1.77	\$	1.48
Weighted average number of basic shares		73.4		72.7		73.3		72.6
Diluted earnings per share:								
Net income attributable to W. R. Grace & Co. shareholders	ф	4 00				4 = 4		
Weighted average number of diluted shares	\$	1.00 75.6	\$	0.69 74.4	\$	1.72 75.4	\$	1.44 74.5

The Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these statements.

W. R. Grace & Co. and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited)

(In millions)

	Six Months Ended June 30,				
		2011	- 2	2010	
OPERATING ACTIVITIES	_	140 =		40= 4	
Net income	\$	129.5	\$	107.6	
Reconciliation to net cash provided by (used for) operating					
activities:		= 0.0		50.5	
Depreciation and amortization		58.9		59.7	
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates		(7.7)		(11.3)	
Provision for income taxes		62.1 (34.2)		3.9	
Income taxes (paid), net of refunds		()		(13.6)	
Defined benefit pension expense		31.6		38.3	
Payments under defined benefit pension arrangements		(212.1)		(26.8)	
Changes in assets and liabilities, excluding effect of currency translation:					
Trade accounts receivable		(93.4)		(49.2)	
Inventories		(46.7)		(33.7)	
Accounts payable		46.6		35.6	
All other items, net		(6.9)		(27.2)	
An other items, net		(0.9)		(27.2)	
Net cash provided by (used for) operating activities		(72.3)		83.3	
INVESTING ACTIVITIES					
Capital expenditures		(57.7)		(42.2)	
Transfer to restricted cash and cash equivalents		(14.4)		(81.5)	
Other investing activities		5.9		0.5	
Net cash used for investing activities		(66.2)		(123.2)	
FINANCING ACTIVITIES					
Repayments under credit arrangements		(1.1)		(5.2)	
Proceeds from exercise of stock options		5.4		6.8	
Other financing activities		2.9		1.1	
Net cash provided by financing activities		7.2		2.7	
Effect of currency exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents		10.3		(14.2)	
Decrease in cash and cash equivalents		(121.0)		(51.4)	
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period		1,015.7		893.0	
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$	894.7	\$	841.6	

The Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these statements.

W. R. Grace & Co. and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Balance Sheets (unaudited)

(In millions, except par value and shares)

	June 30, 2011		De	ecember 31, 2010
ASSETS				
Current Assets				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	894.7	\$	1,015.7
Restricted cash and cash equivalents		112.2		97.8
Trade accounts receivable, less allowance of \$7.1 (2010 \$7.0)		488.0		380.8
Accounts receivable unconsolidated affiliates		13.0		5.3
Inventories		313.2		259.3
Deferred income taxes		48.7		54.7
Other current assets		77.6		90.6
Total Current Assets		1,947.4		1,904.2
Properties and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation and		_,-		-,,
amortization of \$1,764.0 (2010 \$1,675.2)		719.7		702.5
Goodwill		130.2		125.5
Deferred income taxes		822.6		845.0
Asbestos-related insurance		500.0		500.0
Overfunded defined benefit pension plans		40.6		35.6
Investments in unconsolidated affiliates		63.6		56.4
Other assets		90.7		102.5
Total Assets	\$	4,314.8	\$	4,271.7
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY (DEFICIT)				
Liabilities Not Subject to Compromise				
Current Liabilities				
Debt payable within one year	\$	36.9	\$	37.0
Debt payable unconsolidated affiliates		2.4		2.3
Accounts payable		259.9		207.1
Accounts payable unconsolidated affiliates		3.0		8.5
Other current liabilities		300.1		278.0
Total Current Liabilities		602.3		532.9
Debt payable after one year		2.7		2.9
Debt payable unconsolidated affiliates		15.6		12.6
Deferred income taxes		35.3		34.6
Underfunded and unfunded defined benefit pension plans		327.2		539.8
Other liabilities		43.3		43.6
Total Liabilities Not Subject to Compromise		1,026.4		1,166.4
Liabilities Subject to Compromise Note 2		,		,
Debt plus accrued interest		926.2		911.4

Edgar Filing: W R GRACE & CO - Form 10-Q

Income tax contingencies	89.9	93.8
Asbestos-related contingencies	1,700.0	1,700.0
Environmental contingencies	139.5	144.0
Postretirement benefits	177.8	181.1
Other liabilities and accrued interest	145.2	143.8
Total Liabilities Subject to Compromise	3,178.6	3,174.1
Total Liabilities	4,205.0	4,340.5
Commitments and Contingencies Note 10		
Equity (Deficit)		
Common stock issued, par value \$0.01; 300,000,000 shares		
authorized; outstanding: 2011 73,515,568 (2010 73,120,357)	0.7	0.7
Paid-in capital	463.1	455.9
Retained earnings	161.7	31.7
Treasury stock, at cost: shares: 2011 3,464,192; (2010 3,859,403)	(41.2)	(45.9)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(481.3)	(518.1)
Total W. R. Grace & Co. Shareholders' Equity (Deficit)	103.0	(75.7)
Noncontrolling interests	6.8	6.9
Total Equity (Deficit)	109.8	(68.8)
Total Liabilities and Equity (Deficit) \$	4,314.8 \$	4,271.7

The Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these statements.

5

W. R. Grace & Co. and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Statements of Equity (Deficit) (unaudited)

(In millions)

	S a Pa	mmon tock and iid-in(A ipital	Re Ea Accu	tained rnings ımulateć eficit)			cumulated Other prehens N o Loss			gE	Total quity eficit)
Balance, December 31,	Ca	ірпаі	D	encit)	0	lock	LUSS	1111	iei esis	(D	encit)
2009	\$	446.6	\$	(175.4)	\$	(55.9)	\$ (514.5)	\$	8.7	\$	(290.5)
Net income				107.2					0.4		107.6
Stock plan activity		4.6				5.7					10.3
Other comprehensive income (loss)							(57.1)		0.2		(56.9)
Balance, June 30, 2010	\$	451.2	\$	(68.2)	\$	(50.2)	\$ (571.6)	\$	9.3	\$	(229.5)
Balance, December 31, 2010	\$	456.6	\$	31.7	\$	(45.9)	\$ (518.1)	\$	6.9	\$	(68.8)
Net income (loss)				130.0					(0.5)		129.5
Stock plan activity		7.2				4.7					11.9
Other comprehensive income							36.8		0.4		37.2
Balance, June 30, 2011	\$	463.8	\$	161.7	\$	(41.2)	\$ (481.3)	\$	6.8	\$	109.8

W. R. Grace & Co. and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) (unaudited)

(In millions)

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2011 2010				Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 2010					
Net income	\$	75.5				51.0		129.5	\$	107.6
Other comprehensive income (loss):										
Defined benefit pension and other postretirement plans, net of income taxes		21.8		(62.5)		35.0		(60.2)		
Currency translation adjustments		(0.5)		10.5		1.3		4.3		
Gain (loss) from hedging activities, net of income taxes		(0.2)		1.0		0.5		(1.2)		
Total other comprehensive income (loss) attributable to W. R. Grace & Co. shareholders		21.1		(51.0)		36.8		(57.1)		
Total other comprehensive income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests		0.4		(0.1)		0.4		0.2		
Total other comprehensive income (loss)		21.5		(51.1)		37.2		(56.9)		
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$	97.0	\$	(0.1)	\$	166.7	\$	50.7		

Edgar Filing: W R GRACE & CO - Form 10-Q

The Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these statements.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

1. Basis of Presentation and Summary of Significant Accounting and Financial Reporting Policies

W. R. Grace & Co., through its subsidiaries, is engaged in specialty chemicals and specialty materials businesses on a global basis through two operating segments: Grace Davison, which includes specialty catalysts and specialty materials used in a wide range of energy, refining, consumer, industrial, packaging and life sciences applications; and Grace Construction Products, which includes specialty construction chemicals and specialty building materials used in commercial, infrastructure and residential construction.

W. R. Grace & Co. conducts substantially all of its business through a direct, wholly-owned subsidiary, W. R. Grace & Co.-Conn. ("Grace-Conn."). Grace-Conn. owns substantially all of the assets, properties and rights of W. R. Grace & Co. on a consolidated basis, either directly or through subsidiaries.

As used in these notes, the term "Company" refers to W. R. Grace & Co. The term "Grace" refers to the Company and/or one or more of its subsidiaries and, in certain cases, their respective predecessors.

Voluntary Bankruptcy Filing During 2000 and the first quarter of 2001, Grace experienced several adverse developments in its asbestos-related litigation, including: a significant increase in personal injury claims, higher than expected costs to resolve personal injury and certain property damage claims, and class action lawsuits alleging damages from Zonolite® Attic Insulation ("ZAI"), a former Grace attic insulation product.

After a thorough review of these developments, Grace's Board of Directors concluded that a federal court-supervised bankruptcy process provided the best forum available to achieve fairness in resolving these claims and on April 2, 2001 (the "Filing Date"), Grace and 61 of its United States subsidiaries and affiliates, (collectively, the "Debtors"), filed voluntary petitions for reorganization (the "Filing") under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware (the "Bankruptcy Court"). The cases were consolidated and are being jointly administered under case number 01-01139 (the "Chapter 11 Cases"). Grace's non-U.S. subsidiaries and certain of its U.S. subsidiaries were not included in the Filing.

Under Chapter 11, the Debtors have continued to operate their businesses as debtors-in-possession under court protection from creditors and claimants, while using the Chapter 11 process to develop and implement a plan for addressing the asbestos-related claims. Since the Filing, all motions necessary to conduct normal business activities have been approved by the Bankruptcy Court. (See Note 2 for Chapter 11 Information)

Basis of Presentation The interim Consolidated Financial Statements presented herein are unaudited and should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements presented in the Company's 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K. Such interim Consolidated Financial Statements reflect all adjustments that, in the opinion of management, are necessary for a fair statement of the results of the interim periods presented; all such adjustments are of a normal recurring nature except for the impacts of adopting new accounting standards as discussed below. Potential accounting adjustments discovered during normal reporting and accounting processes are evaluated on the basis of materiality, both individually and in the aggregate, and are recorded in the accounting period discovered, unless a restatement of a prior period is necessary. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

1. Basis of Presentation and Summary of Significant Accounting and Financial Reporting Policies (Continued)

The results of operations for the six-month interim period ended June 30, 2011 are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations for the year ending December 31, 2011.

Reclassifications Certain amounts in prior years' Consolidated Financial Statements have been reclassified to conform to the 2011 presentation. Such reclassifications have not materially affected previously reported amounts in the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Use of Estimates The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (U.S. GAAP) requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amount of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the Consolidated Financial Statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses for the periods presented. Actual amounts could differ from those estimates, and the differences could be material. Changes in estimates are recorded in the period identified. Grace's accounting measurements that are most affected by management's estimates of future events are:

Contingent liabilities, which depend on an assessment of the probability of loss and an estimate of ultimate resolution cost, such as asbestos-related matters (see Notes 2 and 3), income taxes (see Note 7), environmental remediation (see Note 10), and litigation (see Note 10);

Pension and postretirement liabilities that depend on assumptions regarding participant life spans, future inflation, discount rates and total returns on invested funds (see Note 8);

Realization values of net deferred tax assets and insurance receivables, which depend on projections of future income and cash flows and assessments of insurance coverage and insurer solvency; and

Recoverability of goodwill, which depends on assumptions used to value reporting units, such as observable market inputs, projections of future cash flows and weighted average cost of capital.

The accuracy of management's estimates may be materially affected by the uncertainties arising under Grace's Chapter 11 proceeding.

Effect of New Accounting Standards In January 2010, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standard Update ("ASU") 2010-06 "Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements". This update provides additional guidance and expands the disclosure requirements related to transfers of assets in and out of Levels 1 and 2 as well as the activity for Level 3 fair value measurements. The new disclosures and clarifications of existing disclosures are effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009, except for the disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements in the roll forward of activity in Level 3 fair value measurements. Those disclosures are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010, and for interim periods within those fiscal years. See Note 6 for further discussion of financial assets and liabilities subject to Accounting Standard Codification ("ASC") 820.

In December 2010, the FASB issued ASU 2010-29 "Disclosure of Supplementary Pro Forma Information for Business Combinations". This update provides additional guidance and expands the disclosure requirements related to business combinations that occurred in the reporting period. The

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

1. Basis of Presentation and Summary of Significant Accounting and Financial Reporting Policies (Continued)

new disclosures and clarifications of existing disclosures are effective for the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2010. Grace adopted these updates in 2011.

2. Chapter 11 Information

Official Parties to Grace's Chapter 11 Cases Three creditors' committees, two representing asbestos claimants, the Official Committee of Asbestos Personal Injury Claimants (the "PI Committee") and the Official Committee of Asbestos Property Damage Claimants (the "PD Committee"), and the third representing other unsecured creditors, and the Official Committee of Equity Security Holders (the "Equity Committee"), have been appointed in the Chapter 11 Cases. These committees, a legal representative of future asbestos personal injury claimants (the "PI FCR") and a legal representative of future asbestos property damage claimants (the "PD FCR"), have the right to be heard on all matters that come before the Bankruptcy Court and have important roles in the Chapter 11 Cases. The Debtors are required to bear certain costs and expenses of the committees and the representatives of future asbestos claimants, including those of their counsel and financial advisors.

As discussed below, the Debtors, the Equity Committee, the PI Committee and the PI FCR have filed a joint plan of reorganization, subsequently amended, with the Bankruptcy Court that is designed to address all pending and future asbestos-related claims and all other pre-petition claims as outlined therein (as amended to date, the "Joint Plan"). The committee representing general unsecured creditors, the PD Committee and the PD FCR are not co-proponents of the Joint Plan. On January 31, 2011, the Bankruptcy Court issued an order confirming this Joint Plan. In order to become effective, the confirmation order must be affirmed by the United States District Court for the District of Delaware (the "District Court") and all other conditions to the effective date set forth in the Joint Plan must be satisfied or waived.

Plans of Reorganization On November 13, 2004, Grace filed a proposed plan of reorganization with the Bankruptcy Court. On January 13, 2005, Grace filed an amended plan of reorganization (the "Prior Plan") and related documents to address certain objections of creditors and other interested parties. At the time it was filed, the Prior Plan was supported by the committee representing general unsecured creditors and the Equity Committee, but was not supported by the PI Committee, the PD Committee or the PI FCR. At the time of filing of the Prior Plan, the PD FCR had not been appointed.

On July 26, 2007, the Bankruptcy Court terminated Grace's exclusive rights to propose a plan of reorganization and solicit votes thereon. As a result of the termination of these rights, any party-in-interest could propose a competing plan of reorganization. On November 5, 2007, the PI Committee and the PI FCR filed a proposed plan of reorganization (the "PI Plan") with the Bankruptcy Court.

On April 6, 2008, the Debtors reached an agreement in principle with the PI Committee, the PI FCR, and the Equity Committee designed to resolve all present and future asbestos-related personal injury claims (the "PI Settlement").

Prior to the PI Settlement, the Bankruptcy Court entered a case management order for estimating liability for pending and future asbestos personal injury claims. A trial for estimating

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

2. Chapter 11 Information (Continued)

liability for such claims began in January 2008 but was suspended in April 2008 as a result of the PI Settlement.

As contemplated by the PI Settlement, on September 19, 2008, the Debtors, supported by the Equity Committee, the PI Committee and the PI FCR, as co-proponents, filed the Joint Plan to reflect the terms of the PI Settlement.

On October 17, 2008, the Ontario Superior Court of Justice, in the Grace Canada, Inc. proceeding pending under the Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act, approved an agreement entered into by the Company, Grace Canada, Inc. and legal representatives of Canadian ZAI property damage claims and demands. On December 13, 2009 and January 17, 2011, the Ontario Superior Court of Justice approved amendments to this agreement (the "Amended Settlement"). Under the Amended Settlement, all Canadian ZAI property damage claims and demands would be paid through a separate Canadian ZAI property damage claims fund of CDN\$8.6 million. The Amended Settlement is subject to the effectiveness of the Joint Plan. The Crown has filed objections to the terms of the Joint Plan based on the provisions of, and their treatment under, the Amended Settlement.

On November 21, 2008, the Debtors reached an agreement in principle (the "ZAI PD Term Sheet") with the Putative Class Counsel to the U.S. ZAI claimants, the PD FCR, and the Equity Committee designed to resolve all present and future U.S. ZAI property damage claims and demands as described below.

As contemplated by the PI Settlement and the ZAI PD Term Sheet, the Debtors, supported by the Equity Committee, the PI Committee and the PI FCR, as co-proponents, amended the Joint Plan and several associated documents, including a disclosure statement, trust distribution procedures, exhibits and other supporting documents on December 18, 2008, February 3, 2009 and February 27, 2009 through filings with the Bankruptcy Court. The Debtors and co-proponents filed technical modifications to the Joint Plan and certain exhibits on September 4, 2009, October 12, 2009, December 16, 2009, March 19, 2010, December 8, 2010, and December 23, 2010. The Joint Plan is designed to address all pending and future asbestos-related claims and all other pre-petition claims as outlined therein. The Joint Plan supersedes the Prior Plan and the PI Plan.

Under the Joint Plan, two asbestos trusts would be established under Section 524(g) of the Bankruptcy Code. All asbestos-related personal injury claims would be channeled for resolution to one asbestos trust (the "PI Trust") and all asbestos-related property damage claims, including U.S and Canadian ZAI property damage claims, would be channeled to a separate asbestos trust (the "PD Trust").

The Joint Plan assumes that Cryovac, Inc. ("Cryovac"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Sealed Air Corporation ("Sealed Air"), will fund the PI Trust and the PD Trust with an aggregate of: (i) \$512.5 million in cash (plus interest at 5.5% compounded annually from December 21, 2002); and (ii) 18 million shares (reflecting a two-for-one stock split) of common stock of Sealed Air, pursuant to the terms of a settlement agreement resolving asbestos-related, successor liability and fraudulent transfer claims against Sealed Air and Cryovac, as further described below (the "Sealed Air Settlement"). The value of the Sealed Air Settlement changes daily with the accrual of interest and the trading value of Sealed Air common stock. The Joint Plan also assumes that Fresenius AG ("Fresenius") will fund the PI Trust and the PD Trust with an aggregate of \$115.0 million pursuant to the terms of a settlement agreement resolving asbestos-related, successor liability and fraudulent

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

2. Chapter 11 Information (Continued)

transfer claims against Fresenius, as further described below (the "Fresenius Settlement"). The Sealed Air Settlement and the Fresenius Settlement have been approved by the Bankruptcy Court but remain subject to the fulfillment of specified conditions.

Any plan of reorganization, including the Joint Plan and any plan of reorganization that may be filed in the future by a party-in-interest, will become effective only after a vote of eligible creditors and with the approval of the Bankruptcy Court and the District Court. All classes of creditors entitled to vote accepted the Joint Plan as of May 20, 2009. The class of general unsecured creditors, who voted on a provisional basis pending a determination by the Bankruptcy Court as to whether the class is impaired and therefore entitled to a vote, voted to reject the Joint Plan. The objections filed generally relate to demands for interest at rates higher than provided for in the Joint Plan, assertions that the Joint Plan may impair insurers' contractual rights, assertions that the Joint Plan discriminates against Libby, Montana personal injury claimants and the classification and treatment of claims under the Joint Plan. On January 31, 2011, the Bankruptcy Court issued an order confirming the Joint Plan and overruling all objections. In order to become effective, the confirmation order must be affirmed by the District Court, and all other conditions for effectiveness set forth in the Joint Plan must be satisfied or waived.

Eleven parties filed appeals in the District Court challenging the Bankruptcy Court order confirming the Joint Plan. If those appeals are resolved adversely to Grace and the other Joint Plan proponents by the District Court or an appellate court, certain conditions to the Joint Plan, including for example, payments pursuant to the Sealed Air Settlement and the Fresenius Settlement, might not be satisfied and potential lenders might not be willing to provide the new financing that Grace requires to fund the Joint Plan. The decision of the District Court and resolution of any further appeals could have a material effect on the terms and timing of Grace's emergence from Chapter 11.

The Joint Plan is designed to address all pending and future asbestos-related claims and demands and all other pre-petition claims as outlined respectively therein. However, it is possible that the Bankruptcy Court order confirming the Joint Plan will not be affirmed by the District Court or, if affirmed, could be subject to further appeals that reverse affirmance or result in a remand. In either instance, and if the Joint Plan cannot be amended to address any deficiencies identified by the appellate court, the Debtors would expect to resume the estimation trial, which was suspended in April 2008 due to the PI Settlement, to determine the amount of its asbestos-related liabilities. Under those circumstances, a different plan of reorganization may ultimately be confirmed and become effective. Under a different plan of reorganization, the interests of holders of Company common stock could be substantially diluted or cancelled. The value of Company common stock would be significantly affected by the amount of Debtors' asbestos-related liability established under a different plan of reorganization.

Joint Plan of Reorganization Under the terms of the Joint Plan, claims under the Chapter 11 Cases would be satisfied as follows:

Asbestos-Related Personal Injury Claims

All pending and future asbestos-related personal injury claims and demands ("PI Claims") would be channeled to the PI Trust for resolution. The PI Trust would use specified trust distribution procedures to satisfy allowed PI Claims.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

2. Chapter 11 Information (Continued)

The PI Trust would be funded with:

\$250 million in cash plus interest thereon from January 1, 2009 to the effective date of the Joint Plan to be paid by Grace;

Cash in the amount of the PD Initial Payment (as described below) and the ZAI Initial Payment (as described below) to be paid by Grace;

A warrant to acquire 10 million shares of Company common stock at an exercise price of \$17.00 per share, expiring one year from the effective date of the Joint Plan;

Rights to all proceeds under all of the Debtors' insurance policies that are available for payment of PI Claims;

Cash in the amount of \$512.5 million plus interest thereon from December 21, 2002 to the effective date of the Joint Plan at a rate of 5.5% per annum to be paid by Cryovac reduced by the amount of Cryovac's contribution to the PD Initial Payment and the ZAI Initial Payment (as described below) and 18 million shares of Sealed Air common stock to be paid by Cryovac pursuant to the Sealed Air Settlement;

Cash in the amount of \$115 million to be paid by Fresenius pursuant to the Fresenius Settlement reduced by the amount of Fresenius' contribution to the PD Initial Payment and ZAI Initial Payment (as described below); and

Deferred payments by Grace of \$110 million per year for five years beginning in 2019, and \$100 million per year for 10 years beginning in 2024, that would be subordinate to any bank debt or bonds outstanding, guaranteed by the Company and secured by the Company's obligation to issue 50.1% of its outstanding common stock (measured as of the effective date of the Joint Plan) to the PI Trust in the event of default.

Asbestos-Related Property Damage Claims

All pending and future asbestos-related property damage claims and demands ("PD Claims") would be channeled to the PD Trust for resolution. The PD Trust would contribute CDN\$8.6 million to a separate Canadian ZAI PD Claims fund through which Canadian ZAI PD Claims would be resolved. The PD Trust would generally resolve U.S. ZAI PD Claims that qualify for payment by paying 55% of the claimed amount, but in no event would the PD Trust pay more per claim than 55% of \$7,500 (as adjusted for inflation each year after the fifth anniversary of the effective date of the Joint Plan). The PD Trust would satisfy other allowed PD Claims pursuant to specified trust distribution procedures with cash payments in the allowed settlement amount. Unresolved PD Claims and future PD claims would be litigated pursuant to procedures to be approved by the Bankruptcy Court and, to the extent such claims were determined to be allowed claims, would be paid in cash by the PD Trust in the amount determined by the Bankruptcy Court.

The PD Trust would contain two accounts, the PD account and the ZAI PD account. U.S. ZAI PD Claims would be paid from the ZAI PD account and other PD Claims would be paid from the PD account. The separate Canadian ZAI PD Claims would be paid by a separate fund established in

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

2. Chapter 11 Information (Continued)

Canada. Each account would have a separate trustee and the assets of the accounts would not be commingled. The two accounts would be funded as follows:

The PD account would be funded with:

Approximately \$147 million in cash plus cash in the amount of the estimated first six months of PD Trust expenses, to be paid by Cryovac and Fresenius (the "PD Initial Payment"), and CDN\$8.6 million in cash to be paid by Grace pursuant to the Amended Settlement.

A Grace obligation (the "PD Obligation") providing for a payment to the PD Trust every six months in the amount of the non-ZAI PD Claims allowed during the preceding six months plus interest and, except for the first six months, the amount of PD Trust expenses for the preceding six months. The aggregate amount to be paid under the PD Obligation would not be capped.

The ZAI PD account would be funded as follows (the "ZAI Assets"):

\$30 million in cash plus interest from April 1, 2009 to the effective date, to be paid by Cryovac and Fresenius (the "ZAI Initial Payment").

\$30 million in cash on the third anniversary of the effective date of the Joint Plan, to be paid by Grace.

A Grace obligation providing for the payment of up to 10 contingent deferred payments of \$8 million per year during the 20-year period beginning on the fifth anniversary of the effective date of the Joint Plan, with each such payment due only if the ZAI Assets fall below \$10 million during the preceding year.

All payments to the PD Trust that were not to be paid on the effective date of the Joint Plan would be secured by the Company's obligation to issue 50.1% of its outstanding common stock (measured as of the effective date of the Joint Plan) to the PD Trust in the event of default. Grace would have the right to conduct annual audits of the books, records and claim processing procedures of the PD Trust.

Other Claims

All allowed administrative claims would be paid in cash and all allowed priority claims would be paid in cash with interest. Secured claims would be paid in cash with interest or by reinstatement. Allowed general unsecured claims would be paid in cash, including any post-petition interest as follows: (i) for holders of pre-petition bank credit facilities, post-petition interest at the rate of 6.09% from the Filing Date through December 31, 2005 and thereafter at floating prime, in each case compounded quarterly; and (ii) for all other unsecured claims that are not subject to a settlement agreement providing otherwise, interest at 4.19% from the Filing Date, compounded annually, or if pursuant to an existing contract, interest at the non-default contract rate. The general unsecured creditors that hold pre-petition bank debt have asserted that they are entitled to post-petition interest at the default rate specified under the terms of the underlying credit agreements which, at the time of their recent bankruptcy court filings they asserted, was approximately an additional \$140 million (Grace believes that if default interest was ultimately determined to be payable, the additional amount of accrued interest would be substantially less than that asserted by the general unsecured creditors.). The Bankruptcy Court has overruled this assertion; this ruling has been appealed to the District Court. Unsecured employee-related claims such as pension, retirement medical obligations and workers compensation claims would be reinstated.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

2. Chapter 11 Information (Continued)

Effect on Company Common Stock

The Joint Plan provides that Company common stock will remain outstanding at the effective date of the Joint Plan, but that the interests of existing shareholders would be subject to dilution by additional shares of Company common stock issued under the warrant or in the event of default in respect of the deferred payment obligations to the PI Trust or the PD Trust under the Company's security obligation.

In order to preserve significant tax benefits which are subject to elimination or limitation in the event of a change in control (as defined by the Internal Revenue Code) of Grace, the Joint Plan provides that under certain circumstances, the Board of Directors would have the authority to impose restrictions on the transfer of Grace common stock with respect to certain 5% shareholders. These restrictions will generally not limit the ability of a person that holds less than 5% of Grace common stock after emergence to either buy or sell stock on the open market. In addition, the Bankruptcy Court has approved trading restrictions on Grace common stock until the effective date of a plan of reorganization. These restrictions prohibit (without the consent of the Company) a person from acquiring more than 4.75% of the outstanding Grace common stock or, for any person already holding more than 4.75%, from increasing such person's holdings. This summary of the stock transfer restrictions does not purport to be complete and is qualified in its entirety by reference to the order of the Bankruptcy Court, which has been filed with the SEC.

Claims Filings The Bankruptcy Court established a bar date of March 31, 2003, for claims of general unsecured creditors, PD Claims (other than ZAI PD Claims) and medical monitoring claims related to asbestos. The bar date did not apply to PI Claims or claims related to ZAI PD Claims.

Approximately 14,900 proofs of claim were filed by the March 31, 2003, bar date. Of these claims, approximately 9,500 were non-asbestos related, approximately 4,400 were PD Claims, and approximately 1,000 were for medical monitoring. The medical monitoring claims were made by individuals who allege exposure to asbestos through Grace's products or operations. Under the Joint Plan, these claims would be channeled to the PI Trust for resolution. In addition, approximately 800 proofs of claim were filed after the bar date.

Approximately 6,685 non-asbestos related claims were filed by employees or former employees (the "Employee Claims") for benefits arising from Grace's existing plans, programs, and policies regarding employee bonuses and other compensation, indemnity agreements or various medical, insurance, severance, retiree and other benefits (collectively, the "Grace Benefit Programs"). On July 3, 2010, the Bankruptcy Court entered an order disallowing the Employee Claims because: (i) Grace has continued to pay its Grace Benefit Programs obligations during the Chapter 11 Cases and intends to do so for the remainder of the Chapter 11 Cases and thereafter; and (ii) pursuant to the Joint Plan, Grace is assuming its obligations under the Grace Benefit Programs and will continue to pay all such obligations pursuant to the terms and conditions of the applicable Grace Benefit Programs. The omnibus objection to Employee Claims does not address an additional approximately 255 claims filed by employees and former employees. These remaining employee-related claims will be addressed through the claim objection process and the dispute resolution procedures approved by the Bankruptcy Court.

The remaining non-asbestos, non-employee related claims include claims for payment of goods and services, taxes, product warranties, principal and interest under pre-petition credit facilities, amounts due under leases and other contracts, leases and other executory contracts rejected in the

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

2. Chapter 11 Information (Continued)

Chapter 11 Cases, environmental remediation, pending non-asbestos-related litigation, and non-asbestos-related personal injury. The Debtors analyzed the claims filed pursuant to the March 31, 2003, bar date and found that many are duplicates, represent the same claim filed against more than one of the Debtors, lack any supporting documentation, or provide insufficient supporting documentation. As of June 30, 2011, of the approximately 4,335 non-ZAI PD Claims filed, approximately 395 claims have been resolved, approximately 3,905 claims have been expunged, reclassified by the Debtors or withdrawn by claimants, leaving approximately 35 claims to be addressed through the property damage case management order approved by the Bankruptcy Court and/or the Joint Plan or another plan of reorganization. The claims remaining to be addressed include 16 asbestos property damage claims that had been expunged by a Bankruptcy Court order that was reversed by an order of the District Court on September 29, 2009. As of June 30, 2011, of the approximately 3,300 non-asbestos claims filed, approximately 1,925 have been expunged or withdrawn by claimants, approximately 1,190 have been resolved, and an additional approximately 185 claims are to be addressed through the claim objection process and the dispute resolution procedures approved by the Bankruptcy Court.

Additionally, by order dated June 17, 2008, the Bankruptcy Court established October 31, 2008 as the bar date for ZAI PD Claims related to property located in the U.S. As of June 30, 2011, approximately 19,260 US ZAI PD Claims have been filed. In addition, on October 21, 2008, the Bankruptcy Court entered an order establishing August 31, 2009 as the bar date for ZAI PD Claims related to property located in Canada. Under the Amended Settlement, notwithstanding the Canadian ZAI PD Claims Bar Date of August 31, 2009, all Canadian ZAI PD Claimants who have filed a proof of claim by December 31, 2009, shall be entitled to seek compensation from the Canadian ZAI PD Claims Fund to be established pursuant to the Amended Settlement. As of June 30, 2011, approximately 14,100 Canadian ZAI PD Claims have been filed. The Joint Plan provides for the channeling of US ZAI PD Claims and Canadian ZAI PD Claims to the Asbestos PD Trust created under the Joint Plan, and the subsequent transfer of Canadian ZAI PD Claims to a Canadian fund. No bar date has been set for personal injury claims related to ZAI. The Joint Plan provides that ZAI PI Claims would be channeled to the Asbestos PI Trust created under the Joint Plan.

Grace is continuing to analyze and review unresolved claims in relation to the Joint Plan. Grace believes that its recorded liabilities for claims subject to the March 31, 2003, bar date represent a reasonable estimate of the ultimate allowable amount for claims that are not in dispute or have been submitted with sufficient information to both evaluate the merit and estimate the value of the claim. The PD Claims are considered as part of Grace's overall asbestos liability and are being accounted for in accordance with the conditions precedent under the Prior Plan, as described in Note 3.

Debt Capital All of the Debtors' pre-petition debt is in default due to the Filing. The accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets reflect the classification of the Debtors' pre-petition debt within "liabilities subject to compromise."

On March 2, 2010, Grace terminated its debtor-in-possession (DIP) facility and replaced it with a \$100 million cash-collateralized letter of credit facility with a commercial bank to support existing and new financial assurances. On February 28, 2011, Grace renewed the letter of credit facility for another year.

Accounting Impact The accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements have been prepared in accordance with FASB ASC 852, "Financial Reporting by Entities in Reorganization

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

2. Chapter 11 Information (Continued)

Under the Bankruptcy Code". ASC 852 requires that financial statements of debtors-in-possession be prepared on a going concern basis, which contemplates continuity of operations and realization of assets and liquidation of liabilities in the ordinary course of business. However, as a result of the Filing, the realization of certain of the Debtors' assets and the liquidation of certain of the Debtors' liabilities are subject to significant uncertainty. While operating as debtors-in-possession, the Debtors may sell or otherwise dispose of assets and liquidate or settle liabilities for amounts other than those reflected in the Consolidated Financial Statements. Further, the ultimate plan of reorganization could materially change the amounts and classifications reported in the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Pursuant to ASC 852, Grace's pre-petition and future liabilities that are subject to compromise are required to be reported separately on the balance sheet at an estimate of the amount that will ultimately be allowed by the Bankruptcy Court. As of June 30, 2011, such pre-petition liabilities include fixed obligations (such as debt and contractual commitments), as well as estimates of costs related to contingent liabilities (such as asbestos-related litigation, environmental remediation and other claims). Obligations of Grace subsidiaries not covered by the Filing continue to be classified on the Consolidated Balance Sheets based upon maturity dates or the expected dates of payment. ASC 852 also requires separate reporting of certain expenses, realized gains and losses, and provisions for losses related to the Filing as reorganization items. Grace presents reorganization items as "Chapter 11 expenses, net of interest income," a separate caption in its Consolidated Statements of Operations.

As discussed in Note 3, Grace has not adjusted its accounting for asbestos-related assets or liabilities to reflect the Joint Plan.

Grace has not recorded the benefit of any assets that may be available to fund asbestos-related and other liabilities under the Fresenius Settlement and the Sealed Air Settlement, as under the Joint Plan, these assets will be transferred to the PI Trust and the PD Trust. The estimated fair value available under the Fresenius Settlement and the Sealed Air Settlement as measured at June 30, 2011, was \$1,352.3 million comprised of \$115.0 million in cash from Fresenius and \$1,237.3 million in cash and stock from Cryovac under the Joint Plan. Payments under the Sealed Air Settlement will be made directly to the PI Trust and the PD Trust by Cryovac.

Grace's Consolidated Balance Sheets separately identify the liabilities that are "subject to compromise" as a result of the Chapter 11 proceedings. In Grace's case, "liabilities subject to compromise" represent both pre-petition and future liabilities as determined under U.S. GAAP. Changes to pre-petition liabilities subsequent to the Filing Date reflect: (1) cash payments under approved court orders; (2) the terms of the Prior Plan, as discussed above and in Note 3, including the accrual of interest on pre-petition debt and other fixed obligations; (3) accruals for employee- related programs; and (4) changes in estimates related to other pre-petition contingent liabilities. The accounting for the asbestos-related liability component of "liabilities subject to compromise" is described in Note 3.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

2. Chapter 11 Information (Continued)

Components of liabilities subject to compromise are as follows:

	Jι	ıne 30,	Decer	nber 31,
(In millions)		2011	2	010
Asbestos-related contingencies	\$	1,700.0	\$	1,700.0
Pre-petition bank debt plus accrued interest		892.6		878.5
Environmental contingencies		139.5		144.0
Unfunded special pension arrangements		118.0		119.5
Income tax contingencies		89.9		93.8
Postretirement benefits other than pension		69.9		70.2
Drawn letters of credit plus accrued interest		33.6		32.9
Accounts payable		31.1		31.1
Retained obligations of divested businesses		29.6		28.6
Other accrued liabilities		84.5		84.1
Reclassification to current liabilities(1)		(10.1)		(8.6)
Total Liabilities Subject to Compromise	\$	3,178.6	\$	3,174.1

(1) As of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, approximately \$10.1 million and \$8.6 million, respectively, of certain pension and postretirement benefit obligations subject to compromise have been presented in other current liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets in accordance with ASC 715.

Note that the unfunded special pension arrangements reflected above exclude non-U.S. pension plans and qualified U.S. pension plans that became underfunded subsequent to the Filing. Contributions to qualified U.S. pension plans are subject to Bankruptcy Court approval.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

2. Chapter 11 Information (Continued)

Change in Liabilities Subject to Compromise

The following table is a reconciliation of the changes in pre-filing date liability balances for the period from the Filing Date through June 30, 2011.

(In millions) (Unaudited)	 nulative e Filing
Balance, Filing Date April 2, 2001	\$ 2,366.0
Cash disbursements and/or reclassifications under Bankruptcy Court orders:	
Payment of environmental settlement liability	(252.0)
Freight and distribution order	(5.7)
Trade accounts payable order	(9.1)
Resolution of contingencies subject to Chapter 11	(130.0)
Other court orders for payments of certain operating expenses	(351.9)
Expense/(income) items:	
Interest on pre-petition liabilities	489.7
Employee-related accruals	102.2
Provision for asbestos-related contingencies	744.8
Provision for environmental contingencies	335.2
Provision for income tax contingencies	(74.8)
Balance sheet reclassifications	(35.8)
Balance, end of period	\$ 3,178.6

Additional liabilities subject to compromise may arise due to the rejection of executory contracts or unexpired leases, or as a result of the Bankruptcy Court's allowance of contingent or disputed claims.

For the holders of pre-petition bank credit facilities, beginning January 1, 2006, Grace agreed to pay interest on pre-petition bank debt at the prime rate, adjusted for periodic changes, and compounded quarterly. The effective rate for the six month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 was 3.25%. From the Filing Date through December 31, 2005, Grace accrued interest on pre-petition bank debt at a negotiated fixed annual rate of 6.09%, compounded quarterly. The general unsecured creditors that hold pre-petition bank credit facilities have asserted that they are entitled to post-petition interest at the default rate specified under the terms of the underlying credit agreements which, at the time of their recent bankruptcy court filings they asserted, was approximately \$140 million greater than the interest currently accrued (Grace believes that if default interest was ultimately determined to be payable, the additional amount of accrued interest would be substantially less than that asserted by the general unsecured creditors.). The Bankruptcy Court has overruled this assertion; this ruling has been appealed to the U.S. District Court.

For the holders of claims who, but for the Filing, would be entitled under a contract or otherwise to accrue or be paid interest on such claim in a non-default (or non-overdue payment) situation under applicable non-bankruptcy law, Grace accrues interest at the rate provided in the contract between the Grace entity and the claimant or such rate as may otherwise apply under applicable non-bankruptcy law.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

2. Chapter 11 Information (Continued)

For all other holders of allowed general unsecured claims, Grace accrues interest at a rate of 4.19% per annum, compounded annually, unless otherwise negotiated during the claim settlement process.

Chapter 11 Expenses

	Tł	ree M End June	led			Six M End Jund	ded	
(In millions)	20	011	20	010	2	011	2	010
Legal and financial advisory fees	\$	6.8	\$	4.3	\$	12.8	\$	10.8
Interest income		(0.1)				(0.3)		
Chapter 11 expenses, net of interest income	\$	6.7	\$	4.3	\$	12.5	\$	10.8

Pursuant to ASC 852, interest income earned on the Debtors' cash balances must be offset against Chapter 11 expenses.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

2. Chapter 11 Information (Continued)

Condensed Financial Information of the Debtors

W. R. Grace & Co. Chapter 11 Filing Entities Debtor-in-Possession Statements of Operations

	J	Six Mo Ended Ju		
(In millions) (Unaudited)	2	2011	2	010
Net sales, including intercompany	\$	673.7	\$	573.2
Cost of goods sold, including intercompany, exclusive of depreciation and amortization shown separately below		416.2		365.7
Selling, general and administrative expenses		139.1		123.9
Defined benefit pension expense		21.8		28.7
Depreciation and amortization		33.6		35.0
Chapter 11 expenses, net of interest income		12.5		10.8
Research and development expenses		18.1		17.3
Interest expense and related financing costs		19.7		20.2
Restructuring expenses		0.9		1.5
Provision for environmental remediation		0.6		
Other income, net		(32.1)		(32.7)
		630.4		570.4
Income before income taxes and equity in net income of non-filing entities		43.3		2.8
Benefit from (provision for) income taxes		(26.3)		12.0
Income before equity in net income of non-filing entities		17.0		14.8
Equity in net income of non-filing entities		113.0		92.4
1 3		2.0		
Net income attributable to W. R. Grace & Co. shareholders	\$	130.0	\$	107.2
The medic antibutable to 111 In Orace & Co. Shareholders	Ψ	150.0	Ψ	107.2
20				

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

2. Chapter 11 Information (Continued)

W. R. Grace & Co. Chapter 11 Filing Entities Debtor-in-Possession Statements of Cash Flows

(In millions) (Unaudited)	Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 2010					
Operating Activities						
Net income attributable to W. R.						
Grace & Co. shareholders	\$	130.0	\$ 107.2			
Reconciliation to net cash provided by						
(used for) operating activities:						
Depreciation and amortization		33.6	35.0			
Equity in net income of non-filing						
entities		(113.0)	(92.4)			
(Benefit from) provision for income						
taxes		26.3	(12.0)			
Income taxes (paid), net of refunds		(16.5)	1.3			
Defined benefit pension expense		21.8	28.7			
Payments under defined benefit						
pension arrangements		(206.0)	(21.4)			
Changes in assets and liabilities,			, í			
excluding the effect of foreign						
currency translation:						
Trade accounts receivable		(37.5)	(25.1)			
Inventories		(22.0)	(15.9)			
Accounts payable		19.4	17.0			
All other items, net		43.8	2.6			
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
Net cash provided by (used for)						
operating activities		(120.1)	25.0			
operating activities		(120.1)	23.0			
Towns of the A. A. A. a. a.						
Investing Activities		(20.0)	(22.0)			
Capital expenditures		(30.8)	(23.9)			
Transfer to restricted cash and cash		(10.2)	(76.6)			
equivalents		(10.3)	(76.6)			
Net cash used for investing activities		(41.1)	(100.5)			
Net cash provided by financing						
activities		4.3	1.6			
Net decrease in cash and cash						
equivalents		(156.9)	(73.9)			
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning		(1000)	(,,,,,			
of period		787.2	685.5			
F@			000.0			
Cash and cash equivalents, end of						
•	\$	630.3	\$ 611.6			
period	Ф	030.3	φ 011.0			

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

2. Chapter 11 Information (Continued)

W. R. Grace & Co. Chapter 11 Filing Entities Debtor-in-Possession Balance Sheets

ASSETS	(In millions) (Unaudited)	June 30, 2011		December 31, 2010	
Cash and cash equivalents 630.3 787.2 Restricted cash and cash equivalents 84.8 74.5 Trade accounts receivable, net 136.8 99.3 Accounts receivable unconsolidated affiliates 11.2 4.4 Receivables from non-filing entities, net 94.2 106.8 Inventories 125.8 103.8 Other current assets 35.7 56.4 Total Current Assets 1,118.8 1,232.4 Properties and equipment, net 405.3 407.2 Deferred income taxes 785.6 806.2 Asbestos-related insurance 500.0 500.0 Loans receivable from non-filing entities, net 384.3 359.4 Investment in non-filing entities 370.1 254.3 Overfunded defined benefit pension plans 0.3 0.3 Investment in unconsolidated affiliates 63.6 56.4 Other assets 3,700.3 \$ 3,699.7 Total Assets 3,700.3 \$ 3,699.7 LIABILITIES AND EQUITY (DEFICIT) Liabilities (including \$4.3 due to an unconsolidated affiliate) (2010 \$6.3)	ASSETS				
Restricted cash and cash equivalents 34.8 74.5 Trade accounts receivable, net 136.8 99.3 Accounts receivable unconsolidated affiliates 11.2 4.4 Receivables from non-filing entities, net 94.2 106.8 Inventories 125.8 103.8 Other current assets 1,118.8 1,232.4 Properties and equipment, net 405.3 407.2 Deferred income taxes 785.6 806.2 Asbestos-related insurance 500.0 500.0 Loans receivable from non-filing entities, net 334.3 359.4 Investment in non-filing entities 370.1 254.3 Overfunded defined benefit pension plans 0.3 0.3 Investment in unconsolidated affiliates 63.6 56.4 Other assets 3,700.3 3,599.7 LIABILITIES AND EQUITY (DEFICIT) 1 1 Liabilities Not Subject to Compromise 3,700.3 \$ 183.5 Underfunded defined benefit pension plans 143.9 367.7 Other liabilities (including \$4.3 due to an unconsolidated affiliate) (2010	Current Assets				
Trade accounts receivable, net 136.8 99.3 Accounts receivable unconsolidated affiliates 11.2 4.4 Receivables from non-filing entities, net 94.2 106.8 Inventories 125.8 103.8 Other current assets 35.7 56.4 Total Current Assets 1,118.8 1,232.4 Properties and equipment, net 405.3 407.2 Deferred income taxes 785.6 806.2 Asbestos-related insurance 500.0 500.0 Loans receivable from non-filing entities, net 384.3 359.4 Investment in non-filing entities 370.1 254.3 Overfunded defined benefit pension plans 0.3 0.3 Investment in unconsolidated affiliates 35.6 56.4 Other assets 72.3 83.5 Total Assets \$3,700.3 \$3,699.7 LIABILITIES AND EQUITY (DEFICIT) 1 143.9 367.7 Other liabilities Not Subject to Compromise 143.9 367.7 Current liabilities (including \$4.3 due to an unconsolidated affiliate) (2010 \$6.3) <	Cash and cash equivalents	\$	630.3	\$	787.2
Accounts receivable unconsolidated affiliates 11.2 4.4 Receivables from non-filing entities, net 94.2 106.8 Inventories 125.8 103.8 Other current assets 35.7 56.4 Total Current Assets 1,118.8 1,232.4 Properties and equipment, net 405.3 407.2 Deferred income taxes 785.6 806.2 Asbestos-related insurance 500.0 500.0 Loans receivable from non-filing entities, net 384.3 359.4 Investment in non-filing entities 370.1 254.3 Overfunded defined benefit pension plans 0.3 0.3 Investment in unconsolidated affiliates 63.6 56.4 Other assets 3,700.3 \$ 3,699.7 LIABILITIES AND EQUITY (DEFICIT) Liabilities Not Subject to Compromise Value of 10.2 183.5 Underfunded defined benefit pension plans 143.9 367.7 Other liabilities (including \$4.3 due to an unconsolidated affiliate) (2010 \$6.3) \$ 212.8 \$ 183.5 Underfunded defined benefit pension plans 143.9 367.7	Restricted cash and cash equivalents		84.8		74.5
Receivables from non-filing entities, net 94.2 106.8 Inventories 125.8 103.8 Other current assets 35.7 56.4 Total Current Assets 1,118.8 1,232.4 Properties and equipment, net 405.3 407.2 Deferred income taxes 785.6 806.2 Asbestos-related insurance 500.0 500.0 Loans receivable from non-filing entities, net 384.3 359.4 Investment in non-filing entities 370.1 254.3 Overfunded defined benefit pension plans 0.3 0.3 Investment in unconsolidated affiliates 63.6 56.4 Other assets 3,700.3 3,699.7 LIABILITIES AND EQUITY (DEFICIT) Liabilities Not Subject to Compromise 143.9 367.7 Current liabilities (including \$15.6 due to an unconsolidated affiliate) (2010 \$6.3) \$ 212.8 \$ 183.5 Underfunded defined benefit pension plans 143.9 367.7 Other liabilities (including \$15.6 due to an unconsolidated affiliate) (2010 \$6.3) \$ 212.8 \$ 183.5 Underfunded defined benef	Trade accounts receivable, net		136.8		99.3
Diventories	Accounts receivable unconsolidated affiliates		11.2		4.4
Other current assets 35.7 56.4 Total Current Assets 1,118.8 1,232.4 Properties and equipment, net 405.3 407.2 Deferred income taxes 785.6 806.2 Asbestos-related insurance 500.0 500.0 Loans receivable from non-filing entities, net 384.3 359.4 Investment in non-filing entities 370.1 254.3 Overfunded defined benefit pension plans 0.3 0.3 Overfunded defined benefit pension plans 63.6 56.4 Other assets 72.3 83.5 Total Assets 3,700.3 \$ 3,699.7 LIABILITIES AND EQUITY (DEFICIT) Liabilities Not Subject to Compromise 212.8 183.5 Current liabilities (including \$4.3 due to an unconsolidated affiliate) (2010 \$6.3) \$ 212.8 183.5 Underfunded defined benefit pension plans 143.9 367.7 Other liabilities (including \$15.6 due to an unconsolidated affiliate) (2010 \$12.6) 52.2 50.0 Total Liabilities Not Subject to Compromise 408.9 601.2 Liabilities Subject to Compromise 3,178.6<	Receivables from non-filing entities, net		94.2		106.8
Total Current Assets 1,118.8 1,232.4 Properties and equipment, net 405.3 407.2 Deferred income taxes 785.6 806.2 Asbestos-related insurance 500.0 500.0 Loans receivable from non-filing entities, net 384.3 359.4 Investment in non-filing entities 370.1 254.3 Overfunded defined benefit pension plans 0.3 0.3 Investment in unconsolidated affiliates 63.6 56.4 Other assets 72.3 83.5 Total Assets 3,700.3 3,699.7 LIABILITIES AND EQUITY (DEFICIT) Liabilities Not Subject to Compromise Current liabilities (including \$4.3 due to an unconsolidated affiliate) (2010 \$6.3) 212.8 183.5 Underfunded defined benefit pension plans 143.9 367.7 Other liabilities (including \$15.6 due to an unconsolidated affiliate) (2010 \$6.3) 52.2 50.0 Total Liabilities Not Subject to Compromise 408.9 601.2 Liabilities Not Subject to Compromise 3,587.5 3,775.3 <	Inventories		125.8		103.8
Properties and equipment, net 405.3 407.2 Deferred income taxes 785.6 806.2 Asbestos-related insurance 500.0 500.0 Loans receivable from non-filing entities, net 384.3 359.4 Investment in non-filing entities 370.1 254.3 Overfunded defined benefit pension plans 0.3 0.3 Investment in unconsolidated affiliates 63.6 56.4 Other assets 72.3 83.5 Total Assets 3,700.3 3,699.7 LIABILITIES AND EQUITY (DEFICIT) Example 12.8 183.5 Underfunded defined benefit pension plans 212.8 183.5 Underfunded defined benefit pension plans 143.9 367.7 Other liabilities (including \$15.6 due to an unconsolidated affiliate) (2010 \$12.6) 52.2 50.0 Total Liabilities Not Subject to Compromise 408.9 601.2 Liabilities Subject to Compromise 3,178.6 3,174.1 Total Liabilities 3,587.5 3,775.3 Total Liabilities 3,587.5 3,775.3 Total W. R. Grace & Co. Shareholde	Other current assets		35.7		56.4
Properties and equipment, net 405.3 407.2 Deferred income taxes 785.6 806.2 Asbestos-related insurance 500.0 500.0 Loans receivable from non-filing entities, net 384.3 359.4 Investment in non-filing entities 370.1 254.3 Overfunded defined benefit pension plans 0.3 0.3 Investment in unconsolidated affiliates 63.6 56.4 Other assets 72.3 83.5 Total Assets 3,700.3 3,699.7 LIABILITIES AND EQUITY (DEFICIT) Example 12.8 183.5 Underfunded defined benefit pension plans 212.8 183.5 Underfunded defined benefit pension plans 143.9 367.7 Other liabilities (including \$15.6 due to an unconsolidated affiliate) (2010 \$12.6) 52.2 50.0 Total Liabilities Not Subject to Compromise 408.9 601.2 Liabilities Subject to Compromise 3,178.6 3,174.1 Total Liabilities 3,587.5 3,775.3 Total Liabilities 3,587.5 3,775.3 Total W. R. Grace & Co. Shareholde					
Properties and equipment, net 405.3 407.2 Deferred income taxes 785.6 806.2 Asbestos-related insurance 500.0 500.0 Loans receivable from non-filing entities, net 384.3 359.4 Investment in non-filing entities 370.1 254.3 Overfunded defined benefit pension plans 0.3 0.3 Investment in unconsolidated affiliates 63.6 56.4 Other assets 72.3 83.5 Total Assets 3,700.3 \$ 3,699.7 LIABILITIES AND EQUITY (DEFICIT) Liabilities Not Subject to Compromise 212.8 183.5 Current liabilities (including \$4.3 due to an unconsolidated affiliate) (2010 \$6.3) 212.8 183.5 Underfunded defined benefit pension plans 143.9 367.7 Other liabilities (including \$15.6 due to an unconsolidated affiliate) (2010 \$12.6) 52.2 50.0 Total Liabilities Not Subject to Compromise 408.9 601.2 Liabilities Subject to Compromise 3,178.6 3,174.1 Total Liabilities 3,587.5 3,775.3 Total W. R. Grace & Co. Shareholders' Equity (Total Current Assets		1,118.8		1,232.4
Deferred income taxes 785.6 806.2 Asbestos-related insurance 500.0 500.0 Loans receivable from non-filing entities, net 384.3 359.4 Investment in non-filing entities 370.1 254.3 Overfunded defined benefit pension plans 0.3 0.3 Investment in unconsolidated affiliates 63.6 56.4 Other assets 72.3 83.5 Total Assets \$3,700.3 \$3,699.7 LIABILITIES AND EQUITY (DEFICIT) Liabilities Not Subject to Compromise Underfunded defined benefit pension plans 143.9 367.7 Other liabilities (including \$4.3 due to an unconsolidated affiliate) (2010 \$6.3) \$212.8 183.5 Underfunded defined benefit pension plans 143.9 367.7 Other liabilities (including \$15.6 due to an unconsolidated affiliate) (2010 \$12.6) 52.2 50.0 Total Liabilities Not Subject to Compromise 408.9 601.2 Liabilities Subject to Compromise 3,178.6 3,174.1 Total Liabilities 3,587.5 3,775	Properties and equipment, net				
Loans receivable from non-filing entities 384.3 359.4 Investment in non-filing entities 370.1 254.3 Overfunded defined benefit pension plans 0.3 0.3 Investment in unconsolidated affiliates 63.6 56.4 Other assets 72.3 83.5 Total Assets 3,700.3 \$ 3,699.7 LIABILITIES AND EQUITY (DEFICIT) Liabilities (including \$4.3 due to an unconsolidated affiliate) (2010 \$6.3) \$ 212.8 \$ 183.5 Underfunded defined benefit pension plans 143.9 367.7 Other liabilities (including \$15.6 due to an unconsolidated affiliate) (2010 \$12.6) 52.2 50.0 Total Liabilities Not Subject to Compromise 408.9 601.2 Liabilities Subject to Compromise 3,178.6 3,174.1 Total Liabilities Total Liabilities 3,587.5 3,775.3 Total W. R. Grace & Co. Shareholders' Equity (Deficit) 112.7 (75.7) Noncontrolling interests in Chapter 11 filing entities 0.1 0.1	• • •				
Investment in non-filing entities 370.1 254.3 Overfunded defined benefit pension plans 0.3 0.3 Investment in unconsolidated affiliates 63.6 56.4 Other assets 72.3 83.5 Total Assets \$ 3,700.3 \$ 3,699.7 LIABILITIES AND EQUITY (DEFICIT) Liabilities Not Subject to Compromise Current liabilities (including \$4.3 due to an unconsolidated affiliate) (2010 \$6.3) \$ 212.8 \$ 183.5 Underfunded defined benefit pension plans 143.9 367.7 Other liabilities (including \$15.6 due to an unconsolidated affiliate) (2010 \$12.6) 52.2 50.0 Total Liabilities Not Subject to Compromise 408.9 601.2 Liabilities Subject to Compromise 3,178.6 3,174.1 Total Liabilities 3,587.5 3,775.3 Total Liabilities 3,587.5 3,775.3 Total W. R. Grace & Co. Shareholders' Equity (Deficit) 112.7 (75.7) Noncontrolling interests in Chapter 11 filing entities 0.1 0.1	Asbestos-related insurance		500.0		500.0
Investment in non-filing entities 370.1 254.3 Overfunded defined benefit pension plans 0.3 0.3 Investment in unconsolidated affiliates 63.6 56.4 Other assets 72.3 83.5 Total Assets \$ 3,700.3 \$ 3,699.7 LIABILITIES AND EQUITY (DEFICIT) Liabilities Not Subject to Compromise Current liabilities (including \$4.3 due to an unconsolidated affiliate) (2010 \$6.3) \$ 212.8 \$ 183.5 Underfunded defined benefit pension plans 143.9 367.7 Other liabilities (including \$15.6 due to an unconsolidated affiliate) (2010 \$12.6) 52.2 50.0 Total Liabilities Not Subject to Compromise 408.9 601.2 Liabilities Subject to Compromise 3,178.6 3,174.1 Total Liabilities 3,587.5 3,775.3 Total Liabilities 3,587.5 3,775.3 Total W. R. Grace & Co. Shareholders' Equity (Deficit) 112.7 (75.7) Noncontrolling interests in Chapter 11 filing entities 0.1 0.1	Loans receivable from non-filing entities, net		384.3		359.4
Overfunded defined benefit pension plans 0.3 0.3 Investment in unconsolidated affiliates 63.6 56.4 Other assets 72.3 83.5 Total Assets \$3,700.3 \$3,699.7 LIABILITIES AND EQUITY (DEFICIT) Liabilities Not Subject to Compromise Current liabilities (including \$4.3 due to an unconsolidated affiliate) (2010 \$6.3) \$212.8 \$183.5 Underfunded defined benefit pension plans 143.9 367.7 Other liabilities (including \$15.6 due to an unconsolidated affiliate) (2010 \$12.6) 52.2 50.0 Total Liabilities Not Subject to Compromise 408.9 601.2 Liabilities Subject to Compromise 3,178.6 3,174.1 Total Liabilities 3,587.5 3,775.3 Total W. R. Grace & Co. Shareholders' Equity (Deficit) 112.7 (75.7) Noncontrolling interests in Chapter 11 filing entities 0.1 0.1			370.1		254.3
Investment in unconsolidated affiliates 63.6 Other assets 72.3 83.5 Total Assets \$3,700.3 \$3,699.7 LIABILITIES AND EQUITY (DEFICIT) Liabilities Not Subject to Compromise Current liabilities (including \$4.3 due to an unconsolidated affiliate) (2010 \$6.3) \$212.8 \$183.5 Underfunded defined benefit pension plans 143.9 367.7 Other liabilities (including \$15.6 due to an unconsolidated affiliate) (2010 \$12.6) 52.2 50.0 Total Liabilities Not Subject to Compromise 408.9 601.2 Liabilities Subject to Compromise 3,178.6 3,174.1 Total Liabilities Total Liabilities (Including \$15.6 due to an unconsolidated affiliate) (2010 \$12.6) 50.0 50.0 50.0 50.0 50.0 50.0 50.0 50.			0.3		0.3
Other assets 72.3 83.5 Total Assets \$ 3,700.3 \$ 3,699.7 LIABILITIES AND EQUITY (DEFICIT) Liabilities Not Subject to Compromise Current liabilities (including \$4.3 due to an unconsolidated affiliate) (2010 \$6.3) \$ 212.8 \$ 183.5 Underfunded defined benefit pension plans 143.9 367.7 Other liabilities (including \$15.6 due to an unconsolidated affiliate) (2010 \$12.6) 52.2 50.0 Total Liabilities Not Subject to Compromise 408.9 601.2 Liabilities Subject to Compromise 3,178.6 3,174.1 Total Liabilities Total Liabilities (2010 \$12.6) 112.7 (75.7) Noncontrolling interests in Chapter 11 filing entities 0.1 0.1	• •		63.6		56.4
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY (DEFICIT) Liabilities Not Subject to Compromise Current liabilities (including \$4.3 due to an unconsolidated affiliate) (2010 \$6.3) \$ 212.8 \$ 183.5 Underfunded defined benefit pension plans 143.9 367.7 Other liabilities (including \$15.6 due to an unconsolidated affiliate) (2010 \$12.6) 52.2 50.0 Total Liabilities Not Subject to Compromise 408.9 601.2 Liabilities Subject to Compromise 3,178.6 3,174.1 Total Liabilities 3,775.3 Total W. R. Grace & Co. Shareholders' Equity (Deficit) 112.7 (75.7) Noncontrolling interests in Chapter 11 filing entities 0.1 0.1	Other assets				
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY (DEFICIT) Liabilities Not Subject to Compromise Current liabilities (including \$4.3 due to an unconsolidated affiliate) (2010 \$6.3) \$ 212.8 \$ 183.5 Underfunded defined benefit pension plans 143.9 367.7 Other liabilities (including \$15.6 due to an unconsolidated affiliate) (2010 \$12.6) 52.2 50.0 Total Liabilities Not Subject to Compromise 408.9 601.2 Liabilities Subject to Compromise 3,178.6 3,174.1 Total Liabilities 3,775.3 Total W. R. Grace & Co. Shareholders' Equity (Deficit) 112.7 (75.7) Noncontrolling interests in Chapter 11 filing entities 0.1 0.1					
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY (DEFICIT) Liabilities Not Subject to Compromise Current liabilities (including \$4.3 due to an unconsolidated affiliate) (2010 \$6.3) \$ 212.8 \$ 183.5 Underfunded defined benefit pension plans 143.9 367.7 Other liabilities (including \$15.6 due to an unconsolidated affiliate) (2010 \$12.6) 52.2 50.0 Total Liabilities Not Subject to Compromise 408.9 601.2 Liabilities Subject to Compromise 3,178.6 3,174.1 Total Liabilities 3,775.3 Total W. R. Grace & Co. Shareholders' Equity (Deficit) 112.7 (75.7) Noncontrolling interests in Chapter 11 filing entities 0.1 0.1	Total Assets	\$	3 700 3	\$	3 699 7
Liabilities Not Subject to Compromise Current liabilities (including \$4.3 due to an unconsolidated affiliate) (2010 \$6.3) \$ 212.8 \$ 183.5 Underfunded defined benefit pension plans 143.9 367.7 Other liabilities (including \$15.6 due to an unconsolidated affiliate) (2010 \$12.6) 52.2 50.0 Total Liabilities Not Subject to Compromise 408.9 601.2 Liabilities Subject to Compromise 3,178.6 3,174.1 Total Liabilities 3,587.5 3,775.3 Total W. R. Grace & Co. Shareholders' Equity (Deficit) 112.7 (75.7) Noncontrolling interests in Chapter 11 filing entities 0.1 0.1	Total Assets	Ψ	3,700.3	Ψ	3,077.1
Liabilities Not Subject to Compromise Current liabilities (including \$4.3 due to an unconsolidated affiliate) (2010 \$6.3) \$ 212.8 \$ 183.5 Underfunded defined benefit pension plans 143.9 367.7 Other liabilities (including \$15.6 due to an unconsolidated affiliate) (2010 \$12.6) 52.2 50.0 Total Liabilities Not Subject to Compromise 408.9 601.2 Liabilities Subject to Compromise 3,178.6 3,174.1 Total Liabilities 3,587.5 3,775.3 Total W. R. Grace & Co. Shareholders' Equity (Deficit) 112.7 (75.7) Noncontrolling interests in Chapter 11 filing entities 0.1 0.1	LIADH IDIEC AND EQUIDA (DEELCIE)				
Current liabilities (including \$4.3 due to an unconsolidated affiliate) (2010 \$6.3) \$ 212.8 \$ 183.5 Underfunded defined benefit pension plans 143.9 367.7 Other liabilities (including \$15.6 due to an unconsolidated affiliate) (2010 \$12.6) 52.2 50.0 Total Liabilities Not Subject to Compromise 408.9 601.2 Liabilities Subject to Compromise 3,178.6 3,174.1 Total Liabilities 3,587.5 3,775.3 Total W. R. Grace & Co. Shareholders' Equity (Deficit) 112.7 (75.7) Noncontrolling interests in Chapter 11 filing entities 0.1 0.1					
Underfunded defined benefit pension plans143.9367.7Other liabilities (including \$15.6 due to an unconsolidated affiliate) (2010 \$12.6)52.250.0Total Liabilities Not Subject to Compromise408.9601.2Liabilities Subject to Compromise3,178.63,174.1Total Liabilities3,587.53,775.3Total W. R. Grace & Co. Shareholders' Equity (Deficit)112.7(75.7)Noncontrolling interests in Chapter 11 filing entities0.10.1		Ф	212.0	Ф	102.5
Other liabilities (including \$15.6 due to an unconsolidated affiliate) (2010 \$12.6)52.250.0Total Liabilities Not Subject to Compromise408.9601.2Liabilities Subject to Compromise3,178.63,174.1Total Liabilities3,587.53,775.3Total W. R. Grace & Co. Shareholders' Equity (Deficit)112.7(75.7)Noncontrolling interests in Chapter 11 filing entities0.10.1		Ф		Ф	
Total Liabilities Not Subject to Compromise408.9601.2Liabilities Subject to Compromise3,178.63,174.1Total Liabilities3,587.53,775.3Total W. R. Grace & Co. Shareholders' Equity (Deficit)112.7(75.7)Noncontrolling interests in Chapter 11 filing entities0.10.1					
Liabilities Subject to Compromise3,178.63,174.1Total Liabilities3,587.53,775.3Total W. R. Grace & Co. Shareholders' Equity (Deficit)112.7(75.7)Noncontrolling interests in Chapter 11 filing entities0.10.1	Other flabilities (flictuding \$15.0 due to all unconsolidated arithate) (2010 \$12.0)		52.2		30.0
Liabilities Subject to Compromise3,178.63,174.1Total Liabilities3,587.53,775.3Total W. R. Grace & Co. Shareholders' Equity (Deficit)112.7(75.7)Noncontrolling interests in Chapter 11 filing entities0.10.1	TALLED AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN		400.0		(01.2
Total Liabilities3,587.53,775.3Total W. R. Grace & Co. Shareholders' Equity (Deficit)112.7(75.7)Noncontrolling interests in Chapter 11 filing entities0.10.1					
Total W. R. Grace & Co. Shareholders' Equity (Deficit)112.7(75.7)Noncontrolling interests in Chapter 11 filing entities0.10.1	Liabilities Subject to Compromise		3,178.6		3,174.1
Total W. R. Grace & Co. Shareholders' Equity (Deficit)112.7(75.7)Noncontrolling interests in Chapter 11 filing entities0.10.1					
Noncontrolling interests in Chapter 11 filing entities 0.1 0.1			,		
	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		112.7		(75.7)
Total Equity (Deficit) 112.8 (75.6)	Noncontrolling interests in Chapter 11 filing entities		0.1		0.1
Total Equity (Deficit) 112.8 (75.6)					
	Total Equity (Deficit)		112.8		(75.6)
Total Liabilities and Equity (Deficit) \$ 3,700.3 \$ 3,699.7	Total Liabilities and Equity (Deficit)	\$	3,700.3	\$	3,699,7

In addition to Grace's financial reporting obligations as prescribed by the SEC, the Debtors are also required, under the rules and regulations of the Bankruptcy Code, to periodically file certain statements and schedules with the Bankruptcy Court. This information is available to the public through the Bankruptcy Court. This information is prepared in a format that may not be comparable to information in Grace's quarterly and annual financial statements as filed with the SEC. These

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

2. Chapter 11 Information (Continued)

statements and schedules are not audited and do not purport to represent the financial position or results of operations of Grace on a consolidated basis

3. Asbestos-Related Litigation

Grace is a defendant in property damage and personal injury lawsuits relating to previously sold asbestos-containing products. As of the Filing Date, Grace was a defendant in 65,656 asbestos-related lawsuits, 17 involving claims for property damage (one of which has since been dismissed), and the remainder involving 129,191 claims for personal injury. Due to the Filing, holders of asbestos-related claims are stayed from continuing to prosecute pending litigation and from commencing new lawsuits against the Debtors. The PI and PD Committees, representing the interests of asbestos personal injury and asbestos property damage claimants, respectively, and the PI FCR and PD FCR, representing the interests of future asbestos personal injury and property damage claimants, respectively, have been appointed in the Chapter 11 Cases. Grace's obligations with respect to present and future claims will be determined through the Chapter 11 process.

Property Damage Litigation The plaintiffs in asbestos property damage lawsuits generally seek to have the defendants pay for the cost of removing, containing or repairing the asbestos-containing materials in the affected buildings. Various factors can affect the merit and value of PD Claims, including legal defenses, product identification, the amount and type of product involved, the age, type, size and use of the building, the legal status of the claimant, the jurisdictional history of prior cases, the court in which the case is pending, and the difficulty of asbestos abatement, if necessary.

Out of 380 asbestos property damage cases (which involved thousands of buildings) filed prior to the Filing Date, 140 were dismissed without payment of any damages or settlement amounts; judgments after trial were entered in favor of Grace in nine cases; judgments after trial were entered in favor of the plaintiffs in eight cases for a total of \$86.1 million; 207 property damage cases were settled for a total of \$696.8 million; and 16 cases remain outstanding. Of the 16 remaining cases, eight relate to ZAI and eight relate to a number of former asbestos-containing products (two of which also are alleged to involve ZAI).

Approximately 4,300 additional PD claims were filed prior to the March 31, 2003 claims bar date established by the Bankruptcy Court. (The bar date did not apply to ZAI claims.) Grace objected to virtually all PD claims on a number of different bases, including: no authorization to file a claim; the claim was previously settled or adjudicated; no or insufficient documentation; failure to identify a Grace product; the expiration of the applicable statute of limitations and/or statute of repose, and/or laches; and a defense that the product in place is not hazardous. As of June 30, 2011, following the reclassification, withdrawal or expungement of claims, approximately 430 PD Claims subject to the March 31, 2003 bar date remain outstanding. The Bankruptcy Court has approved settlement agreements covering approximately 395 of such claims for an aggregate allowed amount of \$146.8 million.

Eight of the ZAI cases were filed as purported class action lawsuits in 2000 and 2001. In addition, 10 lawsuits were filed as purported class actions in 2004 and 2005 with respect to persons and homes in Canada. These cases seek damages and equitable relief, including the removal, replacement and/or disposal of all such insulation. The plaintiffs assert that this product is in millions of homes and that the cost of removal could be several thousand dollars per home. As a result of the Filing, the eight U.S. cases have been stayed.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

3. Asbestos-Related Litigation (Continued)

Based on Grace's investigation of the claims described in these lawsuits, and testing and analysis of this product by Grace and others, Grace believes that ZAI was and continues to be safe for its intended purpose and poses little or no threat to human health. The plaintiffs in the ZAI lawsuits dispute Grace's position on the safety of ZAI. In October 2004, the Bankruptcy Court held a hearing on motions filed by the parties to address a number of important legal and factual issues regarding the ZAI claims. In December 2006, the Bankruptcy Court issued an opinion and order holding that, although ZAI is contaminated with asbestos and can release asbestos fibers when disturbed, there is no unreasonable risk of harm from ZAI. The ZAI claimants sought an interlocutory appeal of the opinion and order with the District Court, but that request was denied. In the event the Joint Plan is not affirmed, the ZAI claimants have reserved their right to appeal such opinion and order if and when it becomes a final order.

At the Debtors' request, in July 2008, the Bankruptcy Court established a bar date for U.S. ZAI PD Claims and approved a related notice program that required any person with a U.S. ZAI PD Claim to submit an individual proof of claim no later than October 31, 2008. Approximately 17,960 U.S. ZAI PD Claims were filed prior to the October 31, 2008 claims bar date and, as of June 30, 2011 an additional 1,310 U.S. ZAI PD Claims were filed. As described above, on December 13, 2009, the Ontario Superior Court of Justice, in the Grace Canada, Inc. proceeding pending under the Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act, approved the Amended Settlement that would settle all Canadian ZAI PD Claims on the terms of the Joint Plan. On October 20, 2008, the Bankruptcy Court established August 31, 2009 as the bar date for Canadian ZAI PD Claims. Approximately 13,100 Canadian ZAI PD Claims were filed prior to the bar date and, as of June 30, 2011, an additional 1,000 Canadian ZAI PD Claims were filed. Under the Amended Settlement, all Canadian ZAI PD Claims filed before December 31, 2009 would be eligible to seek compensation from the Canadian ZAI property damage claims fund.

As described in Note 2, on November 21, 2008, the Debtors, the Putative Class Counsel to the U.S. ZAI property damage claimants, the PD FCR, and the Equity Committee reached an agreement in principle designed to resolve all present and future U.S. ZAI PD Claims. The terms of the U.S. and Canadian ZAI agreements in principle have been incorporated into the terms of the Joint Plan and related documents. As described below, Grace's recorded asbestos-related liability does not include the agreements in principle to settle the ZAI liability that is part of the Joint Plan. The recorded asbestos-related liability at June 30, 2011, which is based on the Prior Plan, assumes the risk of loss from ZAI litigation is not probable. If the Joint Plan or another plan of reorganization reflecting the agreements in principle does not become effective and Grace's view as to risk of loss from ZAI litigation is not sustained, Grace believes the cost to resolve the U.S. ZAI litigation may be material.

Personal Injury Litigation Asbestos personal injury claimants allege adverse health effects from exposure to asbestos-containing products formerly manufactured by Grace. Historically, Grace's cost to resolve such claims has been influenced by numerous variables, including the nature of the disease alleged, product identification, proof of exposure to a Grace product, negotiation factors, the solvency of other former producers of asbestos containing products, cross-claims by co-defendants, the rate at which new claims are filed, the jurisdiction in which the claims are filed, and the defense and disposition costs associated with these claims.

Cumulatively through the Filing Date, 16,354 asbestos personal injury lawsuits involving approximately 35,720 PI Claims were dismissed without payment of any damages or settlement

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

3. Asbestos-Related Litigation (Continued)

amounts (primarily on the basis that Grace products were not involved) and approximately 55,489 lawsuits involving approximately 163,698 PI Claims were disposed of (through settlements and judgments) for a total of \$645.6 million. As of the Filing Date, 129,191 PI Claims were pending against Grace. Grace believes that a substantial number of additional PI Claims would have been received between the Filing Date and June 30, 2011 had such PI Claims not been stayed by the Bankruptcy Court.

The Bankruptcy Court has entered a case management order for estimating liability for pending and future PI Claims. A trial for estimating liability for PI Claims began in January 2008 but was suspended in April 2008 as a result of the PI Settlement.

Asbestos-Related Liability The total recorded asbestos-related liability as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, including pre-Filing Date and post-Filing Date settlements, was \$1,700.0 million and is included in "liabilities subject to compromise" in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets. Grace adjusted its asbestos-related liability in the fourth quarter of 2004 based on the filing of the Prior Plan. The Prior Plan contained a condition precedent that the Bankruptcy Court determine that \$1,613.0 million (this amount, plus \$87.0 million of pre-petition settlements and judgments, "the Funding Amount") was sufficient to pay, on a net present value basis, all PI Claims and PD Claims entitled to payment and related trust administration costs and expenses. Therefore, prior to the PI Settlement, the U.S. and Canadian ZAI settlements and the filing of the Joint Plan, Grace was prepared to settle its asbestos-related claims at the Funding Amount as part of a consensual plan of reorganization and recorded its asbestos-related liability on that basis. The treatment of asbestos-related liabilities is significantly different under the Joint Plan than under the Prior Plan. Grace has not adjusted its accounting for asbestos-related liabilities to reflect the Joint Plan. At this time, Grace is unable to determine a reasonable estimate of the value of certain consideration payable to the PI Trust and the PD Trust under the Joint Plan. These values will ultimately be determined on the effective date of the Joint Plan. Grace expects to adjust its accounting for the Joint Plan when the consideration can be measured and material conditions to the Joint Plan are satisfied. Grace expects that such adjustments may be material to Grace's consolidated financial position and results of operations.

If the Joint Plan is not affirmed by the District Court or, if affirmed, is subject to further appeals that reverse affirmance or result in a remand, and if the Joint Plan cannot be amended to address any deficiencies identified by the appellate court, the Debtors would expect to resume the estimation trial, which was suspended in April 2008 due to the PI Settlement, to determine the amount of its asbestos-related liabilities. Through the PI Claim estimation process and the continued adjudication of PD Claims, Grace would seek to demonstrate that most claims have no value because they fail to establish any significant property damage, health impairment or occupational exposure to asbestos from Grace's operations or products. If the Bankruptcy Court agreed with Grace's position on the number of, and the amounts to be paid in respect of, allowed PI Claims and PD Claims, then Grace believes that the Funding Amount could be lower than \$1,700.0 million. However, this outcome would be highly uncertain and would depend on a number of Bankruptcy Court rulings favorable to Grace's position. Conversely, the PI and PD Committees and the PI FCR have asserted that Grace's asbestos-related liabilities are substantially higher than \$1,700.0 million, and in fact are in excess of Grace's business value. If the Bankruptcy Court accepted the position of the PI and PD Committees and the PI FCR, then any plan of reorganization likely would result in the loss of all or substantially all equity value by current shareholders.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

3. Asbestos-Related Litigation (Continued)

Insurance Rights Grace holds insurance policies that provide coverage for 1962 to 1985 with respect to asbestos-related lawsuits and claims. For the most part, coverage for years 1962 through 1972 has been exhausted, leaving coverage for years 1973 through 1985 available for pending and future asbestos claims. Since 1985, insurance coverage for asbestos-related liabilities has not been commercially available to Grace. As discussed in Note 2, pursuant to the Joint Plan, proceeds from insurance policies that provide coverage for asbestos-related claims and proceeds, including interest, received after the date of the PI Settlement, would be assigned to the PI Trust.

For each insurance year, Grace's coverage consists of both primary and excess coverage. Primary coverage for an insurance year generally reimburses Grace for the portion of paid claims allocated to that year starting at the first dollar paid (after any deductible) through the coverage limit. With one exception, coverage disputes regarding Grace's primary insurance policies have been settled, and the settlement amounts have been paid in full. Excess insurance generally reimburses Grace for claims paid above a specified policy threshold through the coverage limit. For each insurance year, Grace's insurance program includes multiple layers of excess coverage. A layer of excess coverage, which may include multiple insurers, is triggered once claim payments that can be assigned to that insurance year are paid up to the threshold of that layer.

Grace has entered into settlement agreements with various excess insurance carriers that are not dependent upon the effectiveness of the Joint Plan. These settlements involve amounts paid and to be paid to Grace. The unpaid maximum aggregate amount available under these settlement agreements is approximately \$487.0 million. With respect to asbestos-related personal injury claims, these settlement agreements generally require that the claims be spread over the claimant's exposure period and that each insurer pay a pro rata portion of each claim based on the amount of coverage provided during each year of the total exposure period.

Excluding settlement agreements that are dependent upon the effectiveness of the Joint Plan, Grace has no agreements in place with insurers with respect to approximately \$483.0 million of excess coverage. Such policies are at layers of coverage that have not yet been triggered, but certain layers would be triggered if the Prior Plan was approved at the recorded asbestos-related liability of \$1,700.0 million. In estimating its ultimate insurance recovery, Grace has assumed that its unsettled excess coverage will be available on terms that are substantially similar to the existing settlement agreements described above. Grace believes that any allowed ZAI claims also would be covered under the policies discussed above to the extent they relate to installations of ZAI occurring after July 1, 1973.

In addition, Grace has approximately \$253.0 million of excess coverage with insolvent or non-paying insurance carriers. Non-paying carriers are those that, although technically solvent, are not currently meeting their obligations to pay claims. Grace has filed and continues to file claims in the insolvency proceedings of these carriers. Grace periodically receives distributions from some of these insolvent carriers.

Grace has entered into settlement agreements, which are dependent upon the effectiveness of the Joint Plan, with underwriters of a portion of Grace's insurance coverage. Under these agreements, the insurers have agreed, subject to certain conditions, to pay to the PI Trust (directly or through an escrow arrangement) an aggregate of approximately \$393.2 million in respect of claims for which Grace was provided coverage under the affected policies. Certain other insurers have agreed, subject to certain conditions, to reimburse the PI Trust on terms that are substantially similar to the existing settlement agreements described above. Due to the open contingencies in these settlement agreements, Grace has not recorded this amount or reduced its asbestos insurance receivable balance.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

3. Asbestos-Related Litigation (Continued)

As of June 30, 2011, excluding the effect of settlements that are dependent upon the effectiveness of the Joint Plan and after subtracting previous reimbursements by insurers and allowing for discounts pursuant to certain settlement agreements that are not dependent upon the effectiveness of the Joint Plan, there remains approximately \$970.0 million of excess coverage from 54 presently solvent insurers. Grace estimates that eligible claims would have to exceed \$4.0 billion to access total coverage.

Grace estimates that under the Prior Plan, assuming the resolution value of asbestos-related claims is equal to the recorded liability of \$1,700.0 million (which should fund claim payments in excess of \$2.0 billion), Grace should be entitled to approximately \$500.0 million of insurance recovery. This amount was determined by estimating the aggregate and per year payout for claims over time and applying the expected insurance recovery factor to such claims. However, the ultimate amount of insurance recovered on such claims will depend on a number of factors that will only be determined at the time claims are paid including: the nature of the claim, the relevant exposure years, the timing of payment, the solvency of insurers and the legal status of policy rights. Accordingly, Grace's estimate of insurance recovery under the Prior Plan may differ materially from actual amounts that ultimately may be received by Grace. Under the Joint Plan, these insurance rights will be assigned to the PI Trust.

4. Inventories

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market, and cost is determined using FIFO. Inventories consisted of the following at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

	June 30,		December 31,			
(In millions)	2011			2010		
Raw materials	\$	68.1	\$	60.8		
In process		41.1		30.9		
Finished products		170.1		136.2		
Other		33.9		31.4		
	\$	313.2	\$	259.3		

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

5. Debt

Components of Debt

(In millions)	June 30, 2011		December 31, 2010	
Debt payable within one year	\$	36.9	\$	37.0
Debt payable after one year	\$	2.7	\$	2.9
Debt Subject to Compromise				
Bank borrowings	\$	500.0	\$	500.0
Accrued interest on bank borrowings		392.6		378.5
Drawn letters of credit		26.1		26.1
Accrued interest on drawn letters of credit		7.5		6.8
	\$	926.2	\$	911.4
Weighted average interest rates on total debt		3.4%	'o	3.4%

At June 30, 2011, the fair value of Grace's debt payable within one year not subject to compromise approximated the recorded value of \$36.9 million. Fair value is determined based on expected future cash flows (discounted at market interest rates), quotes from financial institutions and other appropriate valuation methodologies. At June 30, 2011, the carrying value of Grace's bank debt subject to compromise plus interest was \$926.2 million. The estimated fair value of the bank debt approximates the carrying value; however, because such debt is subject to compromise in Grace's Chapter 11 proceeding, neither carrying values nor market values may reflect ultimate liquidation value.

6. Fair Value Measurements and Risk

Certain of Grace's assets and liabilities are reported at fair value. ASC 820 defines fair value as the value that would be received at the measurement date in the principal or "most advantageous" market. Grace uses principal market data, whenever available, to value assets and liabilities that are required to be reported at fair value.

Grace has identified the following financial assets and liabilities that are subject to the fair value analysis required by ASC 820:

Fair Value of Debt and Other Financial Instruments

See Note 5 for a discussion of the fair value of Grace's debt. At June 30, 2011, the recorded values of other financial instruments such as cash equivalents, short-term investments, and trade receivables and payables approximated their fair values, based on the short-term maturities and floating rate characteristics of these instruments.

Derivatives

From time to time, Grace enters into commodity derivatives such as fixed-rate swaps with financial institutions to mitigate the risk of volatility of natural gas prices or other commodities. Under fixed-rate swaps, Grace locks in a fixed rate with a financial institution for future purchases, purchases its commodity from a supplier at the prevailing market rate, and then settles with the bank for any difference in the rates, thereby "swapping" a variable rate for a fixed rate.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

6. Fair Value Measurements and Risk (Continued)

The valuation of Grace's fixed-rate natural gas swaps was determined using a market approach, based on natural gas futures trading prices quoted on the New York Mercantile Exchange. Commodity fixed-rate swaps with maturities of not more than 12 months are used and designated as cash flow hedges of forecasted purchases of natural gas. Current open contracts hedge forecasted transactions until June 2012. The effective portion of the gain or loss on the commodity contracts is recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) and reclassified into income in the same period or periods that the underlying commodity purchase affects income. At June 30, 2011, the contract volume, or notional amount, of the commodity contracts was 2.7 million MMBtu (million British thermal units) with a total contract value of \$12.7 million.

The valuation of Grace's fixed-rate aluminum swaps was determined using a market approach, based on aluminum futures trading prices quoted on the London Metal Exchange. Commodity fixed-rate swaps with maturities of not more than 12 months are used and designated as cash flow hedges of forecasted purchases of aluminum. Current open contracts hedge forecasted transactions until June 2012. The effective portion of the gain or loss on the commodity contracts is recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) and reclassified into income in the same period or periods that the underlying commodity purchase affects income. At June 30, 2011, the contract volume, or notional amount, of the commodity contracts was 2.9 million pounds with a total contract value of \$3.4 million.

Because Grace does business in over 40 countries, results are exposed to fluctuations in currency exchange rates. Grace seeks to minimize exposure to these fluctuations by matching sales in volatile currencies with expenditures in the same currencies, but it is not always possible to do so. From time to time Grace will use financial instruments such as currency forward contracts, options, or combinations of the two to reduce the risk of certain specific transactions. However, Grace does not have a policy of hedging all exposures, because management does not believe that such a level of hedging would be cost-effective.

From time to time, Grace enters into currency exchange rate forward and/or option contracts to mitigate the effects of exchange rate fluctuations. The valuation of Grace's currency exchange rate forward contracts is determined using both a market approach and an income approach. Inputs used to value currency exchange rate forward contracts consist of: (1) spot rates, which are quoted by various financial institutions; (2) forward points, which are primarily affected by changes in interest rates; and (3) discount rates used to present value future cash flows, which are based on the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) curve.

In November 2007, Grace purchased currency forward contracts to mitigate the effect of currency risk with respect to intercompany loans between its principal U.S. subsidiary and a German subsidiary. As of June 30, 2011, the total notional amount related to the remaining outstanding currency forward contracts was €246.7 million. These derivatives are not designated as hedging instruments under ASC 815.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

6. Fair Value Measurements and Risk (Continued)

The following tables present the fair value hierarchy for financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

Items Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis	Fa	iir V	alue Measure Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets or Liabilities	Signifi Oth Observ Inpu	icant er vable	Significant Unobservable Inputs
(In millions)	To	otal	(Level 1)	(Leve	el 2)	(Level 3)
Assets						
Currency derivatives	\$	3.5	\$	\$	3.5	\$
Commodity derivatives		0.1			0.1	
Total Assets	\$	3.6	\$	\$	3.6	\$
Liabilities						
Currency derivatives	\$	0.1	\$	\$	0.1	\$
Commodity derivatives		0.5			0.5	
Total Liabilities	\$	0.6	\$	\$	0.6	\$

	Fair Value Measurements at						
	December 31, 2010 Using						
			Quoted				
			Prices				
			in Active				
			Markets				
			for	Signi	ficant		
			Identical	Ot	her	Significant	
			Assets or	Obse	rvable	Unobservable	
Items Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis			Liabilities	Inj	outs	Inputs	
(In millions)	T	otal	(Level 1)	_	vel 2)	(Level 3)	
Assets			Ì	Ì	·	Ì	
Currency derivatives	\$	16.9	\$	\$	16.9	\$	
			Ψ	Ψ	10.7	Ψ	
Commodity derivatives		0.3	Ψ	Ψ	0.3	Ψ	
Commodity derivatives			Ψ	Ψ		Ψ	
Commodity derivatives Total Assets	\$			\$		\$	
	\$	0.3			0.3		
	\$	0.3			0.3		
Total Assets	\$	0.3			0.3		

Total Liabilities \$ 1.6 \$ \$ 1.6 \$

30

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

6. Fair Value Measurements and Risk (Continued)

The following tables present the location and fair values of derivative instruments included in the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

	Asset Derivatives			Liability Derivative		es
Fair Values of Derivative Instruments at June 30, 2011 (In millions)	Balance Sheet Location		air llue	Balance Sheet Location	_	air alue
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments under ASC 815:						
Commodity contracts	Other current assets	\$	0.1	Other current liabilities	\$	0.5
Currency contracts	Other current assets		0.2	Other current liabilities		
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments under ASC 815:						
Currency contracts	Other current assets		0.2	Other current liabilities		0.1
Currency contracts	Other assets		3.1	Other liabilities		
Total derivatives		\$	3.6		\$	0.6

	Asset Derivatives		Liability Derivativ		es	
Fair Values of Derivative Instruments at December 31, 2010 (In millions)	Balance Sheet Location	_	air alue	Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value	
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments under ASC 815:						
Commodity contracts Currency contracts	Other current assets	\$	0.3	Other current liabilities Other current liabilities	\$	0.9
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments under ASC 815:	outer current assets		0.1	naomices		
Currency contracts Currency contracts	Other current assets Other assets		5.5 11.3	Other current liabilities Other liabilities		0.7
Total derivatives		\$	17.2		\$	1.6

The following tables present the location and amount of gains and losses on derivative instruments included in the Consolidated Statements of Operations or, when applicable, gains and

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

6. Fair Value Measurements and Risk (Continued)

losses initially recognized in other comprehensive income (loss) ("OCI") for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010:

The Effect of Derivative Instruments on the Consolidated Statement of Operations for the Three Months Ended June 30, 2011 (In millions)	Reco (De (F	unt of Gain r (Loss) ognized in OCI on rivatives Offective	Location of Gain or (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (Effective Portion)	Amount of Gain or (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (Effective Portion)	
Derivatives in ASC 815 cash flow hedging relationships:					
Currency contracts	\$	0.1	Cost of goods sold	\$	
Commodity contracts		(0.7)	Cost of goods sold		(0.2)
Total derivatives	\$	(0.6)		\$	(0.2)

	Location of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivatives	Amou Ga or (L Recog ir Incon Deriva	in oss) nized n ne on
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments under ASC 815:			
Currency contracts	Other income (expense)	\$	(7.8)

The Effect of Derivative Instruments on the Consolidated Statement of Operations for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 (In millions)	or (Los Recognize OCI of Derivativ	Location of Gain or (Loss) recognized in OCI on Oerivatives (Effective Portion) Location of Gain or (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (Effective Portion)		Amount or (La Reclasse from Accumum OC into In (Effect Porti	oss) sified m ulated I come
Derivatives in ASC 815 cash flow hedging relationships:					
Currency contracts	\$	0.2	Cost of goods sold	\$	
Commodity contracts		(0.6)	Cost of goods sold		(1.1)
Total derivatives	\$	(0.4)		\$	(1.1)

	Location of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivatives	Amount of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivatives	
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments under ASC 815:			
Currency contracts	Other income (expense)	\$	(24.3)
32			

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

6. Fair Value Measurements and Risk (Continued)

The Effect of Derivative Instruments on the Consolidated Statement of Operations for the Three Months Ended June 30, 2010 (In millions)	Amount of Ga or (Loss) Recognized i OCI on Derivatives (Effective Portion)	ain n	Location of Gain or (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (Effective Portion)	Amount Gain or (Loss Reclassifi from Accumula OCI into Incor (Effectiv	s) ied ted me
Derivatives in ASC 815 cash flow hedging relationships:					
Currency contracts	\$		Cost of goods sold	\$	
Commodity contracts		0.2	Cost of goods sold		(1.4)
Total derivatives	\$	0.2		\$	(1.4)

	Location of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivatives	(Loss) or (Los gnized in Recognized ome on Income	
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments under ASC 815:			
Currency contracts	Other income (expense)	\$	32.1

The Effect of Derivative Instruments on the Consolidated Statement of Operations for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 (In millions)	Amount or (I Recogn OC: Deriv (Effe Port	Loss) iized in I on atives ctive	Reclassified from in Accumulated OCI into Income		nount of Gain (Loss) lassified from umulated OCI Income ffective ortion)
Derivatives in ASC 815 cash flow hedging relationships:		,	,		,
Currency contracts	\$		Cost of goods sold	\$	0.1
Commodity contracts		(3.4)	Cost of goods sold		(1.7)
Total derivatives	\$	(3.4)		\$	(1.6)

		Amount	of
	Location of Gain	Gain	
	or (Loss)	oss) or (Loss	
	Recognized in Recogni		l in
	Income on	Income on Derivatives	
	Derivatives		
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments under ASC 815:			
Currency contracts	Other income (expense)	\$	51.4

Debt and Interest Rate Swap Agreements

Grace was not a party to any debt or interest rate swaps at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

6. Fair Value Measurements and Risk (Continued)

Credit Risk

Grace is exposed to credit risk in its trade accounts receivable. Customers in the petroleum refining and construction industries represent the greatest exposure. Grace's credit evaluation policies, relatively short collection terms and history of minimal credit losses mitigate credit risk exposures. Grace does not generally require collateral for its trade accounts receivable, but may require a bank letter of credit in certain instances, particularly when selling to customers in cash restricted countries.

Grace may also be exposed to credit risk in its derivatives contracts. Grace monitors counterparty credit risk and currently does not anticipate nonperformance by its derivatives counterparties. Grace's derivatives contracts are with internationally recognized commercial financial institutions.

7. Income Taxes

As of June 30, 2011, the income tax provision on 2011 forecasted income before discrete items was estimated to be 32.4% as compared to 31.2% for the same period in the prior year.

The income tax provision as of June 30, 2010 included a settlement relating to the carryback of remaining net operating losses from 1998 to the tax years 1990 through 1996 that resulted in a refund. Grace recorded a tax benefit in the amount of \$16.9 million related to the settlement in March 2010. The recorded tax benefit included an estimate of interest payable to Grace that exceeds the IRS estimate by approximately \$4.7 million. Grace maintains that its calculation of the interest payable to Grace is correct and has filed a claim for refund. Grace is currently working with the IRS to resolve this matter.

Grace files U.S. federal income tax returns as well as income tax returns in various states and foreign jurisdictions. In many cases, Grace's uncertain tax positions are related to income tax returns for tax years that remain subject to examination by the relevant taxing authorities. The following table summarizes these open tax years by major jurisdiction:

		Examination
	Examination	Not Yet
Tax Jurisdiction(1)	in Progress	Initiated
United States Federal	2007 - 2009	2010
United States State	1997 - 2009	2010
Germany	2006 - 2008	2010
United Kingdom	None	2003 - 2010
Singapore	None	2002 - 2010
France	None	2007 - 2010
Canada	2003 - 2005	2006 - 2010
Canada	2003 - 2005	2006 - 2010

Includes federal as well as state, provincial or local jurisdictions, as applicable.

As a large taxpayer, Grace is under continual audit by the various tax authorities on open-year tax positions. Grace believes it is reasonably possible that the amount of the liability for

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

7. Income Taxes (Continued)

unrecognized tax benefits could change in the next 12 months, including material changes with respect to the following matters:

- In court decisions that only applied between the government and taxpayers other than Grace, certain tax benefits similar to those claimed by a non-U.S. subsidiary of Grace were denied. Notwithstanding these cases, Grace believes based on the statutes, administrative regulations and other case law existing at this time that the tax benefits claimed by its non-U.S. subsidiary should be sustained if challenged. It is reasonably possible, however, that such benefits would not be sustained. In such case, Grace estimates that the tax expense could range from \$36.5 million to \$69.2 million (including interest) and Grace would also expect to accelerate recognition of a deferred charge of \$10.5 million.
- 2. As a result of expected statute of limitations expirations and the resolution of examination issues and settlements with U.S. federal and state tax authorities, it is reasonably possible that the amount of unrecognized tax benefits would decrease during the next 12 months by up to \$15.1 million.

On December 3, 2010, Grace and the Commissioner of the Department of Revenue for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts entered into a settlement agreement resolving all claims for pre-petition taxes and interest for the taxable years 1990 through 1999 for approximately \$15.0 million. The settlement was approved by the U.S. Bankruptcy Court on January 6, 2011 and Grace made the payment to the Commonwealth of Massachusetts on January 24, 2011.

Grace accrues potential interest and any associated penalties related to uncertain tax positions in "benefit from (provision for) income taxes" in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. The total amount of interest and penalties accrued on uncertain tax positions was \$15.7 million (\$11.8 million net of applicable tax benefits) and \$18.3 million (\$14.6 million net of applicable tax benefits) as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

8. Pension Plans and Other Postretirement Benefit Plans

Pension Plans The following table presents the funded status of Grace's fully-funded, underfunded, and unfunded pension plans:

(In millions)	June 30, 2011		, December 3 2010		
Overfunded defined benefit pension plans	\$	40.6	\$	35.6	
Underfunded defined benefit pension plans		(153.5)		(383.9)	
Unfunded defined benefit pension plans		(173.7)		(155.9)	
Total underfunded and unfunded defined benefit pension plans		(327.2)		(539.8)	
Unfunded defined benefit pension plans included in liabilities subject to compromise		(112.2)		(113.8)	
Pension liabilities included in other current liabilities		(14.1)		(12.9)	
Net funded status	\$	(412.9)	\$	(630.9)	

Fully-funded plans include several advance-funded plans where the fair value of the plan assets exceeds the projected benefit obligation ("PBO"). This group of plans was overfunded by

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

8. Pension Plans and Other Postretirement Benefit Plans (Continued)

\$40.6 million as of June 30, 2011, and the overfunded status is reflected as "overfunded defined benefit pension plans" in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Underfunded plans include a group of advance-funded plans that are underfunded on a PBO basis. Unfunded plans include several plans that are funded on a pay-as-you-go basis, and therefore, the entire PBO is unfunded. The combined balance of the underfunded and unfunded plans was \$453.5 million as of June 30, 2011 and is presented as a liability on the Consolidated Balance Sheets as follows: \$14.1 million in "other current liabilities;" \$327.2 million included in "underfunded and unfunded defined benefit pension plans", of which \$153.5 million relates to underfunded plans and \$173.7 million relates to unfunded plans; and \$112.2 million in "liabilities subject to compromise."

Grace maintains defined benefit pension plans covering current and former employees of certain business units and divested business units who meet age and service requirements. Benefits are generally based on final average salary and years of service. Grace funds its U.S. qualified pension plans ("U.S. qualified pension plans") in accordance with U.S. federal laws and regulations. Non-U.S. pension plans ("non-U.S. pension plans") are funded under a variety of methods, as required under local laws and customs.

Grace also provides, through nonqualified plans, supplemental pension benefits in excess of U.S. qualified pension plan limits imposed by federal tax law. These plans cover officers and higher-level employees and serve to increase the combined pension amount to the level that they otherwise would have received under the U.S. qualified pension plans in the absence of such limits. The nonqualified plans are unfunded and Grace pays the costs of benefits as they are due to the participants.

At the December 31, 2010 measurement date for Grace's defined benefit pension plans, the PBO was approximately \$1,616 million as measured under U.S. GAAP. The PBO basis reflects the present value (using a 5.25% discount rate for U.S. plans and a 5.45% weighted average discount rate for non-U.S. plans as of December 31, 2010) of vested and non-vested benefits earned from employee service to date, based upon current services and estimated future pay increases for active employees.

On a quarterly basis, Grace analyzes pension assets and pension liabilities along with the resulting funded status and updates its estimate of these measures. Funded status is adjusted for contributions, benefit payments, actual return on assets, current discount rates, and other identifiable and material actuarial changes. A full remeasurement is performed annually.

The assumed discount rate for pension plans reflects the market rates for high quality corporate bonds currently available and is subject to change based on changes in the overall market interest rates. For the U.S. qualified pension plans, the assumed discount rate of 5.25% as of December 31, 2010 was selected by Grace, in consultation with its independent actuaries, based on a yield curve constructed from a portfolio of high quality bonds for which the timing and amount of cash outflows approximate the estimated payouts of the plan. Based on review of an updated yield curve analysis as of June 30, 2011, Grace did not change the discount rate for the U.S. qualified pension plans from the 5.25% rate in effect at December 31, 2010. Grace also evaluated the current discount rates for the pension plans in the United Kingdom, Germany and Canada, which combined represented approximately 89% of the benefit obligation of the non-U.S. pension plans as of December 31, 2010. Based on review of the yield curve analyses for these plans as of June 30, 2011, Grace changed the discount rate for the United Kingdom from 5.50% at December 31, 2010 to 5.75% at June 30, 2011, and for Germany from 5.00% at December 31, 2010 to 5.25% at June 30, 2011. Grace did

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

8. Pension Plans and Other Postretirement Benefit Plans (Continued)

not change the discount rate for Canada from the 5.50% rate in effect at December 31, 2010. The funded status as of June 30, 2011 reflects an increase in total assets of approximately \$6 million and a decrease in total liabilities of approximately \$7 million as compared to December 31, 2010, resulting from the change in discount rates (including the postretirement plan).

Postretirement Benefits Other Than Pensions Grace provides postretirement health care and life insurance benefits for retired employees of certain U.S. business units and certain divested business units. The postretirement medical plan provides various levels of benefits to employees hired before 1993 who retire from Grace after age 55 with at least 10 years of service. These plans are unfunded and Grace pays a portion of the costs of benefits under these plans as they are incurred. Grace applies ASC 715 to these plans which requires that the future costs of postretirement health care and life insurance benefits be accrued over the employees' years of service.

Retirees and beneficiaries covered by the postretirement medical plan are required to contribute a minimum of 40% of the calculated premium for that coverage. During 2002, per capita costs under the retiree medical plans exceeded caps on the amount Grace was required to contribute under a 1993 amendment to the plan. As a result, for 2003 and future years, retirees will bear 100% of any increase in premium costs.

For 2011 measurement purposes, per capita costs, before retiree contributions, were assumed to initially increase at a rate of 9.75%. The rate of increase is assumed to decrease gradually to 5% through 2020 and remain at that level thereafter. A one percentage point increase or decrease in assumed health care medical cost trend rates would not materially change Grace's postretirement benefit obligations (impact of less than \$1 million) and would have a negligible impact on the aggregate of the service and interest cost components of net periodic benefit cost.

Three Months Ended June 30, 2011 2010

Components of Net Periodic Benefit Cost		Per	sio	n	_	Other Post	Pe	nsio	n	Other Post
(In millions)	Į	U.S.	No	n-U.SJ	Reti	irement	U.S.	No	n-U.S.Re	tirement
Service cost	\$	4.4	\$	2.2	\$		\$ 4.1	\$	1.8 \$	
Interest cost		14.8		5.8		0.8	15.0)	5.2	0.9
Expected return on plan assets		(16.6))	(4.1)			(13.0)	(3.4)	
Amortization of prior service cost (credit)		0.3					0.3			(1.0)
Amortization of net deferred actuarial loss		7.3		1.0		0.2	7.1		1.3	0.1
Net periodic benefit cost	\$	10.2	\$	4.9	\$	1.0	\$ 13.5	\$	4.9 \$	
		37								

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

8. Pension Plans and Other Postretirement Benefit Plans (Continued)

Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 2010

Components of Net Periodic Benefit Cost		Per	sio	n	7	Other Post	Pe	nsio	n	Other Post
(In millions)	I	U.S.	No	n-U.S.	Ret	irement	U.S.	Noi	n-U.S.I	Retirement
Service cost	\$	9.1	\$	4.4	\$	0.1	8.5	\$	3.8	\$ 0.1
Interest cost		30.1		11.4		1.6	30.8		10.7	1.8
Expected return on plan assets		(33.1))	(8.1)			(26.0)	(7.5)	
Amortization of prior service cost (credit)		0.6					0.6)		(2.0)
Amortization of net deferred actuarial loss		15.1		2.1		0.3	14.8		2.6	0.2
Net periodic benefit cost	\$	21.8	\$	9.8	\$	2.0	\$ 28.7	\$	9.6	\$ 0.1

Plan Contributions and Funding Subject to any required approval of the Bankruptcy Court, Grace intends to satisfy its funding obligations under the U.S. qualified pension plans and to comply with all of the requirements of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 ("ERISA"). For ERISA purposes, funded status is calculated on a different basis than under U.S. GAAP. On March 25, 2011, Grace obtained Bankruptcy Court approval to fund minimum required payments under the U.S. qualified pension plans of approximately \$56 million and an accelerated contribution of up to \$180 million for the period from March 2011 through December 2011. In that regard, Grace contributed approximately \$10 million in January 2011 (approved by the Bankruptcy Court in 2010), approximately \$193 million in March 2011, and approximately \$13 million in July 2011 to the trusts that hold assets of the U.S. qualified pension plans. While Grace intends to continue to fund all minimum required payments under the U.S. qualified pension plans, there can be no assurance that the Bankruptcy Court will continue to approve these payments.

Contributions to non-U.S. pension plans are not subject to Bankruptcy Court approval and Grace intends to fund such plans based on applicable legal requirements and actuarial and trustee recommendations.

Grace plans to pay benefits as they become due under virtually all pay-as-you-go plans and to maintain compliance with federal funding laws for its U.S. qualified pension plans.

Defined Contribution Retirement Plan Grace sponsors a defined contribution retirement plan for its employees in the United States. This plan is qualified under section 401(k) of the U.S. tax code. Currently, Grace contributes an amount equal to 100% of employee contributions, up to 6% of an individual employee's salary or wages. Grace's cost related to this benefit plan for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2011 were \$3.2 million and \$6.3 million compared with \$3.1 million and \$6.5 million for the prior year periods.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

9. Other Balance Sheet Accounts

(In millions)	_	June 30, 2011		ember 31, 2010
Other Assets				
Patents, licenses and other intangible assets, net	\$	53.3	\$	56.3
Deferred charges		25.2		25.8
Fair value of currency forward contracts		3.1		11.3
Other assets		9.1		9.1
	\$	90.7	\$	102.5
Other Current Liabilities				
Accrued compensation	\$	80.7	\$	83.7
Income tax payable		44.9		30.2
Customer volume rebates		28.3		32.6
Accrued Chapter 11 reorganization expenses		10.0		6.0
Deferred tax liability		9.7		9.1
Accrued commissions		8.1		10.1
Fair value of currency forward and commodity contracts		0.6		1.6
Other accrued liabilities		117.8		104.7
	\$	300.1	\$	278.0

Accrued compensation in the table above includes salaries and wages as well as estimated current amounts due under the annual and long-term incentive programs.

10. Commitments and Contingent Liabilities

See Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for a discussion of Grace's asbestos-related liability.

Environmental Remediation Grace is subject to loss contingencies resulting from extensive and evolving federal, state, local and foreign environmental laws and regulations relating to the generation, storage, handling, discharge and disposition of hazardous wastes and other materials. Grace accrues for anticipated costs associated with investigative and remediation efforts where an assessment has indicated that a probable liability has been incurred and the cost can be reasonably estimated. These accruals do not take into account any discounting for the time value of money.

Grace's environmental liabilities are reassessed whenever circumstances become better defined or remediation efforts and their costs can be better estimated. These liabilities are evaluated based on currently available information, including the progress of remedial investigation at each site, the current status of discussions with regulatory authorities regarding the method and extent of remediation at each site, existing technology, prior experience in contaminated site remediation and the apportionment of costs among potentially responsible parties. Grace expects that the funding of environmental remediation activities will be affected by the Chapter 11 proceedings.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

10. Commitments and Contingent Liabilities (Continued)

At June 30, 2011, Grace's estimated liability for environmental investigative and remediation costs (non-asbestos and asbestos-related) totaled \$140.9 million, as compared with \$145.7 million at December 31, 2010. The amount is based on funding and/or remediation agreements in place, including the Multi-Site Agreement described below, and Grace's best estimate of its cost for sites not subject to a formal remediation plan. Grace's estimated environmental liabilities are included in "liabilities subject to compromise" and other current liabilities in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Net cash expenditures charged against previously established reserves for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 were \$5.4 million and \$3.0 million, respectively.

Vermiculite Related Matters

Grace purchased a vermiculite mine in Libby, Montana in 1963 and operated it until 1990. Vermiculite ore from the Libby mine was used in the manufacture of attic insulation and other products. Some of the vermiculite ore that was mined at the Libby mine was contaminated with naturally-occurring asbestos. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has investigated sites, including sites owned by Grace, that used, stored or processed vermiculite from the Libby mine. Grace and other potentially responsible parties have conducted investigations and/or remedial actions at those sites identified by the EPA as requiring remedial action.

During 2010, the EPA commenced a reinvestigation of up to 105 former or currently operating plants, including seven that are currently owned by Grace, at which vermiculite from the Libby mine was processed prior to 1990. Grace is cooperating with the EPA on this reinvestigation. Grace evaluates its estimated remediation liability as it receives additional information regarding potential remediation of these sites

Grace's total estimated liability for asbestos remediation studies and other estimable matters related to its former vermiculite operations in Libby, as well as the cost of remediation at vermiculite processing sites outside of Libby, at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 was \$51.4 million and \$52.7 million, respectively, excluding interest where applicable. This estimated liability does not include the cost to remediate the Libby mine or costs related to any additional EPA claims which may be material but are not currently estimable. As Grace receives new information, its estimated liability may change materially.

Non-Vermiculite Related Matters

At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, Grace's estimated liability for remediation of sites not related to its former vermiculite mining and processing activities was \$89.5 million and \$93.0 million, respectively. This liability relates to Grace's current and former operations, including its share of liability for off-site disposal at facilities where it has been identified as a potentially responsible party. Grace's estimated liability is based upon an evaluation of claims for which sufficient information was available. As Grace receives new information and continues its claims evaluation process, its estimated liability may change materially.

Multi-Site Settlement

The EPA has filed proofs of claim with respect to potential contamination at 38 sites, including vermiculite related claims and non-vermiculite related claims. In June 2008, Grace entered into a

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

10. Commitments and Contingent Liabilities (Continued)

multi-site settlement agreement (the "Multi-Site Agreement") with the U.S. Government, on behalf of the EPA and other federal agencies. Under the Multi-Site Agreement, Grace has agreed to pay approximately \$44 million, which is included in the liabilities described above, to the U.S. Government and other parties in settlement of 35 of these outstanding claims and the U.S. Government has agreed not to take action against Grace under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act with respect to these sites. Grace is implementing remediation at two of the remaining sites. With respect to the third remaining site, Libby, Montana, the EPA's claims have been resolved except for claims related to the Grace-owned Libby vermiculite mine and the surrounding bodies of water and forest lands. Grace is working in cooperation with the EPA to investigate these areas. The settlement amount under the Multi-Site Agreement is payable upon Grace's emergence from Chapter 11.

Purchase Commitments Grace uses purchase commitments to ensure supply and to minimize the volatility of major components of direct manufacturing costs including natural gas, certain metals, rare earths, asphalt, amines and other materials. Such commitments are for quantities that Grace fully expects to use in its normal operations.

Guarantees and Indemnification Obligations Grace is a party to many contracts containing guarantees and indemnification obligations. These contracts primarily consist of:

Product warranties with respect to certain products sold to customers in the ordinary course of business. These warranties typically provide that products will conform to specifications. Grace generally does not establish a liability for product warranty based on a percentage of sales or other formula. Grace accrues a warranty liability on a transaction-specific basis depending on the individual facts and circumstances related to each sale. Both the liability and annual expense related to product warranties are immaterial to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Licenses of intellectual property by Grace to third parties in which Grace has agreed to indemnify the licensee against third party infringement claims.

Contracts providing for the sale of a former business unit or product line in which Grace has agreed to indemnify the buyer against liabilities arising prior to the closing of the transaction, including environmental liabilities. These liabilities are included in "liabilities subject to compromise" in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Guarantees of real property lease obligations of third parties, typically arising out of (a) leases entered into by former subsidiaries of Grace, or (b) the assignment or sublease of a lease by Grace to a third party.

Financial Assurances Financial assurances have been established for a variety of purposes, including insurance and environmental matters, asbestos settlements and appeals, trade-related commitments and other matters. At June 30, 2011, Grace had gross financial assurances issued and outstanding of \$247.3 million, comprised of \$106.2 million of surety bonds issued by various insurance companies and \$141.1 million of standby letters of credit and other financial assurances issued by various banks; \$73.6 million of these financial assurances have been issued under the letter of credit facility.

Accounting for Contingencies Although the outcome of each of the matters discussed above cannot be predicted with certainty, Grace has assessed its risk and has made accounting estimates

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

10. Commitments and Contingent Liabilities (Continued)

as required under U.S. GAAP. As a result of the Filing, claims related to certain of the items discussed above will be addressed as part of Grace's Chapter 11 proceedings. Accruals recorded for such contingencies have been included in "liabilities subject to compromise" in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets. The amounts of these liabilities as ultimately determined through the Chapter 11 proceedings could be materially different from amounts recorded at June 30, 2011.

11. Restructuring Expenses and Related Asset Impairments

In the second quarter of 2011, Grace implemented cost reduction and restructuring actions to further improve productivity. Grace accrued \$0.7 million of restructuring expenses during the second quarter 2011, compared to \$1.2 million related to Grace Construction Products during the second quarter 2010. The second quarter restructuring actions consisted of involuntary programs that reduced total employment by seven employees worldwide. Grace expects substantially all costs related to the 2010 and 2011 restructuring programs to be paid by December 31, 2011.

Restructuring Expenses and Related Asset Impairments	Ended Ende			Ended Ended					
(In millions)	20	011	20)10	20	011	20	010	
Severance and other employee related costs	\$	0.7	\$	1.2	\$	0.9	\$	3.4	
Asset impairments and other restructuring costs									
Total restructuring expenses and related asset impairments	\$	0.7	\$	1.2	\$	0.9	\$	3.4	

Restructuring Liability

(In millions)	T	'otal
Balance at January 01, 2008	\$	
Accruals for severance and other employee related costs		5.2
Payments		(4.5)
Balance at December 31, 2008	\$	0.7
Accruals for severance and other employee related costs		29.6
Payments		(17.5)
Currency translation adjustments and other		0.7
Balance at December 31, 2009	\$	13.5
Accruals for severance and other employee related costs		10.2
Payments		(13.9)
Currency translation adjustments and other		(0.2)
Balance at December 31, 2010	\$	9.6
Accruals for severance and other employee related costs		0.9
Payments		(4.9)
Currency translation adjustments and other		(0.1)
Balance at June 30, 2011	\$	5.5

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

11. Restructuring Expenses and Related Asset Impairments (Continued)

	Thi Mor End June	nths led	Six Months Ended June 30,		
Employee Reduction by Operating Segment	2011	2010	2011	2010	
Grace Davison	1		5	9	
Grace Construction Products	1	28	4	60	
Corporate	5		8	16	
Total	7	28	17	85	

12. Other Expense (income), net

Components of other expense (income), net are as follows:

	Three Months Ended June 30,					Six M End June	led	
(In millions)	2011 2010			2	2011	2010		
Translation effects intercompany loans	\$	(7.6)	\$	33.0	\$	(27.3)	\$	54.2
Value of currency forward contracts intercompany loans		7.8		(32.3)		24.3		(51.5)
Other currency transaction effects		0.4		0.2		2.1		1.8
Interest income		(0.3)		(0.2)		(0.6)		(0.4)
Net gain on sales of investments and disposals of assets		(2.9)		(0.8)		(2.8)		(0.3)
Other miscellaneous expense (income)		2.7				2.4		(1.5)
Total other expense (income), net	\$	0.1	\$	(0.1)	\$	(1.9)	\$	2.3

13. Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)

The following tables present the pre-tax, tax, and after-tax components of Grace's other comprehensive income (loss) for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010:

	Tax					
Three Months Ended June 30, 2011		-Tax	Benefit/	After-Tax		
(In millions)	Amount		(Expense)	Amount		
Defined benefit pension and other postretirement plans:						
Amortization of net prior service cost included in net periodic benefit cost	\$	0.3	\$ (0.1)	\$ 0.2		
Amortization of net deferred actuarial loss included in net periodic benefit cost		8.5	(2.9)	5.6		
Other changes in funded status		24.3	(8.3)	16.0		
Benefit plans, net		33.1	(11.3)	21.8		
Currency translation adjustments		(0.5)		(0.5)		
Gain (loss) from hedging activities		(0.4)	0.2	(0.2)		

Other comprehensive income (loss) attributable to W. R. Grace & Co. shareholders \$ 32.2 \$ (11.1) \$ 21.1

43

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

13. Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) (Continued)

	Tax				
Six Months Ended June 30, 2011	Pre-Tax			fit/	After-Tax
(In millions)	Amount		nt (Expense)		Amount
Defined benefit pension and other postretirement plans:					
Amortization of net prior service cost included in net periodic benefit cost	\$	0.6	\$	(0.2)	\$ 0.4
Amortization of net deferred actuarial loss included in net periodic benefit cost		17.5		(6.0)	11.5
Other changes in funded status		35.2		(12.1)	23.1
Benefit plans, net		53.3		(18.3)	35.0
Currency translation adjustments		1.3			1.3
Gain (loss) from hedging activities		0.7		(0.2)	0.5
Other comprehensive income (loss) attributable to W. R. Grace & Co. shareholders	\$	55.3	\$	(18.5)	\$ 36.8

	Tax					
Three Months Ended June 30, 2010	June 30, 2010 Pre			Benefit/		er-Tax
(In millions)	Ar	nount	t (Expense)			nount
Defined benefit pension and other postretirement plans:						
Amortization of net prior service credit included in net periodic benefit cost	\$	(0.7)	\$	0.3	\$	(0.4)
Amortization of net deferred actuarial loss included in net periodic benefit cost		8.5		(2.9)		5.6
Other changes in funded status		(103.2)		35.5		(67.7)
Benefit plans, net		(95.4)		32.9		(62.5)
Currency translation adjustments		10.5				10.5
Gain (loss) from hedging activities		1.6		(0.6)		1.0
Other comprehensive income (loss) attributable to W. R. Grace & Co. shareholders	\$	(83.3)	\$	32.3	\$	(51.0)

			T	ax		
Six Months Ended June 30, 2010	Pr	e-Tax	Bei	nefit/	Afte	er-Tax
(In millions)	Aı	nount	(Exp	oense)	An	nount
Defined benefit pension and other postretirement plans:						
Amortization of net prior service credit included in net periodic benefit cost	\$	(1.4)	\$	0.5	\$	(0.9)
Amortization of net deferred actuarial loss included in net periodic benefit cost		17.6		(6.0)		11.6
Other changes in funded status		(106.8)		35.9		(70.9)
Benefit plans, net		(90.6)		30.4		(60.2)
Currency translation adjustments		4.3		2011		4.3
Gain (loss) from hedging activities		(1.8)		0.6		(1.2)
Other comprehensive income (loss) attributable to W. R. Grace & Co. shareholders	\$	(88.1)	\$	31.0	\$	(57.1)

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

13. Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) (Continued)

The following table presents the components of Grace's accumulated other comprehensive loss at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

Components of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	Ju	ne 30,	De	cember 31,
(In millions)	2	2011		2010
Defined benefit pension and other postretirement plans:				
Net prior service cost (net of tax)	\$	(2.4)	\$	(2.8)
Net deferred actuarial loss (net of tax)		(521.1)		(555.7)
Benefit plans, net		(523.5)		(558.5)
Currency translation		43.1		41.8
Hedging activities, net of tax		(0.1)		(0.6)
Unrealized loss on investment		(0.8)		(0.8)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	\$	(481.3)	\$	(518.1)

Accumulated other comprehensive loss related to the defined benefit pension and other postretirement plans at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, represents the accumulation of net deferred actuarial losses of \$521.1 million and \$555.7 million as well as net prior service costs of \$2.4 million and \$2.8 million. These amounts are net of tax and are amortized as a component of net periodic benefit cost. For the six month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, the pre-tax expense (benefit) recognized related to prior service costs (credits) was \$0.6 million and \$(1.4) million, respectively, and the pre-tax expense recognized for amortization of accumulated actuarial losses was \$17.5 million and \$17.6 million, respectively. In addition, \$35.2 million of pre-tax income and \$106.8 million of pre-tax loss was recognized for changes in funded status during the six month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Grace is a global enterprise operating in over 40 countries with local currency generally deemed to be the functional currency for accounting purposes. The currency translation amount represents the adjustments necessary to translate the balance sheets valued in local currencies to the U.S. dollar as of the end of each period presented, and to translate revenues and expenses at average exchange rates for each period presented.

See Note 6 for a discussion of hedging activities.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

14. Earnings Per Share

The following table shows a reconciliation of the numerators and denominators used in calculating basic and diluted earnings per share.

	T	hree I End June	led,			Six M End June	led,	
(In millions, except per share amounts)	20	011	2	010	2	2011	2	2010
Numerators								
Net income attributable to W. R. Grace & Co. shareholders	\$	75.8	\$	51.0	\$	130.0	\$	107.2
Denominators								
Weighted average common shares basic calculation		73.4		72.7		73.3		72.6
Dilutive effect of employee stock options		2.2		1.7		2.1		1.9
Weighted average common shares diluted calculation		75.6		74.4		75.4		74.5
Basic earnings per share	\$	1.03	\$	0.70	\$	1.77	\$	1.48
Diluted earnings per share	\$	1.00	\$	0.69	\$	1.72	\$	1.44

There were approximately 1.3 million and 1.4 million anti-dilutive options outstanding for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

15. Operating Segment Information

Grace is a global producer of specialty chemicals and specialty materials. It generates sales from two operating segments: Grace Davison, which includes specialty catalysts and specialty materials used in a wide range of refining, consumer, industrial, packaging and life sciences applications; and Grace Construction Products, which includes specialty construction chemicals and specialty building materials used in commercial, infrastructure, and residential construction. Intersegment sales, eliminated in consolidation, are not material. The table below presents information related to Grace's operating segments. Only those corporate expenses directly related to the operating segments are allocated for reporting purposes. All remaining corporate items are reported separately and labeled as such.

Grace also excludes defined benefit pension expense from the calculation of segment operating income. Grace believes that the exclusion of defined benefit pension expense provides a better indicator of its operating segment performance as defined benefit pension expense is not managed at an operating segment level.

Grace defines Adjusted EBIT (a non-U.S. GAAP financial measure) to be net income adjusted for interest income and expense, income taxes, net Chapter 11- and asbestos-related costs, restructuring expenses and related asset impairments, and gains and losses on sales of product lines and other investments.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

15. Operating Segment Information (Continued)

Operating Segment Data

		Three I End June	ded	I	Six M End Jund	i	
(In millions)	2	2011		2010	2011		2010
Net Sales							
Grace Davison	\$	568.6	\$	453.9	\$ 1,056.7	\$	872.2
Grace Construction Products		257.8		231.1	465.4		427.7
Total	\$	826.4	\$	685.0	\$ 1,522.1	\$	1,299.9
Adjusted EBIT							
Grace Davison	\$	145.7	\$	106.5	\$ 264.0	\$	194.3
Grace Construction Products		29.6		25.7	45.9		41.3
Corporate costs		(27.4)		(22.7)	(49.8)		(41.9)
Defined benefit pension expense		(15.1)		(18.4)	(31.6)		(38.3)
Total	\$	132.8	\$	91.1	\$ 228.5	\$	155.4

Corporate costs include corporate support function costs and other corporate costs such as non-asbestos environmental remediation, insurance premiums and professional fees.

Grace Adjusted EBIT for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 is reconciled below to income before income taxes presented in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Reconciliation of Operating Segment Data to Financial Statements

	Three M End June	led		Six M End Jund	led	<u> </u>
(In millions)	2011	2	2010	2011		2010
Grace Adjusted EBIT	\$ 132.8	\$	91.1	\$ 228.5	\$	155.4
Chapter 11- and asbestos-related costs, net	(9.0)		(7.8)	(14.7)		(20.4)
Restructuring expenses and related asset impairments	(0.7)		(1.2)	(0.9)		(3.4)
Interest expense and related financing costs	(11.0)		(11.0)	(21.4)		(20.9)
Interest income of non-Debtor subsidiaries	0.3		0.2	0.6		0.4
Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests	(0.3)			(0.5)		0.4
Income before income taxes	\$ 112.1	\$	71.3	\$ 191.6	\$	111.5

The table below presents information related to the geographic areas in which Grace operates. Sales are attributed to geographic areas based on customer location.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

15. Operating Segment Information (Continued)

Geographic Area Data

		Three I End June	ded		Six M End Jund	ded	<u> </u>
(In millions)	2	2011	2	2010	2011		2010
Net Sales							
United States	\$	247.8	\$	194.8	\$ 453.8	\$	377.7
Canada and Puerto Rico		25.3		20.9	44.5		40.4
Total North America	\$	273.1	\$	215.7	\$ 498.3	\$	418.1
Europe Middle East Africa		325.5		274.6	597.7		521.5
Asia Pacific		150.5		120.8	280.8		223.3
Latin America		77.3		73.9	145.3		137.0
Total	\$	826.4	\$	685.0	\$ 1,522.1	\$	1,299.9

16. Unconsolidated Affiliates

Grace accounts for certain of its investments in unconsolidated affiliates using the equity method of accounting. Grace has a 50% ownership interest in the Advanced Refining Technologies LLC joint venture (ART), and it is the largest such investment accounted for using the equity method.

Grace and ART transact business on a regular basis, and maintain several agreements in order to effect such business. These agreements are treated as related party activities with an unconsolidated affiliate. For the quarters ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, Grace sales of catalysts to ART were \$53.9 million and \$55.1 million, respectively. For the quarters ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, charges for fixed costs, research and development and selling general and administrative services to ART were \$13.7 million and \$5.9 million, respectively. Grace and Chevron provide lines of credit in the amount of \$15.0 million each at a commitment fee of 0.1% of the credit amount. These agreements expire on March 1, 2012. No amounts were outstanding at June 30, 2011.

17. Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Affiliates

Grace conducts certain business activities in various countries through joint ventures with unaffiliated third parties. In certain cases, the financial results of these joint ventures are included in Grace's consolidated financial statements. The following tables present summary financial statistics for Grace's consolidated affiliates for which there is a noncontrolling interest:

	Т	hree I End	ths		Six M End June				
	June 30,					,			
(In millions)	2011 2010				2	2011 201			
Sales	\$	22.7	\$	23.4	\$	40.1	\$	43.5	
Income (loss) before taxes		(0.8)		0.5		(1.5)		1.8	
Net income (loss)		(0.8)		0.3		(1.7)		1.3	
Noncontrolling interests in net income (loss)		(0.3)				(0.5)		0.4	
-				48					

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

17. Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Affiliates (Continued)

(In millions)	June 30, 2011	Dec	cember 31, 2010
Cash	\$ 7.0	\$	10.2
Other current assets	33.5	;	30.5
Total assets	51.6	5	52.4
Total liabilities	30.4	ļ	30.1
Shareholders' equity	21.2	2	22.3
Noncontrolling interests in shareholders' equity	6.8	3 49	6.9

Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

We generally refer to the quarter ended June 30, 2011 as the "second quarter", the quarter ended June 30, 2010 as the "prior year quarter", the quarter ended March 31, 2011 as the "2011 first quarter", the six months ended June 30, 2011 as the "six months" and the six months ended June 30, 2010 as the "prior year period." Our references to "emerging regions" refer to emerging and developing regions as defined by the International Monetary Fund. See Analysis of Operations for a discussion of our non-U.S. GAAP performance measures.

Results of Operations

Second Quarter Performance Summary

Following is a summary of our key financial performance measures for the second quarter:

Sales increased 20.6% to \$826.4 million from \$685.0 million in the prior year quarter.

Adjusted EBIT increased 45.8% to \$132.8 million from \$91.1 million in the prior year quarter. Adjusted EPS was \$1.11 compared with \$0.76 in the prior year quarter.

Grace net income increased 48.6% to \$75.8 million in the second quarter compared with \$51.0 million in the prior year quarter. Grace's diluted EPS was \$1.00 compared with \$0.69 in the prior year quarter.

Adjusted Operating Cash Flow was \$109.4 million in the second quarter compared with \$101.3 million in the prior year quarter.

Adjusted EBIT Return On Invested Capital increased to 31.3% on a trailing four quarter basis compared with 25.2% in the prior year quarter.

Summary Description of Business

We are engaged in specialty chemicals and specialty materials businesses on a worldwide basis through two operating segments.

Grace Davison markets its products primarily to a wide range of industrial customers, including those in the energy and refining industry, consumer, industrial and packaging industries, petrochemical and biochemical industries and the pharmaceutical and life sciences industries. Grace Davison includes the following product groups:

Refining Technologies, which includes:

Fluid catalytic cracking, or FCC, catalysts, that help to "crack" the hydrocarbon chain in distilled crude oil to produce transportation fuels, such as gasoline and diesel fuels, and other petroleum-based products; and FCC additives used to reduce sulfur in gasoline, maximize propylene production from refinery FCC units, and reduce emissions of sulfur oxides, nitrogen oxides and carbon monoxide from refinery FCC units, and

Hydroprocessing catalysts, most of which are marketed through our ART joint venture with Chevron Products Company in which we hold a 50% economic interest, that are used in process reactors to upgrade heavy oils into lighter, more useful products by removing impurities such as nitrogen, sulfur and heavy metals, allowing less expensive feedstocks to be used in the petroleum refining process (ART is not consolidated in our financial statements, so ART's sales are excluded from our sales);

Table of Contents

Materials Technologies which includes:

Engineered materials, including silica-based and silica-alumina-based materials, used in:

Industrial applications, such as rubber and tires, precision investment casting, refractory, insulating glass windows, and drying applications, fulfilling various functions such as reinforcement, high temperature binding and moisture scavenging,

Consumer applications, as a free-flow agent, carrier or processing aid in food and personal care products; as a toothpaste abrasive; and for the processing and stabilization of edible oils and beverages,

Coatings and print media applications, consisting of functional additives that provide matting effects and corrosion protection for industrial coatings, enable enhanced media and paper quality in ink jet coatings, and act as a functional filler and retention aid in paper,

Packaging materials, including can and closure sealants used to seal and enhance the shelf life of can and bottle contents, and coatings for cans and closures that prevent metal corrosion, protect package contents from the influence of metal and ensure proper adhesion of sealing compounds and technologies designed to reduce off-taste effects and extend the shelf-life of packaged products; and

Specialty Technologies, which includes:

Polyolefin catalysts and catalyst supports that are essential components in the manufacture of polyethylene and polypropylene resins, and other chemical catalysts and process technologies used in a variety of industrial, environmental and consumer applications,

Catalysts and adsorbents for the efficient conversion of renewable feedstocks to fuels and chemicals,

Silica-based separation media and complementary purification products including chromatography columns and consumables used in the pharmaceutical, life science and related industries, silica excipients used in pharmaceutical formulations and CO₂ adsorbents used in anesthesiology and mine safety applications, and

Instrumentation and reference standards used in the pharmaceutical, life science and related industries.

Grace Construction Products, or GCP, produces and sells specialty construction chemicals and specialty building materials, including:

Concrete admixtures and fibers used to modify the rheology, improve the durability and enhance various other properties of concrete, mortar, masonry and other cementitious construction materials;

Additives used in cement processing to improve energy efficiency in manufacturing, enhance the characteristics of finished cement and improve ease of use;

Building materials used in commercial and residential construction and renovation to protect buildings and civil engineering structures from water, vapor and air penetration; and

Fireproofing materials used to retard the spread of fire in buildings.

51

Table of Contents

Global scope

We operate globally with approximately 71% of our annual 2010 sales and 71% of our six months sales outside the United States. We conduct business in over 40 countries and in more than 30 currencies. We manage our operating segments on a global basis, to serve global markets. Currency fluctuations in relation to the U.S. dollar affect our reported earnings, net assets and cash flows.

Analysis of Operations

We have set forth in the table below our key operating statistics with dollar and percentage changes for the second quarter compared to the prior year quarter and for the six months compared to the prior year period. Please refer to this Analysis of Operations when reviewing this Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

We define Adjusted EBIT (a non-GAAP financial measure) to be net income adjusted for interest income and expense, income taxes, net Chapter 11- and asbestos- related costs, restructuring expenses and related asset impairments, and gains and losses on sales of product lines and other investments.

We define Adjusted EBITDA (a non-GAAP financial measure) to be Adjusted EBIT adjusted for depreciation and amortization.

We define Adjusted Earnings Per Share (EPS) (a non-GAAP financial measure) to be Diluted EPS adjusted for net Chapter 11- and asbestos- related costs, restructuring expenses and related asset impairments, gains and losses on sales of product lines and other investments, and certain discrete tax items.

We define Adjusted Operating Cash Flow (a non-GAAP financial measure) to be Adjusted EBITDA plus pension expense plus or minus the change in net working capital and specified other assets and liabilities minus capital expenditures. Adjusted Operating Cash Flow excludes the cash flow effects of income taxes, defined benefit pension arrangements, net Chapter 11- and asbestos- related costs, and any restructuring or divestment activities.

We define Adjusted EBIT Return On Invested Capital (a non-GAAP financial measure) to be Adjusted EBIT (on a trailing four quarters basis) divided by the sum of net working capital, properties and equipment and certain other assets and liabilities.

We use Adjusted EBIT and Adjusted Operating Cash Flow as performance measures in significant business decisions and in determining certain incentive compensation. We use Adjusted EBIT as a performance measure because it provides improved period-to-period comparability for decision making and compensation purposes, and because it better measures the ongoing earnings results of our strategic and operating decisions by excluding the earnings effects of net Chapter 11- and asbestos- related costs, and any restructuring or divestment activities.

Similarly, we use Adjusted Operating Cash Flow as a performance measure because it provides improved period-to-period comparability for decision making and compensation purposes and because it better measures the ongoing cash flow results of our strategic and operating decisions by excluding the cash flow effects of income taxes, defined benefit pension arrangements, net Chapter 11- and asbestos- related costs, and any restructuring or divestment activities. These excluded items are generally managed at the corporate level rather than the operating segment or business unit level or are not materially affected by day-to-day operating decisions. Adjusted Operating Cash Flow is an important performance measure for us because it measures the effectiveness of our businesses in generating cash to finance current and future growth investments, our underfunded pension liabilities, and our asbestos-related liabilities. For this reason, we include

Table of Contents

changes in net working capital and other assets and liabilities in the measurement of Adjusted Operating Cash Flow because they are significant components of the cash invested in or generated by our businesses.

Adjusted EBIT, Adjusted EBITDA, Adjusted EPS, Adjusted Operating Cash Flow and Adjusted EBIT Return On Invested Capital do not purport to represent income or cash flow measures as defined under U.S. GAAP, and should not be used as alternatives to such measures as an indicator of our performance. These measures are provided to investors and others to improve the period-to-period comparability and peer-to-peer comparability of our financial results, and to ensure that investors understand the information we use to evaluate the performance of our businesses. We have provided in the following tables a reconciliation of these non-GAAP measures to the most directly comparable financial measure calculated and presented in accordance with U.S. GAAP.

Adjusted EBIT has material limitations as an operating performance measure because it excludes Chapter 11- and asbestos-related costs and may exclude income and expenses from restructuring and divestment activities, which historically have been material components of our net income. Adjusted EBITDA also has material limitations as an operating performance measure since it excludes the impact of depreciation and amortization expense. Our business is substantially dependent on the successful deployment of capital, and depreciation and amortization expense is a necessary element of our costs. Adjusted Operating Cash Flow also has material limitations as an operating performance measure because it excludes the cash flow effects of income taxes, defined benefit pension arrangements, Chapter 11- and asbestos- related costs and any restructuring or divestment activities, which historically have been material components of our operations. We compensate for the limitations of these measurements by using these indicators together with net income as measured under U.S. GAAP to present a complete analysis of our results of operations. Adjusted EBIT, Adjusted EBITDA and Adjusted Operating Cash Flow should be evaluated together with net income measured under U.S. GAAP for a complete understanding of our results of operations.

		Three	M	Ionths 1	En	ded Ju	ne 30,	Six Months Ended June 30,								
Analysis of Operations (In millions)	,	2011		2010	C	\$ hange	% Change		2011		2010	C	\$ Change	% Change		
Net sales:																
Grace Davison	\$	568.6	\$	453.9	\$	114.7	25.3%	\$	1,056.7	\$	872.2	\$	184.5	21.2%		
Refining Technologies		267.8		179.1		88.7	49.5%		484.6		351.1		133.5	38.0%		
Materials Technologies		190.8		174.1		16.7	9.6%		361.5		335.1		26.4	7.9%		
Specialty Technologies		110.0		100.7		9.3	9.2%		210.6		186.0		24.6	13.2%		
Grace Construction Products		257.8		231.1		26.7	11.6%		465.4		427.7		37.7	8.8%		
Americas		129.9		116.1		13.8	11.9%		238.7		220.3		18.4	8.4%		
Europe		78.5		75.0		3.5	4.7%		136.6		134.3		2.3	1.7%		
Asia Pacific		49.4		40.0		9.4	23.5%		90.1		73.1		17.0	23.3%		
Total Grace net sales	\$		\$	685.0	\$	141.4		\$	1,522.1	\$		\$	222.2	17.1%		
Net sales by region:																
North America	\$	273.1	\$	215.7	\$	57.4	26.6%	\$	498.3	\$	418.1	\$	80.2	19.2%		
Europe Middle																
East Africa		325.5		274.6		50.9	18.5%		597.7		521.5		76.2	14.6%		
Asia Pacific		150.5		120.8		29.7	24.6%		280.8		223.3		57.5	25.8%		
Latin America		77.3		73.9		3.4	4.6%		145.3		137.0		8.3	6.1%		
Total net sales by region		826.4	\$	685.0	\$	141.4	20.6%	\$	1,522.1	\$	1,299.9	\$	222.2	17.1%		
Profitability performance measures:																
Adjusted EBIT(A)(B):																
Grace Davison segment operating																
income Grace Construction Products segment	\$	145.7	\$	106.5	\$	39.2	36.8%	\$	264.0	\$	194.3	\$	69.7	35.9%		
operating income		29.6		25.7		3.9	15.2%		45.9		41.3		4.6	11.1%		
sperumg meeme		(21.2)		(16.9))	(4.3))	(38.0)		(31.0))	(7.0)			

Edgar Filing: W R GRACE & CO - Form 10-Q

Corporate support								
functions								
(including								
performance								
based								
compensation)								
Other corporate								
costs (including								
environmental								
remediation)	(6.2)	(5.8)	(0.4)	(6.9)%	(11.8)	(10.9)	(0.9)	(8.3)%
Defined benefit								
pension								
expense(B)	(15.1)	(18.4)	3.3	17.9%	(31.6)	(38.3)	6.7	17.5%
Adjusted EBIT	132.8	91.1	41.7	45.8%	228.5	155.4	73.1	47.0%
Chapter 11- and		/ 1.1	1 4 1 /	12.070		100.1	70.1	17.070
asbestos-related								
costs, net	(9.0)	(7.8)	(1.2)	(15.4)%	(14.7)	(20.4)	5.7	27.9%
Restructuring	,	,	,	,	, ,	,		
expenses and								
related asset								
impairments	(0.7)	(1.2)	0.5	41.7%	(0.9)	(3.4)	2.5	73.5%
Interest expense								
and related								
financing costs	(11.0)	(11.0)		%	(21.4)	(20.9)	(0.5)	(2.4)%
Interest income of								
non-Debtor								
	0.3	0.2	0.1	50.0%	0.6	0.4	0.2	50.0%
		/= a = \				(a . a)	.=.	
income taxes	(36.6)	(20.3)	(16.3)	(80.3)%	(62.1)	(3.9)	(58.2)	NM
Net income								
attributable to								
W. R. Grace & Co.								
shareholders \$	75.8 \$	51.0 \$	24.8	48.6% \$	130.0 \$	107.2 \$	22.8	21.3%
Diluted EPS								
(GAAP) \$	1.00 \$	0.69 \$	0.31	44.9% \$	1.72 \$	1.44 \$	0.28	19.4%
Adjusted FDS								
•	1.11 \$	0.76 \$	0.35	46.1% \$	1.88 \$	1 24 \$	0.64	51.6%
(non Grant) — —	т•тт Ф	υ. τυ φ	0.55	то.170 ф	1.00 ψ	1.2Τ Ψ	0.07	51.070
				54				
Interest expense and related financing costs Interest income of non-Debtor subsidiaries Provision for income taxes Net income attributable to W. R. Grace & Co. shareholders Diluted EPS (GAAP) \$ Adjusted EPS	(11.0) 0.3 (36.6) 75.8 \$	(11.0) 0.2 (20.3) 51.0 \$ 0.69 \$	0.1 (16.3) 24.8 0.31	% 50.0% (80.3)% 48.6% \$ 44.9% \$	(21.4) 0.6 (62.1) 130.0 \$	(20.9) 0.4 (3.9) 107.2 \$	(0.5) 0.2 (58.2) 22.8	(2.4)% 50.0% NM 21.3%

	Three Months Ended June 30,							Six Months Ended June 30,								
Analysis of																
Operations							\$	%						\$	%	
(In millions)		2011	L		2010	C	Change	Chang	e	2011		2010	(Change	Change	
Profitability																
performance																
measures:																
Gross profit																
percentage:																
Grace Davison		38	3.19	6	36.29	%	NM	1.	9pts	37.89	%	35.6.9	%	NM	2.2pts	
Grace Construction																
Products		34	.19	6	35.09	%	NM	(0.9))pts	33.9	%	34.99	%	NM	(1.0)pts	
Total Grace		36	5.8%	6	35.79	%	NM	1.	1pts	36.5	%	35.39	%	NM	1.2pts	
Adjusted EBIT and																
Adjusted EBITDA:																
Adjusted EBIT	\$	132	2.8	\$	91.1	\$	41.7	45.	8% \$	228.5	\$	155.4	\$	73.1	47.0%	
Depreciation and																
amortization		29	.7		30.2		(0.5)	(1.	7)%	58.9		59.7		(0.8)	(1.3)%	
Adjusted EBITDA	\$	162	2.5	\$	121.3	\$	41.2	34.	0% \$	287.4	\$	215.1	\$	72.3	33.6%	
Operating margin																
as a percentage of																
sales(A)(B):																
Grace Davison																
segment operating																
income		25	5.69	6	23.59	%	NM	2.	1pts	25.0	%	22.39	%	NM	2.7pts	
Grace Construction									•						•	
Products segment																
operating income		11	.5%	6	11.19	%	NM	0.	4pts	9.9	%	9.79	%	NM	0.2pts	
Adjusted EBIT		16	5.19	6	13.39	%	NM		8pts	15.0	%	12.09	%	NM	3.0pts	
Adjusted EBITDA			.79		17.79		NM		0pts	18.9	%	16.59		NM	2.4pts	
Cash flow									•						•	
performance																
measure:																
Net income																
attributable to																
W. R. Grace & Co.																
shareholders	\$	75	5.8	\$	51.0	\$	24.8	48.	6% \$	130.0	\$	107.2	\$	22.8	21.3%	
Chapter 11- and																
asbestos-related																
costs, net		9	0.0		7.8		1.2	15.	4%	14.7		20.4		(5.7)	(27.9)%	
Restructuring														(= 1.1)	(= / 12) / 1	
expenses and related																
asset impairments		0	.7		1.2		(0.5)	(41.	7)%	0.9		3.4		(2.5)	(73.5)%	
Interest expense and		J			1,2		(0.0)	, (11.	. , , ,	3.7		5.1		(2.3)	(13.3)10	
related financing																
costs		11	.0		11.0				%	21.4		20.9		0.5	2.4%	
Interest income of			1.3)		(0.2)		(0.1)	(50.		(0.6)		(0.4)		(0.2)		
non-Debtor		(0	,		(0.2)		(0.1)	, (50.	-, , .	(3.0)		(0.1)		(0.2)	(20.0) //	

Edgar Filing: W R GRACE & CO - Form 10-Q

subsidiaries								
Provision for								
income taxes	36.6	20.3	16.3	80.3%	62.1	3.9	58.2	NM
Adjusted EBIT	132.8	91.1	41.7	45.8%	228.5	155.4	73.1	47.0%
Depreciation and								
amortization	29.7	30.2	(0.5)	(1.7)%	58.9	59.7	(0.8)	(1.3)%
Adjusted EBITDA	162.5	121.3	41.2	34.0%	287.4	215.1	72.3	33.6%
Defined benefit								
pension expense	15.1	18.4	(3.3)	(17.9)%	31.6	38.3	(6.7)	(17.5)%
Change in net								
working capital	(64.9)	(18.7)	(46.2)	NM	(120.4)	(25.3)	(95.1)	NM
Change in other								
assets and liabilities	31.0	4.5	26.5	NM	(10.6)	(57.4)	46.8	81.5%
Capital								
expenditures	(34.3)	(24.2)	(10.1)	(41.7)%	(57.7)	(42.2)	(15.5)	(36.7)%
Adjusted								
Operating Cash								
Flow	\$ 109.4	\$ 101.3	\$ 8.1	8.0% \$	130.3	\$ 128.5	\$ 1.8	1.4%

Four Quarters Ended June 30, **Analysis of Operations** (In millions) 2011 2010 Calculation of Adjusted EBIT Return On Invested Capital (trailing four quarters): Adjusted EBIT \$ 399.5 \$ 295.9 **Invested Capital:** Trade accounts receivable 501.0 400.7 Inventories 313.2 244.5 Accounts payable (262.9)(200.0)551.3 445.2 Other current assets 77.6 85.3 Properties and equipment, net 719.7 650.1 Goodwill 130.2 110.0 Investments in unconsolidated affiliates 63.6 56.8 Other assets 85.6 117.1 Other current liabilities (294.6)(235.7)Other liabilities (55.1)(56.5)Total invested capital 1,278.3 \$ 1,172.3 31.3% **Adjusted EBIT Return On Invested Capital** 25.2%

Amounts may not add due to rounding.

- (A)

 Grace's segment operating income includes only Grace's share of income of consolidated and unconsolidated joint ventures.
- (B)

 Defined benefit pension expense includes all defined benefit pension expense of Grace. Grace Davison and Grace Construction Products segment operating income and corporate costs do not include amounts for defined benefit pension expense.

NM Not Meaningful

Grace Overview

Following is an overview of our financial performance for the second quarter and six months compared with the corresponding prior year periods.

Net Sales and Gross Profit

Three Months Ended June 30, (\$ in millions)

Six Months Ended June 30, (\$ in millions)

The following tables identify the year-over-year increase or decrease in sales attributable to changes in sales volume and/or mix, product price, and the impact of currency translation.

Three Months ended June 30, 2011 as a Percentage Increase (Decrease) from Three Months ended June 30, 2010

Currency

Net Sales Variance Analysis	Volume	Price	Translation	Total
Grace Davison	3.1%	16.3%	5.9%	25.3%
Grace Construction Products	4.2%	2.4%	5.0%	11.6%
Net sales	3.4%	11.6%	5.6%	20.6%
By Region:				
North America	8.1%	18.2%	0.3%	26.6%
Europe Middle East Africa	(3.4)%	11.9%	10.0%	18.5%
Asia Pacific	14.6%	4.4%	5.6%	24.6%
Latin America	(2.9)%	3.0%	4.5%	4.6%

Sales increased 20.6% overall and 16.1% in the emerging regions compared with the prior year quarter. The sales increase was due to improved pricing (11.6%), favorable currency translation (5.6%) and higher sales volumes (3.4%). Emerging regions represented 32.1% of sales in the second quarter.

The lower sales volumes in Latin America were primarily due to lower sales of Grace Davison products, partially offset by higher sales of GCP products .

Gross profit percentage for the second quarter was 36.8% compared with 35.7% in the prior year quarter. The increase in gross profit percentage was primarily due to improved pricing, partially offset by higher raw materials costs.

Six Months ended June 30, 2011 as a Percentage Increase (Decrease) from Six Months ended June 30, 2010

Currency

Net Sales Variance Analysis	Volume	Price	Translation	Total
Grace Davison	5.8%	12.5%	2.8%	21.2%
Grace Construction Products	3.0%	2.3%	3.5%	8.8%
Net sales	4.9%	9.2%	3.0%	17.1%
By Region:				
North America	5.8%	13.2%	0.2%	19.2%
Europe Middle East Africa	0.2%	10.2%	4.2%	14.6%
Asia Pacific	16.9%	3.9%	5.0%	25.8%
Latin America	1.1%	1.3%	3.7%	6.1%

Sales increased 17.1% overall and 16.0% in the emerging regions compared with the prior year period. The sales increase was due to improved pricing (9.2%), higher sales volumes (4.9%) and favorable currency translation (3.0%). Emerging regions represented 31.9% of sales for the six months.

Gross profit percentage for the six months was 36.5% compared with 35.3% in the prior year period.

We currently expect raw materials cost inflation for 2011 to be approximately \$75 million, excluding rare earth cost inflation.

Table of Contents

We have taken a number of actions to improve our margins including technology innovations, pricing actions and supply chain initiatives. We expect to maintain our gross profit percentage within our 35-37% target range based on these actions.

Adjusted EBIT

Three Months Ended June 30, (\$ in millions)

Six Months Ended June 30, (\$ in millions)

Adjusted EBIT was \$132.8 million in the second quarter compared with \$91.1 million in the prior year quarter. Adjusted EBIT margin was 16.1% compared with 13.3% in the prior year quarter. The increase in Adjusted EBIT was primarily due to the increase in sales and improved gross profit percentage compared with the prior year quarter.

Adjusted EBIT for the six months was \$228.5 million compared with \$155.4 million in the prior year period. Adjusted EBIT margin for the six months was 15.0% compared with 12.0% in the prior year period. The increase in Adjusted EBIT was primarily due to the increase in sales and improved gross profit percentage compared with the prior year period.

Grace Net Income

Three Months Ended June 30, (\$ in millions)

Six Months Ended June 30, (\$ in millions)

Grace net income was \$75.8 million in the second quarter compared with \$51.0 million in the prior year quarter. The increase was primarily due to improved segment operating income and lower defined benefit pension expenses, partially offset by a higher provision for income taxes and Chapter 11- and asbestos- related costs, net.

Grace net income was \$130.0 million for the six months compared with \$107.2 million in the prior year period. The increase was primarily due to improved segment operating income, lower defined benefit pension expenses and Chapter 11- and asbestos- related costs, net, partially offset by a higher provision for income taxes.

Adjusted EPS

The following table reconciles our Diluted EPS (GAAP) to our Adjusted EPS (non-GAAP):

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2011 Tax at							Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 Tax at								
		Actual After-			ter-		Per	Actual				After-		Per		
(In millions)	Pre	-Tax	R	late	T	ax	S	hare	Pre	-Tax	R	ate]	Tax	SI	nare
Diluted Earnings Per Share																
(GAAP)							\$	1.00							\$	1.72
Restructuring charges and																
related asset impairments	\$	0.7	\$	0.2	\$	0.5		0.01	\$	0.9	\$	0.3	\$	0.6		0.01
Chapter 11- and																
asbestos-related costs, net		9.0		2.4		6.6		0.09		14.7		3.8		10.9		0.14
Discrete tax items:																
Adjustments to uncertain tax																
positions				(0.4)		0.4		0.01				(0.4)		0.4		0.01
Adjusted EPS (non-GAAP)							\$	1.11							\$	1.88

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2010 Tax at Actual After- Per							Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 Tax at Actual After- Per								
(In millions)	Pre	-Tax	x Rate		Tax		Share P			Pre-Tax Rate			Tax		Share	
Diluted Earnings Per Share (GAAP)							\$	0.69							\$	1.44
Restructuring charges and related asset impairments	\$	1.2	\$	0.3	\$	0.9		0.01	\$	3.4	\$	1.0	\$	2.4		0.03
Chapter 11- and asbestos-related costs, net		7.8		2.9		4.9		0.07		20.4		6.6		13.8		0.19
Discrete tax items: U.S. federal income tax settlement												16.9		(16.9)		(0.23)
Adjustments to uncertain tax positions				1.0		(1.0)		(0.01)	١			14.0		(14.0)		(0.19)
Adjusted EPS (non-GAAP)							\$	0.76							\$	1.24