

MESABI TRUST
Form DEF 14A
November 09, 2009

[QuickLinks](#) -- Click here to rapidly navigate through this document

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of
the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

- Preliminary Proxy Statement
- Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))**
- Definitive Proxy Statement
- Definitive Additional Materials
- Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

MESABI TRUST

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- No fee required.
- Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
 - (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
 - (4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
 - (5) Total fee paid:

Edgar Filing: MESABI TRUST - Form DEF 14A

- o Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.
 - o Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.
 - (1) Amount Previously Paid:
 - (2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
 - (3) Filing Party:
 - (4) Date Filed:
-

MESABI TRUST
C/O DEUTSCHE BANK TRUST COMPANY AMERICAS
TRUST & SECURITIES SERVICES GDS
60 WALL STREET, 27TH FLOOR
NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10005

November 12, 2009

Dear Trust Certificate Holder:

A special meeting of the Trust Certificate Holders of Mesabi Trust is scheduled to be held on Thursday, December 17, 2009, at 10:00 a.m. local time, at the offices of Oppenheimer Wolf & Donnelly LLP, 45 South Seventh Street, Suite 3300, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402. Please find enclosed a notice to Trust Certificate Holders, a Proxy Statement describing the business to be transacted at the meeting, and a form of Proxy for use in voting at the meeting.

At the special meeting, you will be asked to approve a proposal seeking the appointment of a successor trustee of Mesabi Trust and to transact such other business as may properly come before the meeting.

We hope that you will be able to attend the special meeting, and we urge you to read the enclosed Proxy Statement before you decide to vote. Even if you do not plan to attend, please complete, sign, date and return the enclosed Proxy as promptly as possible. It is very important that your units of beneficial interest be represented at the meeting.

Very truly yours,

DEUTSCHE BANK TRUST COMPANY AMERICAS
Corporate Trustee of the Mesabi Trust

YOUR VOTE IS IMPORTANT

All Trust Certificate Holders are cordially invited to attend the special meeting in person. However, to ensure your representation at the meeting, you are urged to complete, sign, date and return, in the enclosed postage paid envelope, the enclosed Proxy as promptly as possible. Returning your proxy will help assure that a quorum will be present at the meeting and avoid the added expense of conducting additional proxy solicitations. Any Trust Certificate Holder attending the meeting may vote in person even if he or she has returned the Proxy.

MESABI TRUST
c/o Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas
Trust & Securities Services GDS
60 Wall Street, 27th Floor
New York, New York 10005

**IMPORTANT NOTICE REGARDING THE AVAILABILITY OF PROXY MATERIALS
FOR THE TRUST CERTIFICATE HOLDERS MEETING TO BE HELD ON
DECEMBER 17, 2009**

The Notice, Proxy Statement, Annual Report and directions to the meeting are available at www.mesabi-trust.com.

MESABI TRUST
c/o Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas
Trust & Securities Services GDS
60 Wall Street, 27th Floor
New York, New York 10005

NOTICE OF SPECIAL MEETING OF TRUST CERTIFICATE HOLDERS
To Be Held December 17, 2009

In accordance with Section 14.2 of the Agreement of Trust dated as of July 18, 1961, as amended, (the "Agreement of Trust"), NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Trustees have called a special meeting of the Trust Certificate Holders of Mesabi Trust to be held on Thursday, December 17, 2009, at 10:00 a.m. local time, at the offices of Oppenheimer Wolff & Donnelly LLP, 45 South Seventh Street, Suite 3300, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402. The special meeting of Trust Certificate Holders is called to consider and vote on the following matters:

- (1) the appointment of an individual trustee to succeed David J. Hoffman;
- (2) the transaction of such other business as may properly come before the meeting.

Under the Agreement of Trust, each holder is entitled to one vote for each unit of beneficial interest represented by Trust Certificates registered in his or her name at the close of business on November 9, 2009 (the record date for the special meeting fixed by the Trustees in accordance with the Agreement of Trust). Only holders of record of units of beneficial interest of Mesabi Trust at the close of business on November 9, 2009 are entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the special meeting and any adjournment or postponement thereof. A list of Trust Certificate Holders entitled to vote at the special meeting will be available for inspection by Trust Certificate Holders for any purpose germane to the special meeting during regular business hours for the ten days preceding the special meeting at the Corporate Trustee's offices at 60 Wall Street, 27th Floor, New York, New York 10005 and also at the special meeting.

WE URGE ALL TRUST CERTIFICATE HOLDERS TO ATTEND THE MEETING IN PERSON, IF POSSIBLE. IF YOU DO NOT PLAN TO ATTEND THE MEETING IN PERSON, YOU ARE URGED TO DATE, SIGN AND RETURN THE PROXY AS PROMPTLY AS POSSIBLE IN THE ENCLOSED ENVELOPE. NO POSTAGE IS REQUIRED IF MAILED IN THE UNITED STATES.

By Order of the Trustees of Mesabi Trust,

DEUTSCHE BANK TRUST COMPANY AMERICAS
Corporate Trustee of Mesabi Trust

New York, New York
November 12, 2009

MESABI TRUST

**c/o Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas
Trust & Securities Services GDS
60 Wall Street, 27th Floor
New York, New York 10005
(615) 835-2749**

PROXY STATEMENT FOR SPECIAL MEETING OF THE TRUST CERTIFICATE HOLDERS

James A. Ehrenberg, Richard G. Lareau and Norman F. Sprague, III (the "Individual Trustees") together with Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas (the "Corporate Trustee" and together with the Individual Trustees, the "Trustees"), request your proxy for use at the special meeting of Trust Certificate Holders to be held on Thursday, December 17, 2009, at 10:00 a.m. local time, at the offices of Oppenheimer Wolff & Donnelly LLP, 45 South Seventh Street, Suite 3300, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402, and at any adjournment or postponement thereof. By signing and returning the enclosed proxy (the "Proxy") you authorize the persons named on the Proxy to represent you and to vote your units of beneficial interest ("Units") at the special meeting. This Proxy Statement and the form of proxy were first mailed to Trust Certificate Holders of Mesabi Trust (the "Trust") on or about November 12, 2009.

If you attend the special meeting, you may vote in person. If you are not present at the special meeting, your Units can be voted only if you have returned a properly signed Proxy or are represented by another proxy. You may revoke your Proxy at any time before it is exercised at the special meeting by (a) signing and submitting a later-dated proxy to the Corporate Trustee, (b) delivering written notice of revocation of the Proxy to the Corporate Trustee, or (c) attending and voting in person at the special meeting. In the absence of any such revocation, Units represented by the persons named on the proxies will be voted at the special meeting.

The Trustees do not expect that the cost of soliciting proxies will exceed the amount normally expended for a proxy solicitation for an election of directors or trustees and all such costs will be borne by the Trust. In addition to the use of the mail, some proxies may be solicited personally by the Trustees without additional compensation. Solicitation of proxies will be made by the Individual Trustees, certain employees of the Corporate Trustee and certain employees of Georgeson Inc. Representatives of the Trustees may solicit proxies personally or by telephone, telegram or other forms of wire or electronic communication. The Trust may also request banking institutions, brokerage firms, custodians, nominees and fiduciaries to forward solicitation material to the beneficial owners of Units held of record by those companies. The Trust will reimburse persons holding Units in their names or in the names of their nominees for their expenses in sending the soliciting materials to their principals.

The Trust has retained Georgeson Inc. for solicitation and advisory services in connection with this Proxy Statement and related proxy and authorization solicitations. It is estimated that Georgeson Inc. will be paid approximately \$15,000 for its services as solicitation agent and will be reimbursed for its reasonable out-of-pocket expenses. The Trust has also agreed to indemnify Georgeson Inc. against certain liabilities and expenses which result from Georgeson Inc.'s performance of these proxy solicitation services.

In order to limit the expense of further solicitation the Trustees urge you to promptly return the enclosed proxy. In the event you decide to attend the special meeting in person, you will be given an opportunity to vote your Units yourself should you desire to do so.

VOTING AND QUORUM

The only outstanding voting securities of the Trust are the Units. Each holder is entitled to one vote for each Unit registered in his or her name at the close of business on November 9, 2009 (the record date for the special meeting fixed by the Trustees in accordance with the Agreement of Trust). As of the close of business on November 9, 2009 there were 13,120,010 Units outstanding and entitled to be voted at the special meeting.

The presence, in person or by proxy, of a majority of the Units outstanding as of November 9, 2009, shall constitute a quorum at the special meeting. Proposal One requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the Units outstanding (i.e. Trust Certificate Holders owning an aggregate of at least 6,560,006 Units) to approve the appointment of a successor trustee of the Trust. With regard to the appointment of a successor Trustee, votes may be cast in favor or withheld with respect to the nominee. Votes that are withheld will be counted as present for purposes of the appointment of Trustees and, thus, will have the same effect as a vote "against" such election.

The holders of a majority of the Units who are present or represented by proxy at the special meeting shall have the power to adjourn the special meeting from time to time without notice, other than an announcement at the special meeting of the time and place of the holding of the adjourned meeting, until a quorum is present. At any such adjourned meeting at which a quorum is present, any business may be transacted that may have been transacted at the special meeting had a quorum originally been present. Abstentions and broker non-votes will count in determining if a quorum is present at the special meeting. Proxies solicited by this Proxy Statement may be used to vote in favor of any motion to adjourn the special meeting. Whether or not a quorum is present, the persons named on the proxies intend to vote in favor of any motion to adjourn the special meeting to a subsequent day if, prior to the special meeting, such persons have not received sufficient proxies to approve the proposals described in this Proxy Statement. If such a motion is approved but sufficient proxies are not received by the time set for the resumption of the special meeting, this process will be repeated until sufficient proxies to vote in favor of the proposals described in this Proxy Statement have been received or it appears that sufficient proxies will not be received.

In the event of a broker non-vote with respect to any issue coming before the special meeting, such non-voting units will not be deemed present and entitled to vote as to that issue for purposes of determining the total number of units represented in person or by proxy. A "broker non-vote" occurs if a broker or other nominee who is entitled to vote units on behalf of a record owner has not received instructions with respect to a particular item to be voted on, and the broker or nominee does not otherwise have discretionary authority to vote on that matter. Under the rules of the New York Stock Exchange (the "NYSE"), brokers may vote a client's proxy in their own discretion on certain items even without instructions from the beneficial owner, but may not vote a client's proxy without voting instructions on "non-discretionary" items. The appointment of a successor Trustee is considered a "discretionary" item.

As of November 3, 2009, the Individual Trustees as a group had voting power over an aggregate of 52,700 Units, constituting less than 1% of the Units outstanding. The Individual Trustees intend to vote all those Units *FOR* the appointment of Mr. Robert C. Berglund as the successor trustee.

PROPOSAL ONE APPOINTMENT OF SUCCESSOR TRUSTEE

Nomination

The Agreement of Trust provides that there are to be five Trustees of the Trust, one of whom must be a Corporate Trustee with the qualifications prescribed in the Agreement of Trust and four of whom must be individual citizens and residents of the United States. The Trustees do not hold office for specific terms but continue in office until such time as, in the case of the Individual Trustees, a Trustee

resigns, or is removed, or dies, or becomes incapable of acting or is adjudged a bankrupt or insolvent. Whenever there shall be a vacancy in the office of an Individual Trustee, a successor shall be appointed by the holders of a majority in interest of the Units then outstanding.

Upon learning that David J. Hoffman was contemplating resigning as a Trustee of the Trust, the Trustees considered potential candidates to succeed Mr. Hoffman. On October 27, 2009, the Trustees held a telephonic meeting during which they discussed Mr. Hoffman's contemplated resignation and considered the nomination of Robert C. Berglund as a possible successor to Mr. Hoffman. The Trustees concluded that Mr. Berglund would be uniquely qualified to fill the eventual vacancy that would be created upon the effectiveness of Mr. Hoffman's resignation.

In deciding to nominate Mr. Berglund, the Trustees discussed his credentials, which include a B.S in Mining Engineering from Penn State University and over thirty-five years of experience in mining production and operations management with Cliffs Natural Resources, Inc., its predecessors and its subsidiaries (collectively, "Cliffs"). The Trustees discussed how Mr. Berglund's work with Cliffs involved exposure to and employment at a variety of Cliffs' mining operations in the United States and Canada. The Trustees also discussed the fact that Mr. Berglund spent the last thirteen years of his career as a Vice President and General Manager of two mining operations operated by Cliffs, including approximately seven years as the Vice President and General Manager of the Northshore Mining Company. The Trustees believe that Mr. Berglund's considerable experience with mining operations in general and Northshore Mining Company, which handles the mining, processing and shipping of iron ore products from the Trust lands, in particular, make him a highly qualified candidate to succeed Mr. Hoffman. Based on these factors, the Trustees agreed to endorse his candidacy and nominate him for appointment by the Trust Certificate Holders.

On October 28, 2009, Mr. Hoffman announced that he was resigning as a Trustee of the Trust with his resignation to take effect upon the due appointment of his successor as provided under the Agreement of Trust. A copy of Mr. Hoffman's letter is attached as *Appendix A* to this Proxy Statement and the mailing of this Proxy Statement to each Trust Certificate Holder constitutes notice of such resignation as required by Section 12.2 of the Agreement of Trust.

The Trustees recommend that Trust Certificate Holders vote *FOR* the appointment of Mr. Berglund as an individual Trustee of the Trust. For more information about Mr. Berglund, see the section below under the heading "Nominee Information."

Required Vote

The appointment of Mr. Berglund as successor trustee requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the Units outstanding (i.e. Trust Certificate Holders owning an aggregate of at least 6,560,006 Units). Accordingly, abstentions and broker non-votes in the appointment of the successor trustee will have the effect of a vote against Mr. Berglund. If the enclosed proxy is returned and you have indicated how you wish to vote, the proxy will be voted in accordance with your instructions. Should the enclosed proxy be returned without instructions on how you wish to vote on this Proposal One, your proxy will be deemed to grant such authority and will be voted *FOR* the appointment of the Mr. Berglund.

Effect of Negative Votes on Proposal One

Mr. Hoffman's letter of resignation states that his resignation will take effect following the appointment of a successor trustee. If the Trust Certificate Holders appoint Mr. Berglund as successor trustee at the special meeting, Mr. Hoffman's resignation will take effect upon the conclusion of the special meeting. In the event that the Trust Certificate Holders fail to appoint a successor trustee at the special meeting, Mr. Hoffman may elect to give a separate written notice of his resignation to each Trust Certificate Holder, which resignation would not be contingent upon the appointment of a successor trustee.

Edgar Filing: MESABI TRUST - Form DEF 14A

If Mr. Hoffman resigns and no successor trustee is appointed within 90 days following the effective date of his resignation, then a successor trustee may be appointed by the Supreme Court of the State of New York, on the application of any Trust Certificate Holder upon such notice, if any, as the Court may deem proper and prescribe. In the event a Trust Certificate Holder files such an application, the court may appoint a temporary trustee at any time after such application is filed and the temporary trustee shall act only until a successor trustee shall have been appointed by the Trust Certificate Holders consistent with the provisions of the Agreement of Trust.

GENERAL INFORMATION

Information about Trustees and Nominee

The present Trustees of the Trust and the nominee for election as an Individual Trustee and their principal occupations for the last five years, their ages and their terms in office as Trustees is set forth in the table below.

Name	Age	Trustee Since	Business Experience During Past Five Years
Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas	N/A	1961	New York banking corporation.
James A. Ehrenberg	67	2006	Until April 2005, Senior Vice President, Corporate Trust Services, U.S. Bank, N.A.
David J. Hoffman*	74	1977	Retired mining geologist.
Richard G. Lareau	81	1990	Senior partner in the law firm of Oppenheimer Wolff & Donnelly LLP.
Norman F. Sprague III	62	1981	Private investor; Orthopedic surgeon.
Robert C. Berglund	63	Nominee	Retired mining engineer.

*

As explained elsewhere in this Proxy Statement, Mr. Hoffman has announced his intention to resign from the Trust upon the appointment of his successor by a majority in interest of the Units outstanding.

There are no family relationships among any of the above persons.

Additional Information about Nominee

Mr. Robert C. Berglund retired from his position as Vice President and General Manager of Northshore Mining Company ("Northshore") in 2003 after spending thirty-five years in mining production and operations management with Cliffs. Mr. Berglund joined Cliffs after graduating from Penn State University in 1968 with a B.S. in Mining Engineering. From 1976 until 2003, Mr. Berglund worked onsite at various mines owned and operated by Cliffs across North America. From 1990 through 1996, Mr. Berglund worked as the Vice President and General Manager of the Tilden Mine located on the Marquette Iron Range in Michigan's Upper Peninsula. Prior to his retirement in 2003, Mr. Berglund worked as the Vice President and General Manager of Northshore for approximately seven years. During his tenure at Northshore, Mr. Berglund also served as a member of the boards of directors of the Wolf Ridge Environmental Learning Center and the Lake County Historical Society, both privately held, non-profit corporations. Mr. Berglund has been primarily focusing on personal interests, unrelated to the mining industry, since his retirement in 2003.

On October 28, 2009, Mr. Berglund was appointed as a trustee of the Mesabi Land Trust, a trust that owns, in whole or in part, certain contiguous lands in St. Louis County, Minnesota. The Trust is

the sole beneficiary and trust certificate holder of Mesabi Land Trust. Pursuant to an action by written consent resolution, the Trustees, acting on behalf of Mesabi Land Trust, appointed Mr. Berglund to fill the vacancy created by Mr. Hoffman's resignation as trustee of the Mesabi Land Trust. The Individual Trustees of the Trust, together with the corporate trustee of the Mesabi Land Trust, U.S. Bank, N.A., serve as the remaining trustees of the Mesabi Land Trust.

Northshore Mining Company is engaged in the business of mining, processing and shipping iron ore products from Trust lands. Northshore pays royalties to the Trust, which constitutes the Trust's principal source of revenue, in accordance with the terms of Mesabi Trust's leases and assignments of leases. Although Mr. Berglund was an employee at Northshore while Northshore paid royalties to the Trust, the leases and assignments of leases under which Northshore pays the Trust were put in place prior to Mr. Berglund's arrival at Northshore and were not amended or negotiated during his tenure. All of the leases and assignments of leases currently in effect between Mesabi Trust and Northshore were entered into prior to Cliffs' ownership of the mine at Northshore and were negotiated by an unrelated entity, Cyprus Northshore Mining Corporation, a predecessor in interest to Northshore.

TRUSTEE COMPENSATION

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

The Trust does not have a board of directors, executive officers or any employees. The compensation paid to the Trustees is governed by the Amendment to the Agreement of Trust dated October 25, 1982, as amended (the "Amendment"). The Amendment does not provide for any stock awards, option awards, non-equity incentive plan compensation, change in pension value, nonqualified deferred compensation earnings or any other compensation. The Trust does not have severance agreements nor does it provide post-retirement benefits to the Trustees. Accordingly, all such tables have been omitted from this Proxy Statement.

Pursuant to the Amendment, each Individual Trustee receives at least \$20,000 in annual compensation for services as Trustee. Each year, annual Trustee compensation is adjusted up or down (but not below \$20,000) in accordance with changes from the November 1981 level of 295.5 (the "1981 Escalation Level") in the All Commodities Producer Price Index (with 1967 = 100 as a base). The All Commodities Producer Price Index is published by the U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics. The adjustment is made at the end of each fiscal year and is calculated on the basis of the proportion between (a) the level of such index for the November preceding the end of such fiscal year, and (b) the 1981 Escalation Level. Any action to modify or otherwise vary the compensation of the Individual Trustees as provided by the Amendment must be approved by the affirmative vote of 66²/₃% of the outstanding units of beneficial interest. Each of the Individual Trustees received \$35,995 in cash compensation for services to the Trust during the fiscal year ended January 31, 2009.

Under the Amendment, the Corporate Trustee receives annual compensation in an amount equal to the greater of (i) \$20,000, or such other amount determined in accordance with the adjustments described in the preceding paragraph, or (ii) one quarter of one percent (¹/₄ of 1%) of the trust moneys, exclusive of proceeds of sale of any part of the Trust Estate (as such terms are defined in the Agreement of Trust), received by the Trustees and distributed to Trust Certificate Holders. The Corporate Trustee earned \$94,628 in cash compensation pursuant to this provision for the fiscal year ended January 31, 2009.

Additionally, each year the Corporate Trustee receives \$62,500 to cover clerical and administrative services to the Trust, other than services customarily performed by a registrar or transfer agent for which the Corporate Trustee is paid additional service fees. The Corporate Trustee also received compensation of \$10,273 for its services as registrar and transfer agent for the year ended January 31, 2009. Under the Amendment, the Individual Trustees may, in extraordinary circumstances, pay additional compensation to the Corporate Trustee. The decision to pay such compensation must be

Edgar Filing: MESABI TRUST - Form DEF 14A

unanimously approved by the Individual Trustees. The Corporate Trustee did not receive any compensation for extraordinary services with respect to the year ended January 31, 2009.

Summary Compensation Table

During the fiscal year ended January 31, 2009 the total remuneration paid to the Trustees was as follows:

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)	Option Awards (\$)	Change in Pension Value and Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$)	All Other Compensation (\$)	Total (\$)
Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas, Corporate Trustee	\$ 157,128	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$ 10,273(1)	\$ 167,401
James A. Ehrenberg	\$ 35,995	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$ 35,995
David J. Hoffman	\$ 35,995	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$ 35,995
Richard G. Lareau	\$ 35,995	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$ 35,995
Norman F. Sprague III	\$ 35,995	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$ 35,995

- (1) Represents fees and disbursements paid to Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas for its services as registrar and transfer agent of the Units.

Trustees' Compensation Report

The Trustees have not designated a compensation committee. The Trustees, as a group, have reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis ("CD&A") and based on such review and discussion have recommended that the CD&A be included in this Proxy Statement.

MESABI TRUST
Deutsche Bank Trust Company
Americas
James A. Ehrenberg
David J. Hoffman
Richard G. Lareau
Norman F. Sprague III

TRUST GOVERNANCE

To carry out the Trustees' duties under the Agreement of Trust, the Trustees meet on a quarterly basis to discuss information and circumstances relevant to the Trust. The Trustees also conduct telephone conferences from time to time between the quarterly meetings to address developments that require more timely attention.

Committees

The Trust is a publicly-traded trust listed on the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") and is therefore subject to extensive regulation under, among others, the Securities Act of 1933, the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, NYSE rules and regulations and the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. In most instances, these laws, rules and regulations do not specifically address their applicability to publicly-traded trusts such as the Trust. In particular, the Sarbanes-Oxley Act provides for the adoption by the Securities and Exchange Commission and the New York Stock

Edgar Filing: MESABI TRUST - Form DEF 14A

Exchange of certain rules and regulations that may be impossible for the Trust to literally satisfy because of its nature as a pass-through trust. The Trust does not have, nor does the Agreement of Trust provide for, a board of

directors, executive officers, or the formation of (and delegation of duties to) an audit, nominating, governance or compensation committee. Therefore, the Trust cannot literally comply with many of these rules and regulations under the Agreement of Trust.

Audit Committee

The Trust's activities are limited to collecting income, paying expenses and liabilities, distributing net income to the holders of Trust Certificates (the "Unitholders") after the payment of, or provision for, such expenses and liabilities, and protecting and conserving the assets held. Although the Trust is not required to designate an audit committee because of an exemption from Rule 10A-3 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and the rules promulgated thereunder, the Trustees believe that they collectively perform the functions of an audit committee. The Trustees have not designated an "audit committee financial expert." The Trustees have adopted a Code of Ethics that applies to the Trustees. A copy of the Code of Ethics is incorporated by reference in Exhibit 14 of the Trust's Annual Report on Form 10-K for fiscal year 2009.

Meetings and Attendance

To carry out the Trustees' duties under the Agreement of Trust, the Trustees meet on a quarterly basis to discuss information and circumstances relevant to the Trust. The Trustees also conduct telephone conferences from time to time between the quarterly meetings to address developments that require more timely attention. During fiscal year ending January 31, 2009, the Trustees held five regular and special meetings.

In the third quarter of each year, the Trustees' meeting is typically conducted in connection with the Trustees' annual inspection trip in which they personally visit and tour Northshore's mining operations and plant facilities located near Babbitt and in Silver Bay, Minnesota, respectively. During the inspection trip, the Trustees meet with and interview Northshore personnel with respect to Northshore's current operations, changes in operations, mining plans, capital equipment and facilities.

Trustee Nomination Process

As a passive business organization, the Trust is not subject to the independence rules under Section 303A of the NYSE listed company manual. Also, as described below, the election of Trustees occurs infrequently. Accordingly, the Trust does not have a standing nomination committee, nor has it adopted a charter governing nominations.

Once elected, each Individual Trustee remains in that position until the earlier of such trustee's resignation, death, incapacity or such trustee's removal, with or without cause, at a special meeting of the Trust Certificate Holders by the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of all the Units then outstanding. The Agreement of Trust does not establish any guidelines with respect to the nomination of successor trustees. At such time as a vacancy occurs in the Trustees, all of the remaining Trustees serve the function of a nominating committee and do so in accordance with the provisions of the Agreement of Trust. A copy of the Agreement of Trust, as amended, is on file with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Due to the infrequency of vacancies in the Trustees, the Trustees have not established a formal policy with regard to the consideration of candidates for a vacancy in the Trustees recommended by the Trust Certificate Holders. Should any Trust Certificate Holder seek to recommend a nominee, the Trustees may review the candidate's qualifications and take such action as appropriate in furtherance of the best interests of Trust.

Edgar Filing: MESABI TRUST - Form DEF 14A

Mr. Berglund was recommended for nomination by the Trustees and his nomination was approved by all the Trustees pursuant to an action by written consent resolution of the Trustees effective as of October 28, 2009.

Trust Certificate Holders' Communication with Trustees

The Trustees have not established a formal process for Trust Certificate Holders to send communications to the Trustees. Trust Certificate Holders may communicate with the Trustees by sending written communication Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas, Corporate Trustee, Attn: Kenneth R. Ring, 60 Wall Street, 27th Floor, New York, New York 10005. The Corporate Trustee will promptly forward any communication so received to the Trustees or an Individual Trustee specifically addressed in the communication. The Trust reserves the right to alter this process in the event that the process is abused, becomes unworkable or otherwise does not efficiently serve the purposes of the policy.

INFORMATION REGARDING PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT

Current Independent Public Accountant

The Trustees selected Wipfli LLP ("Wipfli") as the independent accountants of the Trust for fiscal year 2009. Representatives of Wipfli will be present at the special meeting and will have an opportunity to make a statement if they desire. Such representatives are expected to be available to respond to questions as appropriate.

Wipfli has been the independent certified public accountants to the Trust since October 17, 2008. On October 17, 2008, Gordon, Hughes & Banks LLP ("GH&B") resigned as independent certified public accountants to the Trust due to GH&B's announced acquisition by Eide Bailly. Eide Bailly serves as a third-party consultant to the Trust and prepares the Trust's annual and quarterly financial statements in that capacity. The decision to replace GH&B was made by the Trustees of the Trust, as the Trust does not have a Board of Directors. GH&B's decision to resign as the Trust's independent certified public accountants effective was not motivated by any disagreement with the Trustees over the Trust's financial statements.

GH&B's reports on the Trust's consolidated financial statements for the two fiscal years ended January 31, 2008 and January 31, 2007, respectively, did not contain an adverse opinion or disclaimer of opinion, nor were such reports qualified or modified as to uncertainty, audit scope or accounting principles. During the Trust's two fiscal years ended January 31, 2008 and January 31, 2007, there were no disagreements with GH&B on any matter of accounting principles or practices, financial statement disclosure, or auditing scope or procedure, which disagreement(s), if not resolved to the satisfaction of GH&B, would have caused GH&B to make reference to the subject matter of the disagreement(s) in connection with its reports covering such periods. During the Trust's two fiscal years ended January 31, 2008 and January 31, 2007, there were no "Reportable Events" (hereinafter defined) requiring disclosure pursuant to Item 304(a)(1)(v) of Regulation S-K. As used herein, the term "Reportable Event" means any of the events specified in paragraphs (a)(1)(v) (A)-(D) of Item 304 of Regulation S-K.

Fees Paid to Independent Accountants

Audit Fees. The aggregate fees paid for professional services rendered by Wipfli for the audit of the Trust's annual financial statements, the audit of the Trustees' assessment of internal control over financial reporting and review of the financial statements included in the Trust's quarterly reports on Form 10-Q for fiscal 2009 were \$4,877, which amount excludes fees incurred by the Trust for professional services rendered by Wipfli after January 31, 2009 and not yet billed to the Trust.

Edgar Filing: MESABI TRUST - Form DEF 14A

The aggregate fees paid for professional services rendered by GH&B for the audit of the Trust's annual financial statements, the Trustees' assessment of internal control over financial reporting for fiscal 2008 and review of the financial statements included in the Trust's quarterly reports on Form 10-Q for fiscal 2009 were \$41,866.

The aggregate fees paid for professional services rendered by GH&B for the audit of the Trust's annual financial statements, the audit of the Trustees' assessment of internal control over financial reporting and review of the financial statements included in the Trust's quarterly reports on Form 10-Q for fiscal 2008 were approximately \$44,000.

Audit-Related Fees. No fees were paid to Wipfli or GH&B for assurance and related services that were not reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of the Trust's financial statements for fiscal 2009 or fiscal 2008.

Tax Fees. No fees were paid to Wipfli or GH&B for tax compliance, tax advice and tax planning for the Trust for fiscal 2009 or fiscal 2008.

All Other Fees. No other fees were paid to Wipfli or GH&B for services provided to the Trust, other than those described in item (a), for fiscal 2009 or fiscal 2008.

Before the independent auditor is engaged to perform audit and review services for the Trust, the Trustees approve the engagements. All services described in this section were approved by the Trustees.

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND TRUSTEES

Security Ownership of 5% or Greater Beneficial Owners

The following table sets forth information concerning each person known to the Trust to own beneficially more than 5% of the Trust's Units outstanding as of November 3, 2009. Such information has been obtained from the Trust's records and a review of statements filed with the Trust pursuant to Rule 13d-102 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, through November 3, 2009.

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner(s)	Amount of Beneficial Ownership of Units	Percent of Class
Donald W. Hodges, First Dallas Holdings, Inc., a Texas corporation, First Dallas Securities, Inc., a Texas corporation, Hodges Capital Management, Inc., a Texas corporation, Hodges Fund, a Massachusetts business trust, and Hodges Small Cap Fund, a Massachusetts business trust, 2905 Maple Ave, Dallas, Texas 75201	725,150(a)	5.5%
Jeffrey L. Gendell, Tontine Capital Partners, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership, Tontine Capital Management, L.L.C., a Delaware limited liability company, and Tontine Overseas Associates, L.L.C., a Delaware limited liability company, 55 Railroad Avenue, 3 rd Floor Greenwich, Connecticut 06830	911,600(b)	6.9%

(a) According to a Schedule 13G dated February 13, 2009, First Dallas Holdings, Inc., which is a holding company and parent company of First Dallas Securities, Inc., Hodges Capital Management, Inc., Hodges Fund, and Hodges Small Cap Fund, has shared voting power with respect to 386,000 Units and shared dispositive power with respect to 725,150 Units. Dallas Holdings, Inc., First Dallas Securities, Inc., Hodges Capital Management, Inc., Hodges Fund, and Hodges Small Cap Fund are all identified as part of a group. First Dallas Securities, Inc., a broker dealer and investment adviser, has shared dispositive power with respect to 119,119 Units. Hodges Capital Management, Inc., an investment adviser, has shared voting power with respect to 375,000 Units and shared dispositive power with respect to 595,031 Units. Hodges Fund, an investment company, has shared voting power with respect to 375,000 Units and shared dispositive power with respect to 375,000 Units. Donald W. Hodges is a reporting person with respect to First Dallas Holdings, Inc.

(b) According to a Schedule 13G/A dated February 13, 2007, filed by such persons, which indicates that such persons each have shared voting power and shared dispositive power with respect to such Units. Tontine Capital Management, L.L.C. is the general partner of Tontine Capital Partners, L.P., the direct owner of the 861,600 Units reported. Tontine Overseas Associates, L.L.C., a limited liability company organized under the laws of the state of Delaware, serves as investment manager to Tontine Capital Overseas Master Fund, L.P., a Cayman Islands partnership with respect to the 50,000 Units held directly by Tontine Overseas Associates, L.L.C. Mr. Gendell is a reporting person with respect to the Units directly owned by Tontine Capital Partners, L.P. and Tontine Capital Overseas Master Fund, L.P.

Security Ownership of Nominee and Trustees

The table below sets forth information as to the number of Units beneficially owned by each Trustee, the Trustees' as a group and by Robert C. Berglund. The information with respect to each Trustee's ownership of Units includes those Units for which such Trustee has voting power or investment power. Voting power and investment power are not shared with others unless specifically stated. All statements as to beneficial ownership are as of November 3, 2009.

Name	Amount of Beneficial Ownership of Units	Percent of Class
Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas	0(a)	0
James A. Ehrenberg	3,000	**
David J. Hoffman	38,100(b)	**
Richard G. Lareau	24,000(c)	**
Norman F. Sprague III	12,700	**
Robert C. Berglund	2,000	**
All trustees as a group	77,800	**

**

Less than 1%

(a)

Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas previously held, on behalf of various customers, Units in so-called "directed" accounts. Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas no longer maintains such directed accounts and therefore does not beneficially own any Units.

(b)

Includes 15,100 Units owned by Mr. Hoffman's wife, over which Mr. Hoffman does not have any investment or voting power and as to which Mr. Hoffman disclaims any beneficial ownership.

(c)

Includes 10,000 Units owned by Mr. Lareau's wife, over which Mr. Lareau does not have any investment or voting power and as to which Mr. Lareau disclaims any beneficial ownership.

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

The Trust has no directors or executive officers. See the heading titled "Trustee Compensation" in this Proxy Statement for the remuneration received by the Individual Trustees and the Corporate Trustee for the fiscal year ended January 31, 2009 and the heading section titled "Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Trustees" for information concerning units of beneficial interest owned by the Trustees. Mr. Richard G. Lareau, who became a Trustee on March 7, 1990, is a senior partner in the law firm of Oppenheimer Wolff & Donnelly LLP, of Minneapolis, Minnesota. That firm has been retained by the Trust since 1961 to act with respect to matters of Minnesota law, and was retained in 1991 by the Trustees other than Mr. Lareau to act as general legal counsel. The Trust paid Oppenheimer Wolff & Donnelly LLP ("Oppenheimer") fees totaling \$219,329 for legal services provided to the Trust during the fiscal year ended January 31, 2009 compared with fees totaling \$160,780 for legal services provided to the Trust during fiscal year ended January 31, 2008.

In each of the last two fiscal years, Oppenheimer represented the Trust and assisted the Trustees in the preparation and filing of the Trust's current, periodic and annual reports with the SEC and related securities law compliance. Oppenheimer also advised the Trust on various other legal matters related to inquiries from third parties in the ordinary course of the Trust's administration. The total amount of Oppenheimer's legal fees for services rendered during fiscal 2009 increased approximately \$58,000, or 36%, as compared to fiscal 2008. The increase in legal fees in fiscal 2009, as compared to fiscal 2008, resulted primarily from Oppenheimer providing the Trust with services related to the Trust's change of its independent certified public accounting firm, responding to comments received from the SEC staff under the disclosure review program, additional third party inquiries made to the Trust, and cost of living increases in the billing rates of Oppenheimer attorneys who provide services to the Trust.

Related Person Transaction Policy

The Trustees meet on a quarterly basis and review and approve or ratify all of the transactions that occurred during the prior fiscal quarter. In connection with their review of the Trust's transactions, the Trustees consider whether there have been any related person transactions. In determining whether to approve a related person transaction, the Trustees consider the following factors, in addition to any other factors they deem necessary or appropriate:

whether the transaction is expressly permitted by the Agreement of Trust;

whether the terms are fair to the Trust;

whether the transaction is material to the Trust;

the role of the related person in arranging the related person transaction;

the structure of the related person transaction; and

the interests of all related persons in the related person transaction.

The Trust maintains a written related person transaction approval policy, which sets forth the Trust's policies and procedures for the review, approval or ratification of any transaction required to be reported in the Trust's filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The policy applies to any financial transaction, arrangement or relationship or any series of similar transactions, arrangements or relationships in which the Trust is a participant and in which a related person has a direct or indirect interest.

Certain types of transactions, which would otherwise require review, are pre-approved by the Trustees in accordance with the policy. These types of transactions include, for example, (i) transactions, which when aggregated with the amount of all other transactions between the related person and the Trust, involve less than \$120,000 in a fiscal year; (ii) transactions where the interest of the related person arises only by way of a directorship or minority stake in another organization that is a party to the transaction; (iii) transactions with a related person involving services as a bank depository of funds, transfer agent, registrar, trustee under a trust indenture, or similar services; and (iv) a transaction that is specifically contemplated by provisions of the Agreement of Trust.

Based on their review of the Trust's transactions since the start of the fiscal year beginning February 1, 2009, the Trustees concluded that there were no related person transactions required to be disclosed in this Proxy Statement.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 requires the Trustees and holders of more than 10% of the Trust's Units to file with the Securities and Exchange Commission and the NYSE initial reports of ownership of Units and reports of changes in such ownership. The Commission's rules require such persons to furnish the Trust with copies of all Section 16(a) reports that they file. Based solely on a review of these reports, the Trust believes that the applicable Section 16(a) reporting requirements were complied with for all transactions which occurred in 2009.

Trust Certificate Holder Proposals

The Trust is not required to and does not hold annual meetings of Trust Certificate Holders. Accordingly, the Trust does not publish a date by which Trust Certificate Holders must make proposals for inclusion in an annual meeting.

Edgar Filing: MESABI TRUST - Form DEF 14A

The Trust Certificate Holders may initiate the calling of a special meeting by submitting a written request to the Trustees signed by at least 15% in interest of the Trust Certificate Holders. The written request must specify in reasonable detail the action proposed to be taken. If the Trustees fail to call a meeting within 30 days of receiving the written request, the 15% in interest of the Trust Certificate Holders, or their designated representative, may call a special meeting.

Annual Report

The Trust will furnish without charge a copy of its Annual Report on Form 10-K (exclusive of exhibits) for the fiscal year ended January 31, 2009, to any person who was a Trust Certificate Holder as of November 9, 2009, upon receipt from any such person of a written request for such annual report. Such request should be sent to Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas, Corporate Trustee, Attn: Kenneth R. Ring, 60 Wall Street, 27th Floor, New York, New York 10005.

Householding Information

Some banks, brokers and other record holders may be participating in the practice of "householding" proxy statements and annual reports. This means that you and other holders of the Units in your household may not receive separate copies of our Proxy Statement. We will promptly deliver an additional copy of such document upon request. Such requests should be directed to Georgeson Inc., toll free at 1-800-868-1366 or Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas, Corporate Trustee, Attn: Kenneth R. Ring, 60 Wall Street, 27th Floor, New York, New York 10005.

Where You Can Find More Information

The Units of the Trust are listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol "MSB." The Trust files annual, quarterly and special reports and other information with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The Trust's SEC filings are available to the public over the internet at the SEC's web site at <http://www.sec.gov>. You may also read and copy any document the Trust files with SEC at the SEC Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549. You may also obtain copies of the documents at prescribed rates by writing to the Public Reference Section of SEC at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549. Please call the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330 for further information on the operation of the Public Reference Room.

The Trust will provide copies of the reports and other information filed with the SEC to any Trust Certificate Holder, at the actual cost of reproduction, upon written request to the Corporate Trustee. Such request should be sent to Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas, Corporate Trustee, Attn: Kenneth R. Ring, 60 Wall Street, 27th Floor, New York, New York 10005. You may also access reports and other information filed with the SEC at the Trust's website: <http://www.mesabi-trust.com>.

If you have any questions or require additional information concerning this Proxy Statement, please contact Georgeson Inc., toll free at 1-800-868-1366.

Very truly yours,

DEUTSCHE BANK TRUST COMPANY AMERICAS
Corporate Trustee of Mesabi Trust

13

To the Trustees of Mesabi Trust:

I, David J. Hoffman, hereby announce my intention to resign as Trustee of the Mesabi Trust due to general concerns regarding my health. This letter serves as the written notice of my resignation as required by Section 12.2 of the Agreement of Trust dated July 18, 1961. My resignation will take effect upon the appointment of my successor as provided in Section 12.2 Agreement of Trust.

Sincerely,

/s/ DAVID J. HOFFMAN

David J. Hoffman

A-1

QuickLinks

[YOUR VOTE IS IMPORTANT](#)

[VOTING AND QUORUM](#)

[PROPOSAL ONE APPOINTMENT OF SUCCESSOR TRUSTEE](#)

[GENERAL INFORMATION](#)

[TRUSTEE COMPENSATION](#)

[TRUST GOVERNANCE](#)

[INFORMATION REGARDING PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT](#)

[SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND TRUSTEES](#)

[CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS](#)

[ADDITIONAL INFORMATION](#)

[Appendix A](#)

;

\$
11,748

\$
11,507

\$
23,282

Income attributable to non-controlling interests
85

—

182

—

Net income available to Thermon Group Holdings, Inc.
\$
6,896

\$
11,748

\$
11,325

\$
23,282

Comprehensive income (loss):

Net income available to Thermon Group Holdings, Inc.

\$
6,896

\$
11,748

\$
11,325

\$
23,282

Foreign currency translation adjustment

(9,686
)

(11,468
)

(7,290
)

(6,852
)

Derivative valuation, net of tax

(239
)

407

(136
)

151

Comprehensive income (loss)

\$
(3,029
)

\$
687

\$
3,899

\$
16,581

Net Income per common share:

Basic
\$
0.21

\$
0.37

\$
0.35

\$
0.73

Diluted
0.21

0.36

0.35

0.72

Weighted-average shares used in computing net income per common share:

Basic

32,133,338

32,033,333

32,164,678

31,988,679

Diluted

32,535,384

32,439,602

32,571,864

32,401,595

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements

2

Thermon Group Holdings, Inc.

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)
(Dollars in Thousands)

	Six Months Ended September 30, 2015	Six Months Ended September 30, 2014	
Operating activities			
Net income	\$11,507	\$23,282	
Adjustment to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	8,324	7,134	
Amortization of deferred debt issuance costs	520	237	
Stock compensation expense	1,874	1,372	
Deferred income taxes	(842)	(5,124))
Other	39	514	
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:			
Accounts receivable	4,423	(13,013))
Inventories	(3,526)	(4,888))
Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings on uncompleted contracts	668	(386))
Other current and noncurrent assets	(1,420)	(1,633))
Accounts payable	(2,101)	5,485)
Accrued liabilities and noncurrent liabilities	(5,186)	2,742)
Income taxes payable and receivable	(2,681)	1,942)
Net cash provided by operating activities	11,599	17,664	
Investing activities			
Purchases of property, plant and equipment	(6,666)	(1,627))
Cash paid for acquisitions (net of cash acquired)	(31,180)	—)
Net cash used in investing activities	(37,846)	(1,627))
Financing activities			
Proceeds from revolving credit facility	5,000	—	
Payments on long term debt	(6,750)	(6,750))
Issuance costs associated with revolving line of credit and long term debt	(341)	(290))
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	181	456	
Repurchase of employee stock units on vesting	(1,209)	—)
Benefit from excess tax deduction from option exercises	133	1,449	
Lease financing	(110)	(60))
Net cash used in financing activities	(3,096)	(5,195))
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(2,759)	(1,353))
Change in cash and cash equivalents	(32,102)	9,489)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	93,774	72,640	
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$61,672	\$82,129	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Thermon Group Holdings, Inc.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)
(Dollars in Thousands, Except Share and Per Share Data)

1. Basis of Presentation and Accounting Policy Information

Thermon Group Holdings, Inc. and its direct and indirect subsidiaries are referred to collectively as “we,” “our,” or the “Company” herein. We are a provider of highly engineered thermal solutions for process industries. Our thermal solutions, also referred to as heat tracing, provide an external heat source to pipes, vessels and instruments for the purposes of freeze protection, temperature and flow maintenance, environmental monitoring, and surface snow and ice melting. As a manufacturer, we provide a suite of products (heating cables, tubing bundles and control systems) and services (design optimization, engineering, installation and maintenance services) required to deliver comprehensive solutions to complex projects.

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with our audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto for the year ended March 31, 2015. In our opinion, the accompanying consolidated financial statements reflect all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring items) considered necessary to present fairly our financial position at September 30, 2015 and March 31, 2015, and the results of our operations for the three and six months ended September 30, 2015 and 2014.

Use of Estimates

Generally accepted accounting principles (“GAAP”) requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reported period. While our management has based their assumptions and estimates on the facts and circumstances existing at September 30, 2015, actual results could differ from those estimates and affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities and the corresponding revenues and expenses as of the date of the financial statements. The operating results for the three and six months ended September 30, 2015 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be achieved for the fiscal year ending March 31, 2016.

Correction of an error

During the three months ended June 30, 2015, the Company recorded a correction of an error that reduced marketing, general and administrative and engineering expense by \$498 and decreased additional paid in capital by an equivalent amount. In previous years, the Company had expensed the withholding tax value of equity awards that were withheld by the Company at vesting. The Company determined that the value of withheld shares should have been recorded as a reduction to additional paid in capital.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Revenue Recognition - In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2014-09 “Revenue from Contracts with Customers” (Topic 606), which amends the existing revenue recognition requirements and guidance. Under the new guidance, revenue is recognized when promised goods or services are transferred to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration that is expected to be received for those goods or services. The new revenue standard may be applied retrospectively to each prior period presented or retrospectively with the cumulative effect recognized as of the date of adoption. The Company will adopt the standard on April 1, 2018. We have not selected a transition method and we are currently evaluating the effect that the updated standard will have on our consolidated financial statements and related disclosures.

Stock Compensation - In June 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2014-12 (Topic 718), which clarified the treatment of share-based payments when a performance target could be

achieved after the requisite service period. Under the new guidance, compensation cost should be recognized over the requisite service period when it becomes probable that the performance target will be achieved. The total compensation cost recognized should reflect the number of awards that are expected to vest and should be adjusted to reflect those awards that ultimately vest. We adopted this standard April 1, 2015 and it did not have a material impact on our condensed consolidated financial statements.

Interest- In April 2015, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Accounting Standards Update 2015-3 "Interest-Imputation of Interest" (Subtopic 835-30). The new guidance changes the presentation of debt issuance costs in financial statements and specifies that debt issuance costs related to a note shall be reported in the balance sheet as a direct deduction from the associated face amount of the note. The guidance does not change the current guidance related to the recognition and measurement of debt issuance costs. The amortization of debt issuance costs will continue to be reported as interest expense. The guidance is effective for years and interim periods within those fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2015. Early adoption is allowed for all entities and the new guidance shall be applied to all prior periods retrospectively. We are currently evaluating when to adopt this ASU. If the standard update were adopted as of September 30, 2015, our outstanding debt obligations would have been reduced by \$1,088 and \$1,217 as of September 30, 2015 and March 31, 2015, respectively. The adoption of this guidance will have no impact on the presentation of our condensed consolidated statement of operations.

Interest- In August 2015, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Accounting Standards Update 2015-15 "Imputation of Interest" (Subtopic 835-30). The guidance clarified the treatment of the presentation of debt issuance costs associated with a revolving line of credit. Under the guidance these costs can continue to be reported as an asset. As there were no changes to the pre-existing guidance the standard is considered to be effective immediately and had no impact on our condensed consolidated financial statements.

Inventory- In July 2015, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Accounting Standards Update 2015-11 "Simplifying the Measurement of Inventory" (Topic 330). Under the new guidance, inventory is measured at the lower of cost and net realizable value, and the new guidance eliminates the use of replacement cost and net realizable value less a normal profit margin as techniques to value inventory. Net realizable value is defined as the estimated selling price in the ordinary course of business, less reasonably predictable costs of completion, disposal and transportation. The new guidance will be applied prospectively for annual periods and interim periods within fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2016. We do not anticipate the adoption of this standard will have a material impact on our condensed consolidated financial statements.

Business Combinations- In September 2015, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Accounting Standards Update 2015-16 "Simplifying the Accounting for Measurement-Period Adjustments" (Topic 805). Under the new guidance, an acquirer must recognize adjustments to provisional amounts that are identified in the reporting period in which the adjustments amounts are determined. Companies are required to disclose the amount recorded in current-period earnings by line item that would have been recorded in previous reporting periods if the adjustments to the provisional amounts had been recognized as of the acquisition date. The new guidance is to be applied prospectively for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2015 and interim periods within those fiscal years. Early adoption is permitted. We adopted this standard in September 2015 and it did not have a material impact on our condensed consolidated financial statements.

2. Fair Value Measurements

Fair Value. We measure fair value based on authoritative accounting guidance, which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands on required disclosures regarding fair value measurements.

Inputs are referred to as assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. The uses of inputs in the valuation process are categorized into a three-level fair value hierarchy.

Level 1 — uses quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities we have the ability to access.

Level 2 — uses observable inputs other than quoted prices in Level 1, such as quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar assets and liabilities in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data.

Level 3 — uses one or more significant inputs that are unobservable and supported by little or no market activity, and that reflect the use of significant management judgment.

Financial assets and liabilities with carrying amounts approximating fair value include cash, trade accounts receivable, accounts payable, accrued expenses and other current liabilities. The carrying amount of these financial assets and liabilities approximates fair value because of their short maturities. At September 30, 2015 and March 31, 2015, no assets or liabilities were valued using Level 3 criteria.

Information about our long-term debt that is not measured at fair value is as follows:

5

	September 30, 2015		March 31, 2015		Valuation Technique
	Carrying Value	Fair Value	Carrying Value	Fair Value	
Financial Liabilities					
Long-term debt	\$ 101,250	\$ 101,250	\$ 108,000	\$ 108,000	Level 2 - Market Approach
Revolving credit facility	\$ 5,000	5,000	—	—	Level 2 - Market Approach

At September 30, 2015 and March 31, 2015, the fair value of our variable rate term loan and revolving credit facility approximates its carrying value as we pay interest based on the current market rate. As the quoted price is only available for similar financial assets, the Company concluded the pricing is indirectly observable through dealers and has been classified as Level 2.

Foreign Currency Forward Contracts

We transact business in various foreign currencies and have established a program that primarily utilizes foreign currency forward contracts to offset the risk associated with the effects of certain foreign currency exposures. Under this program, increases or decreases in our foreign currency exposures are intended to be offset by gains or losses on the forward contracts to mitigate foreign currency transaction gains or losses. These foreign currency exposures typically arise from intercompany transactions. Our forward contracts generally have terms of 30 days. We do not use forward contracts for trading purposes or designate these forward contracts as hedging instruments pursuant to ASC 815. We adjust the carrying amount of all contracts to their fair value at the end of each reporting period and unrealized gains and losses are included in our results of operations for that period. These gains and losses are designed to offset gains and losses resulting from settlement of payments received from our foreign operations which are settled in U.S. dollars. The fair value is determined by quoted prices from active foreign currency markets (Level 2 fair value). The condensed consolidated balance sheets reflect unrealized gains within accounts receivable, net and unrealized losses within accrued liabilities. Our ultimate realized gain or loss with respect to currency fluctuations will depend on the currency exchange rates and other factors in effect as the contracts mature. As of September 30, 2015 and March 31, 2015, the notional amounts of forward contracts were as follows:

Notional amount of foreign currency forward contracts by currency

	September 30, 2015	March 31, 2015
Russian Ruble	\$ 1,883	\$ 1,374
Euro	4,313	467
Canadian Dollar	228	243
South Korean Won	2,590	3,347
Mexican Peso	848	873
Australian Dollar	1,209	1,104
Japanese Yen	—	815
Brazilian Real	299	—
Total notional amounts	\$ 11,370	\$ 8,223

The following table represents the fair value of our foreign currency forward contracts:

	September 30, 2015		March 31, 2015	
	Fair Value		Fair Value	
	Assets	Liabilities	Assets	Liabilities
Foreign currency forward contracts	\$ 12	\$ 28	\$ 87	\$ 110

Foreign currency gains or losses related to our forward contracts in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss) were losses of \$241 and \$208 in the three months ended September 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively, and losses of \$660 and \$175 for the six months ended September 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively. Gains and losses from our forward contracts were offset by transaction gains or losses incurred with the

settlement of transactions denominated in foreign currencies. Our net foreign currency losses were \$96 and \$784 in the three months ended September 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively. For the six months ended September 30, 2015 and 2014, our net foreign currency losses were \$257 and \$769, respectively.

Interest Rate Swap

The Company entered into an interest rate swap contract to reduce the exposure to interest rate fluctuations associated with its variable rate term loan. Under the swap agreement we pay a fixed amount and receive or make payments based on a variable rate. The Company designated the interest rate swap contract as a cash flow hedge pursuant to ASC 815. The Company formally documents all relationships between the hedging instrument and hedged item, its risk management objective and strategy, as well as counterparty creditworthiness. At each reporting period our interest rate swap contract is adjusted to fair value based on dealer quotes, which consider forward yield curves and volatility levels (Level 2 fair value). Unrealized gains, representing derivative assets, are reported within accounts receivable, net and unrealized losses, representing derivative liabilities, are reported within accrued liabilities on the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets. As of September 30, 2015 and March 31, 2015, the fair value of the interest rate swap contract was an unrealized loss of \$843 and \$612, respectively. The change in fair value of the derivative instruments is recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) to the extent the derivative instruments are deemed effective. Ineffectiveness is measured based on the changes in fair value of the interest rate swap contract and the change in fair value of the hypothetical derivative and is recognized in earnings in the period in which ineffectiveness is realized. Based on the criteria established by ASC 815, the interest rate swap contract is deemed to be highly effective. Any realized gains or losses resulting from the interest rate swap contract are recognized within interest expense. Gains and losses from our interest rate swap contract are offset by changes in the variable interest rate on our term loan. As of September 30, 2015, our interest rate on outstanding principal amounts was fixed at approximately 2.87%. We have hedged 100% of our interest payments on outstanding principal on our variable rate term loan through April 2016. For the period from May 1, 2016 through April 30, 2018, interest payments on approximately \$5,200 of average outstanding principal remain unhedged as of September 30, 2015, increasing to \$49,780 for the period from May 1, 2018 to April 19, 2019.

The following table summarizes the aggregate unrealized loss in accumulated other comprehensive loss, and the losses reclassified into earnings for the three and six months ended September 30, 2015 and 2014:

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2015			Three Months Ended September 30, 2014		
	Before Tax Amount	Tax Expense (Benefit)	Other Comprehensive loss, net	Before Tax Amount	Tax Expense (Benefit)	Other Comprehensive loss, net
Unrealized loss at beginning of the period	\$(589)	\$(206)	\$(383)	\$(525)	\$(184)	\$(341)
Add: gain (loss) from change in fair value of cash flow hedge	(614)	(215)	(399)	186	65	121
Less: loss reclassified into earnings from effective hedge	(236)	(82)	(154)	(429)	(150)	(279)
Less: ineffective portion of hedge transferred into earnings	(11)	(4)	(7)	(17)	(6)	(11)
Unrealized gain (loss) at end of the period	\$(956)	\$(335)	\$(621)	\$107	\$37	\$70

	Six Months Ended September 30, 2015			Six Months Ended September 30, 2014		
	Before Tax Amount	Tax Expense (Benefit)	Other Comprehensive loss, net	Before Tax Amount	Tax Expense (Benefit)	Other Comprehensive loss, net
Unrealized loss at beginning of the period	\$(746)	\$(261)	\$(485)	\$(125)	\$(44)	\$(81)
Add: gain (loss) from change in fair value of cash flow hedge	(720)	(252)	(468)	(668)	(234)	(434)
Less: loss reclassified into earnings from effective hedge	(488)	(170)	(318)	(866)	(303)	(563)
Less: ineffective portion of hedge transferred into earnings	(22)	(8)	(14)	(34)	(12)	(22)
Unrealized gain (loss) at end of the period	\$(956)	\$(335)	\$(621)	\$107	\$37	\$70

Transfers out of accumulated other comprehensive loss

During the three and six months ended September 30, 2015 and 2014, there were no transfers out of accumulated other comprehensive loss except for realized losses from our interest rate swap contract presented in the preceding table, which were recorded within interest expense in our statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss).

3. Earnings and Net Income per Common Share

Basic earnings per share ("EPS") is computed by dividing net income available to Thermon Group Holdings, Inc. by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during each period. Diluted net income per common share is computed by dividing net income available to Thermon Group Holdings, Inc. by the weighted average number of common shares and common share equivalents outstanding (if dilutive) during each period. The number of common share equivalents, which includes options and both restricted and performance stock units, is computed using the treasury stock method. With regard to the performance stock units, we assumed that the target number of shares would be issued within the calculation of diluted net income per common share.

The reconciliations of the denominators used to calculate basic and diluted EPS for the three and six months ended September 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively, are as follows:

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2015	Three Months Ended September 30, 2014	Six Months Ended September 30, 2015	Six Months Ended September 30, 2014
Basic net income per common share				
Net income available to Thermon Group Holdings, Inc.	\$6,896	\$11,748	\$11,325	\$23,282
Weighted-average common shares outstanding	32,133,338	32,033,333	32,164,678	31,988,679
Basic net income per common share	\$0.21	\$0.37	\$0.35	\$0.73

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2015	Three Months Ended September 30, 2014	Six Months Ended September 30, 2015	Six Months Ended September 30, 2014
Diluted net income per common share				
Net income available to Thermon Group Holdings, Inc.	\$6,896	\$11,748	\$11,325	\$23,282
Weighted-average common shares outstanding	32,133,338	32,033,333	32,164,678	31,988,679
Common share equivalents:				
Stock options	250,672	277,703	254,037	290,284
Restricted and performance stock units	151,374	128,566	153,149	122,632
Weighted average shares outstanding – dilutive (1)	32,535,384	32,439,602	32,571,864	32,401,595
Diluted net income per common share	\$0.21	\$0.36	\$0.35	\$0.72

(1) For the three and six months ended September 30, 2015, 64,599 equity awards were not included in the calculation of diluted net income per common share since they would have had an anti-dilutive effect. For the three and six months ended September 30, 2014, 48,728 equity awards were not included in the calculation of diluted net income per common share since they would have had an anti-dilutive effect.

4. Inventories

Inventories consisted of the following:

	September 30, 2015	March 31, 2015
Raw materials	\$15,287	\$12,299
Work in process	3,649	5,060
Finished goods	27,703	24,765
	46,639	42,124
Valuation reserves	(1,249)	(1,116)
Inventories, net	\$45,390	\$41,008

5. Acquisition, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

Industrial Process Insulators ("IPI") Transaction

On July 31, 2015, a wholly owned indirect subsidiary of the Company acquired 100% of the capital stock of Industrial Process Insulators ("IPI") for \$21,750, subject to a customary working capital adjustment. The results of IPI's operations have been included in the consolidated financial statements since that date. IPI is an insulation contractor serving the refining, petrochemical, power and energy, marine and pulp and paper industries in the United States, with a significant presence in the Texas and Louisiana Gulf Coast region. IPI has served as a customer and valued subcontractor to the Company for the past seventeen years and some members of IPI's senior management team are former company employees. The acquisition is expected to enhance our turn-key product offerings and strengthen our presence and relationships in the Gulf Coast region as IPI serves many of the same end-markets as those served by our core thermal solutions business. We recognized \$10,204 in goodwill associated with the acquisition during the three months ended September 30, 2015.

Consideration to or on behalf of sellers at close	\$21,750
Fair value of total consideration transferred	\$21,750

The following table summarizes the preliminary fair value of the assets and liabilities assumed:

Assets acquired:

Cash	\$1,526
Accounts receivable	3,723
Inventories	474
Other current assets	204
Property, plant and equipment	119
Identifiable intangible assets	13,784
Goodwill	10,204
Total assets	30,034
Liabilities assumed:	
Current liabilities	2,203
Uncertain tax position liability	1,119
Noncurrent deferred tax liability	4,962
Total liabilities	8,284
Total consideration	\$21,750

The fair value of accounts receivable represents IPI's gross outstanding receivables as of the acquisition date that we estimate will be fully collectible.

For the three and six months ended September 30, 2015, we incurred \$33 of transaction expenses related to the IPI acquisition which were recorded within marketing, general and administrative and engineering expenses on the condensed consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss).

Our provisional estimate of identifiable intangible assets at September 30, 2015 that were related to the IPI transaction consisted of the following:

	Amortization period	Gross Carrying Amount at September 30, 2015	Accumulated Amortization	Net Carrying Amount at September 30, 2015
Order backlog	6 months	\$437	\$146	\$291
Customer relationships	10 years	10,720	179	10,541
Trademark	8 years	1,820	38	1,782
Other	3 years	807	44	763
Total		\$13,784	\$407	\$13,377

The weighted average useful life of acquired finite lived intangible assets related to the IPI transaction is 9.0 years.

At September 30, 2015, approximately \$4,000 of the purchase price was held in escrow to secure the sellers' indemnification obligations in the event of any breaches of representations and warranties contained in the definitive agreements.

Sumac Transaction

On April 1, 2015, Thermon Canada, Inc. ("TCI"), a wholly owned indirect subsidiary of the Company, acquired a 75% controlling interest in the business previously operated by Sumac Fabrication Company Limited ("Sumac") for \$10,956, (based on the Canadian Dollar to U.S. Dollar exchange rate on April 1, 2015) in cash, plus a non-interest bearing note ("performance based note") with a principal amount of \$5,905 (based on the Canadian Dollar to U.S.

Dollar exchange rate on April 1, 2015) that matures on April 1, 2016, with the actual amount payable at maturity ranging from zero up to a maximum of \$7,500 Canadian Dollars, subject to the achievement of certain performance metrics during the 12 month period ending April 1, 2016.

Since the terms of the performance based note include continued employment by Sumac's principals, the estimated payout will be accrued on a ratable basis as compensation expense until the actual amount becomes determinable on April 1, 2016.

Sumac is located in Fort McMurray, Alberta, Canada. Sumac's line of products and solutions are designed to provide a safe and efficient means of supplying temporary electrical power distribution and lighting at energy infrastructure facilities for new construction and during maintenance and turnaround projects at operating facilities. Sumac products include power distribution panels, master/slave sub-panels, power cords and lighting fixtures. Sumac products are sold to end-users operating in many of the same markets as our core thermal solutions, including heavy industrial settings, oil and gas refining and upgrading, power generation plants, petrochemical production facilities and mining operations. We believe we will be able to leverage our existing global sales force to further expand the reach of Sumac's product offerings. We recognized \$9,255 of goodwill in connection with the Sumac acquisition that we expect will be deductible for Canadian taxation purposes.

Consideration to or on behalf of sellers at close	\$ 10,956
Fair value of total consideration transferred	\$ 10,956
The following table summarizes the preliminary fair value of the assets and liabilities assumed:	
Assets acquired:	
Accounts receivable	\$ 1,693
Inventories	1,299
Other current assets	123
Property, plant and equipment	1,316
Identifiable intangible assets	2,645
Goodwill	9,255
Total assets	16,331
Liabilities assumed:	
Current liabilities	1,025
Noncurrent deferred tax liability	714
Total liabilities	1,739
Non-controlling interests	3,636
Total consideration	\$ 10,956

The fair value of accounts receivable represents Sumac's gross outstanding receivables as of the acquisition date that we estimate will be fully collectible.

In total, \$134 of transaction costs were incurred related to the Sumac transaction, all of which were incurred prior to the six months ended September 30, 2015.

Our provisional estimate of identifiable intangible assets at September 30, 2015 that were related to the Sumac transaction consisted of the following:

	Amortization period	Gross Carrying Amount at September 30, 2015	Accumulated Amortization	Net Carrying Amount at September 30, 2015
Backlog	6 months	\$ 176	\$ 176	\$ —
Customer relationships	3 years	1,768	295	1,473
Other	10.3 years	566	63	503

Total	\$2,510	\$ 534	\$ 1,976
-------	---------	--------	----------

The weighted average useful life of acquired finite lived intangible assets related to Sumac transaction is 4.5 years.

11

At September 30, 2015, approximately \$1,068 of the purchase price was held in escrow to secure the sellers' indemnification obligations in the event of any breaches of representations and warranties contained in the definitive agreements.

Unitemp Transaction

On March 2, 2015, Thermon South Africa Pty. Ltd., a wholly owned indirect subsidiary of the Company, acquired substantially all of the operating assets and assumed certain operating liabilities of Unitemp cc (Unitemp or the Unitemp Transaction). The results of Unitemp's operations have been included in the consolidated financial statements since that date. Unitemp offers heating, sensing, portable instruments, monitoring and control solutions to industrial customers throughout Sub-Saharan Africa through its headquarters in Cape Town, South Africa and a branch location in Johannesburg, South Africa. The acquisition is expected to strengthen the Company's presence in the region and leverage the pre-existing sales channels that Unitemp has in the region. The goodwill of \$1,630 arising from the acquisition relates to the foregoing expected benefits of the acquisition. The Company paid cash consideration of \$3,890.

Consideration to or on behalf of sellers at close	\$3,890
Fair value of total consideration transferred	\$3,890

The following table summarizes the preliminary fair value of the assets and liabilities assumed:

Assets acquired:

Accounts receivable	\$1,346
Inventories	655
Other current assets	21
Property, plant and equipment	77
Identifiable intangible assets	1,294
Goodwill	1,630
Total assets	5,023

Liabilities assumed:

Current liabilities	415
Noncurrent deferred tax liability	718
Total liabilities	1,133
Total consideration	\$3,890

The fair value of accounts receivable represents Unitemp's gross outstanding receivables as of the acquisition date that we estimate will be fully collectible.

In total, \$34 of transaction costs were incurred related to the Unitemp Transaction, all of which were incurred prior to the six months ended September 30, 2015.

Our provisional estimate of identifiable intangible assets that were related to the Unitemp Transaction consisted of the following:

	Amortization period	Gross Carrying Amount at September 30, 2015	Accumulated Amortization	Net Carrying Amount at September 30, 2015	Gross Carrying Amount at March 31, 2015	Accumulated Amortization	Net Carrying Amount at March 31, 2015
Trademarks	8 years	\$673	\$49	\$624	\$780	\$8	\$772

Edgar Filing: MESABI TRUST - Form DEF 14A

Developed Technology	3 years	92	34	58	107	3	104
Customer Relationships	5 years	318	21	297	368	6	362
Total		\$1,083	\$104	\$979	\$1,255	\$17	\$1,238

12

The weighted average useful life of acquired finite lived intangible assets related to Unitemp transaction is 6.7 years.

At September 30, 2015, approximately \$302 of the purchase price was held in escrow to secure the sellers' indemnification obligations in the event of any breaches of representations and warranties contained in the definitive agreements.

Other intangible assets related to previous transactions consisted of the following:

	Gross Carrying Amount at September 30, 2015	Accumulated Amortization	Net Carrying Amount at September 30, 2015	Gross Carrying Amount at March 31, 2015	Accumulated Amortization	Net Carrying Amount at March 31, 2015
Trademarks	\$42,649	\$—	\$42,649	\$43,034	\$—	\$43,034
Developed technology	9,775	2,688	7,087	9,862	2,469	7,393
Customer relationships	91,604	48,397	43,207	92,581	44,195	48,386
Backlog	8,975	8,975	—	9,129	9,129	—
Certification	445	—	445	449	—	449
Other	1,630	1,445	185	1,630	1,317	313
Total	\$155,078	\$61,505	\$93,573	\$156,685	\$57,110	\$99,575

Goodwill

The carrying amount of goodwill as of September 30, 2015 is as follows:

	Amount
Balance as of March 31, 2015	\$105,232
Goodwill acquired	19,459
Foreign currency translation impact	(1,969)
Balance as of September 30, 2015	\$122,722

The excess purchase price over the fair value of assets acquired is recorded as goodwill. Goodwill is tested for impairment on an annual basis, and between annual tests if indicators of potential impairment exist. We perform a qualitative analysis to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount, including goodwill. In addition to the qualitative analysis, we also perform a quantitative analysis using the income approach. Our annual impairment test will be performed during the fourth quarter of our fiscal year. The Sumac transaction was structured as an asset purchase and the \$9,255 in goodwill associated with that transaction will be deductible for tax purposes in Canada. All remaining goodwill at September 30, 2015 is not deductible for tax purposes.

During the three months ended September 30, 2015, we completed a restructuring of our Canadian operations in which we reduced approximately 34% of our Canadian workforce and closed two sales offices. The employee severance and office closure costs totaled \$578.

During the six months ended September 30, 2015, revenue from our organic Canadian operations (excluding our recent Sumac acquisition) has decreased by approximately 57% over the six months ended September 30, 2014.

We consider the recent decline in our Canadian business, which management believes is attributable to lower oil prices and the reduction of capital investments in the Canadian oil sands region, to be an indicator of potential asset impairments in our Canadian reporting unit. The goodwill balance in the Canadian reporting unit at September 30, 2015 is \$35,709 and the net intangible assets are \$26,621. During the three months ended September 30, 2015, we performed an interim goodwill and intangible asset impairment assessment of our Canadian reporting unit utilizing the income approach, based on discounted future cash flows, which are derived from internal forecasts and economic expectations. Based on the interim goodwill impairment assessment, the estimated fair value of the Canadian reporting unit exceeded the carrying value. As such, there was no impairment of goodwill or intangible assets as of September

30, 2015.

13

6. Accrued Liabilities

Accrued current liabilities consisted of the following:

	September 30, 2015	March 31, 2015
Accrued employee compensation and related expenses	\$7,410	\$11,040
Customer prepayment	284	633
Warranty reserve	349	429
Professional fees	1,390	1,568
Sales tax payable	1,669	1,058
Other	1,692	2,689
Total accrued current liabilities	\$12,794	\$17,417

7. Short-Term Revolving Credit Facilities

The Company's subsidiary in the Netherlands has a revolving credit facility in the amount of Euro 4,000 (equivalent to \$4,498 at September 30, 2015). The facility is collateralized by such subsidiary's receivables, inventory, equipment, furniture and real estate. No amounts were outstanding under this facility at September 30, 2015 or March 31, 2015.

The Company's subsidiary in India has a revolving credit facility in the amount of 80,000 Rupees (equivalent to \$1,217 at September 30, 2015). The facility is collateralized by such subsidiary's receivables, inventory, real estate, a letter of credit and cash. No amounts were outstanding under this facility at September 30, 2015 or March 31, 2015.

The Company's subsidiary in Australia has a revolving credit facility in the amount of \$325 Australian Dollars (equivalent to \$227 at September 30, 2015). The facility is collateralized by such subsidiary's real estate. No amounts were outstanding under this facility at September 30, 2015 or March 31, 2015.

The Company's subsidiary in Japan has a revolving credit facility in the amount of 45,000 Japanese Yen (equivalent to \$376 at September 30, 2015). No amounts were outstanding under this facility at September 30, 2015 or March 31, 2015.

Under the Company's senior secured revolving credit facility described below in Note 8, "Long-Term Debt," there were \$5,000 of outstanding borrowings at September 30, 2015 and no outstanding borrowings at March 31, 2015.

8. Long-Term Debt

Long-term debt consisted of the following:

	September 30, 2015	March 31, 2015
Variable Rate Term Loan, due April 2019	\$101,250	\$108,000
Less current portion	(13,500)	(13,500)
	\$87,750	\$94,500

Senior Secured Credit Facility

In August 2015, we entered into the second amendment (the "Amendment") to our amended and restated credit agreement, dated April 19, 2013. Under our credit facility, a component of our interest rate is dictated by our leverage ratio. Under the Amendment, the fixed portion of our interest rate, which is dictated by our leverage ratio, was reduced by 0.25% and our fee on undrawn amounts on our senior secured revolving credit facility was reduced by 0.05%. The maximum leverage ratio permitted for each fiscal quarter remained at 2.75 to 1.0. During the six months ended September 30, 2015, in connection with the Amendment, we incurred \$341 of fees, which we have deferred and will recognize as interest expense over the life of the term loan. During the six months ended September 30, 2014, we incurred \$290 of debt issuance costs in connection with the execution of the first amendment to our amended and restated credit agreement.

Under our credit facility, in no case shall availability exceed commitments thereunder. The credit facility will mature in April 2019. Any credit facility borrowings will bear interest, at our option, at a rate equal to either (i) a base rate determined by reference to the greatest of (a) JPMorgan Chase Bank's prime rate in New York City, (b) the federal funds effective rate in effect on such day plus ½ of 1% and (c) the adjusted LIBOR rate for a one month interest

period on such day plus 1%, in each case plus an applicable margin dictated by our leverage ratio, or (ii) the LIBOR rate, plus an applicable margin dictated by our

leverage ratio. Borrowings denominated in Canadian Dollars under the Canadian sub-facility bear interest at our option, at a rate equal to either (i) a base rate determined by reference to the greater of (a) JPMorgan Chase Bank, Toronto branch's prime rate and (b) the sum of (x) the yearly interest rate to which the one-month Canadian deposit offered rate is equivalent plus (y) 1.0%, in each case plus an applicable margin dictated by our leverage ratio, or (ii) a Canadian deposit offered rate determined by the sum of (a) the annual rate of interest determined with reference to the arithmetic average of the discount rate quotations of all institutions listed in respect of the relevant period for Canadian dollar-denominated bankers' acceptances plus (b) 0.10% per annum, plus an applicable margin dictated by our leverage ratio. In addition to paying interest on outstanding borrowings under our credit facility, we are currently required to pay a 0.25% per annum commitment fee to the lenders in respect of the unutilized commitments thereunder, which commitment fee could change based on our leverage ratio, and letter of credit fees equal to the LIBOR margin or the Canadian deposit offered rate, as applicable, on the undrawn amount of all outstanding letters of credit, in addition to a 0.125% annual fronting fee. At September 30, 2015, we had \$5,000 of outstanding borrowings under our senior secured revolving credit facility. The interest rate on outstanding borrowings as of September 30, 2015 was 2.00%. As of September 30, 2015, we had \$54,371 of capacity available under our senior secured revolving credit facility after taking into account the borrowing base, outstanding loan advances, borrowings and letters of credit. The variable rate secured term loan bears interest at the LIBOR rate plus an applicable margin dictated by our leverage ratio. As of September 30, 2015, our interest rate was 2.00%. The term loan includes monthly principal payments of \$1,125 through March 31, 2017, increasing to \$1,688 through the maturity date. The remaining \$40,500 is due in April 2019.

Interest rate swap. The Company entered into an interest rate swap to reduce the exposure to interest rate fluctuations associated with its variable rate secured term loan interest payments. Under the interest rate swap agreement, we pay a fixed amount and receive payments based on a variable interest rate. Under the terms of the Amendment and our interest rate swap, our interest rate on outstanding principal amounts was fixed at approximately 2.87%. We have hedged 100% of our interest payments on outstanding principal through April 2016. For the period from May 1, 2016 through April 30, 2018, interest payments based on the one-month LIBOR rate on approximately \$5,200 of average outstanding principal remain unhedged as of September 30, 2015, increasing to \$49,780 for the period from May 1, 2018 to April 19, 2019.

Guarantees; security. The obligations under our credit facility are guaranteed on a senior secured basis by each of our existing and future domestic restricted subsidiaries, including Thermon Industries, Inc., the U.S. borrower under our credit facility. The obligations under our credit facility are secured by a first priority perfected security interest in substantially all of our assets, subject to certain exceptions, permitted liens and encumbrances reasonably acceptable to the administrative agent under our credit facility.

Restrictive covenants. The credit facility contains various restrictive covenants that include restrictions or limitations on our ability to: incur additional indebtedness or issue disqualified capital stock unless certain financial tests are satisfied; pay dividends, redeem subordinated debt or make other restricted payments; make certain investments or acquisitions; issue stock of subsidiaries; grant or permit certain liens on our assets; enter into certain transactions with affiliates; merge, consolidate or transfer substantially all of our assets; incur dividend or other payment restrictions affecting certain of our subsidiaries; transfer or sell assets, including capital stock of our subsidiaries; and change the business we conduct. As of September 30, 2015, we were in compliance with all financial covenants of the credit facility.

9. Commitments and Contingencies

At September 30, 2015, the Company had in place letter of credit guarantees and performance bonds securing performance obligations of the Company. These arrangements totaled approximately \$12,979. Of this amount, \$1,400 is secured by cash deposits at the Company's financial institutions and an additional \$629 represents a reduction of the available amount of the Company's short and long term revolving lines of credit. Included in prepaid expenses and other current assets at September 30, 2015 and March 31, 2015 was approximately \$1,400 and \$1,388, respectively, of cash deposits pledged as collateral on performance bonds and letters of credit. Our Indian subsidiary also has \$5,217 in customs bonds outstanding to secure the Company's customs and duties obligations in India.

We are involved in various legal and administrative proceedings that arise from time to time in the ordinary course of doing business. Some of these proceedings may result in fines, penalties or judgments being assessed against us, which may adversely affect our financial results. In addition, from time to time, we are involved in various disputes, which may or may not be settled prior to legal proceedings being instituted and which may result in losses in excess of accrued liabilities, if any, relating to such unresolved disputes. As of September 30, 2015, management believes that adequate reserves have been

established for any probable and reasonably estimable losses. Expenses related to litigation reduce operating income. We do not believe that the outcome of any of these proceedings or disputes would have a significant adverse effect on our financial position, long-term results of operations, or cash flows. It is possible, however, that charges related to these matters could be significant to our results of operations or cash flows in any one accounting period.

The Company has no outstanding legal matters outside of matters arising in the ordinary course of business. We can give no assurances we will prevail in any of these matters.

10. Stock-Based Compensation Expense

Our board of directors has adopted and the shareholders have approved two stock option award plans. The 2010 Thermon Group Holdings, Inc. Restricted Stock and Stock Option Plan ("2010 Plan") was approved on July 28, 2010. The 2010 Plan authorized the issuance of 2,767,171 stock options or restricted shares (on a post-stock split basis). On April 8, 2011, the board of directors approved the Thermon Group Holdings, Inc. 2011 Long-Term Incentive Plan ("2011 LTIP"). The 2011 LTIP made available 2,893,341 shares of the Company's common stock that may be awarded to employees, directors or non-employee contractors as compensation in the form of stock options, restricted stock awards or restricted stock units.

At September 30, 2015, there were 441,726 options outstanding. For the three months ended September 30, 2015 and 2014, stock compensation expense was \$999 and \$816, respectively, and \$1,874 and \$1,372 for the six months ended September 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

During the three and six months ended September 30, 2015, 78,953 and 96,953 restricted stock units were issued to our employees, respectively. The aggregate grant date fair values as determined by the closing price of our stock on the respective grant dates were \$1,910 and \$2,343 for the three and six months ended September 30, 2015, respectively. The awards will be expensed on a straight-line basis over the service periods which range from one to three years. At each anniversary of the restricted stock unit's grant date, a proportionate number of stock units will become vested for the employees and the shares will become issued and outstanding.

We maintain a plan to issue our directors awards of fully vested common stock every three months for a total award over a twelve-month period of approximately \$385. During the three and six months ended September 30, 2015, 3,976 and 7,966 of fully vested common shares were issued to our directors, respectively. The aggregate grant date fair values as determined by the closing price of our common stock on the respective grant dates were \$96 and \$192 for the three and six months ended September 30, 2015, respectively. The fair value of the awards will be expensed on each grant date.

During the three months ended September 30, 2015, a target amount of 46,426 performance stock units were issued to certain members of our senior management that had a total grant date fair value of \$1,113. The performance indicator for these performance stock units is based on the market performance of our stock price, from the date of grant through March 31, 2018, relative to the market price performance of a pre-determined peer group of companies. Since the performance indicator is market-based, we use a Monte-Carlo valuation model to calculate the probable outcome of the performance measure to arrive at the fair value. The requisite service period required to earn the awards is through March 31, 2018. We will expense the fair value of the performance stock units over the service period on a straight-line basis whether or not the stock price performance condition is met. At the end of the performance period, the performance stock units will be evaluated with the requisite number of shares being issued. The possible number of shares that could be issued ranges from zero to 92,852 in the aggregate. Shares that are not awarded at the measurement date will be forfeited.

11. Income Taxes

For the six month periods ended September 30, 2015 and 2014, the Company recorded tax expense of \$5,508 on pre-tax income of \$17,015 and tax expense of \$4,853 on pre-tax income of \$28,135, respectively. During the six months ended September 30, 2015, the Company accrued additional deferred tax liability of \$455 due to an increase in the provincial tax rate in Alberta, Canada. The deferred tax liability relates primarily to amortizing and indefinite life intangibles allocated to our Canadian subsidiary. During the six months ended September 30, 2014, the Company released a deferred tax liability of \$3,224 for taxes accrued on previously undistributed foreign earnings that are no longer expected to be repatriated. Our anticipated annual effective tax rate before discrete events is approximately

29.4% and has been applied to our consolidated pre-tax income for the six months ended September 30, 2015. For the six months ended September 30, 2014, our tax provision reflected an annual effective tax rate before discrete events of 28.5%.

We have adopted a permanent reinvestment position whereby we expect to reinvest our foreign earnings for most of our foreign subsidiaries and do not expect to repatriate future earnings. As a result of the adoption of a permanent reinvestment position, we no longer accrue a tax liability in anticipation of future dividends from most of our foreign subsidiaries. The estimated annual effective tax rate for the fiscal year ending March 31, 2016 reflects the estimated taxable earnings of our various foreign subsidiaries and the applicable local tax rates, after accounting for certain permanent differences, such as nondeductible compensation expenses.

As of September 30, 2015, we have established a long-term liability for uncertain tax positions in the amount of \$1,914, of which \$1,119 is related to the IPI acquisition. We expect \$1,281 of the liability to be released in the third quarter of

fiscal 2016 ending on December 31, 2015, of which \$501 is related to the IPI acquisition. During the six months ended September 30, 2015, the Company recognized related accrued interest and penalties of \$47 as income tax expense.

As of September 30, 2015, the tax years 2011 through 2015 remain open to examination by the major taxing jurisdictions to which we are subject.

12. Geographic Information

We have defined our operating segments based on geographic regions. These regions share similar economic characteristics, product mix, customers and distribution methods. Accordingly, we have elected to aggregate these geographic regions into a single reportable segment.

Within our one reportable segment, we present additional detail for those countries or regions that generate significant revenue and operating income. For purposes of this note, revenue is attributed to individual countries or regions on the basis of the physical location and jurisdiction of organization of the subsidiary that invoices the material and services. Total sales and income from operations classified by major geographic area in which the Company operates are as follows:

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2015	Three Months Ended September 30, 2014	Six Months Ended September 30, 2015	Six Months Ended September 30, 2014
Sales by geographic area:				
United States (a)	\$34,611	\$26,749	\$63,109	\$48,740
Canada (b)	12,632	28,165	25,702	50,045
Europe (c)	15,245	15,958	31,596	29,652
Asia	7,446	8,161	14,750	18,263
	\$69,934	\$79,033	\$135,157	\$146,700
Income from operations:				
United States (a)	\$7,313	\$5,336	\$11,269	\$8,908
Canada (b)	1,205	10,447	2,535	17,344
Europe (c)	2,775	2,456	5,160	3,332
Asia	1,411	1,460	3,001	3,733
Unallocated:				
Stock compensation	(999) (816) (1,874) (1,372
Public company costs	(384) (411) (698) (713
	\$11,321	\$18,472	\$19,393	\$31,232

(a) IPI's sales and operating income from the date of acquisition are included in the United States geographic region for the three and six months ended September 30, 2015.

(b) Sumac's sales and operating income from the date of acquisition are included in the Canada geographic region for the three and six months ended September 30, 2015

(c) Unitemp's sales and operating income are included in the Europe geographic region for the three and six months ended September 30, 2015.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Introduction and Special Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

Management's discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations is provided as a supplement to the unaudited interim condensed consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes thereto for the three and six months ended September 30, 2015 and 2014 to help provide an understanding of our financial condition, changes in our financial condition and results of our operations. In this quarterly report, we refer to the three month periods ended September 30, 2015 and 2014 as Interim 2016 and Interim 2015, respectively, and the six month

periods ended September 30,

17

2015 and 2014 as YTD 2016 and YTD 2015, respectively. The following discussion should be read in conjunction with, and is qualified in its entirety by reference to, our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and related notes included in Item 1 above.

This quarterly report includes forward-looking statements within the meaning of the U.S. federal securities laws in addition to historical information. These forward-looking statements include, without limitation, statements regarding our industry, business strategy, plans, goals and expectations concerning our market position, future operations, margins, profitability, capital expenditures, liquidity and capital resources and other financial and operating information. When used in this discussion, the words “anticipate,” “assume,” “believe,” “budget,” “continue,” “could,” “estimate,” “expect,” “intend,” “may,” “plan,” “potential,” “predict,” “project,” “will,” “future” and similar terms and phrases are intended to represent forward-looking statements in this quarterly report.

Forward-looking statements reflect our current expectations regarding future events, results and outcomes. These expectations may or may not be realized. Some of these expectations may be based upon assumptions, data or judgments that prove to be incorrect. In addition, our business and operations involve numerous risks and uncertainties, many of which are beyond our control, which could result in our expectations not being realized or otherwise materially affect our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. The forward-looking statements include but are not limited to statements regarding: (i) our plans to strategically pursue emerging growth opportunities in diverse regions and across industry sectors; (ii) our plans to secure more new facility, or Greenfield, project bids; (iii) our ability to generate more facility maintenance, repair and operations or upgrades or expansions, or MRO/UE, revenue from our existing and future installed base; (iv) our ability to timely deliver backlog; (v) our ability to respond to new market developments and technological advances; (vi) our expectations regarding energy consumption and demand in the future and its impact on our future results of operations; (vii) our plans to develop strategic alliances with major customers and suppliers; (viii) our expectations that our revenues will continue to increase; and (ix) our belief in the sufficiency of our cash flows to meet our needs for the next year.

Actual events, results and outcomes may differ materially from our expectations due to a variety of factors. Although it is not possible to identify all of these factors, they include, among others, (i) general economic conditions and cyclicity in the markets we serve; (ii) future growth of energy and chemical processing capital investments; (iii) our ability to deliver existing orders within our backlog; (iv) our ability to bid and win new contracts; (v) competition from various other sources providing similar heat tracing products and services, or alternative technologies, to customers; (vi) changes in relevant currency exchange rates; (vii) potential liability related to our products as well as the delivery of products and services; (viii) our ability to comply with the complex and dynamic system of laws and regulations applicable to international operations; (ix) a material disruption at any of our manufacturing facilities; (x) our dependence on subcontractors and suppliers; (xi) our ability to obtain standby letters of credit, bank guarantees or performance bonds required to bid on or secure certain customer contracts; (xii) our ability to attract and retain qualified management and employees, particularly in our overseas markets; (xiii) our ability to continue to generate sufficient cash flow to satisfy our liquidity needs; and (xiv) the extent to which federal, state, local, and foreign governmental regulations of energy, chemical processing and power generation products and services limits or prohibits the operation of our business. See also Item 1A, “Risk Factors” for information regarding the additional factors that have impacted or may impact our business and operations in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2015 filed with the SEC on June 1, 2015 and in any subsequent Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q that we may file with the SEC. Any one of these factors or a combination of these factors could materially affect our future results of operations and could influence whether any forward-looking statements contained in this quarterly report ultimately prove true.

Our forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance, and actual results and future performance may differ materially from those suggested in any forward-looking statements. We do not intend to update these statements unless we are required to do so under applicable securities laws.

Overview

We are one of the largest providers of highly engineered thermal solutions for process industries. For more than 60 years, we have served a diverse base of thousands of customers around the world in attractive and growing markets,

including energy, chemical processing and power generation. We are a global leader and one of the few thermal solutions providers with a global footprint and a full suite of products and services required to deliver comprehensive solutions to complex projects. We serve our customers locally through a global network of sales and service professionals and distributors in more than 30 countries and through our four manufacturing facilities on three continents. These global capabilities and longstanding relationships with some of the largest multinational energy, chemical processing, power and engineering, procurement and construction or "EPC" companies in the world have enabled us to diversify our revenue streams and opportunistically access high growth markets worldwide. During YTD 2016 and YTD 2015, approximately 53% and 67% of our revenues were generated outside of the United States, respectively.

Revenue. Our revenues are derived from providing customers with a full suite of innovative and reliable heat tracing solutions, including electric and steam heat tracing, tubing bundles, control systems, design optimization, engineering services and installation services. Our sales are primarily to industrial customers for petroleum and chemical plants, oil and gas production facilities and power generation facilities. Our petroleum customers represent a significant portion of our business. We serve all three major categories of customers in the petroleum industry - upstream exploration/production, midstream transportation and downstream refining. Overall, demand for industrial heat tracing solutions falls into two categories: (i) new facility construction, which we refer to as Greenfield projects, and (ii) recurring maintenance, repair and operations and facility upgrades or expansions, which we refer to as MRO/UE. Greenfield construction projects often require comprehensive heat tracing solutions. We believe that Greenfield revenue consists of sales revenues by a customer in excess of \$1 million annually (excluding sales to resellers), and typically includes most orders for projects related to facilities that are new or that are built independent of existing facilities. We refer to sales revenues by a customer of less than \$1 million annually, which we believe are typically derived from MRO/UE, as MRO/UE revenue. Based on our experience, we believe that \$1 million in annual sales is an appropriate threshold for distinguishing between Greenfield revenue and MRO/UE revenue. However, we often sell our products to intermediaries or subcontract our services; accordingly, we have limited visibility into how our products or services may ultimately be used and can provide no assurance that our categorization may accurately reflect the sources of such revenue. Furthermore, our customers do not typically enter into long-term forward maintenance contracts with us. In any given year, certain of our smaller Greenfield projects may generate less than \$1 million in annual sales, and certain of our larger plant expansions or upgrades may generate in excess of \$1 million in annual sales, though we believe that such exceptions are few in number and insignificant to our overall results of operations.

We believe that our pipeline of planned projects, in addition to our backlog of signed purchase orders, provides us with strong visibility into our future revenue, as historically we have experienced few order cancellations, and the cancellations that have occurred in the past have not been material compared to our total contract volume or total backlog. The small number of order cancellations is attributable in part to the fact that a large portion of our solutions are ordered and installed toward the end of Greenfield project construction. Our backlog at September 30, 2015 was \$82.3 million as compared to \$75.7 million at March 31, 2015. The timing of recognition of revenue out of backlog is not always certain, as it is subject to a variety of factors that may cause delays, many of which are beyond our control (such as customers' delivery schedules and levels of capital and maintenance expenditures). When delays occur, the recognition of revenue associated with the delayed project is likewise deferred.

Cost of sales. Our cost of revenues includes primarily the cost of raw material items used in the manufacture of our products, cost of ancillary products that are sourced from external suppliers and construction labor cost. Additional costs of revenue include contract engineering cost directly associated to projects, direct labor cost, shipping and handling costs, and other costs associated with our manufacturing/fabrication shops. The other costs associated with our manufacturing/fabrication shops are mainly indirect production costs, including depreciation, indirect labor costs, and the costs of manufacturing support functions such as logistics and quality assurance. Key raw material costs include polymers, copper, stainless steel, insulating material, and other miscellaneous parts related to products manufactured or assembled as part of our heat tracing solutions. Historically, the costs of our primary raw materials have been stable and readily available from multiple suppliers, and we have been generally successful with passing along raw material cost increases to our customers. Therefore, increases in the cost of key raw materials of our products have not generally affected our gross margins. We cannot provide any assurance that we may be able to pass along such cost increases to our customers in the future, and if we are unable to do so, our results of operations may be adversely affected.

Operating expenses. Our marketing, general and administrative and engineering expenses are primarily comprised of compensation and related costs for sales, marketing, pre-sales engineering and administrative personnel, as well as other sales related expenses and other costs related to research and development, insurance, professional fees, the global integrated business information system, provisions for bad debts and warranty expense.

Key drivers affecting our results of operations. Our results of operations and financial condition are affected by numerous factors, including those described under the caption “Risk Factors” in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on June 1, 2015 and elsewhere in this quarterly report and those described below:

Timing of Greenfield projects. Our results of operations in recent years have been impacted by the various construction phases of large Greenfield projects. On our large Greenfield projects, we are typically designated as the heat tracing provider of choice by the project owner. We then engage with multiple contractors to address incorporating various heat tracing solutions throughout the overall project. Our largest Greenfield projects may generate revenue for several quarters. In the early stages of a Greenfield project, our revenues are typically realized from the provision of engineering services. In the middle stages, or the material requirements phase, we typically experience the greatest demand for our heat tracing cable, at which point our revenues tend to accelerate.

Revenues tend to decrease gradually in the final stages of a project and are generally derived from installation services and demand for electrical panels and other miscellaneous electronic components used in the final installation of heat tracing cable, which we frequently outsource from third-party manufacturers. Therefore, we typically provide a mix of products and services during each phase of a Greenfield project, and our margins fluctuate accordingly.

Cyclical nature of end-users' markets. Demand for our products and services depends in large part upon the level of capital and maintenance expenditures of our customers and end users, in particular those in the energy, chemical processing and power generation industries, and firms that design and construct facilities for these industries. These customers' expenditures historically have been cyclical in nature and vulnerable to economic downturns. Greenfield projects, and in particular large Greenfield projects (i.e., new facility construction projects generating in excess of \$5 million in annual sales), have been a substantial source of revenue growth in recent years, and Greenfield revenues tend to be more cyclical than MRO/UE revenues. A sustained decrease in capital and maintenance spending or in new facility construction by our customers could have a material adverse effect on the demand for our products and services and our business, financial condition and results of operations. During YTD 2016, we experienced a 49% revenue decline in our Canada region where the decline in the price of oil resulted in the postponement or suspension of a number of significant upstream exploration and production projects.

Acquisition strategy. Recently, we have begun executing on a strategy to grow the Company through the acquisition of businesses that are either in the heat tracing solutions industry or provide complementary products and solutions for the markets and customers we serve.

On March 2, 2015, we acquired substantially all of the operating assets and assumed certain operating liabilities of Unitemp located in Cape Town, South Africa. Unitemp had previously been a valued distributor of Thermon's thermal solutions for the South African market. In addition, Unitemp offers heating, sensing, portable instruments, monitoring and control solutions to industrial customers throughout Sub-Saharan Africa. Prior to the acquisition, Unitemp generated \$10.2 million (based on the average South African Rand to U.S. Dollar exchange rate during the period) in sales in their last full fiscal year ended February 28, 2015

On April 1, 2015, we acquired a 75% controlling interest in the business previously operated by Sumac. Based in Fort McMurray, Alberta, Canada, Sumac is a designer and fabricator of temporary electrical power distribution equipment that is used in hazardous-location and general purpose areas within industrial facilities. Prior to the acquisition, Sumac generated \$16.5 million (based on the average Canadian Dollar to U.S. Dollar exchange rate during the period) in sales in their last full fiscal year ended September 30, 2014.

On July 31, 2015, we acquired 100% of the capital stock of Industrial Process Insulators, Inc. ("IPI"). IPI is an insulation contractor located in Port Neches, Texas serving the refining, petrochemical, power and energy, marine, and pulp and paper industries in the United States, with a significant presence in the Texas and Louisiana Gulf Coast region. For the unaudited twelve month period ended June 30, 2015, IPI generated revenue of approximately \$22 million.

As a result of these acquisitions, our YTD 2016 results reflect \$12.4 million in revenue and \$1.6 million in income from operations contributed by our acquired businesses. We are currently in the process of finalizing the purchase price accounting for these acquisitions, which includes the valuation of amortizing intangible assets. YTD 2016 results include an estimate of these amortization amounts which are subject to finalization in future periods. See Note 5. "Acquisition, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets" to our unaudited interim condensed consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes thereto included above in Item 1. Financial Statements (Unaudited) of this quarterly report for information on these acquisitions.

We may refer to "organic revenue". This should be interpreted to mean our legacy business that is not related to any of our recently acquired businesses.

•

Impact of product mix. Typically, both Greenfield and MRO/UE customers require our products as well as our engineering and construction services. The level of service and construction needs will affect the profit margin for each type of revenue. We tend to experience lower margins from our design optimization, engineering, installation and maintenance services than we do from sales of our heating cable, tubing bundle and control system products. We also tend to experience lower margins from our outsourced products, such as electrical switch gears and

transformers, than we do from our manufactured products. Accordingly, our results of operations are impacted by our mix of products and services.

We estimate that Greenfield and MRO/UE related revenues have each made the following contribution as a percentage of revenue in the periods listed:

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended September			
	September 30,		30,			
	2015	2014	2015	2014		
Greenfield	34	% 38	% 37	% 39	%	
MRO/UE	66	% 62	% 63	% 61	%	

We believe that our analysis of Greenfield and MRO/UE is an important measurement to explain the trends in our business to investors. Greenfield revenue is an indicator of both our ability to successfully compete for new capital projects as well as the economic health of the industries we serve. Furthermore, Greenfield revenue provides a foundation for potential MRO/UE revenue in future years.

For MRO/UE orders, the sale of our manufactured products typically represents a higher proportion of the overall revenues associated with such orders than the provision of our services. Greenfield projects, on the other hand, require a higher level of our services than MRO/UE orders and often require us to purchase materials from third party vendors. Therefore, we typically realize higher margins from MRO/UE revenues than Greenfield revenues.

Large and growing installed base. Customers typically use the incumbent heat tracing provider for MRO/UE projects to avoid complications and compatibility problems associated with switching providers. With the significant Greenfield activity we have experienced in recent years, our installed base has continued to grow, and we expect that such installed base will continue to generate ongoing high margin MRO/UE revenues. For YTD 2016 and YTD 2015, MRO/UE sales comprised approximately 63% and 61% of our consolidated revenues, respectively.

Seasonality of MRO/UE revenues. Revenues realized from MRO/UE orders tend to be less cyclical than Greenfield projects and more consistent quarter over quarter, although MRO/UE revenues are impacted by seasonal factors.

MRO/UE revenues are typically highest during the second and third fiscal quarters, as most of our customers perform preventative maintenance prior to the winter season.

Recent Developments-Canadian operations. During the six months ended September 30, 2015, revenue from our organic Canadian operations (excluding our recent Sumac acquisition) has decreased by approximately 57% over the six months ended September 30, 2014. Lower crude oil prices over the last year have had a significant adverse impact on capital spending, particularly in the Canadian oil sands region, which in turn resulted in our recent decline in revenue in Canada. We believe that the recent revenue decline in our Canadian reporting unit is cyclical in nature and that our long term business model is sound. We cannot, however, provide any assurances regarding a recovery in our Canadian operations.

During the three months ended September 30, 2015, we completed a restructuring of our Canadian operations in which we reduced approximately 34% of our Canadian workforce and closed two sales offices. The employee severance and office closure costs totaled \$578. These spending reductions are intended to align the expected cost structure with future expected revenue levels.

We consider the recent decline in our Canadian business to be an indicator of potential asset impairments in our Canadian reporting unit. The goodwill balance in the Canadian reporting unit at September 30, 2015 is \$35.7 million and the net intangible assets are \$26.6 million. During the three months ended September 30, 2015, we performed an interim goodwill and intangible asset impairment assessment of our Canadian reporting unit utilizing the income approach, based on discounted future cash flows, which are derived from internal forecasts and economic expectations. A significant amount of management judgment is necessary to estimate our future cash flows which are used to measure fair value. Significant estimates and assumptions inherent in the valuations include current and anticipated market conditions, internal projections and operating plans which incorporate estimates for sales growth and profitability and the discount rates applied to our projected cash flows. Based on the interim goodwill and intangible asset impairment assessment, the estimated fair value of the Canadian reporting

unit exceeded the carrying value by less than 10%. As such, there was no impairment of goodwill or intangible assets as of September 30, 2015.

Changes in estimates and assumptions used to determine whether impairment exists or future declines in actual and forecasted operating results and/or market conditions in Canada, especially in energy markets, could indicate a need to reevaluate the fair value of our Canadian reporting unit and may ultimately result in an impairment to goodwill and/or indefinite-lived intangible assets of our Canadian reporting unit in future periods.

Results of Operations (Three-month periods ended September 30, 2015 and 2014)

The following table sets forth our consolidated statements of operations data for the three months ended September 30, 2015 and 2014 and indicates the amount of change and percentage change between periods.

	Three Months Ended September 30, (dollars in thousands)		Increase/ (Decrease)		
	2015	2014	\$	%	
Consolidated Statements of Operations Data:					
Sales	\$69,934	\$79,033	\$(9,099)	(12)	%
Cost of sales	36,580	37,812	(1,232)	(3)	%
Gross profit	\$33,354	\$41,221	\$(7,867)	(19)	%
Gross margin %	47.7	% 52.2	%		
Operating expenses:					
Marketing, general and administrative and engineering	\$16,716	\$19,192	\$(2,476)	(13)	%
Acquisition related contingent consideration accounted for as compensation (1)	1,290	—	\$1,290	—	%
Stock compensation expense	999	816	183	22	%
Amortization of intangible assets	3,028	2,741	287	10	%
Income from operations	\$11,321	\$18,472	\$(7,151)	(39)	%
Interest expense, net:					
Interest income	111	119	(8)	(7)	%
Interest expense	(878)	(1,069)	191	(18)	%
Accelerated amortization of debt costs	(302)	—	(302)	—	%
Amortization of debt costs	(111)	(119)	8	(7)	%
Interest expense, net	(1,180)	(1,069)	(111)	10	%
Other expense	(119)	(855)	736	(86)	%
Income before provision for income taxes	\$10,022	\$16,548	\$(6,526)	(39)	%
Income tax expense	3,041	4,800	(1,759)	(37)	%
Net income	\$6,981	\$11,748	\$(4,767)	(41)	%
Income attributable to non-controlling interests (2)	85	—	\$85	—	%
Net income available to Thermon Group Holdings, Inc.	\$6,896	11,748	\$(4,852)	(41)	%

(1) As part of the Sumac transaction, we issued the sellers a \$5.9 million non-interest bearing note ("performance note") that matures on April 1, 2016, with the actual amount payable at maturity ranging from zero up to a maximum of \$7.5 million Canadian dollars subject to the achievement of certain performance metrics during the twelve month period ending April 1, 2016. The terms of the performance based note include continued employment by Sumac's principals. This employment obligation results in the estimated payout being accounted for as compensation expense, which will be accrued on a ratable basis until the actual amount becomes determinable on April 1, 2016.

(2) Income attributable to 25% non-controlling equity interest in the Sumac business that was retained by sellers in the Sumac transaction.

Three Months Ended September 30, 2015 ("Interim 2016") Compared to the Three Months Ended September 30, 2014 ("Interim 2015")

Revenues. Revenues for Interim 2016 were \$69.9 million, compared to \$79.0 million in Interim 2015, a decrease of \$9.1 million or 12%. Our organic revenue was \$62.1 million and revenue contributed by our recently acquired Unitemp, Sumac and IPI businesses was \$7.8 million. There was an overall negative effect on our organic revenue due to foreign currency translation of \$6.4 million in Interim 2016 as compared to Interim 2015. Our revenue in the United States increased \$7.9 million or 29%, as we are experiencing increased activity with our petrochemical and power generation customers. Revenue in Canada decreased by \$15.5 million or 55%, as activity in the Canadian oil sands region has slowed significantly driven by the decline in crude oil prices. Interim 2016 revenue in Canada was negatively impacted by \$2.3 million due to the effect of foreign currency translation. Revenue decreased in Europe by \$0.7 million or 4% and in Asia by \$0.7 million or 9%, with both decreases attributable to the effect of foreign currency translation. Europe's and Asia's revenues were negatively impacted by \$2.7 million and \$1.2 million, respectively, due to fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates. Excluding our Canadian operations and adjusting for the impact of foreign currency translation on our revenue, our organic revenue would have been \$55.9 million in Interim 2016 as compared to \$50.9 million in Interim 2015, an increase of 10%.

For our organic business in Interim 2016, our sales mix was 34% Greenfield and 66% MRO/UE compared to 38% Greenfield and 62% MRO/UE in Interim 2015. The comparative decline in Greenfield is due to the decline in large Greenfield projects in the Canadian oil sands region.

Gross profit and margin. Gross profit totaled \$33.4 million in Interim 2016 compared to \$41.2 million in Interim 2015, a decrease of \$7.8 million or 19%. The decrease in gross profit is due to decreased overall revenue as well as a reduced gross margin percentage which decreased from 52.2% in Interim 2015 to 47.7% in Interim 2016. Our Interim 2016 gross margins were within our expected historical range of 45%-50%, whereas our Interim 2015 gross margins were above this range as we experienced manufacturing efficiencies as a result of our increased revenue as well as a favorable product mix. We expect our acquired businesses' margins to be slightly below our historical range.

Marketing, general and administrative and engineering. Marketing, general and administrative and engineering costs (excluding stock compensation expense and Sumac acquisition related compensation) were \$16.7 million in Interim 2016, compared to \$19.2 million in Interim 2015, a decrease of \$2.5 million or 13%. Marketing, general and administrative and engineering costs (excluding stock compensation expense and Sumac acquisition related compensation) were 23.9% of total revenues in Interim 2016 as compared to 24.3% in Interim 2015. In Interim 2016, \$1.9 million of marketing, general and administrative and engineering expenses were due to ongoing expenses of our recently acquired businesses. Excluding these acquisitions, our marketing, general and administrative costs decreased by \$4.4 million in Interim 2016 as compared to Interim 2015. The decline is partly associated with reduced employee bonus expense of \$2.6 million, as we did not meet our internal financial performance targets in Interim 2016 whereas in Interim 2015 we exceeded our financial performance targets. The remaining decrease in marketing, general and administrative and engineering costs primarily relates to strategic reductions in our workforce and discretionary spending due to decreased demand in certain geographies. In total, our base wages and benefits decreased by approximately \$0.7 million and discretionary spending decreased by approximately \$0.3 million in Interim 2016. We anticipate that these combined cost savings measures will reduce marketing, general and administrative expense by \$5.0 million over the next twelve months ended September 30, 2016. These cost savings measures were partially offset by a \$0.6 million restructuring charge in Canada related to accrued employee severance payments and future lease payments on facilities we will no longer use. The balance of reduced marketing, general and administrative and engineering costs are due to foreign currency translations.

During Interim 2016, we recorded \$1.3 million of acquisition related contingent consideration costs related to Sumac's \$5.9 million performance based note. Since the performance based note requires continued employment by Sumac's principals, the estimated cost will be expensed as compensation on a ratable basis until the performance note becomes determinable on April 1, 2016. See Note 5. "Acquisition, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets" to our unaudited consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in the quarterly report for further discussion.

Stock compensation expense increased by \$0.2 million in Interim 2016 from \$0.8 million in Interim 2015 to \$1.0 million in Interim 2016. The increase in stock compensation in Interim 2016 is primarily attributable to a grant offered to a newly hired member of our senior management team that has a one year vesting period.

Amortization of intangible assets. Amortization of intangible assets was \$3.0 million in Interim 2016 compared to \$2.7 million in Interim 2015, an increase of \$0.3 million. Our amortization of intangible assets increased \$0.5 million due to our recent acquisitions and was offset in part by a decrease in amortization associated with foreign currency fluctuations.

Interest expense, net. Interest expense, net, was \$1.2 million in Interim 2016, compared to \$1.1 million in Interim 2015, an increase of \$0.1 million. Interest expense on outstanding principal decreased \$0.2 million in Interim 2016 as compared to Interim 2015, primarily due to a \$13.5 million scheduled reduction of outstanding principal on our senior secured credit facility. During Interim 2016, we entered into the second amendment ("the Amendment") to our amended and restated credit agreement which will result in approximately \$0.3 million of annual interest expense reductions, beginning September 2015. In connection with the Amendment, we incurred a \$0.3 million acceleration of deferred debt issuance costs which are included as interest expense. Based on the amended terms of our credit agreement and our interest rate swap, we anticipate our interest rate on outstanding principal payments will remain fixed at 2.87% through April 2016.

Other expense. We incurred \$0.1 million and \$0.9 million of other expense in Interim 2016 and Interim 2015, respectively, a decrease of \$0.8 million. In both periods, other expense was primarily attributable to foreign currency transaction losses and losses on our foreign currency forward contracts. We incur foreign exchange gains and losses on the settlement of our intercompany transactions. We utilize foreign currency forward contracts to mitigate the risk of foreign exchange gains and losses on these transactions; however, we cannot provide assurance that these forward contracts will offset any gains or losses incurred on the settlement of intercompany transactions. See Item 3, "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risks" for further discussion of the foreign currency forward contracts.

Income taxes. We reported an income tax expense of \$3.0 million in Interim 2016 on pre-tax income of \$10.0 million, compared to an income tax expense of \$4.8 million in Interim 2015 on pre-tax income of \$16.5 million, a decrease of \$1.8 million. The decrease in income tax expense is due to reduced pre-tax income in Interim 2016. Our effective tax rate before discrete events was 29.4% and 28.5% in Interim 2016 and Interim 2015, respectively. The increase in our effective tax rate before discrete events in Interim 2016 as compared to Interim 2015 is due to an increase in pre-tax income in the United States and reduced pre-tax income in Canada where the corporate tax rates are 35% and 27%, respectively

Our anticipated annual effective tax rate of approximately 29.4% has been applied to our consolidated pre-tax income in calculating the amount of income tax expense for Interim 2016. This anticipated annual tax rate is established by estimating anticipated tax rates in each of the countries where we earn taxable income as adjusted for known differences as well as our ability to apply any jurisdictional tax losses to prior or future periods. See Note 11, "Income Taxes," to our unaudited consolidated financial statements, included elsewhere in this quarterly report, for further detail on income taxes.

Net income. Net income available to the Company, after non-controlling interest, was \$6.9 million in Interim 2016 as compared to net income of \$11.7 million in Interim 2015, a decrease of \$4.8 million or 41%. The decrease in Interim 2016 net income is primarily due to our decreased gross profit of \$7.9 million as a result of our revenue decline and our reduced gross margin percentage and, to a lesser extent, due to our \$0.3 million increase in amortization related to recent acquisitions and \$0.2 million increase in stock compensation expense. This decrease was offset in part by a \$2.5 million reduction in marketing, general and administrative and engineering costs, reduced losses of \$0.8 million on foreign currency transactions, and reduced income tax expense of \$1.8 million. In Interim 2016, we incurred \$1.3 million in acquisition related contingent consideration costs related to Sumac's \$5.9 million performance based note. Results of Operations (Six-month periods ended September 30, 2015 and 2014)

The following table sets forth our consolidated statements of operations data for the six months ended September 30, 2015 and 2014 and indicates the amount of change and percentage change between periods.

	Six Months Ended September 30,		Increase/ (Decrease)		
	2015	2014	\$	%	
(dollars in thousands)					
Consolidated Statements of Operations Data:					
Sales	\$ 135,157	\$ 146,700	\$(11,543)	(8)	%
Cost of sales	71,066	71,634	(568)	(1)	%
Gross profit	\$64,091	\$75,066	\$(10,975)	(15)	%
Gross margin %	47.4	% 51.2			%
Operating expenses:					
Marketing, general and administrative and engineering	\$34,314	\$36,970	\$(2,656)	(7)	%
Acquisition related contingent consideration accounted for as compensation (1)	2,666	—	2,666	—	%
Stock compensation expense	1,874	1,372	502	37	%
Amortization of intangible assets	5,844	5,492	352	6	%
Income from operations	\$19,393	\$31,232	\$(11,839)	(38)	%
Interest expense, net:					
Interest income	218	227	(9)	(4)	%
Interest expense	(1,787)	(2,249)	462	(21)	%
Accelerated amortization of debt costs	(302)	—	(302)	—	%
Amortization of debt costs	(220)	(237)	17	(7)	%
Interest expense, net	(2,091)	(2,259)	168	(7)	%
Other expense	(287)	(838)	551	(66)	%
Income before provision for income taxes	\$17,015	\$28,135	\$(11,120)	(40)	%
Income tax expense	5,508	4,853	655	13	%
Net income	\$11,507	\$23,282	\$(11,775)	(51)	%
Income attributable to non-controlling interests (2)	182	—	\$182	—	%
Net income available to Thermon Group Holdings, Inc.	\$11,325	\$23,282	\$(11,957)	(51)	%

(1) As part of the Sumac transaction, we issued the sellers a \$5.9 million non-interest bearing note ("performance note") that matures on April 1, 2016, with the actual amount payable at maturity ranging from zero up to a maximum of \$7.5 million Canadian dollars subject to the achievement of certain performance metrics during the twelve month period ending April 1, 2016. The terms of the performance based note include continued employment by Sumac's principals. This employment obligation results in the estimated payout being accounted for as compensation expense, which will be accrued on a ratable basis until the actual amount becomes determinable on April 1, 2016.

(2) Income attributable to 25% non-controlling equity interest in the Sumac business that was retained by sellers in the Sumac transaction.

Six Months Ended September 30, 2015 ("YTD 2016") Compared to the Six Months Ended September 30, 2014 ("YTD 2015")

Revenues. Revenues for YTD 2016 were \$135.2 million, compared to \$146.7 million for YTD 2015, a decrease of \$11.5 million or 8%. YTD 2016 includes \$12.4 million of revenue contributed by our recently acquired Unitemp, Sumac and IPI businesses. Revenues from our existing operations declined \$23.9 million, which includes the negative impact of \$12.4 million in comparative foreign currency translations. During YTD 2016 and YTD 2015, MRO/UE revenue represented 63% and 61% of total revenues, respectively, and Greenfield revenue represented 37% and 39% of total revenues, respectively.

In YTD 2016, revenue grew in our United States and Europe regions and declined in our Canada and Asia regions. Our recently acquired Unitemp, Sumac and IPI businesses are included in our Europe, Canada and United States regions,

25

respectively. YTD 2016 Revenue in the United States grew by \$14.4 million or 29%, attributable to continued strong activity in petrochemical and power generation. In Europe, YTD 2016 revenue increased \$1.9 million or 7%. Europe includes \$3.3 million of YTD 2016 revenue from our Unitemp business. Europe's organic YTD 2016 revenue was negatively impacted by \$6.2 million or 21% from foreign currency translations. YTD 2016 revenues in Canada declined \$24.3 million or 49%. YTD 2016 revenue in Canada includes \$4.9 million of revenue from our Sumac business. Our revenue decline in Canada is directly related to lower crude oil prices and the postponement or suspension of upstream exploration and production projects, particularly in the Canadian oil sands region. YTD 2016 revenues in Canada were also negatively impacted by \$3.7 million or 7% from foreign currency translations. YTD 2016 revenues in our Asia region declined \$3.5 million or 19%. The decline in Asia is attributable to short term project timing, the effects of foreign currency translation and a relatively strong comparison in YTD 2015.

Gross profit and margin. Gross profit totaled \$64.1 million in YTD 2016, compared to \$75.1 million in YTD 2015, a decrease of \$11.0 million or 15%. The decline in gross profit is partly due to the decline in revenue as well as a decline in our gross margins which were 47.4% and 51.2% in YTD 2016 and YTD 2015, respectively. Our YTD 2016 gross margins were within our historical range of 45%-50%, whereas our YTD 2015 gross margins were above this range as we experienced manufacturing efficiencies as a result of our increased revenues, as well as a favorable product mix. We expect our acquired businesses' margins to be slightly below our historical range.

Marketing, general and administrative and engineering. Marketing, general and administrative and engineering costs (excluding stock compensation expense and Sumac acquisition related compensation) were \$34.3 million in YTD 2016, compared to \$37.0 million in YTD 2015, a decrease of \$2.7 million or 7%. As a percentage of total revenue, marketing, general and administrative and engineering costs (excluding stock compensation expense and Sumac acquisition related compensation) were 25.4% and 25.2% in YTD 2016 and YTD 2015, respectively. In YTD 2016, \$3.1 million of marketing, general and administrative and engineering costs were due to ongoing expenses of our recently acquired businesses. Excluding these acquisitions, our marketing, general and administrative costs decreased by \$5.8 million in YTD 2016 as compared to YTD 2015. The decline is primarily driven by reduced employee bonus expense of \$3.4 million, as we did not meet our internal financial performance targets in YTD 2016, whereas in YTD 2015 we exceeded our financial performance targets.

Included in YTD 2016 marketing, general and administrative and engineering is a \$0.5 million reduction of expense to correct a prior period accounting error related to the withholding of vested equity awards to satisfy employees' withholding tax obligations. see Note 1. "Basis of Presentation and Accounting Policy Information." This correction of an error was offset by a \$0.6 million restructuring charge in Canada related to accrued employee severance payments and future lease payments on facilities we will no longer use.

During YTD 2016, we recorded \$2.7 million of acquisition related contingent consideration costs related to Sumac's \$5.9 million performance based note. Since the performance based note requires continued employment by Sumac's principals, the estimated cost will be expensed as compensation on a ratable basis until the performance note becomes determinable on April 1, 2016. See Note 5. "Acquisition, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets" to our unaudited consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in the quarterly report for further discussion.

Stock compensation expense increased \$0.5 million in YTD 2016 as our overall pool of unvested equity awards was higher in YTD 2016 and compared to YTD 2015.

Amortization of intangible assets. Amortization of intangible assets was \$5.8 million and \$5.5 million in YTD 2016 and YTD 2015, respectively. Our amortization of intangible assets increased \$0.3 million due to our recent acquisitions and was offset in part by a decrease in amortization associated with foreign currency translation.

Interest expense. Interest expense, net, was \$2.1 million in YTD 2016, compared to \$2.3 million in YTD 2015, a decrease of \$0.2 million. Interest expense on outstanding principal decreased \$0.5 million in YTD 2016 as compared to YTD 2015. The decrease is attributed to a \$13.5 million scheduled reduction of outstanding principal on our senior secured credit facility as well as interest rate reductions realized from the first and second amendments to our credit agreement. In August 2015, we completed the Amendment to our credit agreement which will result in approximately \$0.3 million in annual interest expense reductions. In connection with the Amendment, we incurred a \$0.3 million acceleration of deferred debt issuance costs which are included as interest expense. Based on the terms of our interest rate swap, we anticipate our interest rate on outstanding principal payments will remain fixed at 2.87% through April

2016.

Other expense. Other expense was \$0.3 million in YTD 2016 compared to \$0.8 million in YTD 2015, a decrease of \$0.5 million. Other expense was primarily comprised of losses from our foreign exchange transactions and our foreign currency forward contracts. We incur foreign exchange gains and losses on the settlement of our intercompany transactions. We utilize foreign currency forward contracts to mitigate the risk of foreign exchange gains and losses on these transactions; however, we cannot provide assurance that these forward contracts will offset any gains or losses incurred on the settlement of intercompany

26

transactions. See Item 3. “Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risks” for further discussion of the foreign currency forward contracts.

Income taxes. Income tax expense was \$5.5 million in YTD 2016 on pre-tax income of \$17.0 million compared to an income tax expense of \$4.9 million in YTD 2015 on pre-tax net income of \$28.1 million, an increase of \$0.6 million. In YTD 2016, the provincial tax rate in Alberta, Canada increased which resulted in incremental income tax expense of \$0.5 million due to an increase in our deferred tax liabilities. During YTD 2015, we recorded an income tax benefit of \$3.2 million related to the release of a deferred tax liability for undistributed foreign earnings that we no longer expect to repatriate. Our income tax rate before discrete events was 29.4% and 28.5% in YTD 2016 and YTD 2015, respectively. The increase in our effective tax rate before discrete events in YTD 2016 as compared to YTD 2015 is due to an increase in pre-tax income in the United States, and reduced pre-tax income in Canada, where the corporate tax rates are 35% and 27%, respectively.

Our anticipated annual effective tax rate of approximately 29.4% has been applied to our consolidated pre-tax income in calculating the amount of income tax expense for YTD 2016. This anticipated annual tax rate is established by estimating anticipated tax rates in each of the countries where we earn taxable income as adjusted for known differences as well as our ability to apply any jurisdictional tax losses to prior or future periods. See Note 11, “Income Taxes,” to our unaudited consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this quarterly report, for further detail on income taxes.

Net income. Net income available to the Company, after non-controlling interest, was \$11.3 million in YTD 2016, compared to \$23.3 million in YTD 2015, a decrease of \$12.0 million. Our gross profit in YTD 2016 decreased \$11.0 million due to reduced revenue and a reduced gross margin percentage. This decrease was offset in part by a reduction in marketing, general and administrative and engineering expenses in YTD 2016 of \$2.7 million, primarily due to reduced incentive bonus expense. In YTD 2016, we incurred \$2.7 million of acquisition related contingent consideration related to Sumac's \$5.9 million performance based note. The decrease in YTD 2016 net income available to the Company was also due to increases in amortization, stock compensation and income tax expenses of \$0.3 million, \$0.5 million and \$0.6 million, respectively, in the same period.

Contractual Obligations and Contingencies

Contractual Obligations. The following table summarizes our significant contractual payment obligations as of September 30, 2015 and the effect such obligations are expected to have on our liquidity position assuming all obligations reach maturity.

	TOTAL	Payment due by period (dollars in thousands)			
		Less than 1 Year	1 - 3 Years	3 - 5 Years	More than 5 Years
Variable rate term loan(1)	\$101,250	\$13,500	\$37,125	\$50,625	
Interest payments on variable rate term loan(2)	6,957	2,732	3,730	495	
Borrowings under revolving credit facility (3)	5,000	5,000			
Operating lease obligations(4)	8,048	2,610	2,966	1,287	1,185
Information technology services agreements(5)	1,751	1,146	605		
Total	\$123,006	\$24,988	\$44,426	\$52,407	\$1,185

(1) Consists of monthly scheduled principal payments under our credit facility of \$1.1 million through March 31, (1)2017; increasing in April 2017 to \$1.7 million through maturity with a lump-sum payment of \$40.5 million due in April 2019.

(2) Consists of estimated future term loan interest payments at an interest rate of 2.87% based on our interest rate swap agreement (a) through April 30, 2016 and (b) after April 30, 2016 through the April 2019 maturity date, at a blended interest rate based on the amount of interest payments on outstanding principal that are fixed through our interest rate swap and our interest rate on LIBOR-based borrowings of 2.00% as of September 30, 2015 has been applied to any unhedged future interest payments.

(3) Consists of borrowings under our revolving line of credit facility. As of September 30, 2015, the interest rate on outstanding borrowings was 2.0%.

27

(4) We enter into operating leases in the normal course of business. Our operating leases include the leases on certain of our manufacturing and warehouse facilities, in addition to certain offices of our affiliates.

(5) Represents the future annual service fees associated with certain information technology service agreements with several vendors.

Contingencies. We are involved in various legal and administrative proceedings that arise from time to time in the ordinary course of doing business. Some of these proceedings may result in fines, penalties or judgments being assessed against us, which may adversely affect our financial results. In addition, from time to time, we are involved in various disputes, which may or may not be settled prior to legal proceedings being instituted and which may result in losses in excess of accrued liabilities, if any, relating to such unresolved disputes. As of September 30, 2015, management believes that adequate reserves have been established for any probable and reasonably estimable losses. Expenses related to litigation reduce operating income. We do not believe that the outcome of any of these proceedings or disputes would have a significant adverse effect on our financial position, long-term results of operations, or cash flows. It is possible, however, that charges related to these matters could be significant to our results of operations or cash flows in any one accounting period.

The Company has no outstanding legal matters outside of matters arising in the ordinary course of business. We can give no assurances we will prevail in any of these matters.

To bid on or secure certain contracts, we are required at times to provide a performance guaranty to our customers in the form of a surety bond, standby letter of credit or foreign bank guaranty. At September 30, 2015, we had in place standby letters of credit, bank guarantees and performance bonds totaling \$13.0 million to support our various customer contracts. Our Indian subsidiary also has \$5.2 million in customs bonds outstanding to secure the Company's customs duties obligations in India.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our primary sources of liquidity are cash flows from operations and funds available under our revolving credit facility and other revolving lines of credit. Our primary liquidity needs are to finance our working capital, capital expenditures and debt service needs.

Cash and cash equivalents. At September 30, 2015, we had \$61.7 million in cash and cash equivalents. We maintain cash and cash equivalents at various financial institutions located in many countries throughout the world.

Approximately \$7.0 million, or 11%, of these amounts were held in domestic accounts with various institutions and approximately \$54.7 million, or 89%, of these amounts were held in accounts outside of the United States with various financial institutions.

Senior secured credit facility. See Note 8, "Long-Term Debt—Senior Secured Credit Facility" to our unaudited interim condensed consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes thereto included above in Item 1. Financial Statements (Unaudited) of this quarterly report for information on our revolving credit facility and senior secured debt, which is hereby incorporated by reference into this Item 2. At September 30, 2015, we had \$5.0 million of outstanding borrowings under our revolving credit facility and \$54.4 million of available capacity thereunder, after taking into account the borrowing base, outstanding loan advances, and borrowings and letters of credit. From time to time, we may choose to utilize our revolving credit facility to fund operations, acquisitions or other investments despite having cash available within our consolidated group in light of the cost, timing and other business considerations. During Interim 2016, we borrowed \$5.0 million under our revolving credit facility to finance a portion of the purchase price for the IPI acquisition.

As of September 30, 2015, we had \$101.3 million outstanding on our variable rate term loan. The credit agreement, as amended by the first and second amendments, requires monthly principal payments of \$1.1 million through March 31, 2017. Thereafter, monthly principal payments increase to \$1.7 million through the remaining term of the loan with a lump-sum payment of \$40.5 million due at maturity in April 2019.

Interest rate swap. The Company entered into an interest rate swap to reduce the exposure to interest rate fluctuations associated with its variable rate term loan. Under the interest rate swap agreement, we pay a fixed amount and receive payments based on a variable interest rate. Since the execution of the Amendment to our credit facility in August 2015, our interest expense on outstanding principal amounts was fixed at approximately 2.87%. We have hedged 100% of our interest payments on outstanding principal through April 2016. For the period from May 1, 2016 through

April 30, 2018, interest payments based on the one-month LIBOR rate on approximately \$5.2 million of average outstanding principal remain unhedged, increasing to \$49.8 million for the period from May 1, 2018 to April 19, 2019. Guarantees; security. The obligations under our credit facility are guaranteed on a senior secured basis by each of our existing and future domestic restricted subsidiaries, including Thermon Industries, Inc., the U.S. borrower under our credit

facility. The obligations under our credit facility are secured by a first priority perfected security interest in substantially all of our assets, subject to certain exceptions, permitted liens and encumbrances reasonably acceptable to the administrative agent under our credit facility.

Restrictive covenants. The credit facility contains various restrictive covenants that, among other things, restrict, subject to certain negotiated exceptions, our ability to: incur additional indebtedness or issue disqualified capital stock unless certain financial tests are satisfied; pay dividends, redeem subordinated debt or make other restricted payments; make certain investments or acquisitions; issue stock of subsidiaries; grant or permit certain liens on our assets; enter into certain transactions with affiliates; merge, consolidate or transfer substantially all of our assets; incur dividend or other payment restrictions affecting certain of our subsidiaries; transfer or sell assets, including capital stock of our subsidiaries; and change the business we conduct.

Repatriation considerations. A substantial portion of our cash flows are generated by our non-U.S. subsidiaries. In general, when an entity in a foreign jurisdiction repatriates cash to the United States, the amount of such cash is treated as a dividend taxable at current U.S. tax rates. Accordingly, upon the distribution of cash to us from our non-U.S. subsidiaries, we will be subject to U.S. income taxes. Although foreign tax credits may be available to reduce the amount of the additional tax liability, these credits may be limited based on our tax attributes.

We have estimated that domestic U.S. cash flow will be sufficient to service our future debt service obligations and therefore we have adopted a permanent reinvestment position whereby we expect to permanently reinvest our foreign earnings for most of our foreign subsidiaries and do not expect to repatriate future earnings generated by our foreign operations. As a result of this policy, we will not accrue a tax liability in anticipation of future dividends from most of our foreign subsidiaries. If we were to repatriate foreign earnings, we would incur additional income tax expense.

Since we have established a permanent reinvestment policy on foreign earnings, we have not established a deferred tax liability for the U.S. tax associated with potential repatriation of most foreign earnings. At the end of our last fiscal year on March 31, 2015, we had not provided for U.S. federal income taxes and foreign withholding taxes on approximately \$106 million of available earnings in our foreign subsidiaries that are expected to be indefinitely invested. Future tax law changes or changes in the needs of our foreign subsidiaries could cause us to reconsider our policy and repatriate such earnings to the U.S. in the form of dividends. Any such dividends would be limited to the actual cash or assets available at our foreign subsidiaries, which are also subject to foreign currency fluctuations.

Upon repatriation, the U.S. tax liability would be reduced by any foreign taxes already paid. We estimate that the ultimate tax liability for the repatriation of our foreign earnings would be in the range of \$8 million to \$10 million.

Future capital requirements. Based on our current level of operations, we believe that cash flow from operations and available cash, together with available borrowings under our credit facility, will be adequate to meet our liquidity needs for the next 12 months. We cannot assure you, however, that our business will generate sufficient cash flow from operations or that future borrowings will be available to us in an amount sufficient to enable us to service our indebtedness, including our credit facility borrowings, or to fund our other liquidity needs. In addition, upon the occurrence of certain events, such as a change of control, we could be required to repay or refinance our indebtedness. We cannot assure you that we will be able to refinance any of our indebtedness, including our credit facility, on commercially reasonable terms or at all.

For our fiscal year 2016, we continue to expect to invest approximately \$9.1 million on planned investments related to the expansion of our tube bundle manufacturing facility, our multi-year ERP upgrade, translation services on our various global websites and maintenance capital expenditures. With the purchase of Sumac, we invested an additional \$1.3 million during YTD 2016 for temporary power products that were or will be deployed to our customers on a rental basis. We expect to continue to make additional investments in rental temporary power products during the remainder of fiscal 2016 as demand requires.

Net cash provided by operating activities totaled \$11.6 million for YTD 2016, compared to \$17.7 million provided in YTD 2015, a decrease of \$6.1 million or 34%. In YTD 2016, net income decreased by \$11.8 million from \$23.3 million in YTD 2015 to \$11.5 million in YTD 2016. Non-cash reconciling items such as depreciation and amortization, amortization of debt costs, stock compensation expense, changes in deferred taxes and other non-cash items were \$9.9 million in YTD 2016 and \$4.1 million in YTD 2015, a difference of \$5.8 million. The change is

primarily related to release of a \$3.2 million deferred tax liability for undistributed foreign earnings we no longer expect to repatriate that occurred in YTD 2015.

In YTD 2016, our current assets decreased representing a source of cash of \$0.1 million, whereas in YTD 2015 our current assets increased representing a use of cash of \$19.9 million, a comparative improvement of \$20.0 million. This improvement is primarily attributed to the change in our accounts receivable balance due to the timing of our billings and collections and represented a source of cash of \$4.4 million in YTD 2016 and a use of cash of \$13.0 million in YTD 2015. In

both periods, our inventories grew in preparation for the winter months as most of our customers perform preventative maintenance prior to the winter season. Inventory grew \$3.5 million in YTD 2016 and \$4.9 million in YTD 2015. Cost and estimated earnings in excess of billings on uncompleted contracts was a source of cash of \$0.7 million in YTD 2016 and a use of cash of \$0.4 million in YTD 2015, which is primarily attributed to timing of billings on our turnkey projects. Our combined balance of accounts payable, accrued liabilities and other non-current liabilities was a use of cash of \$7.3 million in YTD 2016 and source of cash of \$8.2 million in YTD 2015, a decrease of \$15.5 million. Payments related to our annual incentive bonus and changes in the related accrual balances represented a comparative use of cash of \$8.3 million. Changes in our income taxes payable and receivable balances represented a comparative use of cash of \$4.6 million.

Net cash used in investing activities totaled \$37.8 million and \$1.6 million for YTD 2016 and YTD 2015, respectively. In YTD 2016 and YTD 2015, we purchased \$6.7 million and \$1.6 million, respectively, of property, plant and equipment. The \$5.1 million increase in the purchase of property, plant and equipment is primarily related to costs incurred for the expansion of our tubing bundle and warehouse facilities, located in San Marcos, Texas, where we have spent \$3.1 million in YTD 2016 toward the completion of these facilities. Additionally, Sumac purchased \$1.3 million in property, plant and equipment primarily related to equipment to be leased. In YTD 2016, we paid \$31.2 million to acquire Sumac and IPI, net of cash acquired.

Net cash used in financing activities totaled \$3.1 million used in YTD 2016 and \$5.2 million used in YTD 2015, reflecting a decrease in the use of cash of \$2.1 million. In both periods, the use of cash is primarily attributable to payments made on our variable rate term loan, which was \$6.8 million in both periods. In YTD 2016, we borrowed \$5.0 million under our revolving credit facility which we utilized to help fund the acquisition of IPI. In YTD 2015, we received a benefit of \$1.4 million for tax deductions related to stock option exercises, whereas in YTD 2016 our benefit was \$0.1 million. During YTD 2016 and YTD 2015, we received \$0.2 million and \$0.5 million from stock option exercises, respectively. In YTD 2016, the Company withheld \$1.2 million of vested shares to satisfy their employees' withholding tax obligations upon the vesting of equity awards.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

As of September 30, 2015, we do not have any off balance sheet arrangements. In addition, we do not have any interest in entities referred to as variable interest entities, which includes special purposes entities and other structured finance entities.

Effect of Inflation

While inflationary increases in certain input costs, such as wages, have an impact on our operating results, inflation has had minimal net impact on our operating results during the last three years, as overall inflation has been offset by increased selling prices and cost reduction actions. We cannot assure you, however, that we will not be affected by general inflation in the future.

Critical Accounting Policies

See Part I, Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2015 for a discussion of the Company's critical accounting policies and estimates.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

See Note 1, "Basis of Presentation and Accounting Policy Information" to our unaudited interim condensed consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes thereto included above in Item 1. Financial Statements (Unaudited) of this quarterly report for information on recent accounting pronouncements, which is hereby incorporated by reference into this Item 2.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Our primary market risk exposures are the effect of fluctuations in foreign exchange rates, interest rates and commodity prices.

Foreign currency risk relating to operations. We transact business globally and are subject to risks associated with fluctuating foreign exchange rates. Approximately 53% of our YTD 2016 consolidated revenue was generated by sales from our non-U.S. subsidiaries. Our non-U.S. subsidiaries generally sell their products and services in the local

currency, but obtain

30

a significant amount of their products outside their geography, primarily from the United States, Canada or Europe. Significant changes in the relevant exchange rates could adversely affect our margins on foreign sales of products. Our non-U.S. subsidiaries incur most of their expenses (other than intercompany expenses) in their local functional currency. These currencies include the Canadian Dollar, Euro, British Pound, Russian Ruble, Australian Dollar, South Korean Won, Chinese Renminbi, Indian Rupee, Mexican Peso, Japanese Yen, South African Rand and Brazilian Real.

During YTD 2016, our largest exposures to foreign exchange rates consisted primarily of the Canadian Dollar and the Euro against the U.S. dollar. The market risk related to the foreign currency exchange rates is measured by estimating the potential impact of a 10% change in the value of the U.S. dollar relative to the local currency exchange rates. The rates used to perform this analysis were based on a weighted average of the market rates in effect during the relevant period. A 10% appreciation of the U.S. dollar relative to the Canadian Dollar would result in a decrease in net income of \$82 thousand for YTD 2016. Conversely, a 10% depreciation of the U.S. dollar relative to the Canadian Dollar would result in an increase in net income of \$67 thousand for YTD 2016. A 10% appreciation of the U.S. dollar relative to the Euro would result in a decrease in net income of \$0.4 million for YTD 2016. Conversely, a 10% depreciation of the U.S. dollar relative to the Euro would result in an increase in net income of \$0.3 million for YTD 2016.

The geographic areas outside the United States in which we operate are generally not considered to be highly inflationary. Nonetheless, these foreign operations are sensitive to fluctuations in currency exchange rates arising from, among other things, certain intercompany transactions that are generally denominated in U.S. dollars rather than their respective functional currencies. The net impact of foreign currency transactions on our condensed consolidated statements of operations were losses of \$0.3 million and \$0.8 million in YTD 2016 and YTD 2015, respectively.

As of September 30, 2015, we had approximately \$11.4 million in notional forward contracts to reduce our exposure to foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations. These forward contracts were in place to offset in part the foreign currency exchange risk to intercompany payables due from our foreign operations to be settled in U.S. dollars. See Note 2, "Fair Value Measurements" to our unaudited interim condensed financial statements and accompanying notes thereto included above in Item 1. Financial Statements (Unaudited) of this quarterly report for further information regarding our foreign currency forward contracts, as described below.

Because our consolidated financial results are reported in U.S. dollars, and we generate a substantial amount of our sales and earnings in other currencies, the translation of those results into U.S. dollars can result in a significant decrease in the amount of those sales and earnings. In addition, fluctuations in currencies relative to the U.S. dollar may make it more difficult to perform period-to-period comparisons of our reported results of operations. In YTD 2016, we estimate that our sales were negatively impacted by \$12.4 million when compared to foreign exchange translation rates that were in effect in YTD 2015. Foreign currency impact on revenue is calculated by comparing actual current period revenue in U.S. dollars to the theoretical U.S. Dollar revenue we would have achieved based on the weighted-average foreign exchange rates in effect in the comparative prior periods for all applicable foreign currencies. We were adversely affected by foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations in all geographies in which we operate with the most significant impacts attributed to the appreciation of the U.S. dollar relative to the Canadian Dollar and the Euro. At each balance sheet date, we translate our assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currency to U.S. dollars. The balances of our foreign equity accounts are translated at their historical value. The difference between the current rates and the historical rates are posted to our currency translation account and reflected in the shareholders' equity section of our condensed consolidated balance sheets. The unrealized effects of foreign currency translations were losses of \$7.3 million and \$6.9 million in YTD 2016 and YTD 2015, respectively. Currency translation gains or losses are reported as part of comprehensive income or loss which is after net income in the condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive income (unaudited). As discussed above, foreign currency transactions gains and losses are the result of the settlement of payables and receivables in foreign currency. These gains or losses are included in net income or loss as part of other income and expense in the condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive income (loss) (unaudited).

Interest rate risk and foreign currency risk relating to debt. The interest rate for the variable rate term loan was 2.00% as of September 30, 2015. We entered into an interest rate swap agreement which fixed our interest payments on

outstanding principal. Based on the terms of our interest rate swap and the Amendment, our interest rate is fixed at approximately 2.87% through April 2016. For the period from May 1, 2016 through April 30, 2018, interest payments based on the one-month LIBOR rate on approximately \$5.2 million of average outstanding principal remain unhedged as of September 30, 2015, increasing to \$49.8 million for the period from May 1, 2018 to April 19, 2019. Borrowings on our revolving credit facility will incur interest expense that is variable in relation to the LIBOR rate. At September 30, 2015, the interest rate on amounts outstanding on our revolving credit facility was approximately 2.00%. During the six months ended September 30, 2015, we drew \$5.0 million on our revolving credit facility to help fund the acquisition of IPI. Based on historical balances on our revolving credit facility, we do not anticipate that a one percent increase or decrease in our interest rate would have a

significant impact on our operations. We cannot provide any assurances that historical revolver borrowings (if any) will be reflective of our future use of the revolving credit facility.

As of September 30, 2015, we had \$101.3 million of outstanding principal on our variable rate LIBOR-based term loan. Based on the outstanding borrowings, a 1% change in the interest rate would result in a \$1.0 million increase or decrease in our annual interest expense. Although we cannot provide assurance, we believe that the increase or decrease in interest rates will be largely offset by gains or losses from our variable to fixed interest rate swap.

Commodity price risk. We use various commodity-based raw materials in our manufacturing processes. Generally, we acquire such components at market prices and do not typically enter into long-term purchase commitments with suppliers or hedging instruments to mitigate commodity price risk. As a result, we are subject to market risks related to changes in commodity prices and supplies of key components of our products. Historically, the costs of our primary raw materials have been stable and readily available from multiple suppliers. Typically, we have been able to pass on raw material cost increases to our customers. We cannot provide any assurance, however, that we may be able to pass along such cost increases to our customers or source sufficient amounts of key components on commercially reasonable terms or at all in the future, and if we are unable to do so, our results of operations may be adversely affected.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Controls and Procedures

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, we have evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of its disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Rule 13a-15(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act") as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report. Based on that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report, these disclosure controls and procedures were effective to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed in the reports filed or submitted under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the last fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II

OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

There have been no material changes from the legal proceedings previously disclosed in Item 1 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 2015 filed with the SEC on June 1, 2015.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no material changes from the risk factors previously disclosed in Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2015 filed with the SEC on June 1, 2015.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

There were no unregistered sales of our equity securities during the three months ended September 30, 2015.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable

Item 5. Other Information

None.

Item 6. Exhibits

See Exhibit Index on the page immediately following the signature page hereto for a list of exhibits filed as part of this quarterly report, which Exhibit Index is incorporated herein by reference.

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

	THERMON GROUP HOLDINGS, INC. (registrant)
Date: November 5, 2015	By: /s/ Jay Peterson
	Name: Jay Peterson
	Title: Chief Financial Officer
	(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit Number	Description
10.1	Second Amendment to Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated August 26, 2015 by and among Thermon Industries, Inc. and Thermon Canada Inc., as borrowers, the other credit parties named therein, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., Toronto Branch, as administrative agents, and the other financial institutions and entities party thereto*
31.1	Certification of Rodney Bingham, Chief Executive Officer, pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002*
31.2	Certification of Jay Peterson, Chief Financial Officer, pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002*
32.1	Certification of Rodney Bingham, Chief Executive Officer, pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002*
32.2	Certification of Jay Peterson, Chief Financial Officer, pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002*
101	Interactive Data Files Pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T: (i) Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets, (ii) Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income (Loss), (iii) Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows and (iv) Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements *

* Filed herewith