Tree.com, Inc. Form POS AM August 20, 2008

OuickLinks -- Click here to rapidly navigate through this document

As filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 20, 2008

Registration No. 333-152700

# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

# Post-Effective Amendment No. 1

to

## Form S-1

REGISTRATION STATEMENT UNDER THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933

# TREE.COM, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

**Delaware** (State or other jurisdiction of

incorporation or organization)

6163 (Primary Standard Industrial Classification Code Number) 26-2414818 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

11115 Rushmore Drive Charlotte, NC 28277 (704) 541-5351

(Address, including zip code, and telephone number, including area code, of registrant's principal executive offices)

Scott Cammarn
Senior Vice President and General Counsel
Tree.com, Inc.
11115 Rushmore Drive
Charlotte, NC 28277
(704) 541-5351

(Name, address, including zip code, and telephone number, including area code, of agent for service) *With a copy to:* 

Pamela S. Seymon Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz 51 West 52<sup>nd</sup> Street New York, NY 10019 (212) 403-1000

## Approximate date of commencement of proposed sale to the public:

As soon as practicable after this registration statement becomes effective.

If any of the securities being registered on this Form are to be offered on a delayed or continuous basis pursuant to Rule 415 under the Securities Act of 1933, check the following box. b

If this Form is filed to register additional securities for an offering pursuant to Rule 462(b) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering.

If this Form is a post-effective amendment filed pursuant to Rule 462(c) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering.

If this Form is a post-effective amendment filed pursuant to Rule 462(d) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated Accelerated Non-accelerated Smaller reporting filer o filer b company o

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

The Registrant hereby amends this Registration Statement on such date or dates as may be necessary to delay its effective date until the Registrant shall file a further amendment which specifically states that this Registration Statement shall thereafter become effective in accordance with Section 8(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 or until the Registration Statement shall become effective on such date as the Commission, acting pursuant to Section 8(a), may determine.

#### EXPLANATORY NOTE

On or about August 20, 2008, IAC/InterActiveCorp, a Delaware corporation ("IAC"), expects to distribute (the "Tree Spin-Off") to its stockholders all of the outstanding shares of Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share (the "Tree Common Stock"), of Tree.com, Inc., a Delaware corporation ("Tree" or the "Registrant"). The Registration Statement on Form S-1 covers securities to be offered on a delayed or continuous basis pursuant to Rule 415 under the Securities Act of 1933, and the Registrant has undertaken to file post-effective amendments to the Registration Statement for certain items.

This Post-Effective Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement on Form S-1 (the "Registration Statement") includes Annex A, which contains the following information relating to financial information relating to the period ended June 30, 2008:

	Page
Capitalization	A-1
Selected Historical Financial Data	A-2
Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	A-3
Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of	
Operations	A-10
·	
Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	A-27
Unaudited Interim Financial Statements:	
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended	
June 30, 2008 and 2007	A-28
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007	A-29
Consolidated Statement of Shareholders' Equity for the six months ended	
June 30, 2008	A-30
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2008	
and 2007	A-31
Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements	A-32

#### **PROSPECTUS**

# Tree.com, Inc.

#### 16,654,428 Shares of Common Stock, Par Value \$0.01 Per Share

This prospectus is being furnished to you as a stockholder of IAC in connection with the spin-off by IAC/InterActiveCorp to its stockholders of HSN, Inc. ("HSNi"), Interval Leisure Group, Inc. ("ILG), Ticketmaster and Tree.com, Inc. ("Tree.com" or the "Company") (each, a "Spinco" and collectively, the "Spincos"), each a wholly-owned subsidiary of IAC that at the time of its spin-off will hold directly or indirectly the assets and liabilities associated with the following businesses:

HSNi: HSN TV, HSN.com, and the Cornerstone Brands, Inc. portfolio of catalogs, websites and retail locations;

ILG: the businesses currently comprising IAC's Interval segment;

Ticketmaster: Ticketmaster's primary domestic and international operations, as well as certain investments in unconsolidated affiliates; and

Tree.com: the businesses currently comprising IAC's Lending and Real Estate segments.

To implement the spin-offs, IAC, the Company and the other Spincos will effect a series of restructuring transactions following which IAC will distribute all of the outstanding shares of common stock of the Spincos to the holders of IAC common stock and/or Class B common stock. Each of you, as a holder of IAC common stock and/or Class B common stock, will receive one-fifth of a share of common stock of HSNi, one-fifth of a share of common stock of ILG, one-fifth of a share of common stock of Tree.com for every share of IAC common stock and/or Class B common stock that you held at the close of business on August 11, 2008, the record date for the spin-offs. The spin-offs will be effective following the close of the market on August 20, 2008, unless otherwise determined by IAC's board of directors.

Immediately after the spin-off of Tree.com is completed, Tree.com will be a separate public company. All of the outstanding shares of the common stock of Tree.com are currently owned by IAC. Accordingly, there currently is no public trading market for the common stock of Tree.com. Tree.com has been approved to list its common stock under the ticker symbol "TREE" on the NASDAQ Stock Market.

No vote of IAC stockholders is required in connection with the Tree.com spin-off. Neither IAC nor the Company is asking you for a proxy, and you are not requested to send us a proxy. IAC stockholders will not be required to pay any consideration for the shares of common stock of the Company they receive in the spin-off, and they will not be required to surrender or exchange shares of their IAC common stock and/or Class B common stock or take any other action in connection with the spin-off.

In reviewing this prospectus, you should carefully consider the matters described under the caption "Risk Factors" beginning on page 8 of this prospectus.

NEITHER THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION NOR ANY STATE SECURITIES COMMISSION HAS APPROVED OR DISAPPROVED OF THESE SECURITIES OR PASSED UPON THE ACCURACY OR ADEQUACY OF THIS PROSPECTUS. ANY REPRESENTATION TO THE CONTRARY IS A CRIMINAL OFFENSE.

The date of this prospectus is August 20, 2008.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Summary	2
Questions and Answers about Tree.com and the Spin-Offs	4
Risk Factors Risk Factors Relating to Our Spin-Off From IAC	8
Risk Factors Risk Factors Relating to Our Business Following Tree.com's Spin-Off From IAC	11
Forward-Looking Statements	20
The Separation	22
General	22
The Number of Shares You Will Receive in the Tree.com Spin-Off	22
When and How You Will Receive the Dividend	22
Results of the Separation	23
Material U.S. Federal Income Tax Consequences of the Spin-Offs	23
Market for Common Stock of Tree.com	27
Trading Before the Distribution Date	27
Conditions to the Spin-Offs	28
Reasons for the Separation	29
Litigation with Liberty Media Corporation	30
Financial Advisor	30
Treatment of Outstanding IAC Compensatory Equity-Based Awards	31
Dividend Policy	32
Transfers to IAC and Financing	33
Certain Information With Respect To Tree.com	34
Business of Tree.com	34
Capitalization	49
Selected Historical Financial Data	50
Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Financial Statements	51
Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of Tree.com	58
Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	84
Management of Tree.com	85
Tree.com Executive Compensation	89
Tree.com Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management	101
Description of Capital Stock of Tree.com	103
Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions	107
Description of the Stock and Annual Incentive Plan	115
Use of Proceeds	118
Determination of Offering Price	118
Legal Matters	118
Experts	118
Where You Can Find More Information	119
Tree.com, Inc. and Subsidiaries Consolidated Financial Statements Table of Contents	F-1
Annex A	A-1
i	

This prospectus describes the businesses of the Company as though they were its businesses for all historical periods described. However, the Company is a newly formed entity that has not conducted any operations prior to the spin-off and instead will have had such businesses transferred to it prior to the spin-off. References in this prospectus to the historical assets, liabilities, products, businesses or activities of the businesses of the Company are intended to refer to the historical assets, liabilities, products, businesses or activities of the relevant businesses as those businesses were conducted as part of IAC prior to the spin-off. Following the spin-off, the Company will be a separate, publicly traded company, and IAC will have no continuing stock ownership in the Company. The historical consolidated financial information of the Company as part of IAC contained in this prospectus is not necessarily indicative of its future financial position, future results of operations or future cash flows, nor does it reflect what the financial position, results of operations or cash flows of the Company would have been had it been operated as a stand-alone company during the periods presented.

You should not assume that the information contained in this prospectus is accurate as of any date other than the date set forth on the cover. Changes to the information contained in this prospectus may occur after that date and the Company does not undertake any obligation to update the information unless required to do so by law.

1

#### **SUMMARY**

This summary highlights selected information from this prospectus and may not contain all the information that may be important to you. Accordingly, you are encouraged to read carefully the entire prospectus, its annexes and the documents filed as exhibits to the Company's registration statement on Form S-1, of which this prospectus is a part.

Except as otherwise indicated or unless the context otherwise requires, (i) "Spinco" refers to any of HSNi, ILG, Ticketmaster and Tree.com and their respective subsidiaries, (ii) "Spincos" refers to all of the foregoing collectively, (iii) "IAC/InterActiveCorp" and "IAC" refer to IAC/InterActiveCorp and its consolidated subsidiaries other than, for all periods following the spin-offs, the Spincos, (iv) "HSNi" refers to HSN, Inc., (v) "ILG" refers to Interval Leisure Group, Inc., (vi) "Tree.com," the "Company," "we," "our" or "us" refers to Tree.com, Inc. and (vii) "Spin-Off," "spin-off" or "distribution" refers to the distribution by IAC of the common stock of the Company, and the "spin-offs," the "distributions" or the "separation" refers collectively to the distribution by IAC of the common stock of the Company and the other Spincos, as more fully described in this prospectus.

#### **Company Information**

Tree.com was incorporated in Delaware in April 2008. Its principal offices are located at 11115 Rushmore Drive, Charlotte, NC 28277. Its main telephone number is 704-541-5351.

#### **Business of Tree.com**

Through its various subsidiaries, Tree.com currently operates a lending business (the "Lending Business") and a real estate business (the "Real Estate Business"). The Lending Business consists of online networks, principally LendingTree.com and GetSmart.com, as well as call centers, which match consumers with lenders and loan brokers. In addition, the Lending Business originates, processes, approves and funds various types of residential real estate loans under two brand names, LendingTree Loans® and HomeLoanCenter.com®, and offers residential mortgage loan settlement services under the name LendingTree Settlement Services. The Real Estate Business consists primarily of an internet-enabled national residential real estate brokerage that currently operates offices in 14 markets under the brand name "RealEstate.com, REALTORS." The Real Estate Business also consists of a brokerage that matches residential home buyers interested in newly constructed homes with builders and currently operates under the brand name "iNest®."

#### **Businesses of the Other Spincos**

HSNi. HSNi owns and operates, through its subsidiaries, HSN, a retailer and interactive lifestyle network offering a broad assortment of products through television home shopping programming on the HSN television network and HSN.com. HSN strives to transform the shopping experience by incorporating experts, entertainment, inspiration, solutions, tips and ideas in connection with the sale of products through the HSN television network and HSN.com. HSNi also owns and operates, through its subsidiaries, the Cornerstone Brands portfolio of catalogs and related websites, including Frontgate, Ballard Designs, Garnet Hill, Smith+Noble, The Territory Ahead, TravelSmith and Improvements, as well as a limited number of retail stores.

*ILG*. ILG is a leading provider of membership services to the vacation ownership industry, which is a segment of the broader hospitality industry. Vacation ownership is a term used to describe the shared ownership of vacation real estate and includes those businesses which develop, manage, operate and sell vacation interests (*i.e.*, the ownership or use of accommodations at a given property or properties, together with associated amenities and facilities for a specified period of time). ILG's principal business segment, Interval, makes available vacation ownership membership services to individual members of its exchange networks, which allows such members to exchange the use and

occupancy of their vacation interest for comparable, alternative accommodations at the same or another resort participating in an Interval exchange network and provides such members with certain value-added products and services depending on the program and country of residence. Interval also makes available related services to developers of the resorts participating in its exchange networks worldwide. ILG's other business segment, RQH, was acquired in May 2007 and is a provider of vacation rental and property management services to vacationers and vacation property owners across Hawaii.

Ticketmaster. As the world's leading live entertainment ticketing and marketing company, Ticketmaster connects the world to live entertainment. Ticketmaster currently operates in 20 countries worldwide, providing ticket sales, ticket resale services, marketing and distribution through www.ticketmaster.com and related proprietary Internet and mobile channels, independent sales outlets and call centers worldwide. Established in 1976, Ticketmaster serves clients across multiple live event categories, providing exclusive ticketing services for leading arenas, stadiums, amphitheaters, music clubs, concert promoters, professional sports franchises and leagues, college sports teams, performing arts venues, museums and theaters.

#### Overview of the Separation

On July 1, 2008, the Board of Directors of IAC approved a plan to separate IAC into five separate, publicly traded companies via the distribution of all of the outstanding shares of common stock of the Spincos, each a wholly-owned subsidiary of IAC, with each Spinco having a single class of common stock. At the time of the spin-offs, the Spincos will hold directly or indirectly the assets and liabilities associated with the following businesses:

HSNi: HSN TV, HSN.com, and the Cornerstone Brands, Inc. portfolio of catalogs, websites and retail locations;

ILG: the businesses currently comprising IAC's Interval segment;

Ticketmaster: Ticketmaster's primary domestic and international operations, as well as certain investments in unconsolidated affiliates; and

Tree.com: the businesses currently comprising IAC's Lending and Real Estate segments.

Unless otherwise indicated or the context otherwise requires, references in this prospectus to the businesses of HSNi, ILG, Ticketmaster and Tree.com respectively refer to the businesses described above.

Immediately following the spin-offs, IAC primarily will be engaged in the business and operations relating to (i) Ask.com, Citysearch, IAC Advertising Solutions, Evite and Funweb Products; (ii) Match.com, ServiceMagic and Shoebuy.com; (iii) its emerging businesses, including Black Web Enterprises, BustedTees, CollegeHumor, GarageGames, Gifts.com, Green.com, InstantAction, Primal Ventures, Pronto, Very Short List, Vimeo and 23/6; and (iv) certain investments in unconsolidated entities.

Prior to the spin-offs, we will enter into a Separation and Distribution Agreement and several other agreements with IAC and the other Spincos to effect the separation of the Spincos and provide a framework for the relationships of the Spincos with IAC and each other. Immediately following the spin-offs, IAC stockholders will own 100% of the outstanding common stock of each of the Spincos.

#### **OUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT TREE.COM AND THE SPIN-OFFS**

Why are the spin-offs structured as dividends?

IAC believes that a tax-free distribution of shares of the Spincos to IAC stockholders is a tax-efficient way to separate HSNi, ILG, Ticketmaster and Tree.com from the rest of IAC in a manner that will create long-term value for IAC stockholders.

How will the Tree.com spin-off occur?

IAC will distribute to its stockholders via dividend all of the outstanding shares of common stock of Tree.com owned by IAC, which will be 100% of the common stock of Tree.com outstanding immediately prior to the spin-off.

How many shares of Tree.com will I receive?

Unless otherwise determined by the IAC Board of Directors prior to the distribution date, for every share of IAC common stock or Class B common stock held by you as of the record date, you will receive one-thirtieth of a share of common stock of Tree.com. IAC will not distribute any fractional shares of Tree.com common stock to its stockholders. Instead, the distribution agent will aggregate fractional shares into whole shares, sell the whole shares in the open market at prevailing market prices and distribute the aggregate net cash proceeds of the sales pro rata to each holder who otherwise would have been entitled to receive a fractional share in the spin-off. Recipients of cash in lieu of fractional shares will not be entitled to any interest on the amounts of payment made in lieu of fractional shares. The number of shares that IAC will distribute to its stockholders will be reduced to the extent that cash payments are to be made in lieu of the issuance of fractional shares of Tree.com common stock.

Can IAC decide not to complete the Tree.com spin-off?

Yes. The IAC Board of Directors has reserved the right, in its sole discretion, to amend, modify or abandon the spin-offs and related transactions at any time prior to the distribution date. This means that IAC has the right not to complete the spin-off of any or all of the Spincos if, at any time, the IAC Board of Directors determines, at its sole discretion, that the spin-off is not in the best interests of IAC or its stockholders. Alternatively, the IAC Board of Directors may determine to delay the spin-off of one or more of the Spincos, in which event the spin-offs may not occur simultaneously. In addition, the spin-offs are subject to the satisfaction or waiver of a number of conditions. See "The Separation Conditions to the Spin-offs."

What is the record date for the Tree.com spin-off?

The record date for determining stockholders entitled to receive the shares of Tree.com in the spin-off is the close of business on August 11, 2008.

What is the distribution date for the Tree.com Spin-off?

The distribution date for distributing the shares of common stock of Tree.com under the spin-off is August 20, 2008. However, the IAC Board of Directors may determine to delay the spin-off.

4

What other transactions affecting Tree.com are occurring with the spin-off?

It is currently expected that, at the time of the spin-off, Tree.com will have approximately \$110 million in cash, which would mean a \$55 million cash contribution by IAC based upon March 31, 2008 balances. See "Transfers to IAC and Financing."

In addition, IAC expects to effect a reverse stock split following the spin-offs, as described under "The Separation Results of the Separation."

What are the U.S. federal income tax consequences of the spin-offs to IAC stockholders?

In addition to the opinion set forth in "The Separation Material U.S. Federal Income Tax Consequences of the Spin-Offs", IAC has requested and expects to receive, prior to effecting any of the spin-offs, a private letter ruling from the Internal Revenue Service (the "IRS") and/or an opinion of counsel satisfactory to the IAC Board of Directors regarding the qualification of the spin-offs, together with certain related transactions, as transactions that are generally tax free for U.S. federal income tax purposes under Sections 355 and/or 368(a)(1)(D) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"). If the private letter ruling is received prior to the spin-offs, IAC expects to receive an opinion of counsel regarding certain aspects of the transaction that are not covered by the private letter ruling. If the private letter ruling is not received prior to the spin-offs, IAC expects to receive an opinion of counsel regarding the qualification of the spin-offs as transactions that are generally tax free for U.S. federal income tax purposes under Sections 355 and/or 368(a)(1)(D) of the Code. Assuming the spin-offs qualify as transactions that are generally tax free for U.S. federal income tax purposes under Sections 355 and/or 368(a)(1)(D) of the Code, for U.S. federal income tax purposes, no gain or loss will be recognized by you, and no amount will be included in your income, upon the receipt of shares of Spinco common stock pursuant to the spin-offs, except with respect to any cash received in lieu of a fractional share of Spinco common stock. For more information, see "The Separation Material U.S. Federal Income Tax Consequences of the Spin-Offs," included elsewhere in this prospectus.

What will the relationships among IAC and each of the Spincos be following the spin-offs?

Prior to the spin-offs, we will enter into a Separation and Distribution Agreement and several other agreements with IAC and the other Spincos to effect the spin-offs and provide a framework for the relationships of each of the Spincos with IAC and the other Spincos. These agreements will govern our relationships with IAC and the other Spincos subsequent to the completion of the spin-off. See "Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions Relationships Among IAC and the Spincos."

Will I receive physical certificates representing shares of common stock of Tree.com following the separation?

No. Following the separation, neither IAC nor Tree.com will be issuing physical certificates representing shares of the common stock of Tree.com. Instead, IAC, with the assistance of The Bank of New York, the distribution agent, will electronically issue shares of Tree.com common stock to you or to your bank or brokerage firm on your behalf by way of direct registration in book-entry form. The Bank of New York will mail you a book-entry account statement that reflects your shares of Tree.com common stock, or your bank or brokerage firm will credit your account for the shares.

What if I want to sell my IAC common stock or my common stock in Tree.com?

You should consult with your financial advisors, such as your stockbroker or bank. Neither IAC nor Tree.com makes any recommendations on the purchase, retention or sale of shares of IAC common stock or the Spinco common stock to be distributed.

If you decide to sell any shares before the spin-offs, you should make sure your stockbroker, bank or other nominee understands whether you want to sell your IAC shares or Spinco shares you will receive in the spin-offs or both.

Where will I be able to trade shares of the common stock of Tree.com?

There is not currently a public market for the common stock of Tree.com. We have been approved to list our common stock on the NASDAQ Stock Market, or "NASDAQ," under the symbol "TREE." On August 12, 2008 trading in shares of our common stock began on a "when-issued" basis and will continue up to and including through the distribution date and we anticipate that "regular-way" trading in shares of our common stock will begin on the first trading day following the distribution date. You may purchase or sell your Tree.com common stock up to and including through the distribution date on a "when-issued" basis, but your transaction will not settle until after the distribution date. You will not be required to make any payment, surrender or exchange your shares of IAC common stock and/or Class B common stock or take any other action to receive your shares of Tree.com common stock.

Will the number of IAC shares I own change as a result of the spin-offs?

No. The number of shares of IAC common stock you own will not change as a result of the spin-offs. However, in connection with the spin-offs, and as described under "The Separation Results of the Separation," IAC expects to effect a reverse stock split following the spin-offs.

What will happen to the listing of IAC common stock?

Nothing. IAC common stock will continue to be traded on NASDAQ under the symbol "IACI."

6

Which businesses will be retained by IAC following the spin-offs?

Immediately following the spin-offs, IAC primarily will be engaged in the business and operations relating to (i) Ask.com, Citysearch, IAC Advertising Solutions, Evite, and Funweb Products; (ii) Match.com, ServiceMagic and Shoebuy.com; (iii) its emerging businesses, including Black Web Enterprises, BustedTees, CollegeHumor, GarageGames, Gifts.com, Green.com, InstantAction, Primal Ventures, Pronto, Very Short List, Vimeo and 23/6; and (iv) certain investments in unconsolidated entities.

Are there risks to owning Tree.com common stock?

Yes. Our business is subject to both general and specific risks relating to our business, leverage, relationship with IAC and being a separate publicly traded company. Our business is also subject to risks relating to the separation. These risks are described in the "Risk Factors" section of this prospectus beginning on page 8. You are encouraged to read that section carefully.

Is there any additional financial information available for the Company?

Yes. IAC has filed with the SEC a Form 10-Q for the Quarter Ended June 30, 2008. The IAC 10-Q contains more detailed information about the Company's financial results for the three month and six month periods then ended. You can obtain this information by contacting IAC Investor Relations as indicated below. However, you should be aware that the financial information about the Company in the IAC filing has not been prepared on the same basis as the financial information included elsewhere in this Prospectus.

Where can IAC stockholders get more information?

Before the spin-offs, if you have any questions relating to the spin-offs, you should contact:

IAC Investor Relations 555 West 18th Street New York, NY 10011 Tel: (212) 314-7400 Fax: (212) 314-7379

ir@iac.com

Is Liberty Media Corporation challenging the spin-offs?

No. Liberty Media Corporation and IAC have agreed to a single-tiered voting structure for each of the Spincos and the Spinco governance provisions as set forth under "Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions Agreements with Liberty Media Corporation."

7

#### RISK FACTORS

#### RISK FACTORS RELATING TO OUR SPIN-OFF FROM IAC

After our spin-off from IAC, we may be unable to make the changes necessary to operate effectively as a separate public entity.

Following our spin-off from IAC, IAC will have no obligation to provide financial, operational or organizational assistance to us, other than limited services pursuant to a transition services agreement that we will enter into with IAC and the other Spincos in connection with the spin-offs. As a separate public entity, we will be subject to, and responsible for, regulatory compliance, including periodic public filings with the SEC and compliance with NASDAQ's continued listing requirements, as well as generally applicable tax and accounting rules. We may be unable to implement successfully the changes necessary to operate as an independent public entity.

We expect to incur increased costs relating to operating as an independent company that could cause our cash flow and results of operations to decline.

We expect that the obligations of being a public company, including substantial public reporting and investor relations obligations, will require new expenditures, place new demands on our management and will require the hiring of additional personnel. We may need to implement additional systems that require new expenditures in order to adequately function as a public company. Such expenditures could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

In addition, IAC's businesses, by virtue of being under the same corporate structure, currently share economies of scope and scale in costs, human capital, vendor relationships and customer relationships with the businesses that we and the other Spincos will own following the spin-offs. The increased costs resulting from the loss of these benefits could have an adverse effect on us.

If one or more spin-offs, together with certain related transactions, were to fail to qualify as a transaction that is generally tax free for U.S. federal income tax purposes under Sections 355 and/or 368(a)(1)(D) of the Code, IAC, the Spincos and IAC stockholders may be subject to significant tax liabilities.

In addition to the opinion set forth in "The Separation Material U.S. Federal Income Tax Consequences of the Spin-Offs", IAC expects to receive a private letter ruling from the IRS and/or an opinion of counsel satisfactory to the IAC Board of Directors regarding the qualification of the spin-offs, together with certain related transactions, as transactions that are generally tax free for U.S. federal income tax purposes under Sections 355 and/or 368(a)(1)(D) of the Code. If the private letter ruling is received prior to the spin-offs, IAC expects to receive an opinion of counsel regarding certain aspects of the transaction that are not covered by the private letter ruling. If the private letter ruling is not received prior to the spin-offs, IAC expects to receive an opinion of counsel regarding the qualification of the spin-offs as transactions that are generally tax free for U.S. federal income tax purposes under Section 355 and/or Section 368(a)(1)(D) of the Code, and opinions from its external tax advisors regarding the U.S. federal income tax consequences to IAC of certain related matters and transactions, and certain state tax consequences to IAC of the spin-offs. The IRS private letter ruling and the opinions will be based on, among other things, certain assumptions as well as the accuracy of certain representations and statements that IAC and the Spincos make to the IRS and to counsel or IAC's external tax advisors. If any of these representations or statements are, or become, inaccurate or incomplete, or if IAC or the Spincos breach any of their respective covenants, the IRS private letter ruling and/or the opinions may be invalid.

Moreover, as noted above, the IRS private letter ruling would not address all the issues that are relevant to determining whether the spin-offs qualify as transactions that are generally tax free for U.S. federal income tax purposes. Notwithstanding the IRS private letter ruling and/or opinion of counsel,

the IRS could determine that one or more of the spin-offs should be treated as a taxable distribution if it determines that any of the representations, assumptions or undertakings that were included in the request for the IRS private letter ruling is false or has been violated or if it disagrees with the conclusions in the opinion of counsel that are not covered by the IRS ruling.

If one or more spin-offs were to fail to qualify as a transaction that is generally tax free for U.S. federal income tax purposes under Sections 355 and/or 368(a)(1)(D) of the Code, then IAC generally would recognize gain in an amount equal to the excess of (i) the fair market value of the Spinco common stock distributed to the IAC stockholders in such taxable spin-off over (ii) IAC's tax basis in the common stock of such Spinco. In addition, each IAC stockholder who received Spinco common stock in such taxable spin-off generally would be treated as having received a taxable distribution in an amount equal to the fair market value of the Spinco common stock received (including any fractional share sold on behalf of the stockholder) in such spin-off, which would be taxable as a dividend to the extent of the stockholder's ratable share of IAC's current and accumulated earnings and profits (as increased to reflect any current income, including any gain, recognized by IAC on the taxable spin-off). The balance, if any, of the distribution would be treated as a nontaxable return of capital to the extent of the IAC stockholder's tax basis in its IAC stock, with any remaining amount being taxed as capital gain. For more information, see "The Separation Material U.S. Federal Income Tax Consequences of the Spin-Offs," included elsewhere in this prospectus.

Under the Tax Sharing Agreement that we will enter into with IAC and the other Spincos, each Spinco generally would be required to indemnify IAC and the other Spincos for any taxes resulting from the spin-off of such Spinco (and any related interest, penalties, legal and professional fees, and all costs and damages associated with related stockholder litigation or controversies) to the extent such amounts resulted from (i) any act or failure to act by such Spinco described in the covenants in the Tax Sharing Agreement, (ii) any acquisition of equity securities or assets of such Spinco or a member of its group, or (iii) any breach by such Spinco or any member of its group of any representation or covenant contained in the separation documents or in the documents relating to the IRS private letter ruling and/or tax opinions. The ability of IAC or the other Spincos to collect under these indemnity provisions will depend on the financial position of the indemnifying party. See "Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions Tax Sharing Agreement."

In addition, the IRS could disagree with or challenge the conclusions reached in one or more of the tax opinions that IAC expects to receive with respect to certain related matters and transactions. In such case, IAC could recognize material amounts of taxable income or gain.

#### The market price and trading volume of Tree.com securities may be volatile and may face negative pressure.

There is currently no trading market for any Tree.com securities. Investors may decide to dispose of some or all of the Tree.com securities that they receive in the Tree.com spin-off. Tree.com securities issued in the Tree.com spin-off will be trading publicly for the first time. Until, and possibly even after, orderly trading markets develop for these securities, there may be significant fluctuations in price. It is not possible to accurately predict how investors in Tree.com's securities will behave after the Tree.com spin-off. The market price for Tree.com's securities following the Tree.com spin-off may be more volatile than the market price of IAC securities before the spin-off. The market price of Tree.com's securities could fluctuate significantly for many reasons, including the risks identified in this prospectus or reasons unrelated to our performance. These factors may result in short- or long-term negative pressure on the value of the Tree.com securities.

After our spin-off from IAC, our securities may not qualify for placement in investment indices. In addition, our securities may fail to meet the investment guidelines of institutional investors. In either case, these factors may negatively impact the price of our securities and may impair our ability to raise capital through the sale of securities.

Some of the holders of IAC securities are index funds tied to NASDAQ or other stock or investment indices, or are institutional investors bound by various investment guidelines. Companies are generally selected for investment indices, and in some cases selected by institutional investors, based on factors such as market capitalization, industry, trading liquidity and financial condition. As an independent company, we will initially have a lower market capitalization than IAC has today. As a result, our securities may not qualify for those investment indices. In addition, the securities that are received in the Tree.com spin-off may not meet the investment guidelines of some institutional investors. Consequently, these index funds and institutional investors may have to sell some or all of the securities they receive in the Tree.com spin-off, and the price of our securities may fall as a result. Any such decline could impair our ability to raise capital through future sales of securities.

#### Financing We may have future capital needs and may not be able to obtain additional financing on acceptable terms.

The current uncertainties surrounding the industries in which operate, as well as other factors, may constrain our financing abilities. Our ability to secure additional financing and satisfy our financial obligations under indebtedness outstanding from time to time will depend upon our future operating performance, which is subject to then prevailing general economic and credit market conditions, including interest rate levels and the availability of credit generally, and financial, business and other factors, many of which are beyond our control. The prolonged continuation or worsening of current credit market conditions would have a material adverse effect on our ability to secure financing on favorable terms, if at all.

We may be unable to secure additional financing or financing on favorable terms or our operating cash flow may be insufficient to satisfy our financial obligations under indebtedness outstanding from time to time (if any). Furthermore, if financing is not available when needed, or is available on unfavorable terms, we may be unable to develop new or enhance our existing services, complete acquisitions or otherwise take advantage of business opportunities or respond to competitive pressures, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. If additional funds are raised through the issuance of equity securities, our stockholders may experience significant dilution.

The spin-off agreements were not the result of arm's length negotiations. In addition, the Tax Sharing Agreement restricts our ability to enter into certain transactions that might otherwise be beneficial to us and our stockholders.

The agreements that we will enter into with IAC and the other Spincos in connection with the spin-offs, including the separation and distribution agreement, tax sharing agreement, employee matters agreement and transition services agreement, were established by IAC, in consultation with the Spincos, with the intention of maximizing the value to current IAC's shareholders. Accordingly, the terms for us may not be as favorable as would have resulted from negotiations among unrelated third parties.

We and the other Spincos will enter into a Tax Sharing Agreement with IAC that will restrict our ability to enter into certain transactions that might be advantageous to us and our stockholders. In particular, the Tax Sharing Agreement will limit our ability to issue securities to satisfy financial needs, repurchase equity securities, dispose of certain assets, engage in mergers and acquisitions and, under certain circumstances, acquire businesses or assets with equity securities or agree to be acquired. See "The Separation Material U.S. Federal Income Tax Consequences of the Spin-Offs" and "Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions Tax Sharing Agreement."

# RISK FACTORS RELATING TO OUR BUSINESS FOLLOWING TREE.COM'S SPIN-OFF FROM IAC

Adverse Events and Trends Adverse conditions in the primary and secondary mortgage markets, as well as the economy generally, could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

The primary and secondary mortgage markets have been (and are currently) experiencing unprecedented and continuing disruption, which have had, and are expected to continue to have, an adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. These conditions, coupled with adverse economic conditions and continuing declines in residential real estate prices generally, have resulted, and are expected to continue to result, in decreased consumer demand for the lending and real estate offerings provided by our networks and other businesses. Generally, increases in interest rates adversely affect the ability of the Lending Business and Network Lenders to close loans, while adverse economic trends limit the ability of the Lending Business and Network Lenders to offer home loans other than low margin conforming loans. Likewise, adverse economic trends have reduced, and are expected to continue to reduce, the number of prospective home purchasers and home prices, which adversely affects our Real Estate Business. Our businesses may experience a further decline in demand for their offerings due to decreased consumer demand as a result of the conditions described above now or in the future. Conversely, during periods of robust consumer demand, which are typically associated with decreased interest rates, some Network Lenders may have less incentive to use our networks. Prolonged declines in demand for offerings of our businesses could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

The secondary mortgage markets have also been (and are currently) experiencing unprecedented and continued disruptions resulting from reduced investor demand for mortgage loans and mortgage-backed securities and increased investor yield requirements for those loans and securities. These conditions may continue for a prolonged period of time or worsen in the future. Home Loan Center, Inc. does not have the capital resources or credit necessary to retain the loans it funds and closes, and as a result sells substantially all such loans within 30 days of funding as discussed above. Accordingly, a prolonged period of secondary market illiquidity may force the Lending Business to significantly reduce the volume of loans that it originates and funds through Home Loan Center, Inc., which could have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Adverse Events and Trends Adverse conditions in the credit markets could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operation.

The credit markets, in particular those financial institutions that provide warehouse financing and similar arrangements to mortgage lenders have been (and are currently) experiencing unprecedented and continued disruptions resulting from instability in the mortgage and housing markets. As previously discussed, Tree.com's Lending Business originates, processes, approves and funds various consumer mortgage loans through Home Loan Center, Inc., which operates primarily under the brand name "LendingTree Loans®." These direct lending operations have significant financing needs that are currently being met through borrowings under warehouse lines of credit or repurchase agreements to fund and close loans, followed by the sale of substantially all loans funded to investors in the secondary mortgage markets. Current credit market conditions, such as significantly reduced and limited availability of credit, increased credit risk premiums for certain market participants and increased interest rates generally, increase the cost and reduce the availability of debt and may continue for a prolonged period of time or worsen in the future.

As of December 31, 2007, LendingTree Loans had committed lines of credit, primarily warehouse lines, totaling \$550 million, of which \$500 million expired on January 31, 2008, and \$50 million expires on October 31, 2008, and an uncommitted line of \$150 million. Borrowings under these lines of credit

are used to fund, and are secured by, consumer residential loans that are held for sale. Loans under these lines of credit are repaid from proceeds from the sales of loans held for sale by LendingTree Loans. The interest rate under these lines of credit is 30-day LIBOR plus 75 to 100 basis points, but may be higher under certain circumstances. The committed line that expired on January 31, 2008 was subsequently renewed at a reduced size of \$50 million and will expire on January 24, 2009 and can be cancelled at the option of the lender without default upon sixty days notice. However, if the lender determines at any time prior to January 24, 2009 the spin-off materially and adversely affects us, the lender reserves the right to deem the line of credit expired prior to January 24, 2009. The interest rate under this line of credit increased at the renewal date to 30-day LIBOR plus 140 basis points, but may be higher under certain circumstances. The \$50 million committed line of credit that expires on January 24, 2009 and the \$150 million uncommitted line are provided by the same lender. The \$50 million committed line that expires on October 31, 2008 is provided by one other lender. LendingTree Loans is highly dependent on the availability of credit to finance its operations.

Although we believe that our lines of credit are sufficient for our current operations, further reductions in our available credit, or the inability to renew or replace these lines, could have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. The Lending Business attempts to mitigate the impact of current conditions and future credit market disruptions by maintaining committed and uncommitted warehouse lines of credit (currently, two committed warehouse lines of credit) with financial institutions. However, both of these financial institutions, like all financial institutions, are subject to the same adverse market conditions and may be affected by recent market disruptions, which may affect the decision to reduce or renew these lines, or the pricing for these lines. As a result, current committed warehouse lines of credit may be inadequate to support operations or the cost of debt may not allow Home Loan Center, Inc. to operate at profitable levels. Because Home Loan Center, Inc. is highly dependent on the availability of credit to finance its operations, the continuation of current credit market conditions for a prolonged period of time or worsening of such conditions could have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations, particularly over the next few years.

Contingent Liabilities Litigation and Indemnification of Secondary Market Purchasers Litigation and indemnification of secondary market purchasers could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and liquidity.

In connection with the sale of loans to secondary market purchasers, Home Loan Center, Inc. makes certain representations regarding related consumer credit information, loan documentation and collateral. To the extent that these representations are incorrect, Home Loan Center, Inc. may be required to repurchase loans or indemnify secondary market purchasers for losses due to borrower defaults. While Home Loan Center, Inc. seeks to ensure that loans it originates comply with these representations and warranties, secondary market purchasers may take a contrary position. In connection with the sale of loans to secondary market purchasers, Home Loan Center, Inc. also agrees to repurchase loans or indemnify secondary market purchasers for losses due to early payment defaults (*i.e.*, late payments during a limited time period immediately following origination). In connection with the sale of a majority of its loans to secondary market purchasers, Home Loan Center, Inc. also agrees to repay all or a portion of the initial premiums paid by secondary market purchasers in instances where loans are prepaid prior to the end of relevant prepayment penalty periods.

We and our businesses are also parties to litigation involving a variety of matters, many of which involve damage claims for substantial amounts (see "Business of Tree.com Legal Proceedings").

We believe that following the spin-offs we will have adequate resources to satisfy our obligations relating to the potential exposures described above. However, it is possible that these liabilities will be greater than anticipated. Given that we may have limited access to the credit markets following the spin-offs, if the liabilities are in excess of expectations, our ability to satisfy such obligations may be

dependent upon our ability to raise capital in the equity markets, which may be uncertain and is subject to limitations under the tax sharing agreement.

Third-Party Relationships We depend on relationships with Network Lenders, real estate professionals, credit providers and secondary market investors and any adverse changes in these relationships could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our success depends, in significant part, on the quality and pricing of services provided by, and/or the continued financial stability of, Network Lenders and real estate professionals participating on our networks, credit providers and secondary market investors. Network Lenders or real estate professionals could, for any reason, cease participating on the networks operated by (or otherwise choose not to enter into relationships with) our businesses, fail to pay matching and/or closing fees when due and/or cease providing quality services on competitive terms. In addition, credit providers and/or secondary market investors could, for any reason, choose not to make credit available to (or otherwise enter into relationships with) Home Loan Center, Inc., and in the case of secondary market investors only, cease purchasing loans from Home Loan Center, Inc. In particular, revenues attributable to purchases of loans by two such entities, Countrywide and CitiMortgage, represented approximately 28% and 13%, respectively, of our consolidated revenues in 2007. The occurrence of one of more of these events by a significant number of Network Lenders, real estate professionals, credit providers and/or secondary market investors, particularly Countrywide and CitiMortgage, could, alone or in combination, have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Network Security A breach of our network security or the misappropriation or misuse of personal consumer information may have an adverse impact on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Any penetration of network security or other misappropriation or misuse of personal consumer information maintained by us could cause interruptions in the operations of our businesses and subject us to increased costs, litigation and other liabilities. Claims could also be made against us for other misuse of personal information, such as for unauthorized purposes or identity theft, which could result in litigation and financial liabilities, as well as administrative action from governmental authorities. Security breaches could also significantly damage our reputation with consumers and third parties with whom we do business. In that regard, on April 21, 2008, we announced that several mortgage companies had gained unauthorized access to LendingTree's customer information database and had used the information to solicit mortgage loans directly from our customers. We promptly reported the situation to the Federal Bureau of Investigation and have been cooperating fully with the FBI's investigation. While LendingTree does not believe this situation resulted in any fraud on the consumer or identity theft, LendingTree notified affected consumers as required by applicable law. Notwithstanding the foregoing, following our announcement, several putative class action lawsuits were filed against LendingTree, seeking to recover damages for consumers allegedly injured by this incident (see "Business of Tree.com Legal Proceedings").

As in the case of any financial services company, we may be required to expend significant capital and other resources to protect against and remedy any potential or existing security breaches and their consequences. We also face risks associated with security breaches affecting third parties with which we are affiliated or otherwise conduct business online. Consumers are generally concerned with security and privacy of the internet, and any publicized security problems affecting our businesses and/or those of third parties may discourage consumers from doing business with us, which could have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Failure to Provide Competitive Service Network Lenders and real estate professionals may not provide competitive levels of service to consumers, which could adversely affect our brands and businesses and their ability to attract consumers.

The ability of our businesses to provide consumers with a high-quality experience depends, in part, on consumers receiving competitive levels of convenience, customer service, price and responsiveness from Network Lenders and real estate professionals with whom they are matched through our networks. If Network Lenders and real estate professionals do not provide consumers with competitive levels of convenience, customer service, price and responsiveness, the value of our various brands may be harmed, the ability of our businesses to attract consumers to our websites may be limited and the number of consumers ultimately matched through our networks may decline, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Brand Recognition Failure to maintain brand recognition and attract and retain customers in a cost-effective manner could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

In order to attract visitors to their websites, convert these visitors into paying customers and capture repeat business from existing customers, our businesses must promote and maintain their various brands successfully, which involves the expenditure of considerable money and resources for online and offline advertising, marketing and related efforts, as well as the continued provision and introduction of high-quality products and services.

We believe that continuing to build and maintain the recognition of our various brands is critical to achieving increased demand for the services provided by our businesses, given that brand recognition is a key differentiating factor among providers of online services. Accordingly, we have spent, and expect to continue to spend, significant amounts of money on, and devote significant resources to, branding, advertising and other marketing initiatives, which may not be successful or cost-effective. We believe that rates for desirable online and offline advertising and marketing are likely to increase in the foreseeable future. The failure of our businesses to maintain the recognition of their respective brands and attract and retain customers in a cost-effective manner could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Lastly, publicity from legal proceedings against us or our businesses, particularly governmental proceedings, consumer class action litigation or the disclosure of information security breaches, could negatively impact our various brands, which could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Third-Party Relationships Are Not Exclusive Network Lenders and real estate professionals affiliated with our networks are not precluded from offering products and services outside of these networks.

Because our businesses do not have exclusive relationships with Network Lenders and real estate professionals, consumers may obtain loans and real estate offerings directly from these third-party service providers without having to go through our networks. Network Lenders can offer loans (and real estate professionals can offer services) directly to consumers through marketing campaigns or other traditional methods of distribution, such as referral arrangements, brick and mortar operations or, in the case of lending, broker agreements. Network Lenders and real estate professionals can also offer loans and services to prospective customers online directly or through one or more online competitors of our businesses or both. If a significant number of consumers seek loans and services directly from Network Lenders and real estate professionals as opposed to through our networks, our business, financial conditions and results of operations would be adversely affected.

Compliance and Changing Laws, Rules and Regulations Failure to comply with existing laws, rules and regulations, or to obtain and maintain required licenses, could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

The failure of our businesses to comply with existing laws, rules and regulations, or to obtain required licenses, could result in administrative fines and/or proceedings against us or our businesses by governmental agencies and/or litigation by consumers, which could adversely affect our business, financial conditions and results of operations. Our businesses market and provide services in heavily regulated industries through a number of different online and offline channels across the United States. As a result, they are subject to a variety of statutes, rules, regulations, policies and procedures in various jurisdictions in the United States, which are subject to change at any time.

Our businesses conduct marketing activities via the telephone, the mail and/or through online marketing channels, which activities are governed by numerous federal and state regulations, such as the Telemarketing Sales Rule, state telemarketing laws, federal and state privacy laws, the CAN-SPAM Act, and the Federal Trade Commission Act and its accompanying regulations and guidelines, among others. While we believe that the practices of our businesses have been structured in a manner to ensure compliance with these laws and regulations, federal or state regulatory authorities may take a contrary position.

Most states require licenses to solicit, broker or make loans secured by residential mortgages and other consumer loans to residents of those states, as well as to operate real estate referral and brokerage services, and in many cases require the licensure or registration of individual employees engaged in aspects of these businesses. Currently, Congress, many state legislatures and state agencies are proposing to adopt, or have recently implemented, additional licensing requirements on mortgage lenders, brokers and their employees. While our businesses have endeavored to comply with applicable requirements, the application of these licensing requirements to persons operating online is not always clear. Moreover, any of the licenses or rights currently held by our businesses or their employees may be revoked prior to, or may not be renewed upon, their expiration. In addition, our businesses or their employees may not be granted new licenses or rights for which they may be required to apply from time to time in the future.

Our businesses are also subject to various state, federal and/or local laws, rules and regulations that regulate the amount and nature of fees that may be charged for transactions and incentives, such as rebates, that may be offered to consumers by our businesses, as well as the manner in which these businesses may offer, advertise or promote transactions. For example, the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act, or RESPA, generally prohibits the payment or receipt of referral fees and fee shares or splits in connection with residential mortgage loan transactions, subject to certain exceptions. The applicability of referral fee and fee sharing prohibitions to lenders and real estate providers, including online networks, may have the effect of reducing the types and amounts of fees that may be charged or paid in connection with real estate-secured loan offerings or activities, including mortgage brokerage, lending and real estate brokerage services, or otherwise limiting the ability to conduct marketing and referral activities. Although we believe that our businesses have been structured in such a way so as to comply with RESPA, the relevant regulatory agency may take a contrary position.

In addition, some states have regulations that prohibit real estate brokers from providing consumers with rebates or other incentives in connection with real estate transactions. Additional states could promulgate similar regulations or interpret existing regulations in a way that limits the ability of online networks to offer consumer incentives in connection with real estate transactions, thereby limiting the attractiveness of real estate brokerage activities offered by our Real Estate Business.

Additional federal, state and in some instances, local, laws regulate residential lending and real estate brokerage activities. These laws generally regulate the manner in which lending, lending-related and real estate brokerage activities are made available, including advertising and other consumer

disclosures, payments for services and record keeping requirements, and include RESPA, the Fair Credit Reporting Act, the Truth in Lending Act, the Equal Credit Opportunity Act and the Fair Housing Act. In addition, state laws often restrict the amount of interest and fees that may be charged by a lender or mortgage broker, or otherwise regulate the manner in which lenders or mortgage brokers operate or advertise. Furthermore, Congress, many state legislatures and state agencies are proposing, or have recently implemented, additional restrictions on mortgage lending practices. Failure to comply with applicable laws and regulatory requirements may result in, among other things, revocation of required licenses or registrations, loss of approval status, termination of contracts without compensation, administrative enforcement actions and fines, class action lawsuits, cease and desist orders and civil and criminal liability. While we believe that our businesses have been structured in such a way so as to comply with existing and new laws, the relevant regulatory authorities may take a contrary position or future legislation may adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Likewise, states or municipalities may adopt statutes or regulations making it unattractive, impracticable, or infeasible for our businesses to continue to conduct business in that jurisdiction. The withdrawal from any jurisdiction due to emerging legal requirements could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Federal, state and in some instances, local, laws also prohibit unfair and deceptive sales practices generally. While we have adopted appropriate policies and procedures to address these requirements (such as appropriate consumer disclosures and call scripting, call monitoring, pricing controls and other quality assurance and compliance measures, which have evolved and improved over time), employees do not always comply with policies and procedures, and therefore, liability and brand injury could result from such employee misconduct.

As employers, our businesses are subject to federal and state employment laws. In particular, the Fair Labor Standards Act and California wage and hour laws govern the treatment of "non-exempt" employees, which may include loan officers and loan processors at Home Loan Center, Inc. Failure to comply with applicable employment laws may result in, among other things, administrative fines, class action lawsuits, damages awards and injunctions, any of which could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Parties with whom our businesses conduct business similarly may be subject to federal and state regulation. These parties typically act as independent contractors and not as agents in their solicitations and transactions with consumers. Consequently, we cannot ensure that these entities will comply with applicable laws and regulations at all times. Failure on the part of a lender, real estate professional, website operator or other third party to comply with these laws or regulations could result in, among other things, claims of vicarious liability or a negative impact on the reputation of Tree.com and its businesses. The occurrence of one or more of these events could have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operation.

Our Real Estate Business is subject to rules and regulations of various real estate boards, as well as the rules of various non-governmental associations and organizations, including but, not limited to, local and regional Multiple Listing Services that provide real estate listing data. Our Real Estate Business is dependent on real estate listing data made available through Multiple Listing Services and other sources. While we believe that our Real Estate Business is structured to comply with these rules and regulations, the relevant organization may take a contrary position, which could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Third Party Compliance If Network Lenders fail to produce required documents for examination by, or other affiliated parties fail to make certain filings with, state regulators, Tree.com may be subject to fines, forfeitures and the revocation of required licenses.

Some of the states in which our businesses maintain licenses require them to collect various loan documentation from Network Lenders and produce this documentation for examination by state regulators. While Network Lenders are contractually obligated to provide these documents upon request, these measures may be insufficient. Failure to produce required documents for examination could result in fines, as well as the revocation of our businesses' licenses to operate in key states, which could have a material adverse affect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Regulations promulgated by some states may impose compliance obligations on directors, executive officers, large customers and any person who acquires a certain percentage (for example, 10% or more) of our common stock, including requiring such persons to periodically file financial and other personal and business information with state regulators. If any such person refuses or fails to comply with these requirements, our businesses may be unable to obtain a license, and existing licensing arrangements may be jeopardized, in particular states. The inability to obtain, or the loss of, required licenses could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial conditions and results of operations.

Maintenance of Systems and Infrastructure Our success depends, in part, on the integrity of our systems and infrastructures. System interruption and the lack of integration and redundancy in these systems and infrastructures may have an adverse impact on our business, financial conditions and results of operations.

Our success depends, in part, on our ability to maintain the integrity of our systems and infrastructures, including websites, information and related systems, call centers and distribution and fulfillment facilities. System interruption and the lack of integration and redundancy in our information systems and infrastructures may adversely affect our ability to operate websites, process and fulfill transactions, respond to customer inquiries and generally maintain cost-efficient operations. We may experience occasional system interruptions that make some or all systems or data unavailable or prevent our businesses from efficiently providing services or fulfilling orders. We also rely on affiliate and third-party computer systems, broadband and other communications systems and service providers in connection with the provision of services generally, as well as to facilitate, process and fulfill transactions. Any interruptions, outages or delays in our systems and infrastructures, our businesses, our affiliates and/or third parties, or deterioration in the performance of these systems and infrastructures, could impair the ability of our businesses to provide services, fulfill orders and/or process transactions. Fire, flood, power loss, telecommunications failure, hurricanes, tornadoes, earthquakes, acts of war or terrorism, acts of God and similar events or disruptions may damage or interrupt computer, broadband or other communications systems and infrastructures at any time. Any of these events could cause system interruption, delays and loss of critical data, and could prevent our businesses from providing services, fulfilling orders and/or processing transactions. While our businesses have backup systems for certain aspects of their operations, these systems are not fully redundant and disaster recovery planning is not sufficient for all eventualities. In addition, we may not have adequate insurance coverage to compensate for losses from a major interruption. If any of these adverse events were to occur, it co

In addition, any penetration of network security or other misappropriation or misuse of personal consumer information could cause interruptions in the operations of our businesses and subject us to increased costs, litigation and other liabilities. Claims could also be made against us for other misuse of personal information, such as for unauthorized purposes or identity theft, which could result in litigation and financial liabilities, as well as administrative action from governmental authorities. Security breaches could also significantly damage our reputation with consumers and third parties with whom we do business. It is possible that advances in computer capabilities, new discoveries, undetected fraud, inadvertent violations of company policies or procedures or other developments could result in a

compromise of information or a breach of the technology and security processes that are used to protect consumer transaction data. As a result, current security measures may not prevent any or all security breaches. We may be required to expend significant capital and other resources to protect against and remedy any potential or existing security breaches and their consequences. We also faces risks associated with security breaches affecting third parties with which we are affiliated or otherwise conduct business online. Consumers are generally concerned with security and privacy of the Internet, and any publicized security problems affecting our businesses and/or those of third parties may discourage consumers from doing business with us, which could have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Privacy The processing, storage, use and disclosure of personal data could give rise to liabilities as a result of governmental regulation, conflicting legal requirements or differing views of personal privacy rights.

In the processing of consumer transactions, our businesses receive, transmit and store a large volume of personally identifiable information and other user data. The sharing, use, disclosure and protection of this information are governed by the privacy and data security policies maintained by us and our businesses. Moreover, there are federal, state and international laws regarding privacy and the storing, sharing, use, disclosure and protection of personally identifiable information and user data. Specifically, personally identifiable information is increasingly subject to legislation and regulations in numerous jurisdictions around the world, the intent of which is to protect the privacy of personal information that is collected, processed and transmitted in or from the governing jurisdiction. We could be adversely affected if legislation or regulations are expanded to require changes in business practices or privacy policies, or if governing jurisdictions interpret or implement their legislation or regulations in ways that negatively affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our businesses may also become exposed to potential liabilities as a result of differing views on the privacy of consumer and other user data collected by these businesses. Our failure, and/or the failure by the various third party vendors and service providers with which we do business, to comply with applicable privacy policies or federal, state or similar international laws and regulations or any compromise of security that results in the unauthorized release of personally identifiable information or other user data could damage the reputation of these businesses, discourage potential users from trying our products and services and/or result in fines and/or proceedings by governmental agencies and/or consumers, one or all of which could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Intellectual Property We may fail to adequately protect our intellectual property rights or may be accused of infringing intellectual property rights of third parties.

We may fail to adequately protect our intellectual property rights or may be accused of infringing intellectual property rights of third parties. We regard our intellectual property rights, including patents, service marks, trademarks and domain names, copyrights, trade secrets and similar intellectual property (as applicable), as critical to our success. Our businesses also rely heavily upon software codes, informational databases and other components that make up their products and services.

We rely on a combination of laws and contractual restrictions with employees, customers, suppliers, affiliates and others to establish and protect these proprietary rights. Despite these precautions, it may be possible for a third party to copy or otherwise obtain and use trade secret or copyrighted intellectual property without authorization which, if discovered, might require legal action to correct. In addition, third parties may independently and lawfully develop substantially similar intellectual properties.

We have generally registered and continue to apply to register, or secure by contract when appropriate, our trademarks and service marks as they are developed and used, and reserve and register domain names as we deem appropriate. We generally consider the protection of our

trademarks to be important for purposes of brand maintenance and reputation. While we vigorously protect our trademarks, service marks and domain names, effective trademark protection may not be available or may not be sought in every country in which products and services are made available, and contractual disputes may affect the use of marks governed by private contract. Similarly, not every variation of a domain name may be available or be registered, even if available. Our failure to protect our intellectual property rights in a meaningful manner or challenges to related contractual rights could result in erosion of brand names and limit our ability of to control marketing on or through the internet using our various domain names or otherwise, which could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Some of our businesses have been granted patents and/or have patent applications pending with the United States Patent and Trademark Office and/or various foreign patent authorities for various proprietary technologies and other inventions. We consider applying for patents or for other appropriate statutory protection when we develop valuable new or improved proprietary technologies or inventions are identified, and will continue to consider the appropriateness of filing for patents to protect future proprietary technologies and inventions as circumstances may warrant. The status of any patent involves complex legal and factual questions, and the breadth of claims allowed is uncertain. Accordingly, any patent application filed may not result in a patent being issued or existing or future patents may not be adjudicated valid by a court or be afforded adequate protection against competitors with similar technology. In addition, third parties may create new products or methods that achieve similar results without infringing upon patents that we own. Likewise, the issuance of a patent to us does not mean that our processes or inventions will not be found to infringe upon patents or other rights previously issued to third parties.

From time to time, we are subject to legal proceedings and claims in the ordinary course of business, including claims of alleged infringement of the trademarks, copyrights, patents and other intellectual property rights of third parties. In addition, litigation may be necessary in the future to enforce our intellectual property rights, protect trade secrets or to determine the validity and scope of proprietary rights claimed by others. Any litigation of this nature, regardless of outcome or merit, could result in substantial costs and diversion of management and technical resources, any of which could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations. Patent litigation tends to be particularly protracted and expensive.

#### FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Forward-looking statements in this prospectus, the public filings or other public statements of the Company are subject to known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause actual results, performance or achievements of the Company to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements or other public statements. Forward-looking statements include the information regarding future financial performance, business prospects and strategy, including the completion of the spin-offs and the realization of related anticipated benefits, anticipated financial position, liquidity and capital needs and other similar matters, in each case relating to the Company.

Statements preceded by, followed by or that otherwise include the words "believes," "expects," "anticipates," "intends," "projects," "estimates," "plans," "may increase," "may fluctuate," and similar expressions or future or conditional verbs such as "will," "should," "would," "may" and "could" are generally forward-looking in nature and not historical facts. You should understand that the following important factors could affect future results and could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed in such forward-looking statements:

adverse changes in economic conditions generally or in any of the markets or industries in which the businesses of the Company operate;

changes in senior management at the Company;

adverse changes to, or interruptions in, relationships with third parties;

changes affecting the ability of the Company to efficiently maintain and grow the market share of its various brands, as well as to extend the reach of these brands through a variety of distribution channels and to attract new (and retain existing) customers;

consumer acceptance of new products and services offered by the Company;

the rates of growth of the Internet and the e-commerce industry;

changes adversely affecting the ability of the Company to adequately expand the reach of its businesses into various international markets, as well as to successfully manage risks specific to international operations and acquisitions, including the successful integration of acquired businesses;

future regulatory and legislative actions and conditions affecting the Company, including:

the promulgation of new, and/or the amendment of existing laws, rules and regulations applicable to the Company and its businesses; and

changes in the application or interpretation of existing laws, rules and regulations in the case of the businesses of the Company. In each case, laws, rules and regulations include, among others, those relating to sales, use, value-added and other taxes, software programs, consumer protection and privacy, intellectual property, the Internet and e-commerce;

competition from other companies;

changes adversely affecting the ability of the Company and its businesses to adequately protect intellectual property rights, as well as to obtain licenses or other rights with respect to intellectual property in the future, which may or may not be available on favorable terms (if at all);

the substantial indebtedness of the Company and the possibility that the Company may incur additional indebtedness;

20

third-party claims alleging infringement of intellectual property rights by the Company or its businesses, which could result in the expenditure of significant financial and managerial resources, injunctions or the imposition of damages, as well as the need to enter into formal licensing or other similar arrangements with such third parties, which may or may not be available on favorable terms (if at all); and

natural disasters, acts of terrorism, war or political instability.

Certain of these factors and other factors, risks and uncertainties are discussed in the "Risk Factors" section of this prospectus. Other unknown or unpredictable factors may also cause actual results to differ materially from those projected by the forward-looking statements. Most of these factors are difficult to anticipate and are generally beyond the control of IAC and the Company.

You should consider the areas of risk described above, as well as those set forth under the heading "Risk Factors," in connection with considering any forward-looking statements that may be made by the Company generally. Except for the ongoing obligations of the Company to disclose material information under the federal securities laws, the Company does not undertake any obligation to release publicly any revisions to any forward-looking statements, to report events or to report the occurrence of unanticipated events unless required to do so by law.

#### THE SEPARATION

#### General

On July 1, 2008, the IAC Board of Directors approved the separation of IAC into five separate, publicly traded companies, with each Spinco having a single class of common stock: (1) IAC, (2) HSNi, (3) ILG, (4) Ticketmaster and (5) Tree.com. The separation will be accomplished through the distribution by IAC of all of the shares of the common stock of the Spincos held by IAC to holders of IAC common stock on the record date. Immediately following the distributions, IAC stockholders will own 100% of the outstanding common stock of IAC and the Spincos. You will not be required to make any payment, surrender or exchange your shares of IAC common stock and/or Class B common stock or take any other action to receive your shares of Tree.com common stock.

The Board of Directors of IAC has reserved the right to modify, delay or abandon the spin-off of any or all of the Spincos. In addition, the spin-offs are subject to the satisfaction or waiver of a number of conditions described under " Conditions to the Spin-Offs."

#### The Number of Shares You Will Receive in the Tree.com Spin-off

For every share of IAC common stock and/or Class B common stock that you owned at the close of business on August 11, 2008, the record date, you will receive one-thirtieth of a share of common stock of Tree.com on the distribution date. As described below under " When and How You Will Receive the Dividend," IAC will not distribute any fractional shares of Tree.com common stock to its stockholders.

#### When and How You Will Receive the Dividend

IAC will distribute the shares of Tree.com common stock following the close of the market on August 20, 2008, the distribution date. However, the IAC Board of Directors may determine to delay the Tree.com spin-off. The Bank of New York, which currently serves as the transfer agent and registrar for IAC's common stock, will serve as transfer agent and registrar for the Tree.com common stock and as distribution agent in connection with the spin-offs.

If you own IAC common stock and/or Class B common stock as of the close of business on the record date, the shares of Spinco common stock that you are entitled to receive in the spin-off will be issued electronically, as of the distribution date, to you or to your bank or brokerage firm on your behalf by way of direct registration in book-entry form. Registration in book-entry form refers to a method of recording stock ownership when no physical share certificates are issued to stockholders, as is the case in the spin-off.

Commencing on or shortly after the distribution date, if you hold physical stock certificates that represent your shares of IAC common stock and/or Class B common stock and you are the registered holder of the IAC shares represented by those certificates, the distribution agent will mail to you an account statement that indicates the number of shares of Spinco common stock that have been registered in book-entry form in your name. If you have any questions concerning the mechanics of having shares of Tree.com common stock registered in book-entry form, you are encouraged to contact The Bank of New York by mail at 480 Washington Blvd, Jersey City, NJ 07310 or PO Box 358015, Pittsburgh, PA 15252-8015, by phone at 866-203-6218 (US and Canada) or 201-680-6685 (International), or by email at *shrrelations@bnymellon.com*.

Most IAC stockholders hold their shares of IAC common stock through a bank or brokerage firm. In such cases, the bank or brokerage firm would be said to hold the stock in "street name" and ownership would be recorded on the bank or brokerage firm's books. If you hold your IAC common stock through a bank or brokerage firm, your bank or brokerage firm will credit your account for the shares of common stock of the Spincos that you are entitled to receive in the spin-offs. If you have any

questions concerning the mechanics of having shares of Tree.com common stock held in "street name," you are encouraged to contact your bank or brokerage firm.

The Bank of New York, as distribution agent, will not deliver any fractional shares of Tree.com common stock in connection with the spin-off. Instead, The Bank of New York will aggregate all fractional shares and sell them on behalf of the holders who otherwise would be entitled to receive fractional shares. If you physically hold IAC common stock certificates and are the registered holder, you will receive a check from the distribution agent in an amount equal to your pro rata share of the aggregate net cash proceeds of the sales. We estimate that it will take approximately two weeks from the distribution date for the distribution agent to complete the distributions of the aggregate net cash proceeds. If you hold your IAC stock through a bank or brokerage firm, your bank or brokerage firm will receive on your behalf your pro rata share of the aggregate net cash proceeds of the sales and should electronically credit your account for your share of such proceeds.

#### **Results of the Separation**

After the spin-off, we will be a separate publicly traded company. Immediately following the spin-offs, based on the number of registered stockholders of IAC common stock and Class B common stock on February 25, 2008, and without giving effect to "when-issued" trading, we expect to have approximately 1,500 stockholders of record.

The actual number of shares to be distributed will be determined based on the number of shares of IAC common stock and class B common stock outstanding on the record date and will reflect the issuance of IAC common stock in connection with any exercise of IAC options, vesting of restricted share units or conversion of other convertible IAC securities between the date the IAC Board of Directors declares the dividend for the distribution and the record date for the spin-off and the issuance of IAC shares under vested IAC equity-based awards between the record date for the spin-off and the distribution date.

The spin-offs will not affect the number of outstanding shares of IAC common stock and/or Class B common stock or any rights of IAC stockholders. However, in connection with the spin-offs, as more fully described in IAC's proxy statement under Schedule 14A under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), filed on July 10, 2008, IAC sought approval from its stockholders of a proposal to amend its Restated Certificate of Incorporation to effect a 1-for-2 reverse stock split of its common stock and Class B common stock, which may be implemented by IAC's Board of Directors in its sole discretion immediately following the completion of the spin-offs or, if not all of the spin-offs are effected substantially simultaneously, immediately following the first spin-off. On August 1, 2008, IAC's stockholders approved the reverse stock split. If the reverse stock split is implemented by IAC's Board of Directors, each two shares of IAC common stock or Class B common stock will be combined into one share of IAC common stock or Class B common stock, respectively. The purpose of implementing the reverse stock split would be to seek to increase the per share trading price of IAC's common stock following the spin-offs relative to what the per share trading price would be if the reverse stock split were not implemented. An increased trading price could increase interest from institutional investors, investment funds and brokerage firms in IAC common stock, lower the transaction costs involved in purchasing IAC common stock and improve the trading liquidity of IAC common stock. There can be no assurance that the reverse stock split would have the effect of increasing the per share trading price of IAC common stock following the spin-offs relative to what the per share trading price would be if the reverse stock split were not implemented.

#### Material U.S. Federal Income Tax Consequences of the Spin-Offs

Subject to the limitations and qualifications described herein, the discussion entitled "Certain U.S. Federal Income Tax Consequences: Each of the Spin-Offs Qualifies as a Transaction that Is Generally Tax

Free under Sections 355 and/or 368(a)(1)(D) of the Code" constitutes the opinion of Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz as to the material U.S. federal income tax consequences of the spin-offs to "U.S. holders" (as defined below) of IAC common stock. This discussion is based on current provisions of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"), final, temporary or proposed U.S. Treasury regulations promulgated thereunder, judicial opinions, published positions of the IRS and all other applicable authorities, all as in effect as of the date of this document and all of which are subject to change, possibly with retroactive effect. Any such change could affect the accuracy of the statements and conclusions set forth in this document.

For purposes of this discussion, the term "U.S. holder" means a beneficial owner of IAC common stock that is, for U.S. federal income tax purposes:

an individual who is a citizen or resident of the United States;

a corporation, or other entity taxable as a corporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes, created or organized under the laws of the United States, any state thereof, or the District of Columbia;

an estate, the income of which is subject to U.S. federal income tax regardless of its source; or

a trust if (1) a court within the United States is able to exercise primary supervision over the administration of the trust and one or more U.S. persons have the authority to control all substantial decisions of the trust or (2) it has a valid election in effect under applicable U.S. Treasury regulations to be treated as a U.S. person.

If an entity or arrangement that is treated as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes holds IAC common stock, the tax treatment of a partner in such entity generally will depend on the status of the partners and the activities of the partnership. If you are a partner in a partnership holding IAC common stock, please consult your tax advisor.

This discussion only addresses holders of IAC common stock that are U.S. holders and hold such stock as a capital asset within the meaning of Section 1221 of the Code. Further, this summary does not address all aspects of U.S. federal income taxation that may be relevant to a holder in light of the holder's particular circumstances or that may be applicable to holders subject to special treatment under U.S. federal income tax law (including, for example, persons that are not U.S. holders, financial institutions, dealers in securities, traders in securities that elect mark-to-market treatment, insurance companies, mutual funds, tax-exempt organizations, partnerships or other flow-through entities and their partners or members, U.S. expatriates, holders liable for the alternative minimum tax, holders whose functional currency is not the U.S. dollar, and holders who hold their IAC common stock as part of a hedge, straddle, constructive sale or conversion transaction, or holders who acquired IAC common stock pursuant to the exercise of employee stock options or otherwise as compensation). This discussion does not address the tax consequences to any person who actually or constructively owns more than 5% of IAC common stock. In addition, no information is provided herein with respect to the tax consequences of the spin-offs under applicable state, local or non-U.S. laws or federal laws other than those pertaining to the federal income tax.

IAC STOCKHOLDERS SHOULD CONSULT THEIR TAX ADVISORS REGARDING THE TAX CONSEQUENCES OF THE SPIN-OFFS TO THEM, INCLUDING THE EFFECTS OF U.S. FEDERAL, STATE AND LOCAL, FOREIGN AND OTHER TAX LAWS.

It is a condition to the spin-offs that IAC shall have received an opinion of Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz, in form and substance satisfactory to the IAC Board of Directors, regarding the qualification of the spin-offs as transactions that are generally tax free for U.S. federal income tax purposes under Sections 355 and/or 368(a)(1)(D) of the Code (to the extent such qualification is not addressed by an Internal Revenue Service private letter ruling received by IAC), which opinion (and, in

the event IAC shall have received the IRS private letter ruling, the private letter ruling) shall not have been withdrawn or modified.

IAC has requested and expects to receive, prior to effecting any of the spin-offs, a private letter ruling from the IRS and/or an opinion of counsel satisfactory to the IAC board of directors regarding the qualification of the spin-offs, together with certain related transactions, as transactions that are generally tax free for U.S. federal income tax purposes under Sections 355 and/or 368(a)(1)(D) of the Code. If the private letter ruling is received prior to the spin-offs, IAC expects to receive an opinion of counsel regarding certain aspects of the transaction that are not covered by the private letter ruling. If the private letter ruling is not received prior to the spin-offs, IAC expects to receive an opinion of counsel regarding the qualification of the spin-offs as transactions that are generally tax free for U.S. federal income tax purposes under Sections 355 and/or 368(a)(1)(D) of the Code, and opinions from its external tax advisors regarding the U.S. federal income tax consequences to IAC of certain related matters and transactions, and certain state tax consequences to IAC of the spin-offs.

IAC does not intend to waive the receipt of a private letter ruling and/or an opinion of Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz as a condition to its obligation to complete the spin-offs and IAC will not waive the receipt of this private letter ruling and/or opinion as a condition to its obligation to complete the spin-offs without recirculating this document.

Certain U.S. Federal Income Tax Consequences: Each of the Spin-Offs Qualifies as a Transaction that Is Generally Tax Free under Sections 355 and/or 368(a)(1)(D) of the Code

The U.S. federal income tax consequences of the spin-offs are as follows:

no gain or loss will be recognized by, and no amount will be includible in the income of IAC as a result of the spin-offs, other than gain or income arising in connection with certain internal restructurings undertaken in connection with the spin-offs and with respect to any "excess loss account" or "intercompany transaction" required to be taken into account by IAC under U.S. Treasury regulations relating to consolidated federal income tax returns;

an IAC stockholder will not recognize income, gain, or loss as a result of the receipt of Spinco common stock pursuant to the spin-offs, except with respect to any cash received in lieu of fractional shares of Spinco common stock;

an IAC stockholder's aggregate tax basis in such stockholder's Spinco common stock received in the spin-offs (including any fractional share interests in Spinco common stock for which cash is received) will equal such stockholder's aggregate tax basis in its IAC common stock immediately before the spin-offs, allocated between the IAC common stock and the common stock of each Spinco (including any fractional share interest of Spinco common stock for which cash is received) in proportion to their relative fair market values on the date of the spin-offs;

an IAC stockholder's holding period for Spinco common stock received in the spin-offs (including any fractional share interests of Spinco common stock for which cash is received) will include the holding period for that stockholder's IAC common stock; and

an IAC stockholder who receives cash in lieu of a fractional share of Spinco common stock in the spin-offs will be treated as having sold such fractional share for cash, and will generally recognize capital gain or loss in an amount equal to the difference between the amount of cash received and the IAC stockholder's adjusted tax basis in the fractional share of Spinco common stock. Such gain or loss will be long-term capital gain or loss if the stockholder's holding period for its Spinco common stock exceeds one year.

If an IAC stockholder holds different blocks of IAC common stock (generally, shares of IAC common stock acquired on different dates or at different prices), such holder should consult its tax

advisor regarding the determination of the basis and holding period of shares of Spinco common stock received in the spin-offs in respect of particular blocks of IAC common stock.

U.S. Treasury regulations require IAC stockholders who receive Spinco common stock in the spin-offs to attach to their U.S. federal income tax returns for the year in which the Spinco stock is received a detailed statement setting forth such data as may be appropriate to demonstrate the applicability of Section 355 of the Code to the spin-offs.

Certain U.S. Federal Income Tax Consequences If One or More of the Spin-Offs Were Taxable

The IRS private letter ruling and/or the opinion of counsel will be based on, among other things, certain assumptions as well as on the accuracy of certain representations and statements that IAC and the Spincos make to the IRS and to counsel. If any of these representations or statements are, or become, inaccurate or incomplete, or if IAC or the Spincos breach any of their respective covenants, the IRS private letter ruling and/or the opinion of counsel may be invalid.

Moreover, the IRS private letter ruling would not address all the issues that are relevant to determining whether the spin-offs qualify as transactions that are generally tax free for U.S. federal income tax purposes. Notwithstanding the IRS private letter ruling and/or opinion, the IRS could determine that one or more of the spin-offs should be treated as a taxable distribution if it determines that any of the representations, assumptions or undertakings that were included in the request for the private letter ruling is false or has been violated or if it disagrees with the conclusions in the opinion of counsel that are not covered by the IRS ruling.

If the IRS were to assert successfully that one or more of the spin-offs were taxable, the above consequences would not apply with respect to such spin-off and both IAC and holders of IAC common stock who received shares of Spinco common stock in such spin-off could be subject to tax, as described below. In addition, certain events that may or may not be within the control of IAC or a Spinco, including extraordinary purchases of IAC common stock or Spinco common stock, could cause one or more of the spin-offs not to qualify as tax free to IAC and/or holders of IAC common stock. Depending on the circumstances, a Spinco may be required to indemnify IAC and the other Spincos for some or all of the taxes and certain related losses resulting from the spin-off of such Spinco not qualifying as tax free under Sections 355 and/or 368(a)(1)(D) of the Code. See "Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions Tax Sharing Agreement." If a spin-off were taxable, then:

IAC would recognize gain in an amount equal to the excess of the fair market value of Spinco common stock on the date of the spin-off distributed to IAC stockholders over IAC's adjusted tax basis in the stock of such Spinco, and IAC may also recognize income or gain with respect to certain restructuring transactions undertaken in connection with such spin-off;

each IAC stockholder who received Spinco common stock in the taxable spin-off would be treated as having received a taxable distribution in an amount equal to the fair market value of such Spinco stock (including any fractional shares sold on behalf of the stockholder) on the spin-off date. That distribution would be taxable to the stockholder as a dividend to the extent of IAC's current and accumulated earnings and profits (as increased to reflect any current income, including any gain, recognized by IAC on the taxable spin-off). Any amount that exceeded IAC's earnings and profits would be treated first as a non-taxable return of capital to the extent of the IAC stockholder's tax basis in its IAC common stock with any remaining amounts being taxed as capital gain;

certain stockholders could be subject to additional special rules, such as rules relating to the dividends received deduction and extraordinary dividends; and

a stockholder's tax basis in Spinco common stock received generally would equal the fair market value of Spinco common stock on the spin-off date, and the holding period for that stock would begin the day after the spin-off date.

Even if one or more spin-offs otherwise qualify as transactions that are generally tax free for U.S. federal income tax purposes under Sections 355 and/or 368(a)(1)(D) of the Code, they could be taxable to IAC under Section 355(e) of the Code if one or more persons were to acquire directly or indirectly stock representing a 50% or greater interest, by vote or value, in IAC or one of the Spincos during the four-year period beginning on the date which is two years before the date of the spin-off, as part of a plan or series of related transactions that includes the spin-off. If such an acquisition of IAC stock or Spinco stock were to trigger the application of Section 355(e), IAC would recognize taxable gain as described above, but the spin-offs would be tax free to IAC stockholders. In addition, the IRS could disagree with or challenge the conclusions reached in one or more of the tax opinions that IAC expects to receive with respect to certain related matters and transactions. In such case, IAC could recognize material amounts of taxable income or gain.

In connection with the spin-offs, IAC and the Spincos will enter into a Tax Sharing Agreement. Under the Tax Sharing Agreement, each Spinco will have to indemnify IAC and the other Spincos for any taxes resulting from the spin-off of such Spinco (and any related interest, penalties, legal and professional fees, and all costs and damages associated with related stockholder litigation or controversies) to the extent such amounts result from (i) any act or failure to act by such Spinco described in the covenants in the Tax Sharing Agreement, (ii) any acquisition of equity securities or assets of such Spinco or a member of its group, or (iii) any breach by such Spinco or any member of its group of any representation or covenant contained in the separation documents or in the documents relating to the IRS private letter ruling and/or tax opinions. The ability of IAC or any of the Spincos to collect under these indemnity provisions will depend on the financial position of the indemnifying party. See "Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions Tax Sharing Agreement."

THE FOREGOING IS A SUMMARY OF CERTAIN U.S. FEDERAL INCOME TAX CONSEQUENCES OF THE SPIN-OFFS UNDER CURRENT LAW. THE FOREGOING DOES NOT PURPORT TO ADDRESS ALL U.S. FEDERAL INCOME TAX CONSEQUENCES OR TAX CONSEQUENCES THAT MAY ARISE UNDER THE TAX LAWS OF OTHER JURISDICTIONS OR THAT MAY APPLY TO PARTICULAR CATEGORIES OF STOCKHOLDERS. EACH IAC STOCKHOLDER SHOULD CONSULT ITS TAX ADVISOR AS TO THE PARTICULAR TAX CONSEQUENCES OF THE SPIN-OFFS TO SUCH STOCKHOLDER, INCLUDING THE APPLICATION OF U.S. FEDERAL, STATE, LOCAL AND FOREIGN TAX LAWS, AND THE EFFECT OF POSSIBLE CHANGES IN TAX LAWS THAT MAY AFFECT THE TAX CONSEQUENCES DESCRIBED ABOVE.

#### **Market for Common Stock of Tree.com**

There is currently no public market for the Tree.com common stock. We have been approved to list our common stock on NASDAQ under the symbol "TREE." The Tree.com common stock has been approved for inclusion in the global market tier of the Nasdaq Stock Market.

## **Trading Before the Distribution Date**

Beginning on August 12, 2008 and continuing through the distribution date, there will be two markets in IAC common stock: a "regular-way" market and an "ex-distribution" market. Shares of IAC common stock that trade on the regular way market will trade with an entitlement to shares of the common stock of the Spincos distributed pursuant to the spin-offs. Shares that trade on the ex-distribution market will trade without an entitlement to shares of the common stock of the Spincos distributed pursuant to the spin-offs. Therefore, if you sell shares of IAC common stock in the

"regular-way" market up to and including through the distribution date, you will be selling your right to receive shares of the common stock of the Spincos in the spin-offs. If you own shares of IAC common stock at the close of business on the record date and sell those shares on the "ex-distribution" market, up to and including through the distribution date, you will still receive the shares of the common stock of the Spincos that you would be entitled to receive pursuant to your ownership of the shares of IAC common stock.

Furthermore, beginning on August 12, 2008 and continuing up to and including through the distribution date, the common stock of each of the Spincos will trade on a "when-issued" basis. "When-issued" trading refers to a sale or purchase made conditionally because the security has been authorized but not yet issued. The "when-issued" trading market is a market for shares of Spinco common stock that will be distributed to IAC stockholders on the distribution date. If you owned shares of IAC common stock at the close of business on the record date, you would be entitled to shares of the Spincos' common stock distributed pursuant to the spin-offs. You may trade this entitlement to shares of common stock of all or any of the Spincos, without the shares of IAC common stock you own, on the "when-issued" market. On the first trading day following the distribution date, "when-issued" trading with respect to Spinco common stock will end and "regular-way" trading will begin.

#### Conditions to the Spin-Offs

The IAC Board of Directors has reserved the right, in its sole discretion, to amend, modify or abandon the spin-offs and the related transactions at any time prior to the distribution date. This means IAC may cancel or delay the planned distribution of common stock of all or any of the Spincos if at any time the Board of Directors of IAC determines that the distribution of such common stock is not in the best interests of IAC and its stockholders. If IAC's Board of Directors determines to cancel the spin-off of a Spinco, stockholders of IAC will not receive any dividend of common stock of such Spinco and IAC will be under no obligation whatsoever to its stockholders to distribute such shares.

Absent a determination of IAC's Board of Directors to the contrary, the Spincos expect that the spin-offs will be effective following the close of the market on August 20, 2008, the distribution date. In addition, the spin-offs and related transactions are subject to the satisfaction or waiver (by IAC's Board of Directors in its sole discretion) of the following conditions:

the registration statement on Form S-1 filed by each of the Spincos with respect to its common shares shall have been declared effective by the SEC or become effective under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act"), no stop order suspending the effectiveness of such registration statement shall have been issued and no proceedings for that purpose shall have been instituted or threatened by the SEC;

the common stock of each of the Spincos shall have been accepted for listing on NASDAQ, subject to compliance with applicable listing requirements;

no order or other legal restraint or prohibition preventing the consummation of any of the spin-offs or related transactions shall be threatened, pending or in effect;

any material consents and governmental authorizations necessary to complete the spin-offs shall have been obtained and be in full force and effect;

the stockholders of IAC shall have approved, in accordance with the Delaware General Corporation Law (the "DGCL"), a merger agreement providing for the merger of a wholly-owned subsidiary of IAC with and into IAC pursuant to which all of the outstanding shares of preferred stock of IAC shall be converted into the right to receive cash;

the IAC Board of Directors shall have received a written solvency opinion, in form and substance acceptable to the IAC Board of Directors, from Duff & Phelps regarding the spin-offs and related transactions, which opinion shall not have been withdrawn or modified;

IAC shall have received an opinion of Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz, in form and substance satisfactory to the IAC Board of Directors, regarding the qualification of the spin-offs as transactions that are generally tax free for U.S. federal income tax purposes under Sections 355 and/or 368(a)(1)(D) of the Code (to the extent such qualification is not addressed by an Internal Revenue Service private letter ruling (the "IRS Ruling") received by IAC), which opinion (and, in the event IAC shall have received the IRS Ruling, the IRS Ruling) shall not have been withdrawn or modified;

IAC shall have received opinions from its external tax advisors, in form and substance satisfactory to the IAC Board of Directors regarding the U.S. federal income tax consequences to IAC of certain related matters and transactions (to the extent such matters are not addressed by the IRS Ruling) and certain state tax consequences to IAC of the spin-offs, which opinions shall not have been withdrawn or modified; and

IAC shall have received an opinion of Delaware counsel to IAC, in form and substance satisfactory to the IAC Board of Directors, to the effect that the spin-offs do not require approval of the stockholders of IAC under Section 271 of the DGCL.

#### **Reasons for the Separation**

During the fall of 2007, IAC's management, in reviewing the strategic agendas and prospects of its various businesses, concluded that a separation of IAC into five separately traded public companies would best facilitate growth of the businesses. After discussion with the IAC Board of Directors, the Board agreed. Among the factors considered in arriving at this determination were:

While the Spincos share common attributes, both with each other and with IAC, they generally face different strategic and competitive challenges. As a result, IAC management and the IAC Board determined that, in IAC's current configuration, when facing strategic and operating issues for a particular business, whether having to do with transactional alternatives, capital investment, new business initiatives, compensation or otherwise, considerations of the other businesses and of the company as a whole had the potential to lead to different decisions than might be made by standalone companies. IAC concluded, therefore, that the current structure may not be the most responsive to the exigencies of each business and that the spin-offs will enhance the success of each business by enabling IAC and the Spincos to resolve the problems that arise from the operation of different businesses within the IAC group.

The lack of a liquid equity currency linked directly to the individual businesses constrained each business' ability to transact in its own industry and to provide equity-based incentive programs for employees that were entirely dependent on the performance of the specific business.

While efforts were underway to increase the benefits to each business resulting from being a part of IAC, including through cost savings, better talent development and deployment, increased business opportunities, and other initiatives, the common attributes of the Spincos were more limited than initially believed, and there was therefore a limit to the benefits to be realized from such integration and the time horizon for realizing such benefits was substantially longer than IAC had initially believed.

IAC believed that its stock performance during recent years did not reflect its operating performance or the true value of its businesses. IAC believed that this was in part because of the complexity involved in understanding a variety of businesses represented by a single equity investment, and that increased transparency and clarity into the different businesses of IAC

would allow investors to more appropriately value the merits, performance and future prospects of the companies.

Because IAC concluded that the separation of these businesses would over time enhance their operating performance, open up strategic alternatives that may otherwise not have been readily available to them, and facilitate investor understanding and better target investor demand, IAC believes that following the spin-offs, the common stock of the five publicly traded companies will have a higher aggregate market value than would IAC if it were to remain in its current configuration. No assurances, however, can be given that such higher aggregate market value will be achieved. The IAC Board of Directors believes that such value increase would further facilitate growth of the separated businesses by reducing the costs of equity compensation and acquisitions undertaken with equity consideration, in each case resulting in a real and substantial benefit for the companies.

The IAC Board of Directors considered a number of other potentially negative factors in evaluating the separation, including loss of synergies from operating as one company, potential disruptions to the businesses as a result of the separation, the potential impact of the separation on the anticipated credit ratings of the Spincos, risks of being unable to achieve the benefits expected to be achieved by the separation and the reaction of IAC stockholders to the separation, the risk that the plan of execution might not be completed and the one-time and ongoing costs of the separation. The IAC Board of Directors concluded that the anticipated benefits of the spin-offs outweighed these factors. In view of the wide variety of factors considered in connection with the evaluation of the separation and the complexity of these matters, the IAC Board of Directors did not find it useful to, and did not attempt to, quantify, rank or otherwise assign relative weights to the factors considered. The individual members of the IAC Board of Directors likely may have given different weights to different factors.

#### Litigation with Liberty Media Corporation

In January 2008, IAC, Barry Diller and Liberty Media Corporation ("Liberty") commenced actions in the Delaware Chancery Court in which Liberty asserted, among other things, that Mr. Diller, the Chairman and CEO of IAC, had breached an agreement between Liberty and him and that therefore Liberty had assumed the right to exercise voting control over IAC. The basis for this claim was that IAC did not have the right to consummate the spin-offs with a single class voting structure and therefore acts in furtherance of the transaction had breached the agreement. After a chancery court decision in IAC and Mr. Diller's favor on March 28, 2008, the parties agreed, on May 13, 2008, to settle that litigation pursuant to the "Spinco Agreement." As described in more detail below under "Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions Agreements with Liberty Media Corporation," the Spinco Agreement also contains, among other things, provisions that will become effective at the time of the spin-off of each Spinco with a single class of common stock, including provisions providing Liberty the right to nominate directors to the Spinco's Board of Directors so long as Liberty maintains specified ownership levels, restrictions on acquisitions and transfers of the securities of the Spinco by Liberty and its affiliates, certain standstill restrictions on Liberty and its affiliates and registration rights to be granted to Liberty.

#### **Financial Advisor**

Allen & Company LLC provided financial advice in connection with the spin-offs. Allen & Company was retained in connection with the transaction because of the firm's familiarity with the businesses and assets of IAC and the Spincos and the firm's qualifications and reputation. IAC and Allen & Company have not yet determined the amount of fees to be paid to Allen & Company in connection with its engagement. IAC expects to pay Allen & Company a customary fee.

#### TREATMENT OF OUTSTANDING IAC COMPENSATORY EQUITY-BASED AWARDS

In November of 2007, IAC's Compensation and Human Resources Committee (the "Committee") made determinations regarding the treatment in the spin-offs of IAC's compensatory equity-based awards granted on or prior to December 31, 2007. The various adjustments the Committee has determined to make are described below:

- All unvested IAC restricted stock units ("RSUs") granted prior to August 2005 will vest immediately prior to the spin-offs, with awards thereafter settled, in accordance with applicable law, in shares of common stock of IAC, HSNi, ILG, Ticketmaster and Tree.com, in each case as though the equity holder owned the number of shares of IAC common stock underlying the IAC RSU award immediately prior to the spin-offs. Based on the most recent available information, it is expected that at the time of the spin-offs HSNi employees, ILG employees, Ticketmaster employees and Tree.com employees will hold 225,233 RSUs, 116,008 RSUs, 328,887 RSUs and 394,110 RSUs, respectively, subject to this treatment.
- All unvested IAC RSUs scheduled to vest through February 2009 will vest immediately prior to the spin-offs, with awards thereafter settled, in accordance with applicable law, in shares of common stock of IAC, HSNi, ILG, Ticketmaster and Tree.com, in each case as though the equity holder owned the number of shares of IAC common stock underlying the IAC RSU award immediately prior to the spin-offs. Based on the most recent available information, it is expected that at the time of the spin-offs HSNi employees, ILG employees, Ticketmaster employees and Tree.com employees will hold 78,772 RSUs, 39,685 RSUs, 98,306 RSUs and 32,816 RSUs, respectively, subject to this treatment.
- Performance-based IAC RSUs granted in 2007, or Growth Shares, will be converted into non-performance-based IAC RSUs based on "target" value with the same vesting schedule and will thereafter be subject to the other adjustment and conversion provisions described below. Based on the most recent available information, it is expected that at the time of the spin-offs Tree.com employees will hold 157,948 RSUs subject to this treatment.
- With respect to each IAC RSU award that provides for vesting of 100% of the award following passage of a multi-year period (cliff vesting awards), the portion of the unvested IAC RSU award that would have vested through February 2009 if the award had vested on an annual basis will convert into five separate RSU awards with respect to IAC and each of the Spincos, based on the applicable distribution ratios in the spin-offs and the two-for-one reverse stock split at IAC, but will otherwise have the same vesting terms and other applicable terms and conditions. Based on the most recent available information, it is expected that at the time of the spin-offs HSNi employees, ILG employees, Ticketmaster employees and Tree.com employees will hold 164,907 RSUs, 118,035 RSUs, 193,104 RSUs and 110,203 RSUs, respectively, subject to this treatment (inclusive of converted Growth Shares).
- With respect to all other IAC RSUs that do not vest or convert pursuant to paragraphs (1), (2) or (4) above, the IAC RSUs will convert into an RSU award with respect to shares of common stock of the company that continues to employ the equity holder following the spin-offs, with appropriate adjustments to the number of shares of common stock underlying each such award to maintain pre- and post spin-off values, but otherwise preserving the same vesting terms and other applicable terms and conditions. Based on the most recent available information, it is expected that at the time of the spin-offs Tree.com employees will hold 161,424 RSUs subject to this treatment (inclusive of converted Growth Shares); and
- (6)
  All unexercised option awards, whether vested or unvested, will be split among IAC and each of the Spincos based on relative value at the time of the spin-offs, with appropriate adjustments to the number of shares of common stock underlying each such award and the

per share exercise price of each such award to maintain pre- and post spin-off values, but otherwise preserving the same vesting terms and other applicable terms and conditions. Based on the most recent available information, it is expected that at the time of the spin-offs HSNi employees, ILG employees, Ticketmaster employees and Tree.com employees will hold 734,633 options, 0 options, 816,784 options and 451,885 options, respectively, subject to this treatment.

With respect to any IAC compensatory equity-based awards granted after December 31, 2007, those awards will convert into awards with respect to shares of common stock of the company that continues to employ the equity holder following the spin-offs, with appropriate adjustments to the number of shares underlying each such award and the per share exercise price of each such award (with respect to options) to maintain pre- and post spin-off values, but otherwise preserving the same vesting terms and other applicable terms and conditions. Based on the most recent available information, it is expected that at the time of the spin-offs Tree.com employees will hold 6,451 RSUs and 0 options subject to this treatment. With respect to stock options, the number of shares of common stock subject to any adjusted stock option will be rounded down to the nearest whole share. With respect to restricted stock units that do not vest in connection with the spin-offs, the number of shares of common stock subject to any adjusted restricted stock units that vest in connection with the spin-offs, the number of shares of common stock that an individual will be entitled to receive in connection with the spin-offs will be rounded up to the nearest whole share.

In the event that IAC abandons the spin-off with respect to one or more Spincos, the adjustments set forth above will apply as described above except that there will be no conversion of IAC equity awards into equity awards of a Spinco that IAC does not spin-off and employees of any such Spinco will be treated as employees of IAC for purposes of the foregoing adjustments.

The treatment of IAC compensatory equity-based awards held by persons who will be employed by IAC immediately following the spin-offs is generally similar to that described above, with certain adjustments intended to provide retention incentives for IAC corporate employees.

The principal objective of the Committee in making these adjustments was one of fairness, with some of the particular considerations being:

A desire to reward service prior to the spin-offs with stock of the companies that made up IAC before the spin-offs, and reward service after the spin-offs with stock of the company for which an employee will work after the spin-offs;

A recognition that the primary motivation for the Growth Share grants, which was to provide increased incentives for employees to focus on the total performance of the entire IAC conglomerate as opposed to the individual businesses for which they worked through increased volatility of potential rewards, no longer was present given the determination to do the spin-offs;

An interest in eliminating the complexities that would be associated with adjusting the 2007 performance conditions among five separate public companies and the possibility that such adjustments would not be equitable to all holders of the awards; and

Compliance with the terms of the applicable equity plans, tax laws and accounting requirements.

#### DIVIDEND POLICY

We do not currently expect to pay a regular cash dividend. The declaration and payment of future dividends to holders of common stock of the Company will be at the discretion of our board of directors and will depend upon many factors, including our financial condition, earnings, capital requirements of our businesses, covenants associated with certain debt obligations, legal requirements, regulatory constraints, industry practice and other factors that our board of directors deems relevant.

#### TRANSFERS TO IAC AND FINANCING

It is currently expected that in connection with the spin-offs, HSNi, Ticketmaster and an entity that will become a subsidiary of ILG prior to the spin-offs will make certain distributions to IAC. To fund these distributions, each of these Spincos has entered into certain financing arrangements. Additionally, each of these companies may distribute some amount of cash on hand, but these amounts are not presently knowable and are unlikely to be material. HSNi, the borrowing subsidiary of ILG and Ticketmaster are each also expected to dividend to IAC prior to the spin-offs all net receivables owed them by IAC and its affiliates.

Tree.com is expected to have \$110 million at the time of the separation, which would mean a \$55 million cash contribution by IAC based upon March 31, 2008 balances.

These dividends and cash contributions were determined by IAC after an assessment of the optimal capital structure for Tree.com and for IAC, taking into account each company's cash flow prospects, working capital and other cash needs, potential acquisition agenda and other relevant factors.

#### CERTAIN INFORMATION WITH RESPECT TO TREE.COM

#### **BUSINESS OF TREE.COM**

When used with respect to any periods following the spin-offs and unless otherwise indicated, the term "Tree.com" refers to Tree.com, Inc., a Delaware corporation that was incorporated in connection with the spin-offs in April 2008 to hold IAC's lending and real estate businesses, subsidiaries and investments, the results of which were previously reported in the Lending and Real Estate reporting segments of IAC's Transactions reporting sector immediately prior to the completion of the spin-offs. The following disclosure regarding Tree.com's business assumes completion of the spin-offs.

For information regarding the results of operations of Tree.com and its segments on a historical basis, see the Consolidated Financial Statements of Tree.com and the disclosure set forth under the caption "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of Tree.com." For information regarding the results of operations of Tree.com on a pro forma basis to give effect to the completion of the spin-offs, see the Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for Tree.com.

#### **History and Overview**

Tree.com is the parent of LendingTree, LLC and is the indirect parent of several companies owned by LendingTree, LLC. LendingTree, LLC (formerly, LendingTree, Inc.) was incorporated in the state of Delaware in June 1996 and commenced nationwide operations in July 1998. LendingTree, Inc. was acquired by IAC in 2003 and converted to a Delaware limited liability company (LendingTree, LLC) in December 2004. Through its various subsidiaries, Tree.com currently operates a lending business (the "Lending Business") and a real estate business (the "Real Estate Business"). Tree.com's main website address is <a href="https://www.lendingtree.com">www.lendingtree.com</a>.

The Lending Business consists of online networks, principally LendingTree.com and GetSmart.com, as well as call centers, which match consumers with lenders and loan brokers. In addition, the Lending Business originates, processes, approves and funds various types of residential real estate loans under two brand names, LendingTree Loans® and HomeLoanCenter.com, and offers residential mortgage loan settlement services under the name LendingTree Settlement Services.

The Real Estate Business consists primarily of an internet-enabled national residential real estate brokerage that currently operates offices in 14 markets under the brand name "RealEstate.com, REALTORS." Outside of these 14 markets, RealEstate.com maintains relationships with a network of third-party brokerages that receive leads from RealEstate.com and pay a referral fee on closed transactions. The Real Estate Business also consists of a brokerage that matches residential home buyers interested in newly constructed homes with builders and currently operates under the brand name "iNest®."

#### **Lending Business**

#### Our Lending Networks

Consumers can access Tree.com's nationwide network of more than 200 banks, lenders and loan brokers online (via www.lendingtree.com or www.getsmart.com) or by calling 1-800-555-TREE. Loans offered by these banks, lenders and loan brokers (the "Network Lenders") consist primarily of home mortgages (in connection with refinancings and purchases) and home equity loans.

Tree.com selects lenders throughout the country in an effort to provide full geographic lending coverage of the country and to offer a complete suite of loan offerings available in the market. Frequently, before a lender joins the Network, Tree.com performs credit and financial reviews on the lender. In addition, as a further quality assurance measure, Tree.com recently began checking new

lenders against a national antifraud database maintained by the Mortgage Asset Research Institute. All Network Lenders are required to enter into a contract that generally may be terminated upon notice by either party. No individual Network Lender accounted for more than 5% of the Lending Business revenue in any period.

Consumers seeking mortgage loans through one of Tree.com's lending networks can receive multiple conditional loan offers from Network Lenders, or from Tree.com's subsidiary doing business under the name "LendingTree Loans" (as described below), in response to a single loan request form.

The process by which the Lending Business matches consumers and Network Lenders, which is referred to in the document as the "matching process," is innovative and customer-friendly. This matching process consists of the following steps:

**Credit Request.** Consumers complete a single loan request form for the selected loan with information regarding their income, assets and liabilities, loan preferences and other data. Consumers also consent to the retrieval of their credit report.

**Loan Request Form Matching and Transmission.** Tree.com matches a given consumer's loan request form data, credit profile and geographic location against certain pre-established creditworthiness criteria of Network Lenders, which may be modified from time to time. Once a given loan request passes through the matching process, the loan request is automatically transmitted to up to four or five Network Lenders.

**Lender Evaluation and Response.** Network Lenders who receive a loan request form evaluate the information in the loan request to determine whether to make a conditional loan offer. If a given Network Lender does not respond with a conditional loan offer, the loan request form is directed through the matching process a second time in an attempt to match the consumer with another Network Lender.

**Communication of a Conditional Offer.** If one or more Network Lenders make a conditional offer, the consumer is automatically notified via e-mail, typically within minutes after the submission of the loan request form. Through these e-mails, consumers may access a dedicated webpage where they can view the proposed terms of each conditional offer, including: interest rate, closing costs, monthly payment amount, lender fees and other information. If a consumer does not have access to e-mail, conditional offers are provided to the consumer by phone or fax.

**Loan Processing.** Consumers work offline with the relevant Network Lender to provide additional information bearing on creditworthiness to the Network Lender. If the Network Lender approves a consumer, it will then underwrite and originate the loan.

**Ongoing Consumer and Lender Support.** Active e-mail and telephone follow-up and support is provided to both Network Lenders and consumers during the loan transaction process. This follow-up and support is designed to provide technical assistance and increase overall satisfaction of Network Lenders, as well as increase the percentage of consumers who close a loan through financial institutions found through the Lending Business.

The Lending Business also offers a short-form matching process under the LendingTree® and GetSmart® brands. This process, which provides consumers with lender contact information only, typically requires the consumer to submit less data than that required in connection with the matching process described above.

The Lending Business does not charge consumers a fee to use its lending networks. Substantially all revenues from lending networks are derived from both up-front matching fees paid by Network Lenders who receive a loan request form and closing fees paid by Network Lenders who close a transaction with the consumer. Since a given loan request form can be matched with more than one Network Lender, multiple match fees may be generated from the same form. Matching fees are

recognized at the time the loan request form is transmitted and closing fees are recognized at the time the Network Lender reports that it has closed the loan, which may be several months after the time the loan request form is transmitted.

#### LendingTree Loans/Home Loan Center, Inc.

The Lending Business also originates, processes, approves and funds various consumer mortgage loans through a Tree.com subsidiary, Home Loan Center, Inc., which operates primarily under the brand name "LendingTree Loans®." For these purposes, the Lending Business maintains loan origination offices in California and is able to provide a broad range of mortgage loan offerings to consumers in most states, primarily conforming and prime loans, and, to a lesser extent, non-conforming, Alt-A and subprime loans. Products available include both adjustable loans and fixed rate loans.

A summary of loans sold by type of loan for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2007 and the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007 and the loans held as of the periods then ended is presented below (in millions):

		Year Ended December 31,						Three Months Ended March 31,		
	_	2005		2006		2007		2007	2	2008
Loans Sold										
Conforming	\$	3,462	\$	3,773	\$	4,210	\$	1,132	\$	523
% of Total		48.2%		47.9%		69.3%		58.6%		86.3%
Non-Conforming /Alt-A	\$	2,291	\$	2,386	\$	1,323	\$	504	\$	83
% of Total		31.9%		30.0%		21.8%		26.1%		13.7%
SubPrime	\$	269	\$	251	\$	51	\$	44	\$	
% of Total		3.7%		3.2%		0.8%		2.3%		
Home equity	\$	1,161	\$	1,461	\$	489	\$	252	\$	0.2
% of Total		16.2%		18.6%		8.1%		13.1%		
Total	\$	7,183	\$	7,871	\$	6,073	\$	1,932	\$	606
	_	ĵ	Dece	ember 31,			March 31,			
		2005	:	2006		2007		2007	2	2008
Loans Held For Sale	_	2005	_	2006	_	2007	_	2007		2008
Loans Held For Sale Conforming	\$	124	\$	147	\$	<b>2007</b> 76	\$	219	\$	74
Conforming % of Total	<u>-</u>									
Conforming	<u>-</u>	124		147 42.2% 102		76 82.2% 10		219 53.0% 119		74
Conforming % of Total	\$	124 33.0% 140 37.5%	\$	147 42.2% 102 29.2%	\$	76 82.2%	\$	219 53.0%	\$	74 79.1%
Conforming % of Total Non-Conforming /Alt-A % of Total SubPrime	\$	124 33.0% 140 37.5% 32	\$	147 42.2% 102 29.2% 22	\$	76 82.2% 10 10.5% 2	\$	219 53.0% 119 28.8% 6	\$	74 79.1% 13 14.0% 2
Conforming % of Total Non-Conforming /Alt-A % of Total SubPrime % of Total	\$ \$ \$	124 33.0% 140 37.5% 32 8.7%	\$ \$ \$	147 42.2% 102 29.2% 22 6.3%	\$ \$ \$	76 82.2% 10 10.5% 2 2.7%	\$ \$ \$	219 53.0% 119 28.8% 6 1.5%	\$ \$ \$	74 79.1% 13 14.0% 2 2.5%
Conforming % of Total Non-Conforming /Alt-A % of Total SubPrime % of Total Home equity	\$	124 33.0% 140 37.5% 32 8.7% 78	\$	147 42.2% 102 29.2% 22 6.3% 78	\$	76 82.2% 10 10.5% 2 2.7% 4	\$	219 53.0% 119 28.8% 6 1.5% 69	\$	74 79.1% 13 14.0% 2 2.5% 4
Conforming % of Total Non-Conforming /Alt-A % of Total SubPrime % of Total	\$ \$ \$	124 33.0% 140 37.5% 32 8.7%	\$ \$ \$	147 42.2% 102 29.2% 22 6.3%	\$ \$ \$	76 82.2% 10 10.5% 2 2.7%	\$ \$ \$	219 53.0% 119 28.8% 6 1.5%	\$ \$ \$	74 79.1% 13 14.0% 2 2.5%
Conforming % of Total Non-Conforming /Alt-A % of Total SubPrime % of Total Home equity	\$ \$ \$	124 33.0% 140 37.5% 32 8.7% 78	\$ \$ \$	147 42.2% 102 29.2% 22 6.3% 78	\$ \$ \$	76 82.2% 10 10.5% 2 2.7% 4	\$ \$ \$	219 53.0% 119 28.8% 6 1.5% 69	\$ \$ \$	74 79.1% 13 14.0% 2 2.5% 4

All LendingTree Loans® -branded loan originations are derived from consumer loan requests received through www.lendingtree.com, www.getsmart.com or 1-800-555-TREE. A portion of all consumer loan request forms received through these channels are referred to LendingTree Loans. LendingTree Loans offers those consumers a choice among various loan alternatives, with loan pricing based upon different wholesale offerings received by LendingTree Loans from the secondary market investors who purchase the loans (plus a fixed margin to cover internal costs). LendingTree Loans maintains controls to ensure that its consumer loan pricing correlates to secondary market pricing and to ensure that its consumers receive multiple loan alternatives, thus maintaining the competition and choice elements

inherent in the LendingTree brand. Tree.com believes that LendingTree Loans provides value to consumers who do not wish to negotiate with multiple lenders, but still wish to obtain loan alternatives.

LendingTree Loans® -branded loans are funded and closed using proceeds from borrowings under available warehouse lines of credit or repurchase agreements. Substantially all of the loans funded are sold, along with the accompanying loan servicing rights, to investors in the secondary market, generally within 30 days of funding, with the proceeds from such sales being used to repay borrowings under the warehouse lines of credit or repurchase agreements. For terms of the warehouse lines of credit and repurchase agreements see "Financial Position, Liquidity and Capital Resources."

Although most of Home Loan Center, Inc.'s consumer leads are sourced through www.lendingtree.com or 1-800-555-TREE and originated under the LendingTree Loans® brand, a small portion of Home Loan Center, Inc.'s leads are sourced from a variety of non-LendingTree channels, including third-party online lead aggregators, direct mail marketing campaigns and www.homeloancenter.com. When obtaining leads from third-party sources, Home Loan Center, Inc. operates under its traditional name and brand (HomeLoanCenter). Consumers who request loans through the HomeLoanCenter brand typically receive single loan offers. HomeLoanCenter -branded loans are funded, closed and sold into the secondary market in the same manner, and on substantially the same terms, as LendingTree Loans -branded loans.

Revenues from direct lending operations are derived from the sale of loans to secondary market investors and from origination and other fees paid by borrowers. Of Home Loan Center, Inc.'s seventeen secondary market investors in 2007, the two largest, Countrywide and CitiMortgage, represented approximately 28% and 13%, respectively, of Tree.com's consolidated revenue in 2007. See "Risk Factors Relating to the Business of Tree.com Following the Spin-Offs Adverse Events and Trends."

#### LendingTree Settlement Services

The Lending Business also provides loan settlement services, including title insurance, appraisal and other collateral evaluation products, flood insurance, escrow, and closing services, through LendingTree Settlement Services, Inc., which provides services to Network Lenders, as well as to Home Loan Center, Inc. (including when doing business as LendingTree Loans®). In addition, Home Loan Center, Inc. offers escrow and sub-escrow services through its subsidiary, HLC Escrow, Inc.

Revenues from LendingTree Settlement Services are derived from service fees paid by lenders, which fees may or may not be passed on by the lender to the loan customer. Revenues from escrow and sub-escrow services are derived from fees charged to the consumer by the lender or by Home Loan Center, Inc.

### Other Businesses

Through the LendingTree.com and GetSmart.com websites, Tree.com's Lending Business also offers:

unsecured loans, through which consumers are matched with multiple lenders using a network-based process similar to the mortgage loan matching process described above;

automobile loans, through which consumers are linked with one or more third-party automobile lenders;

credit cards, through which consumers can search various credit card offerings through a third-party vendor;

student loans, through which consumers receive initial student loan offers through a third-party vendor; and

various consumer insurance products, pursuant to which consumers are linked with licensed insurance agents and insurance lead aggregators to obtain insurance offers.

Revenues from these businesses are derived either from matching and closing fees, or in some cases, volume-based marketing fees. While the revenues from these businesses do not currently represent a significant portion of the revenues of the Lending Business, these revenues are expected to grow over time.

#### Competition

Tree.com's Lending Business, particularly its lending networks, competes with other lead aggregators, including online intermediaries that operate network-type arrangements. In the case of the direct lending operations, Tree.com believes that the primary competitors of its Lending Business are traditional lending institutions, including those that are developing their own direct, online lending channels. While these financial institutions do not operate lending networks, they process, close and fund loans as direct lenders through well-recognized, national brands, many of which are industry leaders. Tree.com's Lending Business also faces additional competition from direct lending websites owned and operated by other online lenders that originate the bulk of their loans through their websites or by phone. These companies typically operate a consumer-branded website and attract consumers via online banner ads, key word placement on search engines, partnering with affiliates and business development arrangements with other properties, including major online portals.

#### **Real Estate Business**

#### Real Estate Brokerage

RealEstate.com, REALTORS is Tree.com's proprietary real estate brokerage business, which currently operates in the following 14 markets: Greater Portland, Seattle, Denver, Salt Lake City, San Diego, Las Vegas, Phoenix, Tucson, Sierra Vista (AZ), Charlotte, New York, New Jersey, Philadelphia and Boston. Most of the business for the proprietary real estate brokerage is internally generated based on consumers accessing www.realestate.com or by calling 1-800-REALESTATE. The brokerage recruits agents to join as independent contractors, for whom it then generates leads, with the brokerage retaining a significant share of the gross commission on closed transactions originating from company-generated leads (and a lesser share in the case of agent-generated leads). Tree.com uses both a central agent recruiting group in Charlotte, as well as local recruiting efforts, to identify agents who fit its model and would be willing to join the company. Third-party brokerage services provided by approximately 300 real estate brokerage firms are also available through www.realestate.com or by calling 1-800-REALESTATE. The Real Estate Business has developed relationships with brokers over the years, and targets prospective companies based on available lead flow by geography, their willingness to work with a lead generation company under Tree.com's terms and conditions, and the belief that such brokerage firms would generate an acceptable closing conversion rate. These third-party brokerage services are available nationwide, as well as in the 14 markets in which RealEstate.com, REALTORS currently operates. Once the consumer and the real estate professional are matched and agree to work together, the remainder of the transaction is completed locally.

The proprietary real estate brokerage business earns revenues through the real estate brokerage commissions it collects in connection with company- and agent-generated transactions. The Real Estate Business also earns revenue from referral fees paid by participating real estate brokerages.

#### Other Real Estate Services

The Real Estate Business also owns and operates *www.inest.com*, a website that matches potential purchasers of newly constructed homes with new home builders. iNest.com is currently available in 28 states and allows consumers to view new home community information (new home listings) on the

iNest website. From the iNest website a consumer can print a coupon to present to builders that participate in the iNest.com network upon his or her first visit to a home site, which signifies that iNest.com will act as the buyer's real estate broker for a new home purchase from that builder. Upon closing, the builder pays a commission to iNest, which in turn is split between iNest, the licensed iNest real estate broker representing the consumer, and the consumer.

#### Competition

Tree.com's Real Estate Business competes with all real estate brokerages within the RealEstate.com, REALTORS fourteen markets. These brokerages are comprised mainly of traditional real estate companies operating as independent brands or franchisees, as well as non-traditional models, such as salaried-agent, fee-for-service, flat-fee, discount, or rebate commission models, many of which generate leads from the Internet. In addition, the Real Estate Business competes for customers with companies that are not brokerages, such as websites that aggregate real estate broker listings without related services and customer support. Given the downturn in the credit and mortgage markets, and the decline in the number of housing transactions, competition in this segment has increased.

#### **Regulation and Legal Compliance**

Tree.com businesses market and provide services in heavily regulated industries through a number of different online and offline channels across the United States (see "Risk Factors Relating to the Business of Tree.com Following the Spin-Offs Compliance and Changing Laws, Rules and Regulations"). As a result, they are subject to a variety of statutes, rules, regulations, policies and procedures in various jurisdictions in the United States, including:

Restrictions on the amount and nature of fees or interest that may be charged in connection with a loan, in particular, state usury and fee restrictions;

Restrictions on the manner in which consumer loans are marketed and originated, including the making of required consumer disclosures, such the federal Truth-in-Lending Act, the federal Equal Credit Opportunity Act, the federal Fair Credit Reporting Act, the federal Fair Housing Act, the federal Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act (RESPA), and similar state laws:

Restrictions on the amount and nature of fees that may be charged lenders and real estate professionals for providing or obtaining consumer leads, in particular, RESPA;

Restrictions on the amount and nature of fees that may be charged consumers for real estate brokerage transactions, including any incentives and rebates, that may be offered to consumers by Tree.com businesses;

State, and in some instances, federal, licensing or registration requirements applicable to both individuals or businesses engaged in the making or brokerage of loans (or certain kinds of loans, such as loans made pursuant to the Federal Housing Act), or the brokering of real estate transactions; and

State and federal restrictions on the marketing activities conducted by telephone, the mail or by email, or over the internet, including the Telemarketing Sales Rule, state telemarketing laws, federal and state privacy laws, the CAN-SPAM Act, and the Federal Trade Commission Act and its accompanying regulations and guidelines.

## **Employees**

As of December 31, 2007, Tree.com had approximately 1,000 full-time employees. None of Tree.com's employees are represented under collective bargaining agreements. Tree.com considers its relations with its employees and independent contractors to be good.

#### **Properties**

Tree.com's principal executive offices, together with certain personnel and operations of its Lending and Real Estate Businesses, are currently located in approximately 89,000 square feet of office space in Charlotte, North Carolina under leases that expire in 2015. 95,500 square feet of office space in Irvine, California is utilized by Home Loan Center, Inc. under a lease expiring in 2010, and 31,667 square feet of office space in Jacksonville, Florida is utilized by LendingTree Settlement Services under leases that expire in 2009.

#### **Tree.com Legal Proceedings**

In the ordinary course of business, Tree.com and its subsidiaries are parties to litigation involving property, personal injury, contract, intellectual property and other claims. The amounts that may be recovered in such matters may be subject to insurance coverage. Tree.com does not believe that such ordinary course litigation will have a material effect on its business, financial condition or results of operations.

Rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission require the description of material pending legal proceedings, other than ordinary, routine litigation incident to the registrant's business, and advise that proceedings ordinarily need not be described if they primarily involve damage claims for amounts (exclusive of interest and costs) not exceeding 10% of the current assets of the registrant and its subsidiaries on a consolidated basis. Certain of the pending litigation matters described below, which management believes are the material litigations that Tree.com now faces, could involve amounts of the magnitude described above.

### **Patent Litigation**

Block Financial Corp. v. Lending Tree, Inc., No. 01-cv-1007 ODS (U.S. Dist. Ct., W.D. Mo.); Lending Tree, LLC v. Block Financial LLC, No. 08-cv-164 ODS (U.S. Dist. Ct., W.D. Mo.). On September 14, 2001, Block Financial Corporation ("Block") filed suit against Lending Tree, LLC in the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Missouri, alleging that Lending Tree, LLC's loan-matching process infringes U.S. Patent No. 6,014,645 (the "'645 patent"), which generally claims a real-time application system for financial cards. Block seeks damages, attorneys' fees and injunctive relief.

In 2002, LendingTree, LLC filed a petition to reexamine the '645 patent with the United States Patent and Trademark Office. The Patent Office agreed to reexamine the '645 patent, and the court stayed the litigation pending reexamination. In December 2006, the Patent Office republished the patent, with certain modifications. The court then lifted its stay of the litigation. On September 27, 2007, the court issued a claim construction order.

In February 2008, Block provided LendingTree, LLC with notice of a recently issued patent, U.S. Patent No. 7,310,617 (the "'617 patent"), a continuation of the '645 patent that purports to claim a real-time application system for financial offerings (as opposed to only financial cards). On March 6, 2008, LendingTree, LLC filed suit in the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Missouri seeking a declaration that the '617 patent is invalid. On April 14, 2008, Block filed an answer and counterclaim. Block asserts that LendingTree, LLC's loan-matching process infringes the '617 patent. Block seeks damages, attorneys' fees and injunctive relief.

On June 24, 2008, the court consolidated the two cases and approved a schedule setting a trial date of October 26, 2009. The consolidated case is currently in discovery.

*IMX, Inc. v. E-Loan, Inc., et al.,* No. 03-CV-1067 (U.S. Dist. Ct., D. Del.). On November 24, 2003, IMX, Inc. ("IMX") filed suit against LendingTree, LLC and several other companies in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware, alleging infringement of U.S. Patent No. 5,995,947 (the

"947 patent"), which generally claims an interactive real-time trading system for loans. In its complaint, IMX sought damages, attorneys' fees and injunctive relief. On January 26, 2004, LendingTree, LLC filed a counterclaim seeking a declaration that the '947 patent is invalid and unenforceable.

On December 14, 2005, the court (i) construed the claims of the '947 patent, (ii) granted partial summary judgment to LendingTree, LLC, limiting recoverable damages to the period commencing after the filing of suit due to IMX's failure to "mark" its website with its patent and (iii) denied the parties' cross-motions for summary judgment on the issues of infringement and invalidity.

The case against LendingTree, LLC went to trial, and on January 23, 2006, the jury returned a verdict finding infringement and awarding IMX approximately \$5.8 million in damages. The jury also found that the infringement was willful and that the asserted claims of the '947 patent are valid. LendingTree, LLC subsequently designed and implemented a work-around to avoid further infringement of the '947 patent.

On January 10, 2007, the court, ruling on various post-trial motions, (i) denied IMX's motion for a permanent injunction and its request for attorneys' fees, (ii) enhanced the damages award by 50% in light of the jury's finding of willful infringement, (iii) awarded IMX pre- and post-judgment interest, (iv) rejected LendingTree, LLC's counterclaim alleging inequitable conduct by IMX and (v) entered judgment in favor of IMX for approximately \$8.7 million plus interest.

On July 27, 2007, the court, ruling on additional motions, (i) denied IMX's renewed request for an injunction, (ii) awarded IMX approximately \$2.7 million in supplemental damages for the post-verdict, pre-design-around period, (iii) denied IMX's request to enhance those supplemental damages and (iv) awarded IMX approximately \$1.0 million in pre-judgment interest and approximately \$0.2 million in post-judgment interest to date. On August 9, 2007, an amended judgment was entered in favor of IMX for the foregoing amounts.

LendingTree, LLC appealed from this judgment to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. IMX cross-appealed from the District Court's order prohibiting recovery of damages attributable to the period prior to the filing of the complaint, and from the court's refusal to award enhanced damages for post-verdict infringement. Briefing on the appeals has been completed. Oral argument has not yet been scheduled.

As of December 31, 2007, LendingTree, LLC's reserve for this matter was approximately \$12.8 million. In connection with the appeal, IAC executed a guarantee in favor of LendingTree, LLC in the amount of \$13.5 million in lieu of LendingTree, LLC posting a bond. Before the spin-off of Tree.com is consummated, LendingTree, LLC will have to put in place a bond or similar security.

On July 24, 2008, LendingTree and IMX reached a settlement in principle concerning this matter. The parties are currently negotiating a definitive settlement agreement, which LendingTree expects to execute prior to the spin-off of Tree.com.

Source Search Technologies, LLC v. LendingTree, LLC, No. 2:04-CV-04420 (U.S. Dist. Ct., D.N.J.). On September 13, 2004, Source Search Technologies, LLC ("SST") filed suit against LendingTree, LLC and other companies in the U.S. District Court for the District of New Jersey, alleging infringement of U.S. Patent No. 5,758,328 (the "'328 patent"), which generally claims a computerized procurement system. SST seeks damages, attorneys' fees and injunctive relief. On November 10, LendingTree, LLC filed a counterclaim seeking a declaration that the '328 patent is invalid and unenforceable.

The court issued claim construction orders on October 16 and November 13, 2007. Following the completion of discovery, LendingTree, LLC and SST filed cross-motions for summary judgment on the issues of infringement and invalidity. LendingTree, LLC also filed a motion for summary judgment on the ground that any infringement was not willful. The court heard oral argument on these motions on November 13, 2007 and February 4, 2008.

On July 9, 2008, the court entered an opinion regarding the parties' motions for summary judgment. The court granted LendingTree's motion that the asserted claims of the '328 patent are invalid for obviousness, granted SST's motion that LendingTree infringes the asserted '328 claims, granted SST's motion that the '328 claims are not invalid for indefiniteness, dismissed LendingTree's motion for summary judgment of no willful infringement as moot, and denied SST's motion to strike the supplemental report of LendingTree's expert. On July 10, 2008, the court entered an order consistent with the rulings in its opinion and closed the case. LendingTree has not received notice from SST regarding whether it intends to appeal the court's ruling on obviousness.

### **Employment (Wage-and-Hour) Litigation**

Gonzalez v. Home Loan Center, Inc., No. CV06-5007 (U.S. Dist. Ct., C.D. Cal.). On August 9, 2006, Daniel Gonzalez filed this putative class action against Home Loan Center, Inc. (hereinafter, for the purpose of this section, "HLC") in the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California. Plaintiff, a former HLC loan officer, asserts that HLC: failed to pay overtime; failed to pay wages due upon termination; failed to provide proper wage statements; failed to reimburse employees for expenses and/or improperly deducted wages for business-related expenses; and failed to provide meal and rest periods. Based upon these factual allegations, Plaintiff asserts violations of various California wage and hour laws, conversion, and violations of California Business & Professions Code § 17200. Plaintiff purports to represent a class of loan officers employed by HLC in California since August 9, 2002, and seeks damages, restitution, attorneys' fees and injunctive relief.

On December 27, 2006, Plaintiff filed a second amended complaint, adding two additional plaintiffs, David Nottingham and Jeffrey Howerton. Because these new plaintiffs had signed agreements with HLC to arbitrate all employment-related claims, HLC filed a motion to compel arbitration.

Following a mediation held in September 2007, the parties entered into an agreement to settle this action. Under the settlement agreement, HLC has agreed to pay a maximum of \$4.0 million, inclusive of payments to class members as well as attorneys' fees and costs. The settlement agreement must be approved by the court in order to become effective. On May 13, 2008, Plaintiffs filed a motion for preliminary approval of the settlement. On June 13, 2008, the court, following a hearing, granted Plaintiffs' motion and preliminarily approved the settlement. The court also scheduled a final approval hearing for December 16, 2008.

As of December 31, 2007, LendingTree, LLC's reserve for this matter was approximately \$2.1 million. This figure reflects Tree.com's estimates as to the minimum percentage of class members likely to submit claims for payment and the contractual indemnity obligations of former HLC shareholders for liability that arose prior to LendingTree, LLC's acquisition of HLC.

Richardson v. Home Loan Center, Inc., No. 07CC01337 (Cal. Super. Ct., Orange Cty.). On August 2, 2007, Angela Richardson filed this putative class action against HLC in the California Superior Court for Orange County. Plaintiff, a former HLC loan processor, alleges that HLC: failed to pay overtime; failed to provide meal and rest periods; failed to pay wages due upon termination; and failed to provide proper wage statements. Based upon these factual allegations, plaintiff asserts that HLC violated various California wage and hour laws as well as California Business & Professions Code § 17200. Plaintiff purports to represent all loan processors, funders and underwriters employed by HLC since August 2, 2003, and seeks damages, restitution, attorneys' fees and injunctive relief.

On December 21, 2007, plaintiff filed a second amended complaint. On February 15, 2008, HLC filed a demurrer and a motion to strike portions of the second amended complaint. On April 3, 2008, the court overruled the demurrer and denied the motion to strike. On April 23, 2008, HLC filed an answer to the second amended complaint. A mediation is scheduled for August 15, 2008.

Plaintiffs have not yet filed a motion for class certification. No trial date has been set.

As of December 31, 2007, LendingTree, LLC's reserve for this matter was approximately \$0.4 million. This reserve was established in connection with a settlement offer by HLC. No settlement has been reached to date.

*Primanto v. Home Loan Center, Inc.*, No. 07CC01382 (Cal. Super. Ct., Orange Cty.). On September 28, 2007, William Primanto filed this putative class action against HLC in the California Superior Court for Orange County. Plaintiff, a former HLC loan officer, alleges that HLC failed to pay overtime and asserts violations of various California wage and hour laws and of California Business & Professions Code § 17200. Plaintiff purports to represent all loan officers employed by HLC in California since September 28, 2003, and seeks compensatory damages, statutory penalties, restitution and attorneys' fees.

On December 13, 2007, the court, at the request of the parties, entered an order staying the action pending resolution of the *Gonzalez* action (discussed above). The case remains stayed.

Johanson v. Home Loan Center, Inc., No. 07CC01405 (Cal. Super. Ct., Orange Cty.). On November 8, 2007, Brian Johanson and Brendan Dwyer filed this putative class action against HLC in the California Superior Court for Orange County. Plaintiffs, former HLC loan officers, assert that HLC: failed to pay overtime, compensation, commission wages and bonus wages; failed to provide proper wage statements; failed to provide rest periods and meal periods or compensation in lieu thereof; and failed to pay wages due employees upon termination. Based upon these factual allegations, Plaintiffs assert violations of various California wage and hour laws and of California Business & Professions Code § 17200. Plaintiffs purport to represent a class of all persons employed by HLC in California since November 8, 2003, and seek compensatory damages, statutory penalties, restitution and attorneys' fees.

On February 21, 2008, HLC filed an answer to the complaint. A mediation is scheduled for August 15, 2008. Plaintiffs have not yet filed a motion for class certification. No trial date has been set.

D'Asero v. Home Loan Center, Inc., No. SACV08-384 (U.S. Dist. Ct., C.D. Cal.). On April 9, 2008, Frank D'Asero, Ezekial Mohammed, Pouria Safabakhsh and Michael McCarver filed this putative class action against HLC in the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California. Plaintiffs, former HLC loan officers, allege that HLC: denied overtime compensation in violation of federal labor law; denied overtime compensation in violation of California labor law; failed to pay wages for compensable meal breaks in violation of California labor law; made unauthorized deductions from wages, failed to indemnify employees and coerced purchases in violation of California labor law; made unauthorized deductions from wages in violation of North Carolina labor law; failed to pay timely wages in violation of California labor law; failed to pay wages in violation of California labor law; failed to furnish itemized wage statements in violation of California labor law; and based upon the foregoing, committed unfair business practices in violation of California Business & Professions Code § 17200.

Plaintiffs purport to represent all loan officers employed by HLC in California since April 9, 2004 and all loan officers employed by HLC in North Carolina since April 9, 2006. Plaintiffs also purport to bring a collective action under the federal Fair Labor Standards Act on behalf of all loan officers employed by HLC since April 9, 2005. Plaintiffs seek declaratory relief, an injunction, liquidated damages, compensatory damages, attorneys' fees, restitution and penalties.

On May 30, 2008, HLC filed a motion to compel arbitration of Plaintiffs' claims based upon their signed agreements with HLC to arbitrate all employment-related claims.

On June 10, 2008, Plaintiffs filed a first amended complaint, which added a new claim for violation of California's Private Attorneys General Act of 2004. In addition, on June 10, 2008, Plaintiffs filed a motion for conditional class certification. A hearing date on Plaintiffs' motion is scheduled for July 1, 2008.

On June 17, 2008, the parties executed a joint stipulation and proposed order staying all proceedings for 90 days to facilitate mediation. The parties have filed the joint stipulation and proposed order with the court for approval. A mediation is scheduled for August 15, 2008.

Plaintiffs have not yet filed a motion for class certification. No trial date has been set.

#### Privacy/Information Security Litigation

*Miller v. LendingTree, LLC,* No. 08cv2300 (U.S. Dist. Ct., N.D. III.). On April 22, 2008, Eugene Miller filed this putative class action against LendingTree, LLC in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Illinois. The case arises out of LendingTree, LLC's April 21, 2008 announcement that unauthorized persons had gained access to non-public information relating to its customers. Plaintiff alleges that LendingTree, LLC is a "consumer reporting agency" within the meaning of the FCRA and has violated the FCRA by failing to maintain reasonable procedures designed to limit the furnishing of consumer reports. Plaintiff also asserts claims for negligence, breach of implied contract, invasion of privacy and misappropriation of confidential information. Plaintiff purports to represent all LendingTree, LLC customers affected by the information security breach, and seeks damages, attorneys' fees and injunctive relief.

LendingTree has filed a motion to dismiss this action and to compel arbitration, or, in the alternative, to dismiss for improper venue. Plaintiffs have not yet filed a motion for class action certification. No trial date has been set.

Mitchell v. Home Loan Center, Inc., No. 08-303-RJC (U.S. Dist. Ct., W.D. N.C.). On April 28, 2008, Angela Mitchell filed this putative class action against Home Loan Center, Inc. and LendingTree, LLC in the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Oklahoma. On LendingTree's demand, Plaintiff transferred this case to the Western District of North Carolina. As in the Miller case (discussed above), the case arises out of LendingTree, LLC's April 21, 2008 announcement that unauthorized persons had gained access to non-public information relating to its customers. Plaintiff asserts claims for breach of contract, negligence and negligence per se. Plaintiff purports to represent all similarly situated persons, and seeks damages, attorneys' fees and injunctive relief.

LendingTree has filed a motion to dismiss this action and to compel arbitration. Plaintiffs have not yet filed a motion for class action certification. No trial date has been set.

Constance Spinozzi v. LendingTree, LLC, No. 3:08-cv-229 (U.S. Dist. Ct., W.D.N.C.). On May 15, 2008, Constance Spinozzi filed this putative class action against LendingTree, LLC in the U.S. District Court for the Western District of North Carolina. As in the Miller case (discussed above), the case arises out of LendingTree, LLC's April 21, 2008 announcement that unauthorized persons had gained access to non-public information relating to its customers. Plaintiff alleges that LendingTree, LLC is a "consumer reporting agency" within the meaning of the FCRA and has violated the FCRA by failing to maintain reasonable procedures designed to limit the furnishing of consumer reports. Plaintiff also asserts claims for negligence and breach of implied contract. Plaintiff purports to represent all LendingTree, LLC customers affected by the information security breach, and seeks damages, attorneys' fees and injunctive relief.

On June 11, 2008, Plaintiff and the plaintiff in the *Carson* case (discussed below) filed a motion with the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation requesting that it (1) exercise jurisdiction over all actions arising out of LendingTree, LLC's April 21, 2008 announcement that unauthorized persons had gained access to non-public information relating to its customers; and (2) consolidate all such cases and transfer them to the U.S. District Court for the Western District of North Carolina.

LendingTree has filed a motion to dismiss this action and to compel arbitration. Plaintiffs have not yet filed a motion for class certification. No trial date has been set.

Marvin Garcia v. LendingTree, LLC, No. 08 Civ. 4551 (U.S. Dist. Ct., S.D.N.Y.). On May 16, 2008, Marvin Garcia filed this putative class action against LendingTree, LLC in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York. As in the *Miller* case (discussed above), the case arises out of LendingTree, LLC's April 21, 2008 announcement that unauthorized persons had gained access to non-public information relating to its customers. Plaintiff asserts claims for breach of contract and negligence. Plaintiff purports to represent all LendingTree, LLC customers affected by the information security breach, and seeks damages, attorneys' fees and injunctive relief.

Plaintiffs have not yet filed a motion for class certification. No trial date has been set.

Sylvia Carson v. LendingTree, LLC, No. 3:08-cv-247 (U.S. Dist. Ct., W.D.N.C.). On May 30, 2008, Sylvia Carson filed this putative class action against LendingTree, LLC in the U.S. District Court for the Western District of North Carolina. As in the *Miller* case (discussed above), the case arises out of LendingTree, LLC's April 21, 2008 announcement that unauthorized persons had gained access to non-public information relating to its customers. Plaintiff alleges that LendingTree, LLC is a "consumer reporting agency" within the meaning of the FCRA and has violated the FCRA by failing to maintain reasonable procedures designed to limit the furnishing of consumer reports. Plaintiff also asserts claims for negligence and breach of implied contract. Plaintiff purports to represent all LendingTree, LLC customers affected by the information security breach, and seeks damages, attorneys' fees and injunctive relief.

As set forth in the *Spinozzi* discussion (above), on June 11, 2008, Plaintiff and the *Spinozzi* plaintiff filed a motion with the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation requesting that it (1) exercise jurisdiction over all actions arising out of LendingTree, LLC's April 21, 2008 announcement that unauthorized persons had gained access to non-public information relating to its customers; and (2) transfer and consolidate all such cases in the U.S. District Court for the Western District of North Carolina.

LendingTree has filed a motion to dismiss this action and to compel arbitration. Plaintiffs have not yet filed a motion for class certification. No trial date has been set.

Amy Bercaw v. LendingTree, LLC, No. SACV08-660 (U.S. Dist. Ct., C.D. Cal.). On June 13, 2008, Amy Bercaw, Russell Winsett and Ty Woods filed this putative class action against LendingTree, LLC in the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California. As in the Miller case (discussed above), the case arises out of LendingTree, LLC's April 21, 2008 announcement that unauthorized persons had gained access to non-public information relating to its customers. Plaintiffs allege that LendingTree, LLC is a "consumer reporting agency" within the meaning of the FCRA and has violated the FCRA by failing to maintain reasonable procedures designed to limit the furnishing of consumer reports. Plaintiffs also assert claims against LendingTree, LLC for negligence, breach of implied contract, invasion of privacy, misappropriation of confidential information in violation of California Civil Code § 17980.89, and violation of California Business and Professions Code § 17200. Plaintiff purports to represent all LendingTree, LLC customers affected by the information security breach, and seeks damages, attorneys' fees and injunctive relief.

Plaintiffs have not yet filed a motion for class certification. No trial date has been set.

Bradley v. LendingTree, LLC, et al., SACV08-755 (U.S. Dist. Ct. C.D. Cal.). On July 10, 2008, Geraldine Bradley, Joy Paxton-Collis, James Larson and Mark Swearingen filed this putative class action against LendingTree, LLC in the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California.

As in the *Miller* case (discussed above), the case arises out of LendingTree, LLC's April 21, 2008 announcement that unauthorized persons had gained access to non-public information relating to its customers. Plaintiffs allege that LendingTree, LLC is a "consumer reporting agency" within the meaning of the FCRA. According to Plaintiffs, LendingTree, LLC has intentionally violated the FCRA by failing to maintain reasonable procedures designed to limit the furnishing of consumer reports.

Plaintiffs also allege LendingTree, LLC negligently violated the FCRA by failing to maintain reasonable procedures to protect Plaintiffs' personal and financial information. Plaintiffs also assert claims against LendingTree, LLC for negligence, breach of implied contract, invasion of privacy, misappropriation of confidential information in violation of California statute, and violation of California's unfair competition law.

Plaintiffs purport to represent all similarly situated persons, and seeks damages, attorneys' fees and injunctive relief.

Plaintiffs have not yet filed a motion for class action certification. No trial date has been set.

Shaver v. LendingTree, LLC, et al., SACV08-755 (U.S. Dist. Ct. C.D. Cal.). On July 10, 2008, Paul Shaver filed this putative class action against LendingTree, LLC in the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California.

As in the *Miller* case (discussed above), the case arises out of LendingTree, LLC's April 21, 2008 announcement that unauthorized persons had gained access to non-public information relating to its customers. Plaintiff alleges that LendingTree, LLC is a "consumer reporting agency" within the meaning of the FCRA. According to Plaintiff, LendingTree, LLC has intentionally violated the FCRA by failing to maintain reasonable procedures designed to limit the furnishing of consumer reports. Plaintiff also alleges LendingTree, LLC negligently violated the FCRA by failing to maintain reasonable procedures to protect Plaintiff's personal and financial information. Plaintiff also asserts claims against LendingTree, LLC for negligence, breach of implied contract, invasion of privacy, misappropriation of confidential information in violation of California's unfair competition law.

Plaintiff purports to represent all similarly situated persons, and seeks damages, attorneys' fees and injunctive relief.

Plaintiff has not yet filed a motion for class action certification. No trial date has been set.

#### Other Litigation

Boschma v. Home Loan Center, Inc., No. SACV07-613 (U.S. Dist. Ct., C.D. Cal.). On May 25, 2007, Clarence and Shirley Boschma filed this putative class action against HLC in the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California. Plaintiffs allege that HLC sold them an option ARM (adjustable-rate mortgage) loan but failed to disclose in a clear and conspicuous manner, among other things, that the interest rate was not fixed, that negative amortization could occur and that the loan had a prepayment penalty. Based upon these factual allegations, Plaintiffs assert violations of the federal Truth in Lending Act (the "TILA"), violations of California Business and Professions Code § 17200 (the "UCL"), breach of contract, breach of the covenant of good faith and fair dealing and violations of California's Consumer Legal Remedies Act (the "CLRA"). Plaintiffs purport to represent a class of all individuals who between June 1, 2003 and May 31, 2007 obtained through HLC an option ARM loan on their primary residence located in California, and seek rescission, damages, attorneys' fees and injunctive relief. On August 10, 2007, Plaintiffs filed a first amended complaint that dropped their CLRA claim.

On September 11, 2007, HLC filed a motion to dismiss and a motion to strike the amended complaint. In its motion to dismiss, HLC argued that Plaintiffs' UCL claim should be dismissed because they fail to properly allege that they or the putative class members suffered injury as a result of HLC's alleged misrepresentations. The motion to dismiss also requests dismissal of Plaintiffs' claims for breach of contract and for breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing. HLC's motion to strike requests that the court strike Plaintiffs' demand for class-wide rescission under the TILA and demand for disgorgement the UCL. Plaintiffs opposed both motions. On May 27, 2008, the court granted HLC's motion to dismiss, denied HLC's motion to strike as moot, and granted Plaintiffs

leave to file a second amended complaint. On June 16, 2008, Plaintiffs filed a second amended complaint, which added a claim for fraudulent omissions. In response, HLC raised the issue that the class representatives had no standing to assert any claims for rescission under the TILA based on the fact that they had since refinanced their loan. Plaintiffs have agreed to substitute a new class representative and intend to file a third amended complaint.

The parties have agreed not to conduct discovery until after the court rules on HLC's motions. Plaintiffs have not yet filed a motion for class certification. No trial date has been set.

Gaines v. Home Loan Center, Inc., No. SACV08-667 (U.S. Dist. Ct., C.D. Cal.). On June 13, 2008, Joanne Gaines and Johnnie Cave filed this putative class action against HLC and LendingTree, LLC in the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California. Plaintiffs allege, in essence, that (1) HLC failed to disclose that the bundled amount for certain loan closing services (called the "TrueCost") that HLC charged to Plaintiffs was greater than HLC's actual costs for those services; (2) HLC's option ARM (adjustable rate mortgage) note failed to tell Plaintiffs that the stated interest rate and payment amounts would change after the first month and that the payment amount stated in the note was not sufficient to pay interest charges, resulting in negative amortization; and (3) HLC misrepresented that Plaintiffs would have to obtain a home equity line of credit in order to obtain a low interest rate on their option ARM loans. Based upon these factual allegations, Plaintiffs assert violations of the federal Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act, the federal Truth in Lending Act, California Business and Professions Code §§ 17200 and 17500, California's Consumers Legal Remedies Act, breach of contract, breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing, unjust enrichment, conversion, and money had and received.

Plaintiffs purport to represent all HLC customers who, since December 14, 2004 (1) were charged by HLC and paid a TrueCost amount that exceeded HLC's actual costs for the TrueCost services; and/or (2) entered into option ARM loan agreements with HLC; and/or (3) were misled into taking out a home equity line of credit along with their option ARM mortgage. Plaintiffs seek restitution, disgorgement, damages, attorneys' fees and injunctive relief.

Plaintiffs have not yet filed a motion for class certification. No trial date has been set.

Schnee v. LendingTree, LLC and Home Loan Center, Inc., No. 06CC00211 (Cal. Super. Ct., Orange Cty.). On October 11, 2006, four individual plaintiffs filed this putative class action against LendingTree, LLC and HLC in the California Superior Court for Orange County. Plaintiffs allege that they used the LendingTree.com website to find potential lenders and without their knowledge were referred to LendingTree, LLC's direct lender, HLC; that Lending Tree, LLC and HLC did not adequately disclose the relationship between them; and that HLC charged Plaintiffs higher rates and fees than they otherwise would have been charged. Based upon these allegations, Plaintiffs assert that LendingTree, LLC and HLC violated California Business and Professions Code §§ 17200 and 17500 and California's Consumer Legal Remedies Act. Plaintiffs purport to represent a nationwide class of consumers who sought lender referrals from LendingTree, LLC and obtained loans from HLC since December 1, 2004. Plaintiffs seek damages, restitution, attorneys' fees and injunctive relief.

On November 27, 2006, LendingTree, LLC and HLC filed demurrers and a motion to strike portions of the complaint, arguing, among other things, that the complaint did not adequately allege that the named class representatives read and relied upon the allegedly deceptive representations on LendingTree, LLC's website. On January 25, 2007, the court sustained the demurrers and granted the motion to strike on the reliance issue, but otherwise overruled the demurrers and denied the motion to strike. On February 14, 2007, Plaintiffs filed their first amended complaint.

On March 12, 2007, LendingTree, LLC and HLC filed demurrers and a motion to strike portions of the first amended complaint. On May 17, 2007, the court overruled the demurrers and denied the

motion to strike. On June 11, 2007, LendingTree, LLC and HLC filed an answer to the first amended complaint.

The case is currently in discovery. Plaintiffs have not yet filed a motion for class certification. No trial date has been set.

Mortgage Store, Inc. v. LendingTree Loans d/b/a Home Loan Center, Inc., No. 06CC00250 (Cal. Super. Ct., Orange Cty.). On November 30, 2006, The Mortgage Store, Inc. and Castleview Home Loans, Inc. filed this putative class action against HLC in the California Superior Court for Orange County. Plaintiffs, two former Network Lenders, allege that HLC interfered with LendingTree, LLC's contracts with Network Lenders by taking referrals from LendingTree, LLC. The complaint is largely based upon the factual allegations made in the Schnee complaint (described above). Based upon these factual allegations, Plaintiffs assert claims for intentional interference with contractual relations, intentional interference with prospective economic advantage, and violation of California Business and Professions Code §§ 17200 and 17500. Plaintiffs purport to represent all Network Lenders from December 14, 2004 to date, and seek damages, restitution, attorneys' fees, and punitive damages.

On February 8, 2007, HLC filed a demurrer and a motion to strike portions of Plaintiffs' complaint. On March 15, 2007, the court overruled the demurrer but granted the motion to strike in part, striking the portion of the complaint that sought restitution and disgorgement of all profits made by HLC from December 14, 2004 to date.

The case is currently in discovery. Plaintiffs have not yet filed a motion for class certification. No trial date has been set.

#### **CAPITALIZATION**

The following table presents Tree.com's cash and cash equivalents and capitalization as of March 31, 2008 on an historical basis and on an unaudited pro forma basis for the separation. Pro forma for the separation includes the transfer of \$55 million in cash from IAC to Tree.com. IAC determined to contribute additional capital in anticipation of the separation to help Tree.com, which has recently experienced operating losses and negative cash flow from operations, weather continued uncertainties in the industries in which it operates. Tree.com is expected to have \$110 million at the time of the separation. The separation of Tree.com is described in the notes to the Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet under the Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements as if the separation and the related transactions and events had been consummated on March 31, 2008.

The assumptions used and pro forma adjustments derived from such assumptions are based on currently available information and Tree.com believes such assumptions are reasonable under the circumstances. Such adjustments are subject to change based upon the finalization of the terms of the separation and the underlying separation agreements.

This table should be read in conjunction with "Selected Historical Financial Data," "Transfers to IAC and Financing," "Description of Capital Stock of Tree.com," "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of Tree.com" the consolidated financial statements of Tree.com and the "Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements" and accompanying notes included in this Prospectus.

The table below is not necessarily indicative of Tree.com's cash and cash equivalents and capitalization had the separation and the related transactions been completed on the date assumed. The capitalization table below may not reflect the capitalization or financial condition which would have resulted had Tree.com been operating as an independent, publicly-traded company at March 31, 2008 and is not necessarily indicative of Tree.com's future capitalization or financial condition.

	As of March 31, 2008			08
	Historical		Unaudited Pro Forma for the Separation	
		(In m	nillions)	
Cash and cash equivalents, including restricted cash and cash equivalents	\$	55	\$	110
Indebtedness:				
Short term borrowings:				
Lines of credit (primarily warehouse lines)	\$	79	\$	79
Total indebtedness		79		79
Shareholders' equity		245		300
Total capitalization	\$	324	\$	379
49				

#### SELECTED HISTORICAL FINANCIAL DATA

The following table presents summary selected historical consolidated financial information for Tree.com, Inc. ("Tree.com"). This data was derived, in part, from the historical consolidated financial statements of Tree.com included elsewhere in this document and reflects the operations and financial position of Tree.com at the dates and for the periods indicated. The information in this table should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes and other financial data pertaining to Tree.com included herein. However, this information does not necessarily reflect what the historical financial position and results of operations of Tree.com would have been had Tree.com been a stand-alone company during the periods presented.

_			Year Ended December 31,							Three Mo	Months Ended March 31,		
·		2007(1)	2006	2	2005	2004 (unaud	-	2003 <sup>(3</sup> (unaudit		2008 (unaudit	ed)	2007 (unaudited)	
						(In th	ousands)						
Statement of Operations Data:													
Revenue	\$	346,378 \$	476,478	6	421,355	\$ 1	89,783	5	5,795	\$ 70	0,193 \$	109,999	
Operating (loss) income		(540,440)	14,171		19,254	(	12,067)	(1	8,068)	(	9,488)	(8,404)	
Net (loss) income		(550,402)	8,693		5,851 <b>Decer</b>	nber 31,	(9,187)	(1	1,359)	(	9,799)	(5,123) March 31,	
		2007(1)	2006		200 (unau			)4 <sup>(2)</sup> idited)		2003 <sup>(3)</sup> naudited)		2008 (unaudited)	
						(In	thousand	s)					
Balance Sheet Data (end of period):													
Working capital (deficit)		\$ (16,487)	\$ 79	,463	\$	74,754	\$	35,784	\$	10,540	\$	18,662	
Total assets		443,587	1,261	,045	1,	326,961	1	,074,896		745,400	)	442,810	
Long-term obligations, net of current maturities	f		19	,347		28,894		36,755		37:	5		

(1) Net loss includes impairment charges of \$475.7 million related to the write-down of Tree.com's Lending segment goodwill and intangible assets.

766,486

753,674

707,948

773,453

(2) Includes the results of Home Loan Center since its acquisition on December 14, 2004.

214,624

Shareholders' equity

(3) Includes the results of LendingTree since its acquisition by IAC on August 8, 2003.

50

244,545

#### TREE.COM, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements of Tree.com, Inc. and subsidiaries ("Tree.com") reflect adjustments to the historical consolidated financial statements of Tree.com to give effect to the separation and related transactions described in the notes to the Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements as of March 31, 2008 for the Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet and as of January 1, 2007 and January 1, 2008 for the Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations for the year ended December 31, 2007 and the three months ended March 31, 2008, respectively.

The assumptions used and pro forma adjustments derived from such assumptions are based on currently available information and Tree.com believes such assumptions are reasonable under the circumstances. At this time Tree.com does not expect material changes to the separation agreements.

The following Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements should be read in conjunction with the historical consolidated financial statements of Tree.com and "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" of Tree.com included in this Prospectus.

These Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements are not necessarily indicative of Tree.com's results of operations or financial condition had the separation and related transactions been completed on the dates assumed. Also, they may not reflect the results of operations or financial condition which would have resulted had Tree.com been operating as an independent publicly-traded company during such periods. In addition, they are not necessarily indicative of Tree.com's future results of operations or financial condition.

## TREE.COM, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

# UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

## MARCH 31, 2008

	Historical		Pro Forma Adjustments		Notes	P	ro Forma
			(In thous	sands, except sha	re data)		
ASSETS							
Cash and cash equivalents, including restricted cash and cash							
equivalents	\$	54,958	\$	55,042	(a)	\$	110,000
Other current assets		121,672					121,672
Total current assets		176,630		55,042			231,672
Non-current assets		266,180					266,180
		,	_				·
TOTAL ASSETS	\$	442,810	\$	55,042		\$	497,852
TOTAL ASSETS	Ψ	442,610	Ψ	33,042		Ψ	497,632
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY							
LIABILITIES:							
Current liabilities	\$	157,968	\$			\$	157,968
Long-term liabilities		40,297					40,297
SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY:							
Common shares, \$0.01 par value, 50,000,000 authorized;							0.0
9,291,185 issued and outstanding on a pro forma basis				93	(a)		93
Additional paid-in capital		766 274		863,560	(a)		863,560
Invested capital		766,374		(766,374)	(a)		
Payables to IAC and subsidiaries Accumulated deficit		42,237 (564,066)		(42,237)	(a)		(564,066)
Accumulated deficit		(304,000)					(304,000)
Total shareholders' equity		244,545		55,042			299,587
	-						
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY	\$	442,810	\$	55,042		\$	497,852

The accompanying Notes to Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these statements.

## TREE.COM, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

# UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS

## THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2008

	Histor	ical	Pro Forma Adjustments	Notes	Pro Forma
		(In	thousands, except per	share data)	
Revenue	\$	70,193	\$		\$ 70,193
Operating expenses		79,681	1,379 1,106	(b) (c)	82,166
Operating loss		(9,488)	(2,485)		(11,973)
Other income (expense):					
Interest income		9			9
Interest expense		(109)	32	(d)	(77)
Other expense		(2)			(2)
Total other expense, net		(102)	32	,	(70)
Loss before income taxes		(9,590)	(2,453)		(12,043)
Income tax (provision) benefit		(209)	1,016	(e)	807
Net loss	\$	(9,799)	\$ (1,437)		\$ (11,236)
				Į	
Pro forma loss per share:(f)(g)					
Basic loss per share					\$ (1.21)
Diluted loss per share					\$ (1.21)

The accompanying Notes to Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these statements.

## TREE.COM, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

# UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS

## YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2007

		Historical	Pro Forma Adjustments	Notes	Pr	o Forma		
		(In	n thousands, except p	per share dat	r share data)			
Revenue	\$	346,378	\$		\$	346,378		
Operating expenses		886,818	5,35 4,420			896,595		
Operating loss		(540,440)	(9,77	7)		(550,217)		
Other income:								
Interest income		1,171	(1,000	)) (d)		171		
Interest expense		(986)				(986)		
Other income		14		_		14		
Total other income (expense), net		199	(1,000	))		(801)		
Loss before income taxes		(540,241)	(10,77	7)		(551,018)		
Income tax provision		(10,161)	4,462			(5,699)		
Net loss	\$	(550,402)	\$ (6,31:	5)	\$	(556,717)		
				•				
Pro forma loss per share:(f)(g)								
Basic loss per share					\$	(58.46)		
Diluted loss per share	. 15 5 6	1 10			\$	(58.46)		

The accompanying Notes to Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these statements.

#### TREE.COM, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

- (a) To effect the terms of the separation as follows:
  - the transfer of \$55.0 million in cash from IAC to Tree.com to bring Tree.com's cash balance to \$110.0 million upon its separation from IAC;
  - (ii) the extinguishment of the payable to IAC and subsidiaries; and
  - (iii) the issuance of 9.3 million Tree.com shares to effect the transfer of its ownership from IAC to IAC's shareholders based on an expected exchange ratio of <sup>1</sup>/<sub>30</sub>th of a share of Tree.com for each share of IAC and the number of IAC common shares outstanding as of March 31, 2008 before giving effect to the 1 for 2 reverse stock split of IAC shares that is expected to be effected in connection with the spin-off.
- (b)

  Tree.com expects to incur additional costs related to being a stand-alone, public company. These costs have been estimated to be \$6.3 million on an annual basis. These costs relate to the following:

additional personnel including accounting, tax, treasury, internal audit and legal personnel;

professional fees associated with audits, tax and other services;

increased insurance premiums;

increased health and welfare benefit costs;

costs associated with a board of directors;

increased franchise taxes, stock exchange listing fees, fees for preparing and distributing periodic filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission; and

other administrative costs and fees.

The total costs referred to above were compared to the corporate allocations from IAC for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and for the year ended December 31, 2007 in order to determine the incremental costs expected to be incurred for each period as follows:

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2008		Year Ended December 31, 2007	
		(In thou	sands)	
Estimated stand-alone, public company costs	\$	1,569	\$	6,344
Less: corporate allocations		(190)		(993)
Incremental costs of being a stand-alone, public company	\$	1.379	\$	5,351
meremental costs of certify a stand alone, public company	Ψ	1,577	Ψ	5,551

Three Months Ended March 31, 2008 Year Ended December 31, 2007

The significant assumptions underlying the determination of these estimates include:

the number of additional personnel required to operate as a public company and the compensation level with respect to each position;

the level of additional assistance Tree.com will require from professional service providers;

the increase in insurance premiums as a stand-alone public company;

the increase in health and welfare costs as a stand-alone entity; and

55

#### TREE.COM, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

the type and level of other costs expected to be incurred in connection with being a stand-alone public company.

This amount excludes \$0.6 million of estimated one-time recruiting fees, professional fees for legal and tax services (e.g. initial benefit plan design) and other costs (e.g. initial stock exchange listing fees) expected to be incurred in initially establishing Tree.com as a stand-alone public company. These costs are therefore not expected to recur.

The information presented above in note (b), with respect to the costs that Tree.com expects to incur as a stand-alone, public company, is forward looking information within the meaning of "Forward-Looking Statements" as described on pages 21-22 of this Prospectus.

(c)

To reflect the additional compensation expense associated with equity-based awards that will be granted upon consummation of the separation.

The awards related to the consummation of the separation were granted to the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer in connection with the employment agreement that was executed upon his appointment to that role. The issuance of these awards are contingent upon the consummation of the separation. The expense related to these awards is included as a pro forma adjustment because they will vest over periods ranging from one to five years and will therefore have an impact on the ongoing operations of Tree.com. The amount was determined using a Black Scholes calculation for the stock option awards and an assumed value for the restricted stock award. The aggregate estimated value of these awards is being amortized to expense on a straight-line basis over the vesting period of the awards. This does not reflect non-recurring compensation expense related to modifications of existing equity-based awards that will be made in connection with the separation described below.

Vested stock options to purchase shares of IAC common stock will be modified as follows in connection with the separation:

Each option will convert into an option to purchase shares of common stock of all five companies, with adjustments to the number of shares subject to each option and the option exercise prices based on the relative values of IAC and the other four companies following the separation, with the intent to generally maintain equivalent value immediately pre and post the transaction.

A calculation of the estimated value of the vested options immediately prior to the separation and immediately after the separation was performed using the Black Scholes model. The incremental charge of \$0.1 million resulted from the higher estimated value of the vested stock options after the separation. This higher value is due to higher estimated weighted average volatility of the stock price of the five companies after the separation than the expected volatility of IAC's stock price. The expense is a one-time charge because the options are fully vested and there is no future service requirement.

The modification related to IAC restricted stock units ("RSUs") relates to the accelerated vesting, upon the consummation of the separation, of all RSUs granted prior to August 8, 2005 and all awards that were scheduled to vest prior to February 28, 2009. The estimated expense of \$3.1 million is the previously unrecognized compensation expense associated with these awards. The expense is treated as non-recurring because after the separation no future service is required with respect to these awards.

#### TREE.COM, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

# NOTES TO UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

There may be additional stock-based awards granted in connection with the separation but the amount of such awards, if any, has not yet been determined and no expense with respect thereto has been reflected herein.

- (d)

  To reflect the elimination of intercompany interest expense for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and intercompany interest income for the year ended December 31, 2007 allocated by IAC to Tree.com.
- (e) To reflect the tax effect of the pro forma adjustments at an assumed effective tax rate of 41.4% which represents a federal statutory tax rate of 35% and a state effective statutory rate of 6.4%.
- Loss per share and weighted average shares outstanding reflect the historical number of IAC common shares used to calculate IAC's earnings per share, adjusted based on an expected exchange ratio of 1/30<sup>th</sup> of a share of Tree.com for each share of IAC before giving effect to the 1 for 2 reverse stock split for IAC shares that is expected to be effected in connection with the separation. These amounts reflect the outstanding equity-based awards that were included in IAC's dilutive earnings per share calculation. Pro forma loss per share is calculated using the following:

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2008			ear Ended nber 31, 2007		
Net loss	\$	(11,236)	\$	(556,717)		
Basic shares outstanding weighted average shares Other dilutive securities including stock options, warrants and restricted stock and share units(g)		9,292		9,523		
Diluted shares outstanding weighted average shares		9,292		9,523		

(g)

The effect of dilutive securities would be antidilutive due to the net loss and are therefore excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per share.

## MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS OF TREE.COM

The following discussion describes the financial condition and results of operations of Tree.com, Inc. ("Tree.com") as though Tree.com were a separate company as of the dates and for the periods presented and includes the businesses, assets and liabilities that will comprise Tree.com following the spin-off.

#### Spin-Off

On November 5, 2007, IAC/InterActiveCorp ("IAC") announced that its Board of Directors approved a plan to separate IAC into five publicly traded companies, identifying Tree.com as one of those five companies. We refer to the separation transaction herein as the "spin-off." In connection with the spin-off, Tree.com was incorporated as a Delaware corporation in April 2008. Tree.com currently does not have any material assets or liabilities, nor does it engage in any business or other activities and, other than in connection with the spin-off, will not acquire or incur any material assets or liabilities, nor will it engage in any business or other activities. Upon completion of the spin-off, Tree.com will consist of the businesses that formerly comprised IAC's Lending and Real Estate segments. We refer herein to these businesses as the "Tree.com Businesses," which include LendingTree.com, RealEstate.com, GetSmart.com, LendingTree Loans, iNest and Domania.

#### **Basis of Presentation**

The historical consolidated financial statements of Tree.com and its subsidiaries and the disclosure set forth in this Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of Tree.com reflect the contribution or other transfer to Tree.com of all of the subsidiaries and assets and the assumption by Tree.com of all of the liabilities relating to the Tree.com Businesses in connection with the spin-off and the allocation to Tree.com of certain IAC corporate expenses relating to the Tree.com Businesses. Accordingly, the historical consolidated financial statements of Tree.com reflect the historical financial position, results of operations and cash flows of the Tree.com Businesses since their respective dates of acquisition by IAC, based on the historical consolidated financial statements and accounting records of IAC and using the historical results of operations and historical bases of the assets and liabilities of the Tree.com Businesses with the exception of accounting for income taxes, which have been computed for Tree.com on an as if stand- alone, separate tax return basis. Intercompany transactions and accounts have been eliminated.

In the opinion of Tree.com's management, the assumptions underlying the historical consolidated financial statements of Tree.com are reasonable. However, this financial information does not necessarily reflect what the historical financial position, results of operations and cash flows of Tree.com would have been had Tree.com been a stand-alone company during the periods presented.

#### MANAGEMENT OVERVIEW

Tree.com's Lending segment consists of online networks (principally LendingTree.com and GetSmart.com) and call centers that connect consumers and financial providers in the lending industry (the "lending networks"). Tree.com also originates, processes, approves and funds various residential real estate loans through Home Loan Center, which does business as LendingTree Loans in certain jurisdictions. The Home Loan Center and LendingTree Loans brand names are collectively referred to in this report as "LendingTree Loans". Additionally, Tree.com provides mortgage settlement services, including title search, appraisals, flood certification and closing transactions, under the name "LendingTree Settlement Services".

Tree.com's Real Estate segment primarily consists of a proprietary full-service real estate brokerage that operates in 14 U.S. markets, www.RealEstate.com, an online network that connects consumers with real estate brokerages around the country, iNest, an online network that matches buyers and builders of new homes, and Domania, an online lead provider for banks, mortgage lenders and real estate professionals (the "real estate networks").

#### Sources of Revenue

Lending is generally compensated on a fee basis by the lenders who participate in its online lending networks, with LendingTree Loans principally deriving revenue from the origination and sale in the secondary markets of various residential real estate loans. Real Estate is generally compensated from subscription and cooperative brokerage fees paid by real estate professionals participating in its real estate networks and from commissions paid by consumers for its agents closing a real estate transaction on their behalf.

#### **Channels of Distribution; Marketing Costs**

Tree.com markets and offers services directly to customers through branded websites allowing customers to transact directly with Tree.com in a convenient manner. Tree.com has made, and expects to continue to make, substantial investments in online and offline advertising to build its brands and drive traffic to its businesses.

Tree.com also pays to market and distribute services on third-party distribution channels, such as internet portals and search engines. In addition, some of the Tree.com Businesses manage affiliate marketing programs, pursuant to which the Tree.com businesses pay commissions and fees to third parties based on the number of leads generated or the revenue earned. These distribution channels might also offer their own products, as well as those of other third parties, that compete with those made available and offered by Tree.com businesses.

The cost of acquiring new customers through online and offline third-party distribution channels has increased, particularly in the case of online channels as internet commerce continues to grow and competition in the housing market increases. Tree.com expects sales and marketing expense as a percentage of revenue to continue to increase. Sales and marketing expense as a percentage of revenue increased to approximately 54% in 2007 from approximately 46% in 2006 and 42% in 2005.

#### Access to Supply

Tree.com provides lending and real estate network partners with important customer acquisition channels. Tree.com believes that the ability of its partners to reach a large qualified audience through its brands and businesses is a significant benefit. Tree.com offers its customers the choice of multiple suppliers in one setting.

#### Recent Developments; 2008 Second Quarter Results

IAC has released its consolidated earnings for the three months ended June 30, 2008 and filed its Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2008 with the Commission. The financial information for Tree.com presented therein is not prepared on a basis consistent with that used in the preparation of the consolidated financial information of Tree.com included herein because the financial information of Tree.com presented in this Prospectus includes:

allocations of stock based compensation expense;

allocation of IAC corporate expenses;

the calculation of income taxes on a stand-alone, separate return basis; and

footnotes and other disclosures required for this information to be presented in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

The preparation and review of the allocations related to stock-based compensation expense and IAC corporate expenses and the calculation of income taxes and the preparation of the required footnotes and other disclosures is not yet completed as IAC's financial personnel have been principally focused on IAC's reporting requirements for the quarter ended June 30, 2008.

The reported financial results for Tree.com, as presented below, are as reported in IAC's consolidated financial statements, and will differ from those presented in this Prospectus for Tree.com on a standalone basis as explained above.

		Months Ended ne 30, 2008			Change		
	(\$ in millions, except for transmitted QFs and Closings units)						
Revenue							
Origination and sale of loans	\$	23.1	\$	47.1	(51)%		
Other lending		26.7		51.5	(48)%		
Total Landing		49.8		98.6	(50)%		
Total Lending  Real Estate		10.2		15.4	(30)%		
Real Estate		10.2		13.4	(33) 70		
Total revenue	\$	60.0	\$	114.0	(47)%		
Operating Loss							
Lending	\$	(111.1)	\$	(1.3)	(8,368)%		
Real Estate		(65.6)		(8.7)	(652)%		
	\$	(176.7)	\$	(10.0)	(1,659)%		
Operating metrics							
Lending							
Transmitted QFs (000s)(a)		561.6		889.5	(37)%		
Closings units (000s)(b)		28.1		59.2	(52)%		
Closings dollars(b)	\$	4,323	\$	7,673	(44)%		
Real Estate							
Closings units (000s)		2.2		3.1	(30)%		
Closings dollars	\$	541	\$	796	(32)%		

 $Customer \ "Qualification \ Forms" \ (QFs) \ transmitted \ to \ at \ least \ one \ exchange \ lender \ (including \ Lending Tree \ Loans) \ plus \ QFs \ transmitted \ to \ at \ least \ one \ GetSmart \ lender.$ 

(b)

Loan closings consist of loans closed by exchange lenders and directly by LendingTree Loans

60

#### Lending

Lending revenue in the three months ending June 30, 2008 decreased \$48.8 million, or 50%, from the same period in 2007. Revenue generated from the origination and sale of loans in the secondary market declined \$24.0 million, or 51%, primarily due to fewer loans being originated and sold into the secondary market as well as a market driven shift to lower margin conforming loans, higher costs per loan sold as a result of lower close rates and stricter underwriting criteria as compared to the prior year. Revenue from other lending services declined \$24.8 million, or 48%, due to fewer Qualification Forms transmitted to and loans closed on the lending networks. Lower revenue and margins more than offset \$35.9 million in lower year-over-year marketing and other operating expenses. Charges associated with restructuring initiatives aggregated \$1.2 million versus \$3.8 million in the year ago period. Operating loss in the second quarter of 2008 includes a \$104.9 million impairment charge related to goodwill and an indefinite-lived intangible asset, reflecting Tree.com's assessment of the likely profitability of the Lending segment in light of the persistent adverse mortgage market conditions.

### Real Estate

Revenue declines reflect fewer closings at the builder and broker networks and the absence of revenue from the agent network business which closed in December 2007, partially offset by increased closings at the company owned brokerage. The company owned brokerage, now operating in 14 markets, grew revenue 24% during the period. Operating losses in the second quarter of 2008 reflect lower marketing expenses and lower administrative costs resulting, in part, from the restructuring of the business during 2007 and 2008. Operating loss in the second quarter of 2008 includes a \$61.0 million impairment charge related to goodwill, reflecting Tree.com's assessment of the likely profitability of the Real Estate segment in light of the persistent adverse mortgage market conditions.

#### **Economic and Other Trends and Events; Industry Specific Factors**

The credit and secondary mortgage markets have been experiencing unprecedented and continuing disruption, which had an adverse effect on Tree.com's business, financial condition and results of operations in 2007 and 2008. These conditions, coupled with adverse economic conditions and continuing declines in residential real estate prices generally, have resulted in decreased consumer demand for the lending and real estate offerings provided by Tree.com's networks and other businesses. Generally, increases in interest rates adversely affect the ability of the Lending Business and Network Lenders to close loans, while adverse economic trends limit the ability of the Lending Business and Network Lenders to offer home loans other than low margin conforming loans. The number of Network Lenders also decreased in 2007 and 2008 as many lenders exited the business due to the difficult economic conditions. Likewise, adverse economic trends have reduced the number of prospective home purchasers and home prices, which adversely affected Tree.com's Real Estate Business.

Tree.com recognized impairment charges of \$475.7 million related to the write-down of its Lending segment goodwill and intangible assets in the fourth quarter of 2007. These impairments resulted from Tree.com's reassessment of the likely future profitability of Lending in light of the persistent adverse mortgage market conditions and the operational strategies Tree.com has undertaken in response to these market realities. These adverse conditions include, among others, constrained liquidity, lender focus on low margin conforming loans, uncertainty as to the eventuality and timing of the return of higher margin mortgage products, the decline in real estate values and a high rate of delinquency for existing mortgages. Tree.com has significantly reduced its mortgage origination operations in response to these conditions which will reduce or slow its ability to react to possible improvements in the market. The impairments at the Lending segment occurred during the fourth quarter of 2007 as Tree.com completed an updated assessment of mortgage market conditions and the development and implementation of Lending's responsive operational strategies, and quantified these considerations in

Lending's future forecasted results. In addition, in response to these persistent adverse mortgage market conditions, Tree.com restructured its operations in 2007 and recorded \$22.9 million in restructuring expense. This restructuring affected all departments and locations within Tree.com but were principally related to the mortgage origination operations.

These restructuring efforts described above may be insufficient to allow Tree.com to weather these continuing adverse market conditions. Continued protracted adverse market conditions may require additional restructuring of Tree.com's operations and could give rise to additional restructuring charges and additional impairment charges.

#### Results of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005

#### Revenue

#### Years Ended December 31,

	2007	% Change	2006	% Change	2005
Lending Real Estate	\$ 294,626 51,752	(30)% \$ (9)%	ollars in thousands \$ 419,657 56,821	15% \$ (1)%	363,800 57,555
Total revenue	\$ 346,378	(27)% \$	\$ 476,478	13% \$	421,355

Revenue in 2007 decreased \$130.1 million, or 27%, from 2006 primarily due to fewer loans sold into the secondary market, lower revenue per loan sold, fewer loans closed on the lending networks and an increase of \$13.5 million in the liability for losses on previously sold loans. Also contributing to the decrease in revenue is a decrease of \$13.1 million related to the Real Estate builder and broker networks, which decreased closings year over year. Lenders' narrowing focus on traditional mortgages in reaction to changes in the mortgage market contributed to lower close rates, a shift to lower margin products, and lower revenue per loan sold at LendingTree Loans. Revenue from home equity loans fell 58% due in part to an exit from certain home equity loans at LendingTree Loans and as a result of deteriorating market conditions. Additionally, purchase mortgage revenue and refinance mortgage revenue declined 21% and 16%, respectively. The dollar value of loans closed by network lenders and directly by LendingTree Loans in 2007 decreased 23% to \$24.9 billion. This includes refinance mortgages of \$13.0 billion, purchase mortgages of \$6.9 billion and home equity loans of \$4.2 billion. The dollar value of closed loans in 2006 was \$32.1 billion, including refinance mortgages of \$16.9 billion, purchase mortgages of \$8.3 billion and home equity loans of \$5.9 billion.

Partially offsetting the revenue decrease in 2007 is an increase of \$9.7 million in revenue from our company-owned brokerage business, which increased closings by 190%. The company-owned brokerage business began closing transactions in the first quarter of 2006 and now operates in fourteen metropolitan markets. Similar to closing loans in our own name, through LendingTree Loans, the company-owned brokerage enables Real Estate to capture a larger portion of the transaction revenue.

LendingTree Loans originates mortgage loans on property located throughout the United States, with no one location representing more than 10% of Tree.com's consolidated revenue for any periods presented. Revenue from loans originated for property located in California and Florida in the aggregate totaled approximately 10%, 14% and 14% of Tree.com's consolidated revenue for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Revenue in 2006 increased \$55.1 million, or 13%, from 2005 driven primarily by higher revenue per loan, increased sales of loans into the secondary market and increased match fee revenue due to both growth in loan request form volume and higher prices on the networks. Increased revenue from settlement services also impacted revenue growth in 2006. Revenue from refinance mortgage, home equity loans and purchase mortgage loans grew 15%, 16% and 26%, respectively, from the prior year, despite the difficult market conditions in 2006. The dollar value of loans closed by exchange lenders

and directly by LendingTree Loans in 2006 decreased 8% to \$32.1 billion. This includes refinance mortgages of \$16.9 billion, purchase mortgages of \$8.3 billion and home equity loans of \$5.9 billion. The dollar value of closed loans in 2005 was \$34.7 billion, including refinance mortgages of \$19.8 billion, purchase mortgages of \$8.0 billion and home equity loans of \$5.8 billion.

#### Cost of revenue

#### Years Ended December 31.

	2007	% Change	2006	% Change	2005				
		(Dollars in thousands)							
Lending	\$47,264	(0)%	\$47,412	22%	\$38,904				
Real Estate	25,850	0%	25,805	(6)%	27,438				
Cost of revenue	\$73,114	(0)%	\$73,217	10%	\$66,342				
		(*/*							
As a percentage of total revenue	21%	574 bp	15%	(38) bp	16%				
Gross margins	79%	(574) bp	85%	38 bp	84%				
oross margins		Years Ended December 31,							
	2007	% Change	2006	% Change	2005				
		(D	ollars in thousand	ds)					
Cost of revenue Lending	\$47,264	(0)%	\$47,412	22%	\$38,904				
As a percentage of Lending revenue	16%	474 bp	11%	60 bp	11%				
Lending gross margins	84%	(474) bp	89%	(60) bp	89%				
Cost of revenue Real Estate	\$25,850	0%	\$25,805	(6)%	\$27,438				
As a percentage of Real Estate revenue	50%	453 bp	45%	(226) bp	48%				
Real Estate gross margins	50%	(453) bp	55%	226 bp	52%				

Cost of revenue consists primarily of costs associated with unsuccessful loan origination attempts, compensation and other employee-related costs (including stock-based compensation) related to customer call centers, real estate network support staff and loan officers, as well as credit scoring fees, consumer incentive costs, real estate agent commissions and website network hosting and server fees.

Cost of revenue in 2007 was relatively unchanged from 2006 despite the significant revenue decline. The increase in cost of revenue as a percentage of total revenue is principally due to the reduced revenue discussed above, and a \$5.5 million increase in costs associated with unsuccessful loan originations. If a loan funds, these costs are deferred until the loan is sold to an investor and are included in revenue on a net basis. However, costs associated with all unsuccessful loan origination attempts are expensed as incurred. This increase was partially offset by a \$3.5 million decrease in compensation and other employee-related costs as Tree.com reduced its personnel costs associated with its customer call center, settlement services operation and portions of its loan processing department. Cost of revenue also increased as a percentage of revenue due to an increase of \$5.0 million in commission expense primarily related to the increase in closings at company-owned brokerage business, partially offset by a decrease of \$4.6 million in consumer incentive rebates related to decreased closings at the Real Estate builder and broker network businesses.

Cost of revenue in 2006 increased \$6.9 million from 2005 primarily due to increases of \$4.5 million in compensation and other employee-related costs, \$3.9 million in commission expense related to the company-owned brokerage business and \$3.0 million in direct costs associated with the growth in the settlement services business. These increases were partially offset by a decrease of \$2.8 million in customer incentive rebates at Real Estate related to the builder and broker network businesses.

## Selling and marketing expense

### Years Ended December 31,

	2007	% Change	2006	% Change	2005
		(D	ollars in thousand	ls)	
Lending	\$168,436	(14)%	\$195,245	32%	\$148,227
Real Estate	19,176	(19)%	23,665	(17)%	28,522
Selling and marketing expense	\$187,612	(14)%	\$218,910	24%	\$176,749